Introduction to Deep Learning

Alexander Amini

MIT 6.S191

January 24, 2022

What is Deep Learning?

Artificial Intelligence

Any technique that enables computers to mimic human behavior

Machine Learning

Ability to learn without explicitly being programmed

Deep Learning

Extract patterns from data using neural networks

MIT 6.S191

Why Deep Learning and Why Now?

MIT 6.S191

Why Deep Learning?

Hand engineered features are time consuming, brittle, and not scalable in practice

Can we learn the **underlying features** directly from data?

Low Level Features



Lines & Edges

Mid Level Features

Eyes & Nose & Ears

High Level Features

Facial Structure

Why Now?

Neural Networks date back decades, so why the resurgence?

1952 Stochastic Gradient Descent

1958 Perceptron
- Learnable Weights

⋮

1986 Backpropagation
- Multi-Layer Perceptron

1995 Deep Convolutional NN
- Digit Recognition

⋮

1. Big Data

- Larger Datasets
- Easier Collection & Storage

IMAGENET

WIKIPEDIA
The Free Encyclopedia

2. Hardware

- Graphics Processing Units (GPUs)
- Massively Parallelizable

3. Software

- Improved Techniques
- New Models
- Toolboxes

TensorFlow

MIT 6.S191

The Perceptron

The structural building block of deep learning

MIT 6.S191

The Perceptron: Forward Propagation



Inputs Weights Sum Non-Linearity Output

Output

Linear combination of inputs

$$\hat{y} = g\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \, w_i\right)$$

Non-linear activation function

MIT 6.S191

The Perceptron: Forward Propagation



Output

Linear combination of inputs

$$\hat{y} = g\left(w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \, w_i\right)$$

Non-linear activation function

Bias

MIT 6.S191

The Perceptron: Forward Propagation



$$\hat{y} = g\left(w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i\, w_i\right)$$

$$\hat{y} = g\left(w_0 + \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{W}\right)$$

where: $\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix}$ and $\boldsymbol{W} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_m \end{bmatrix}$

MIT 6.S191

The Perceptron: Forward Propagation



Activation Functions

$$\hat{y} = g\left(w_0 + \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{W} \right)$$

- Example: sigmoid function

$$g(z) = \sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$



MIT 6.S191

Common Activation Functions

Sigmoid Function



$$g(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$

$$g'(z) = g(z)(1 - g(z))$$

```
tf.math.sigmoid(z)
```

Hyperbolic Tangent



$$g(z) = \frac{e^{z} - e^{-z}}{e^{z} + e^{-z}}$$

$$g'(z) = 1 - g(z)^2$$

```
tf.math.tanh(z)
```

Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU)



$$g(z) = \max(0, z)$$

$$g'(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & z > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

```
tf.nn.relu(z)
```

TensorFlow code blocks

NOTE: All activation functions are non-linear

MIT 6.S191

Importance of Activation Functions

The purpose of activation functions is to ***introduce non-linearities*** *into the network*



What if we wanted to build a neural network to distinguish green vs red points?

MIT 6.S191

Importance of Activation Functions

The purpose of activation functions is to ***introduce non-linearities*** *into the network*



Linear activation functions produce linear decisions no matter the network size

MIT 6.S191

Importance of Activation Functions

The purpose of activation functions is to ***introduce non-linearities*** *into the network*



Linear activation functions produce linear decisions no matter the network size



Non-linearities allow us to approximate arbitrarily complex functions

The Perceptron: Example



We have: $w_0 = 1$ and $\boldsymbol{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\hat{y} = g(w_0 + \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{W})$$

$$= g\left(1 + \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$\hat{y} = g(\underbrace{1 + 3x_1 - 2x_2}_{\text{This is just a line in 2D!}})$$

MIT 6.S191

The Perceptron: Example

$$\hat{y} = g(1 + 3x_1 - 2x_2)$$





MIT 6.S191

The Perceptron: Example



$$\hat{y} = g(1 + 3x_1 - 2x_2)$$



Assume we have input: $\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix}-1\\2\end{bmatrix}$

$$\hat{y} = g\big(1 + (3 * -1) - (2 * 2)\big)$$
$$= g(-6) \approx 0.002$$

The Perceptron: Example



$$\hat{y} = g(1 + 3x_1 - 2x_2)$$



MIT 6.S191

Building Neural Networks with Perceptrons

MIT 6.S191

The Perceptron: Simplified

$$\hat{y} = g\left(w_0 + \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{W} \right)$$



MIT 6.S191

The Perceptron: Simplified



$$z = w_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j w_j$$

MIT 6.S191

Multi Output Perceptron

Because all inputs are densely connected to all outputs, these layers are called **Dense** layers



$$z_i = w_{0,i} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j \, w_{j,i}$$

MIT 6S191

Dense layer from scratch

```
class MyDenseLayer(tf.keras.layers.Layer):
  def __init__(self, input_dim, output_dim):
    super(MyDenseLayer, self).__init__()

    # Initialize weights and bias
    self.W = self.add_weight([input_dim, output_dim])
    self.b = self.add_weight([1, output_dim])

  def call(self, inputs):
    # Forward propagate the inputs
    z = tf.matmul(inputs, self.W) + self.b

    # Feed through a non-linear activation
    output = tf.math.sigmoid(z)

    return output
```

Multi Output Perceptron

Because all inputs are densely connected to all outputs, these layers are called **Dense** layers




```
import tensorflow as tf

layer = tf.keras.layers.Dense(
    units=2)
```

$$z_i = w_{0,i} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j \, w_{j,i}$$

MIT 6.S191

Single Layer Neural Network



$$z_i = w_{0,i}^{(1)} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j \, w_{j,i}^{(1)} \qquad \hat{y}_i = g\left(w_{0,i}^{(2)} + \sum_{j=1}^{d_1} g(z_j) \, w_{j,i}^{(2)}\right)$$

MIT 6.S191

Single Layer Neural Network



$$z_2 = w_{0,2}^{(1)} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j \, w_{j,2}^{(1)}$$

$$= w_{0,2}^{(1)} + x_1 \, w_{1,2}^{(1)} + x_2 \, w_{2,2}^{(1)} + x_m \, w_{m,2}^{(1)}$$

MIT 6.S191

Multi Output Perceptron

```python
import tensorflow as tf

model = tf.keras.Sequential([
    tf.keras.layers.Dense(n),
    tf.keras.layers.Dense(2)
])
```



MIT 6.S191

Deep Neural Network



$$z_{k,i} = w_{0,i}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_{k-1}} g(z_{k-1,j})\, w_{j,i}^{(k)}$$

MIT 6.S191

Deep Neural Network




```
import tensorflow as tf

model = tf.keras.Sequential([
  tf.keras.layers.Dense(n1),
  tf.keras.layers.Dense(n2),
  ⋮
  tf.keras.layers.Dense(2)
])
```

$$z_{k,i} = w_{0,i}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_{k-1}} g(z_{k-1,j})\, w_{j,i}^{(k)}$$

MIT 6.S191

Applying Neural Networks

MIT 6.S191

Example Problem

Will I pass this class?

Let's start with a simple two feature model

x_1 = Number of lectures you attend

x_2 = Hours spent on the final project

MIT 6.S191

Example Problem: Will I pass this class?



MIT 6.S191

Example Problem: Will I pass this class?



MIT 6.S191

Example Problem: Will I pass this class?

$$x^{(1)} = [4\ ,5\,]$$



Predicted: **0.1**

MIT 6.S191

Example Problem: Will I pass this class?

$$x^{(1)} = [4\ ,5\,]$$



Predicted: **0.1**
Actual: **1**

MIT 6.S191

Quantifying Loss

*The **loss** of our network measures the cost incurred from incorrect predictions*

$$x^{(1)} = [4\ ,5]$$



Predicted: **0.1**
Actual: **1**

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\underbrace{f\left(x^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{W}\right)}_{\text{Predicted}}, \underbrace{y^{(i)}}_{\text{Actual}}\right)$$

Empirical Loss

*The **empirical loss** measures the total loss over our entire dataset*

$$\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 4, & 5 \\ 2, & 1 \\ 5, & 8 \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$



$$\begin{array}{ccc} f(x) & & y \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.6 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} & \begin{matrix} \times \\ \times \\ \checkmark \\ \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

$$\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{W}) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{L}\left(\underbrace{f\left(x^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{W}\right)}_{\text{Predicted}}, \underbrace{y^{(i)}}_{\text{Actual}}\right)$$

Also known as:
- Objective function
- Cost function
- Empirical Risk

Binary Cross Entropy Loss

Cross entropy loss *can be used with models that output a probability between 0 and 1*

$$\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 4, & 5 \\ 2, & 1 \\ 5, & 8 \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$



$$\begin{array}{ccc} f(x) & & y \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.6 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} & \begin{matrix} \times \\ \times \\ \checkmark \\ \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

$$\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{W}) = -\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{y^{(i)}}_{\text{Actual}} \log\Big(\underbrace{f\big(x^{(i)};\boldsymbol{W}\big)}_{\text{Predicted}}\Big) + (1-\underbrace{y^{(i)}}_{\text{Actual}}) \log\Big(1-\underbrace{f\big(x^{(i)};\boldsymbol{W}\big)}_{\text{Predicted}}\Big)$$

```
loss = tf.reduce_mean( tf.nn.softmax_cross_entropy_with_logits(y, predicted) )
```

MIT 6.S191

Mean Squared Error Loss

Mean squared error loss *can be used with regression models that output continuous real numbers*

$$\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 4, & 5 \\ 2, & 1 \\ 5, & 8 \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$



$$\begin{array}{ccc} f(x) & & y \\ \begin{bmatrix} 30 \\ 80 \\ 85 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} & \begin{matrix} \times \\ \times \\ \checkmark \\ \\ \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 90 \\ 20 \\ 95 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

Final Grades (percentage)

$$\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{W}) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\underbrace{y^{(i)}}_{\text{Actual}} - \underbrace{f\left(x^{(i)};\boldsymbol{W}\right)}_{\text{Predicted}}\right)^2$$

```
loss = tf.reduce_mean( tf.square(tf.subtract(y, predicted)) )
loss = tf.keras.losses.MSE( y, predicted )
```

MIT 6.S191

Training Neural Networks

MIT 6.S191

Loss Optimization

We want to find the network weights that ***achieve the lowest loss***

$$\boldsymbol{W}^* = \underset{\boldsymbol{W}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{L}\left(f\left(x^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{W}\right), y^{(i)}\right)$$

$$\boldsymbol{W}^* = \underset{\boldsymbol{W}}{\operatorname{argmin}} J(\boldsymbol{W})$$

MIT 6.S191

Loss Optimization

We want to find the network weights that ***achieve the lowest loss***

$$\boldsymbol{W}^* = \underset{\boldsymbol{W}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{L}\left(f\left(x^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{W}\right), y^{(i)}\right)$$

$$\boldsymbol{W}^* = \underset{\boldsymbol{W}}{\operatorname{argmin}} J(\boldsymbol{W})$$

Remember:

$$\boldsymbol{W} = \left\{\boldsymbol{W}^{(0)}, \boldsymbol{W}^{(1)}, \dots\right\}$$

MIT 6.S191

Loss Optimization

$$\boldsymbol{W}^* = \underset{\boldsymbol{W}}{\operatorname{argmin}} J(\boldsymbol{W})$$

Remember:

Our loss is a function of the network weights!



MIT 6.S191

Loss Optimization

Randomly pick an initial (w_0, w_1)



MIT 6.S191

Loss Optimization

Compute gradient, $\frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$



MIT 6.S191

Loss Optimization

Take small step in opposite direction of gradient



MIT 6.S191

Gradient Descent

Repeat until convergence



MIT 6.S191

Gradient Descent

Algorithm

1. Initialize weights randomly $\sim\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$
2. Loop until convergence:
3. Compute gradient, $\frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$
4. Update weights, $\boldsymbol{W} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{W} - \eta \frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$
5. Return weights

MIT 6.S191

Gradient Descent

Algorithm

1. Initialize weights randomly $\sim\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$
2. Loop until convergence:
3. Compute gradient, $\frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$
4. Update weights, $\boldsymbol{W} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{W} - \eta \frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$
5. Return weights

```
import tensorflow as tf

weights = tf.Variable([tf.random.normal()])

while True:   # loop forever
   with tf.GradientTape() as g:
      loss = compute_loss(weights)
      gradient = g.gradient(loss, weights)

   weights = weights - lr * gradient
```

Gradient Descent

Algorithm

1. Initialize weights randomly $\sim\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$
2. Loop until convergence:
3. Compute gradient, $\frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$
4. Update weights, $\boldsymbol{W} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{W} - \eta \frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$
5. Return weights

```
import tensorflow as tf

weights = tf.Variable([tf.random.normal()])

while True:   # loop forever
    with tf.GradientTape() as g:
        loss = compute_loss(weights)
        gradient = g.gradient(loss, weights)

    weights = weights - lr * gradient
```

Computing Gradients: Backpropagation

How does a small change in one weight (ex. $\boldsymbol{w_2}$) affect the final loss $\boldsymbol{J(W)}$?

MIT 6.S191

Computing Gradients: Backpropagation

$$\frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial w_2} =$$

Let's use the chain rule!

MIT 6.S191

Computing Gradients: Backpropagation



$$\frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial w_2} = \frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \hat{y}} * \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial w_2}$$

MIT 6.S191

Computing Gradients: Backpropagation



$$\frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial w_1} = \frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \hat{y}} * \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial w_1}$$

Apply chain rule!

Apply chain rule!

MIT 6.S191

Computing Gradients: Backpropagation



$$\frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial w_1} = \frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \hat{y}} * \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial z_1} * \frac{\partial z_1}{\partial w_1}$$

MIT 6.S191

Computing Gradients: Backpropagation



$$\frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial w_1} = \frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \hat{y}} * \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial z_1} * \frac{\partial z_1}{\partial w_1}$$

Repeat this for ***every weight in the network*** *using gradients from later layers*

MIT 6.S191

Neural Networks in Practice: Optimization

MIT 6.191

Training Neural Networks is Difficult



"Visualizing the loss landscape of neural nets". Dec 2017.

Loss Functions Can Be Difficult to Optimize

Remember:

Optimization through gradient descent

$$\boldsymbol{W} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{W} - \eta \frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$$

MIT 6.S191

Loss Functions Can Be Difficult to Optimize

Remember:

Optimization through gradient descent

$$\boldsymbol{W} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{W} - \eta \frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$$

How can we set the learning rate?

MIT 6.S191

Setting the Learning Rate

Small learning rate *converges slowly and gets stuck in false local minima*



MIT 6.S191

Setting the Learning Rate

Large learning rates *overshoot, become unstable and diverge*



MIT 6.S191

Setting the Learning Rate

Stable learning rates *converge smoothly and avoid local minima*



MIT 6.S191

How to deal with this?

Idea 1:

Try lots of different learning rates and see what works "just right"

MIT 6.S191

How to deal with this?

Idea 1:

Try lots of different learning rates and see what works "just right"

Idea 2:

Do something smarter!

Design an adaptive learning rate that "adapts" to the landscape

MIT 6.S191

Adaptive Learning Rates

- Learning rates are no longer fixed
- Can be made larger or smaller depending on:
  - how large gradient is
  - how fast learning is happening
  - size of particular weights
  - etc...

MIT 6.S191

Gradient Descent Algorithms

Algorithm	TF Implementation	Reference
• SGD	`tf.keras.optimizers.SGD`	Kiefer & Wolfowitz. "Stochastic Estimation of the Maximum of a Regression Function." 1952.
• Adam	`tf.keras.optimizers.Adam`	Kingma et al. "Adam: A Method for Stochastic Optimization." 2014.
• Adadelta	`tf.keras.optimizers.Adadelta`	Zeiler et al. "ADADELTA: An Adaptive Learning Rate Method." 2012.
• Adagrad	`tf.keras.optimizers.Adagrad`	Duchi et al. "Adaptive Subgradient Methods for Online Learning and Stochastic Optimization." 2011.
• RMSProp	`tf.keras.optimizers.RMSProp`	

Additional details: http://ruder.io/optimizing-gradient-descent/

Putting it all together

```
import tensorflow as tf

model = tf.keras.Sequential([...])

# pick your favorite optimizer
optimizer = tf.keras.optimizer.SGD()

while True: # loop forever

    # forward pass through the network
    prediction = model(x)

    with tf.GradientTape() as tape:
        # compute the loss
        loss = compute_loss(y, prediction)

    # update the weights using the gradient
    grads = tape.gradient(loss, model.trainable_variables)
    optimizer.apply_gradients(zip(grads, model.trainable_variables)))
```

Neural Networks in Practice: Mini-batches

MIT 6.S191

Gradient Descent

Algorithm

1. Initialize weights randomly $\sim\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$
2. Loop until convergence:
3. Compute gradient, $\frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$
4. Update weights, $\boldsymbol{W} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{W} - \eta \frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$
5. Return weights



MIT 6.S191

Gradient Descent

Algorithm

1. Initialize weights randomly $\sim\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$
2. Loop until convergence:
3. Compute gradient, $\frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$
4. Update weights, $\boldsymbol{W} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{W} - \eta \frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$
5. Return weights



Can be very **computationally intensive** to compute!

MIT 6.S191

Stochastic Gradient Descent

Algorithm

1. Initialize weights randomly $\sim\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$
2. Loop until convergence:
3. Pick single data point $\boldsymbol{i}$
4. Compute gradient, $\frac{\partial J_i(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$
5. Update weights, $\boldsymbol{W} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{W} - \eta \frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$
6. Return weights



MIT 6.S191

Stochastic Gradient Descent

Algorithm

1. Initialize weights randomly $\sim\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$
2. Loop until convergence:
3. Pick single data point $\boldsymbol{i}$
4. Compute gradient, $\frac{\partial J_i(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$
5. Update weights, $\boldsymbol{W} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{W} - \eta \frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$
6. Return weights



Easy to compute but **very noisy** (stochastic)!

MIT 6.S191

Stochastic Gradient Descent

Algorithm

1. Initialize weights randomly $\sim\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$
2. Loop until convergence:
3. Pick batch of B data points
4. Compute gradient, $\frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}} = \frac{1}{B}\sum_{k=1}^{B} \frac{\partial J_k(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$
5. Update weights, $\boldsymbol{W} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{W} - \eta \frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$
6. Return weights



MIT 6.S191

Stochastic Gradient Descent

Algorithm

1. Initialize weights randomly $\sim\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$
2. Loop until convergence:
3. Pick batch of B data points
4. Compute gradient, $\boxed{\frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}} = \frac{1}{B}\sum_{k=1}^{B} \frac{\partial J_k(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}}$
5. Update weights, $\boldsymbol{W} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{W} - \eta \frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$
6. Return weights



Fast to compute and a much better estimate of the true gradient!

MIT 6.S191

Mini-batches while training

More accurate estimation of gradient

Smoother convergence

Allows for larger learning rates

MIT 6.S191

Mini-batches while training

More accurate estimation of gradient

Smoother convergence

Allows for larger learning rates

Mini-batches lead to fast training!

Can parallelize computation + achieve significant speed increases on GPU's

MIT 6.S191

Neural Networks in Practice: Overfitting

MIT 6.S191

The Problem of Overfitting



Underfitting
Model does not have capacity to fully learn the data

← **Ideal fit** →

Overfitting
Too complex, extra parameters, does not generalize well

MIT 6.S191

Regularization

What is it?

Technique that constrains our optimization problem to discourage complex models

MIT 6.S191

Regularization

What is it?

Technique that constrains our optimization problem to discourage complex models

Why do we need it?

Improve generalization of our model on unseen data

MIT 6.S191

Regularization 1: Dropout

- During training, randomly set some activations to 0



MIT 6.S191

Regularization 1: Dropout

- During training, randomly set some activations to 0
  - Typically 'drop' 50% of activations in layer
  - Forces network to not rely on any 1 node

```
tf.keras.layers.Dropout(p=0.5)
```



Regularization 1: Dropout

- During training, randomly set some activations to 0
  - Typically 'drop' 50% of activations in layer
  - Forces network to not rely on any 1 node

```
tf.keras.layers.Dropout(p=0.5)
```



Regularization 2: Early Stopping

- Stop training before we have a chance to overfit

MIT 6.S191

Regularization 2: Early Stopping

- Stop training before we have a chance to overfit

MIT 6.S191

Regularization 2: Early Stopping

- Stop training before we have a chance to overfit



MIT 6.S191

Regularization 2: Early Stopping

- Stop training before we have a chance to overfit



MIT 6.S191

Regularization 2: Early Stopping

- Stop training before we have a chance to overfit



MIT 6.S191

Regularization 2: Early Stopping

- Stop training before we have a chance to overfit



MIT 6.S191

Regularization 2: Early Stopping

- Stop training before we have a chance to overfit

MIT 6.S191

Regularization 2: Early Stopping

- Stop training before we have a chance to overfit

MIT 6.S191

Core Foundation Review

The Perceptron

- Structural building blocks
- Nonlinear activation functions



Neural Networks

- Stacking Perceptrons to form neural networks
- Optimization through backpropagation

Training in Practice

- Adaptive learning
- Batching
- Regularization



MIT 6.S191