1. Overview

The *Python* code described in this document interfaces with NREL's supercritical carbon dioxide (sCO₂) power cycle design [1], cost [2], and steady state off-design performance models [3]. The sCO₂ model provides heat to the cycle through a counter-flow primary heat exchanger employing a sensible heat heat-transfer fluid (HTF), rejects heat with an air-cooler, and contains simple, recompression, and partial cooling cycle configurations. The recompression cycle off-design model is more thoroughly tested than the simple and partial cooling configurations. The sCO₂ performance code is part of NREL's SAM Simulation Core (SSC) that contains the technology models for SAM. This document describes i) high-level modeling assumptions, model parameters, and functions and ii) provides examples for common cycle design (Section 2) and off-design (Section 3) analyses.

1.1. System Requirements

These *Python* files were written for *Python 3.7.0*, require several add-on *Python* packages, and are not backwards compatible with older Python installations. Presently, this code only works on Windows, because of how it interfaces with the compiled SSC code.

1.2. Getting Started

Download or clone NREL's System Advisor Model (SAM) [4] repository from GitHub (https://github.com/NREL/SAM). The code described in this document is in the subfolder samples/CSP/sco2 analysis python V2.

The *core* folder contains *Python* methods that support configurating a simulation, handling output data, and plotting. This folder also must contain a copy of *ssc.dll* to access the performance code in SSC.

The *examples* folder contains *examples_main.py*, described in Section 2, and *off_design_examples.py*, described in Section 3. You should be able to run both files without modifying them, and they should create output files and plots in the *examples* folder.

2. Design Point Modeling

The design point model uses a fixed design-point isentropic efficiency to define turbomachinery performance, approach temperatures to specify the compressor inlet temperature (versus the design-point ambient temperature) and turbine inlet temperature (versus the design-point HTF hot temperature), and either minimum temperature difference, effectiveness or conductance to specify characterize counterflow recuperator performance. The following subsections explain different options to define and optimize remaining cycle parameters like pressures, split fraction, and recuperator conductance allocation. Neises and Turchi [1] present a cycle design optimization study that provides an example of how this model can be used for technoeconomic analyses.

2.1. Design Parameters

The function **get_sco2_design_parameters()** returns a Python *dictionary* containing default values for all cycle model parameters¹. Many of the parameters define component design-point performance and are well described by the comments in the function. The following parameters require a more detailed explanation.

Cycle Configuration

The design parameter **cycle_config** defines whether the cycle is a recompression cycle (1) or partial cooling cycle (2). The code can model the simple cycle when **cycle_config** represents the recompression cycle and the design parameter **is recomp ok** is set to 0 (see below).

Recuperator design performance

The design model uses the design parameter **design_method** to provide several different options to define the recuperator performance. The default value of **design_method** is **2**, and in this case the model uses the total recuperator conductance set in design parameter **UA_recup_tot_des** and optimizes how much is allocated to each the low temperature and high temperature recuperator. If **design_method** is **3**, then the model uses heat exchanger design parameters to set the performance of each recuperator. For example, the design parameter **LTR_design_code** lets the user specify either the LTR conductance (1), minimum temperature difference (2) or the design effectiveness (3). If **design_method** is **1**, then the model finds the total recuperator conductance required to achieve the cycle efficiency set in design parameter **eta_thermal_des**. The optimizer will determine how much of the total conductance to allocate to each the low temperature and high temperature recuperator.

Free parameters

- **is_recomp_ok** defines whether the recompressor fraction is optimized (=1), set to zero to model a simple cycle (=0), or fixed (<0, where the model sets the recompression fraction to the absolute value of **is recomp ok**).
- is_P_high_fixed defines whether the main compressor outlet pressure is optimized (=0) or fixed (=1, where the model sets the main compressor outlet pressure to the design parameter P_high_limit). We have found that for typical values for the upper pressure limit (~20-35 MPa), the model finds the optimal design pressure at the upper pressure limit. In cases where the optimizer chooses a lower pressure as the optimal value, usually the efficiency improvement is negligible while the power density significantly decreases.
- **is_PR_fixed** defines whether the low pressure compressor (main compressor in the recompression cycle and precompressor in the partial cooling cycle) inlet pressure is optimized (=0), set to a fixed pressure ratio versus the main compressor outlet pressure (>0 where the pressure ratio is the input value), or fixed (<0, where the model sets the inlet pressure to the absolute value of **is_PR_fixed**).
- **is_IP_fixed** defines for the partial cooling cycle whether the pre-compressor outlet is optimized (=0), set to a fixed pressure ratio versus the main compressor outlet pressure (>0 where the pressure ratio is the input value), or fixed (<0, where the model sets the inlet pressure to the absolute value of **is_IP_fixed**).

¹ These parameters do not define any specific commercial or proposed system but rather a set of reasonable target values based on the literature. Our intent is to have a default model and simulation results to which we can compare when modifying the underlying performance code.

2.2. Examples in design point examples.py

Cycle design simulation with default parameters

The simplest analysis is to solve the cycle design for pre-defined "default" design parameters. First, create an instance of the C_sco2_sim class. Next, pass a copy of the design parameters from get_sco2_design_parameters using the class member overwrite_default_design_parameters. Then, run the design simulation through the class member solve_sco2_case(). Simulation results are stored as a dictionary in the class member m_solve_dict, and member m_solve_success reports whether the performance code solved without throwing an exception. View these values by printing them. Finally, use the method save_m_solve_dict to save results to a file. C_sco2_sim initializes with instructions to only save the results in json format, but you can also save in csv format by changing member variable m_also_save_csv to True.

Plotting a cycle design

First, create an instance of the C_sco2_TS_PH_plot(result_dict) class and use a saved design solution dictionary as the argument. To automatically save the plot when you create it, set the class member is_save_plot to True and assign a file name to class member file_name. Use class method plot_new_figure() to create the plot. You can use classes C_sco2_cycle_TS_plot and C_sco2_cycle_PH_plot to create individual TS and PH plots, respectively. If you change design parameters significantly from the default values, you may have to adjust the axis limits or annotation formatting.

Modifying the cycle design parameters to fully constrain the cycle design

After you create an instance of the **C_sco2_sim** class, you can overwrite its baseline design parameters with a *dictionary* of parameters you want to change. Design parameters that are not included in your *dictionary* keep their previously defined default values. For example, you may want to fix the pressures, recompression fraction, and recuperator parameters that are typically set by the optimizer to values from previous simulations or another reference. Use a partial dictionary to fix the recompression fraction, fix the pressure ratio, change the design method, and design recuperators to hit a target effectiveness. Next, use the **C_sco2_sim** method **overwrite_des_par_base(dictionary_new_parameters)** to modify the baseline design parameters. Then, you can run the simulation and view, save, and plot your results. Note that rerunning **solve_sco2_case()** will overwrite calculated class member like **m_solve_dict**.

Comparing two cycle designs

You can compare two cycle designs by processing the data in the saved *json* or *csv* files. You also can create a plot that overlays both cycles on TS and PH diagrams. Create an instance of the C_sco2_TS_PH_overlay_plot(result_dict1, result_dict2) class and use the saved design solution *dictionaries* as the arguments. Set the class member is_save_plot to *True* to save the plot. This class names the plot file based on the values of important cycle design parameters. Use class method plot_new_figure() to create the plot. You may need to adjust the axis limits or annotation formatting.

Running a parametric study

The C_sco2_sim class method solve_sco2_parametric(list_of_partial_dictionaries) runs a parametric using the modified cases in the input argument that is a *list* where each element is a *dictionary* that modifies some default design parameters. *Dictionary* elements in the *list* do not need to change the same parameters or even the same number of parameters, although organizing the parametrics this way may make the results

easier to understand. The parametric simulation results are stored in the class member **m_par_solve_dict**. Each item in **m_par_solve_dict** dictionary is a list with a length equal to the number of parametric runs. Each element in the list corresponds to the item variable type, so variables that are themselves lists (e.g. 'P_state_points') are lists of lists. Use the method **save_m_par_solve_dict** to save results to a file. To save in csv format change member variable **m_also_save_csv** to True. Each column in the csv represents a single simulation in the parametric study.

Plotting a 1D parametric study

You can plot 1D parametric studies using the class C des stacked outputs plot(list of parametric dictionaries). The input argument requires the parametric solution dictionary is in list format, because this class can overlay multiple parameteric solutions. This class uses variable label and unit conventions defined get des od labels unit info calc metrics() in sco2 cycle ssc.py. Note that the class member x var uses "recup tot UA" to define the total recuperator conductance, which is different than the string used to set the corresponding design parameter ("UA recup tot des"). The class member y var sets the dependent variables, and each will have its own subplot. The class member max rows determines how the subplots are configured on the figure. There are several other class members in sco2 plot.py that allow you to adjust formatting. Set is save to *True* and specify file name to save the plot, and use create plot() to generate the plot.

3. Off-Design Performance Modeling

The off-design model explores how a fixed cycle design responds to changes to external inputs (HTF inlet temperature, HTF mass flow, and ambient temperature). The model assumes that the low-pressure compressor inlet pressure is adjustable. (We implicitly assume this pressure is adjusted via inventory control but do not model the process.) The model also assumes that air-cooler fan speed is adjustable to control the main compressor inlet temperature in the recompression cycle and both the main compressor and precompressor inlet temperatures in the partial-cooling cycle. The cycle off-design model has routines to maximize net cycle power output while constraining the high-side pressure and air-cooler fan power to their respective design values and fixing the cycle HTF outlet temperature to its design value. Alternatively, the user can choose to set these values and solve for cycle performance. The off-design model can also optionally optimize compressor shaft speeds or let the user set the off-design shaft speed. Neises 2020 [3] describes the recompression cycle off-design methodology in detail, discuses off-design performance versus ambient temperature and HTF mass flow rate, and explores the influence of shaft speed control. The partial cooling off-design model uses the same component models and follows the same off-design approach, but it presently is not documented or thoroughly tested.

3.1. Code Organization

An off-design simulation necessarily requires a design point, so the code enables the user for a given cycle design to simulate multiple off-design scenarios in a single call to the sCO₂ performance code via the solve_sco2_case() method. Simulation outputs are stored in C_sco2_sim class member m_solve_dict. Off-design outputs that are a single value for each off-design simulation (e.g. net thermal efficiency) are reported as an array with a length equal to the number of off-design simulations. Similarly, off-design outputs that are an array value for each off-design simulation are reported as a matrix with the number of rows equal to

the number of off-design simulations. The following subsections describe different ways to call the off-design model and refer to examples in *off_design_examples.py*.

3.2. Simulation Speed Dependence on Convergence Tolerance Influence

The cycle off-design solution shown in Figure 14 in Neises 2020 [3] uses a relative tolerance to converge. The results in the paper were generated using a default value of 0.001. However, off-design simulations using this value for convergence tolerance can be prohibitively slow, especially when the model is optimizing shaft speeds. The input parameter **od_rel_tol** sets the tolerance as $10^{-od_rel_tol}$. That is, an input value of 3 corresponds to the default tolerance of 0.001. Decreasing this value to around 2.5 enables faster simulations at the expense of numerical accuracy. We recommend plotting simulation results (in all cases, but especially when using a tolerance greater than 0.001) to check for aberrations. We also recommend checking solutions of interest by re-running them with the default tolerance (using the optimized control values from the faster, higher tolerance solution as inputs in **od_set_control** as described in Subsection 3.4).

3.3. Off-Design Solution w/ Optimized Control

The **od_cases** input is a matrix composed of arrays of off-design inputs. For each array, the model maximizes net cycle power output while constraining the high-side pressure and air-cooler fan power to their respective design values and fixing the cycle HTF outlet temperature to its design value. Each array also includes an input to specify whether the model should optimize individual shaft speeds (note that at the default convergence tolerance, a single off-design solution optimizing shaft speeds can take over an hour). An array must be defined by index as follows:

- 0) HTF inlet temperature in degrees Celsius
- 1) Normalized HTF mass flow (i.e. the design HTF mass flow rate = 1.0)
- 2) Ambient air temperature in degrees Celsius
- 3) Recompressor shaft speed
 - =1: fix at design
 - =0: optimize
 - <0: fix to normalized (against design) value equal to the absolute value of the input
- 4) Main compressor shaft speed
 - =1: fix at design
 - =0: optimize
 - <0: fix to normalized (against design) value equal to the absolute value of the input
- 5) Pre-compressor shaft speed
 - =1: fix at design
 - =0: optimize
 - <0: fix to normalized (against design) value equal to the absolute value of the input

Example 1 in off_design_examples.py demonstrates how to call this off-design solution method and process the results.

3.4. Off-Design Solution w/ User-Specified Control Parameters

The **od_set_control** input is a matrix composed of arrays of off-design inputs. For each array, the model finds the off-design solution by applying the controls from the input array. An array must be defined by index as follows:

- 0) HTF inlet temperature in degrees Celsius
- 1) Normalized HTF mass flow (i.e. the design HTF mass flow rate = 1.0)
- 2) Ambient air temperature in degrees Celsius
- 3) Low-pressure compressor inlet pressure in MPa
- 4) Main-compressor inlet temperature in degrees Celsius
- 5) Pre-compressor inlet temperature in degrees Celsius
- 6) Recompressor shaft speed
 - =1: fix at design
 - <0: fix to normalized (against design) value equal to the absolute value of the input
- 7) Main compressor shaft speed
 - =1: fix at design
 - <0: fix to normalized (against design) value equal to the absolute value of the input
- 8) Pre-compressor shaft speed
 - =1: fix at design
 - <0: fix to normalized (against design) value equal to the absolute value of the input

Because this solution method is relying on inputs for the low-pressure compressor inlet pressure and temperature, it is possible that the inputs will result in at least one of the following outcomes:

- A compressor operates in surge. This occurs when the output mc_phi_od is less than mc_phi_surge or the output rc_phi_od is less than rc_phi_surge. In surge conditions, the model uses the fabricated compressor data described in Section 3 of Neises 2020 [3] to converge the cycle solution, but the solution is considered infeasible.
- The main compressor outlet pressure (P mc out od) exceeds its design value (P comp out).
- Air-cooler fan power (mc_cooler_W_dot_fan_od, pc_cooler_W_dot_fan_od) exceeds its design value (mc_cooler_W_dot_fan, pc_cooler_W_dot_fan).
- The HTF cold temperature ($T_htf_cold_od$) differs from its design value ($T_htf_cold_des$). This outcome does not necessarily imply infeasibility, but it likely corresponds to a different control strategy than used in **od_set_control** and presented in Neises 2020 [3].

Example 2 in off_design_examples.py demonstrates how to call this off-design solution method and process the results.

4. References

- [1] T. Neises and C. Turchi, "Supercritical carbon dioxide power cycle design and configuration optimization to minimize levelized cost of energy of molten salt power towers operating at 650°C," *Sol. Energy*, vol. 181, pp. 27–36, 2019.
- [2] M. D. Carlson, B. M. Middleton, and C. K. Ho, "Techno-Economic Comparison of Solar-Driven sCO2 Brayton Cycles Using Component Cost Models Baselined with Vendor Data and Estimates," in *Proceedings of the ASME 2017 11th International Conference on Energy Sustainability*, 2017, pp. 1–7.

- [3] T. Neises, "Steady-State Off-Design Modeling of the Supercritical Carbon Dioxide Recompression Cycle for Concentrating Solar Power Applications with Two-Tank Sensible-Heat Storage," to appear in *Sol. Energy*, 2020.
- [4] National Renewable Energy Laboratory, "System Advisor Model Version 2020.02.29," 2020. [Online]. Available: https://sam.nrel.gov/download.