

POLS 5377 Scope & Method of Political Science

Week 13 Hypothesis Testing IV

## Chi Square

Healey. (2016) *Statistics: A Tool for Social Research*, Chapter 11

2

## Key Questions:

- \* What is a cross-tabulation table?
- \* What is the logic of chi square?
- \* How to conduct a chi square hypothesis test, and interpret the results?
- \* How to conduct chi square tests and interpret the results within SPSS?
- \* What are the limitations of using chi square tests?

# Outline

- \* Bivariate (Cross tabulation) Tables
- \* Logic of Chi Square
- \* The Computation of Chi Square
- \* The Chi Square Test within SPSS
- \* Limitation of the Chi Square Test

## Bivariate Table

- \* Bivariate table = Cross tabulation table = Contingency table
  - \* display the scores of cases on two different variables at the same time
  - \* A researcher is studying membership in voluntary associations and hypothesizes that unmarried people will be more involved because they have fewer family obligations and more free time.

**Rates of Participation in Voluntary Associations by Marital Status for 100 Senior Citizens** ← **Title**

	<b>Column 1</b>	<b>Column 2</b>	
	Marital Status		
Participation Rates	Married	Unmarried	(TOTALS)
Row 1 → High			50
Row 2 → Low			50
(TOTALS)	50	50	100

Variable and its categories

5

## Bivariate Table

Title			
Rows ( <b>Dependent Variable</b> )	Columns ( <b>I</b> ndependent Variable)		Total
Row 1	cell a	cell b	Row <b>Marginal 1</b>
Row 2	cell c	cell d	Row <b>Marginal 2</b>
Total	Column <b>Marginal 1</b>	Column <b>Marginal 2</b>	N

6

## Bivariate Table

- \* There are two dimensions: rows and columns
  - \* Columns are scores of the *independent* variable
    - \* There will be as many columns as there are categories on the independent variable
  - \* Rows are scores on the *dependent* variable
    - \* There will be as many rows as there are categories on the dependent variable
  - \* There will be as many cells as there are categories on the two variables combined
  - \* Each cell reports the number of times each combination of categories occurred

## Logic of Chi Square ( $\chi^2$ )

- \* Chi Square as a test of statistical significance is a test for **independence**
  - \* Independence: *Two variables are independent if the classification of a case into a particular category of one variable has no effect on the probability that the case will fall into any particular category of the second variable*” (p. 274 in 9<sup>th</sup> edition; p. 279 in 10<sup>th</sup> edition)
- \* The chi square test is a procedure for evaluating the level of statistical significance of a relationship between *two variables* in a bivariate table or contingency table (also called crosstabs).
- \* The chi square procedure assumes that there is **no relationship** between two variables in the population (null hypothesis).

## Logic of Chi Square ( $\chi^2$ )

- \* We are looking for significant differences between the actual cell frequencies observed in a table ( $f_o$ ) and those that would be expected by random chance or if cell frequencies were independent ( $f_e$ )

$$\chi^2(\text{obtained}) = \sum \frac{(f_o - f_e)^2}{f_e}$$

- \*  $f_o$ : the cell frequencies observed in the bivariate table
- \*  $f_e$ : the cell frequencies that would be expected if the variables were independent

$$f_e = \frac{\text{Row marginal} \times \text{Column marginal}}{N}$$

## Logic of Chi Square ( $\chi^2$ )

### \* Example

- \* Is there a relationship between the **accreditation status** of social work programs and the **employment status** of their students?
- \* 100 students were selected and asked 1) if they graduate from a accredited / non-accredited program? 2) if they are working as a social worker?

**Employment of 100 Social Work Majors by Accreditation Status of Undergraduate Program**

Dependent variable ↓ Employment Status	Independent variable → Accreditation Status		TOTALS
	Accredited	Not Accredited	
Working as a social worker	30	10	40
Not working as a social worker	25	35	60
TOTALS	55	45	100

## Logic of Chi Square ( $\chi^2$ )

- \* Expected frequency ( $f_e$ ) for the top-left cell

$$f_e = \frac{\text{Row marginal} \times \text{Column marginal}}{N} = \frac{40 \times 55}{100} = 22$$

**Expected Frequencies for Table 11.3**

Employment Status	Accreditation Status		TOTALS
	Accredited	Not Accredited	
Working as a social worker	22	18	40
Not working as a social worker	33	27	60
TOTALS	55	45	100

## Logic of Chi Square ( $\chi^2$ )

- \* Compute obtained  $\chi^2$

$$\chi^2(\text{obtained}) = \sum \frac{(f_o - f_e)^2}{f_e}$$

Computational Table for Table 11.3

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
$f_o$	$f_e$	$f_o - f_e$	$(f_o - f_e)^2$	$(f_o - f_e)^2 / f_e$
30	22	8	64	2.91
10	18	-8	64	3.56
25	33	-8	64	1.94
35	27	8	64	2.37
100	100	0		10.78

Obtained  $\chi^2$

## Five-Step Model - Chi Square

### Step 1: Make Assumptions and Meet Test Requirements

- \* Independent random samples
- \* Level of Measurement is nominal
  - \* Accredited program / Non-accredited program
  - \* Working as a social worker / not working as a social worker

(Note: In chi square hypothesis test, we don't need to assume the normal distribution of the population or sampling distribution)

## Five-Step Model - Chi Square

### Step 2: State the Null Hypothesis & Alternative Hypothesis

- \*  $H_0: f_o = f_e$  The accreditation status of program and the student employment status are **independent**
  - \* Null hypothesis always asserts the variables are independent
- \*  $H_1: f_o \neq f_e$  The accreditation status of program and the student employment status are **dependent**
  - \* Alternative hypothesis always contradicts to null hypothesis, and asserts the variables are dependent

## Five-Step Model - Chi Square

### Step 3: Select the Sampling Distribution and Establish the Critical Region

- \* Sampling Distribution = Chi Square distribution (Appendix C)
- \* Alpha = 0.05
- \* Degree of freedom (df) = (Number of rows - 1)\* (Number of columns-1) =  $(2-1)*(2-1)=1$
- \*  $\chi^2(\text{critical}) = 3.841$ 
  - \* The score marks the beginning of the critical region

df	0.99	...	0.05	...
1	...	...	<b>3.841</b>	...
2	...	...	5.991	...
3	...	...	7.815	...

## Five-Step Model - Chi Square

### Step 4: Calculate the Test Statistic

- \* Calculate  $\chi^2(\text{obtained})$  with the formula:

$$\chi^2(\text{obtained}) = \sum \frac{(f_o - f_e)^2}{f_e}$$

- \* In the example of program accreditation status and student employment status,  $\chi^2(\text{obtained}) = 10.78$

## Five-Step Model - Chi Square

### Step 5: Make a Decision and Interpret the Results of the Test

- \*  $\chi^2(\text{critical}) = 3.841$
- \*  $\chi^2(\text{obtained}) = 10.78$
- \* The obtained  $\chi^2$  score falls in the critical region, so **reject**  $H_0$ 
  - \* There is a significant relationship between the two variables.
- \* Report results:
  - \* At the significance level of 0.05, the obtained  $\chi^2$  score falls in the critical region, so we **reject**  $H_0$ . The data suggests there is a significant relationship between employment status and accreditation status in the population from which the sample was drawn.



## Interpreting Chi Square

- \* Need to interpret chi square test results with the following understanding:
  - \* The chi square test tells us ONLY if the variables are independent or not
  - \* It does not tell us the pattern or nature of the relationship
  - \* To investigate the pattern, we need to compute the percentages within each column and compare across the columns

## Interpreting Chi Square

- \* Column percentage make the relationship between the two variables more obvious:
  - \* The students from accredited programs are more likely to be working as social workers.
  - \* 55% of the students from accredited programs working as social works versus only 22% of the students from non-accredited programs working as social workers
  - \* According to the test results, we know this relationship is significant. It doesn't occur by chance.

Employment status	Accreditation Status		
	Accredited	Not accredited	
Working as a social worker	30 (54.55%) → $(30/55) * 100\%$	10 (22.22%) → $(10/45) * 100\%$	40
Not working as a social worker	25 (45.55%) → $(25/55) * 100\%$	35 (77.78%) → $(35/45) * 100\%$	60
	55 (100%)	45 (100%)	100

## Chi Square with SPSS

- \* In practice, we usually don't calculate a chi square by hand, and we rely on statistical software such as SPSS.
- \* Now I will show you how to conduct a chi square test with SPSS and interpret the results
- \* We want to examine the relationships between the **education level** and individuals' **tolerance toward Muslim clergy** in U.S. society.
- \* Conduct "Crosstabs" procedure in SPSS (pg. 301 @ 10<sup>th</sup> ed. OR pg. 298 @ 9<sup>th</sup> ed.)
  - \* Dataset: GSS2012\_Student\_B
  - \* [Analyze] → [Descriptive Statistics] → [Crosstabs]
  - \* Place dependent variables in the **Row** box
  - \* Place independent variables in the **Column** box
  - \* Click the **Statistics** button, select **Chi-square**
  - \* Click the **Cells** button, select **column** in the **Percentage** box
  - \* Proceed the analysis

## Chi Square with SPSS

- \* Dependent variable: Tolerance toward Muslim clergy (muslim\_tol)
- \* Independent variable: Education (educ\_2)

### Step 1: Meet requirements

- \* Independent random sample
- \* Level of measurement: nominal

### Step 2: State Null hypothesis and alternative hypothesis

- \*  $H_0: f_o = f_e$  The tolerance toward Muslim clergy and the education level are **independent**
- \*  $H_1: f_o \neq f_e$  The tolerance toward Muslim clergy and the education level are **dependent**

### Step 3: Critical Region

- \*  $\alpha=0.05$

## Chi Square with SPSS

Tolerance toward Muslim clergy \* Education: 2 Cats Crosstabulation

			Education: 2 Cats		
			0-12 yrs	13+ yrs	Total
Tolerance toward Muslim clergy	Low	Count	230	173	403
		% within Education: 2 Cats	57.2%	32.3%	43.0%
	Middle	Count	97	173	270
		% within Education: 2 Cats	24.1%	32.3%	28.8%
	High	Count	75	190	265
		% within Education: 2 Cats	18.7%	35.4%	28.3%
Total	Count	402	536	938	
	% within Education: 2 Cats	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

- \* Degree of freedom (df) = (R-1)\*(C-1)=(3-1)\*(2-1)=2
- \*  $\chi^2(\text{obtained}) = 61.472$
- \* Test result: significance value = .000 <  $\alpha=0.05$
- \* Reject  $H_0$
- \* There is a significant relationship between the two variables.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	61.472 <sup>a</sup>	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	62.169	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	57.867	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	938		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 113.57.

## Chi Square with SPSS

- \* Interpret the result:
  - \* At the significance level of 0.05, the test of chi square is significant, so we **reject**  $H_0$ . The data suggests there is a significant relationship between tolerance toward Muslim clergy and education level in the U.S society.
  - \* According to the column percentages, the citizens with lower education level are more likely to have a low tolerance toward Muslim clergy.
  - \* 57.2% of the individuals who received 0 to 12 years education have low tolerance toward Muslim clergy, versus 32.3% of those received 13 years or more education.

## Limitation of Chi Square

- \* Difficult to interpret when variables have many categories
  - \* Best when variables have four or fewer categories
- \* With small sample size, cannot assume that Chi Square sampling distribution will be accurate
  - \* Small sample: High percentage of cells have expected frequencies of 5 or less
- \* Like all tests of hypotheses, Chi Square is sensitive to sample size
  - \* As N increases, obtained Chi Square increases
  - \* With large samples, trivial relationships may be significant

## After this lecture:

You should learn the following key concepts:

- \* How to construct and interpret a crosstab table
- \* The logic of chi square test
- \* The condition in which conducting a chi square test is appropriate
- \* How to compute and test chi square manually and with SPSS
- \* How to interpret the chi square test results
- \* Limitation of chi square test