Ryan Yang Section 1 A 404 904 494

tw #7

8.2) 1) sample. 2) population

8.14) a) sample = 145 SUV = 0.29 × 100 = 29%.

Ho @ =0.33 Ha 0 LO.33

b) The test statistic 2 is -1.9022)

1) Sampling is Random

- 2) 0.3 x 2100 = 630; thus there are at least to stopents on both success 3 failure Since 630 3 (2100-630) are burn greater than or equal to 10
- 3) The population size in silicon valley is 3 million people which is 10 times the sample size,
- 4) The observations are independent

8,28) 450 2002 0.9 01 90%, 0.88 x 500 = 440

 $= \frac{.02}{0.0145} = 4.37$ 

p value null hypothesis = 0.88

Since the wording is changed, this means that the alternative hypothesis can be greater than or less than the null hypothesis, meaning it should be graph A

- 8.34)
  - a) It can represent a p value of a one hold alternature hypothesis is since its shaded to the left of the vertical line, this is a left tailed one sided alternative hypothesis.
  - b) It can represent a p value of a one sided altonomie hypothesis is ince the area is shaded to the right of the vertical line, this is a right bailed one-sided hypothesis
- 8,36)
  - a) plated Hull 3 Alberta hypothesis

    Ho = 0.5

    Ha > 0.5
  - 2) Check conditions

    Random sumpling, more than 10 success 3 fails, observations are independent \$

    1000.5 1000.5

    Sample size is at least 10 times smaller than population,
  - 3) calculate =  $\frac{1241}{2001} = 0.62$  |  $\frac{0.62 0.5}{10.73 = 2}$

p score based off z-table is less than 0.0002

Since p score c 0.05, we reject to null hypothesis that half
the people favor the death penelty

b) Since we reject the null hypothesis since p score < 0.05,
we reject the null hypothesis & thus, a bun on death penalty
would not pussion as the attendance hypothesis suspenses more than 50%
of the population supports the death penalty,

8,38)

P- Swee board on 2 table o 0,0164

Since 10164 or p-since 2 0.05, we reject null hypothesis

b) Correct interpretation is ii

8,44) Ho = 0,5 He \$ 0,5

A is the p-value corresponding to 16 tends while B is the p-value arresponding 18 heads as a higher p-value acloser to 1 is one that is closer to the null hypothesis. Since 16 heads is closer to the Will hypothesis of 15 heads, Bis the p-value corresponding to 16 heads.

8. 50) Ho = 0.5

The sample size isn't sufficently large for born success 3 fails. 3 5 × 0.5 4 10 For born fails \$ success SX0.5 € 10

- 8,56) No, we can only suy that we don't reject the null hypothesis, Also, the hypothesis test only assessed, whether we can reject a null hypothesis
- 8.62) The larger sample size will decrease still error 3 this increase 2-sunsho, which in win decruses the p-value. This, to get a smaller p-size, y w red a larger sample size

$$8.68)$$
  $\frac{55}{230}$  = 0.239 => Conselvy  $\frac{42}{174}$  = 0.241 => probabon

$$\frac{\hat{\rho}_{1} - \hat{\rho}_{2}}{\sqrt{\hat{\rho}(1-\hat{\rho}_{1})(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n_{2}})}} = \frac{0.239 - 0.241}{\sqrt{0.24(0.76)(\frac{1}{230} + \frac{1}{174})}} = -0.046$$

Based off &- table, p- value is 0.4840 Which is greater than 0.05 mening we cannot conclude that courseling luneral the arrest rate.

8,72)

- a) Two proportion Z- toot. Men leaving Mitwakee with cuts is population 1 } human reasing Mil marker with cuts is population 2
- b) A ore proportion &- test is appropriate with graduates from oregon university school of Law are ansidered as the population.
- 8.86) It is not appropriate to do a 2 proportion 2-test since we don't know the sample size. As of whenty, we only have the population The data represents the population