

Yiqiao Jin
UID: 305107551
Section 1C

8.2

Measurements are made on a sample, and generalization are made to a population

8.14

$H_0: p=0.33$; 33% of cars sold are SUVs;

$H_a: p<0.33$; fewer than 33% of cars sold are SUVs

For the sample: $145/500 = 0.29$

$Z=-1.9022$

8.28

A, since a change in proportion should be reflected as variations on both sides of the graph.

8.34

In figure A, the shaded area could be a p-value because it includes tail areas only. This is a left-tailed one-sided alternative hypothesis

It represents p-value for a one-sided H_a

In figure B, the shaded area could be a p-value because it includes tail areas only. This is a right-tailed one-sided alternative hypothesis

It represents p-value for a one-sided H_a

8.36

Step1:

$H_0: p=0.5$

$H_a: p>0.5$

Step 2:

We use a one-proportion z-test and random sample with independent measurement.

We have a large sample size: $n \cdot p_0 \approx 1001 > 10$, $n \cdot (1-p_0) \approx 1000 > 10$

The population needs to be greater than 10 times the sample size: satisfied
significance level=0.05

Step 3:

Sample proportion $\hat{p} = 0.62$

$SE = \sqrt{(p_0 \cdot (1-p_0)/n)} = 0.0111$

z-score = $(0.62-0.5)/0.0111=10.736$

Based on the z-table, the p-value is less than 0.0002, which is less than 0.05

Since $p < 0.05$, we can reject H_0 that

8.38

Step1:

H0: $p=0.5$; Ha: $p \neq 0.5$, where p is the population proportion of plane crashes due in part to pilot error.

Step2: We adopt a one proportion z-test

$np=0.5 \cdot 100=50 > 10$; $n(1-p)=0.5 \cdot 100=50 > 10$; so we have large samples.

population size is 10 times greater than sample size, so we have a large population

Step3:

$$SE = \sqrt{(0.5 \cdot 0.5 / 100)} = 0.05$$

$$Z = (0.62 - 0.5) / 0.05 = 2.4, \text{ p-value} = 0.0082 \cdot 2 = 0.0164 < 0.05$$

Step4:

Therefore, we can reject H0 and choose ii

8.44

H0: $p=0.5$ and Ha: $p \neq 0.5$

A is the p-value corresponding to 16 heads while B corresponds to 18 heads. A p-value closer to 1 means H0 is more likely to be valid, which is represented by greater tail area as represented in A. In this case $p=0.5$ yields 15 heads, which is closer to 16.

8.50

The sample size is 5, and $np=n(1-p)=2.5$ which is smaller than 10

Since we don't have a large enough sample size, her approach is invalid.

8.56

We cannot "accept" null hypothesis because we are never 100% sure that the null hypothesis is true. We don't know whether H0 is true or not. We can just find evidence to reject it.

8.62

A larger sample size will decrease standard error, thus increasing the corresponding z value. As z-value increases, p decreases. To get a small p-value, you need a larger sample size.

8.68

$$55/230=0.239$$

$$42/174=0.241$$

Since $0.239 < 0.241$, it is necessary to do a hypothesis test.

$$p = (55 + 42) / 404 = 0.24$$

H0: $p_1 = p_2$

Ha: $p_1 < p_2$

$$SE = \sqrt{(p^*(1-p)(1/n_1 + 1/n_2))} = 0.043$$

$$Z = (\text{estimate} - \text{null value}) / SE = (0.241 - 0.239) / 0.043 = -0.0483$$

Based on the z table, p-value is $1 - 0.5199 \approx 0.48$ for the two sides altogether, which is

much greater than 0.05. So we fail to reject H_a and cannot conclude that counseling lowered the arrest rate.

8.72

A. Two-proportion z-test

One population is all men going to the supermarket; the other population is all women going to the supermarket

B. One proportion z-test

The population is all people taking the Oregon bar exam

8.86

It would not be appropriate to do such a test, because the data were the entire population of employed men and women. These are not samples, so inference is not reasonable.