

Government of Canada

### Gouvernement du Canada

<u>Canada.ca</u> ➤ <u>Immigration and citizenship</u> ➤ <u>Corporate information</u> ➤ <u>Reports and statistics</u>

> Audits

### Internal Audit of Federal Government Consulting Contracts Awarded to McKinsey & Company

Internal Audit & Accountability Branch

Immigration, Refugees, and Citizenship Canada

March 2023

### **Table of Contents**

- I. Conformance with professional standards
- II. Background
- III. Audit objectives and scope
- IV. Findings and recommendations
- V. Appendix A: Audit criteria
- VI. Appendix B: Breakdown of findings

# Conformance with professional standards

This internal audit was conducted in conformance with the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing.

### Saarangi Balasingam CPA, CIA

a/Chief Audit Executive
Internal Audit and Accountability
Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada

### **Background**

Procurement in the Government of Canada (GC) is subject to the <u>Directive</u> on the <u>Management of Procurement</u> (and the now rescinded Contracting Policy prior to May 13, 2022) <sup>1</sup>, which has as its objective to ensure that procurement of goods, services and construction obtains the necessary assets and services that support the delivery of programs and services to Canadians, while ensuring best value to the Crown. As a result, among others, procurements are expected to enable operational outcomes, to be subject to effective governance and oversight mechanisms, to be fair, open, and transparent, and to meet public expectations in matters of prudence and probity.

The Prime Minister tasked Minister Fortier, as President of the Treasury Board (TB), along with Minister Jaczek, Minister of Public Services and Procurement, to undertake a review of contracts awarded to McKinsey & Company (McKinsey). On February 8, 2023, the Office of the Comptroller General (OCG) requested from government organizations, by February 15, 2023, a list of all contracts with McKinsey dating back to January 1, 2011, as well as related information on these. For those organizations that have

been the technical authority and/or entered into any such contracts as the contracting authority, the OCG has directed the Chief Audit Executives (CAEs) of these organizations to conduct a formal independent internal audit of the related procurement processes, with results to be reported to the OCG by March 22, 2023.

### Audit objectives and scope

The objectives of the audit were to determine the following for all scoped-in contracts with McKinsey:

- 1. The integrity of the procurement process was maintained consistent with adhering to the <u>Values and Ethics Code for the Public Sector</u> and the <u>Directive on Conflict of Interest;</u>
- 2. The procurements were conducted in a fair, open and transparent manner consistent with the Treasury Board (TB) Policy that was in place at the time (Contracting Policy or the Directive on the Management of Procurement); and
- 3. The procurements were conducted in a manner consistent with the organization's internal processes and control frameworks (i.e., consistent with procurement management frameworks, financial controls, security controls).

The scope of the audit focused on the examination of the procurement practices for all competitive and non-competitive contracts  $^2$  with McKinsey that were awarded (i.e., signed) by the organization between January 1, 2011, and February 7, 2023  $^3$ . More specifically, the audit included an assessment of the following contracts:

	Contract #1	Contract #2
Title	Service Transformation Strategy and Roadmap	Transformation Office Support Services
Objective(s)	To develop a service transformation strategy; future-oriented operating model; service transformation roadmap; and recommendations regarding how IRCC should organize to deliver the transformation.	To provide expertise in designing and executing a Service Transformation Management Office for IRCC. The Office of Service Transformation Management required expert advice and services to enable the Department to manage and execute its Service Transformation Strategy, maximize the potential benefits of the transformational initiatives and provide ongoing guidance towards the evolution of the transformation roadmap, implementation approach and plan.
Contracting Authority	Immigration, Refugees, and Citizenship Canada (IRCC)	Public Services and Procurement Canada (PSPC)
Total Dollar Value	\$2,890,822 (original dollar value: \$1,593,300)	\$24,848,700 (original dollar value: \$16,373,700)

	Contract #1	Contract #2
Procurement Type	Competitive	Competitive
Contract Period	August 8, 2018 – August 7, 2019	June 26, 2019 – December 31, 2021

#### Contract #1

#### **Amendments**

Amendment #1 (December 5, 2018): Increased contract dollar value by \$339,000 to accommodate additional stakeholder engagements, briefings and further analysis on transformation strategy and roadmap.

Amendment #2 (February 12, 2018): Increased contract dollar value by \$958,522.50 to provide additional briefings, refined prioritization of road map initiatives, consultation and liaison with internal and external stakeholders, develop clear and pointed measurable objectives, priorities and targets for the transformation strategy and roadmap, develop a detailed transformation execution model for the transformation strategy and roadmap.

#### Contract #2

Amendment #1 (May 8, 2020): **No additional funds allocated**. Reprofiled basis of payment and updated security requirement checklist and changed contract end date to March 31, 2021.

Amendment #2 (November 10, 2020): **No additional funds allocated**. Included ability to update security clauses to access resource located in the US.

Amendment #3 (March 5, 2021): Increased contract dollar value by \$8,475,000 and extended contract to December 31, 2021. Due to Covid19, IRCC required the continuation of the contractor's expert advice and services to expedite the build of departmental capabilities to sustain, scale and accelerate IRCC's digital transformation.

### The audit did not assess:

- Contracts with any entity other than McKinsey.
- Contracts awarded (and signed) outside of the audit period.
- Compliance with any other policy instrument, laws and/or regulations not specifically mentioned in this audit report.
- Purchase Order that was created for an ADM to attend a summit hosted by McKinsey. Since the value of the purchase order was \$950 and followed a different process, it is considered to be low-risk.

### **Approach**

The OCG provided all departments with an audit plan and audit work program to ensure consistency of coverage across the GC. While the OCG developed the objectives, scope, audit criteria, and audit work program for use by implicated departments, audit findings and recommendations were developed independently by IRCC's internal audit function. The approach followed by IRCC was in alignment with the approach described in the OCG audit plan and audit work program. To ensure the integrity and objectivity of the audit work, this audit was conducted only by public servant internal auditors subject to the Global Internal Auditing Code of Ethics of the Institute of Internal Auditors.

### **Findings and recommendations**

Findings for objective 1: integrity of the procurement process

### **Conclusion**

For both contracts, the audit team found no evidence of compromise or inconsistency with:

- 1. the integrity of the procurement process by public servants and public office holders,
- 2. the Values and Ethics Code for the Public Sector, and
- 3. the Directive of Conflict of Interest.

Further, the audit team found no former public servants were involved with either contract.

# Findings for objective 2: fairness, openness, and transparency, in line with applicable policy

### **Conclusion**

Caveat: For Contract #2, PSPC was the Contracting Authority and was responsible for auditing the activities that fall under the responsibility of PSPC as the contracting authority.

For both contracts, services by McKinsey were only performed once the original contracts and amendments were approved by the delegated authority. Contract amendments were justified and approved before contract expiry date. As the contract terms and conditions allowed McKinsey to provide written or verbal updates, management demonstrated that progress meetings were held with McKinsey and updates were provided to management's satisfaction. Once the agreed upon services were provided by McKinsey, payment was approved by the delegated authority. Both contracts, including amendments, met minimum proactive disclosure requirements. For both contracts, the solicitations followed a

competitive process and were published on PSPCs CanadaBuys website. Also, there was a Q&A document which included the questions from prequalified suppliers.

Based on the information provided by PSPC, security requirements in the solicitation complied with the provisions of the Policy on Government Security. The consultants bid under each contract had valid security clearances in place at the time of each contract award, as required.

# Findings for objective 3: adherence to departmental processes and control frameworks

### **Conclusion**

The Financial Operations and Procurement Branch (FOPB) at IRCC is currently working on a formal Policy Procurement framework. A draft of the framework was reviewed by the audit team to identify any internal controls that are relevant to this audit that are not covered by the audit criteria in the first two audit objectives of this report. Only two of the key controls identified in the framework have not been covered in the other sections of this report and they are:

- The Procurement and Contracting Oversight Committee approves
  high risk procurements. Since both contracts were deemed as low
  risk, there was no requirement for the Committee to review the
  contracts.
- 2. The Procurement and Contracting Services branch conducts random file reviews for quality assurance. Contract #1 was selected for this process. No areas of concern were noted.

### **Approval by Deputy Head**

The results of this audit were presented to management of IRCC. The audit report was reviewed and recommended for Deputy Head approval by IRCC's Departmental Audit Committee.

If issues or recommendations are found following the results of the external reviews by the Office of the Procurement Ombudsman and/or the Auditor General, IRCC will develop a management action plan accordingly to address these.

The Deputy Head of IRCC approves this report.

DM e-approved March 21st, 2023

Christiane Fox

**Deputy Minister** 

### Appendix A: Audit criteria

<b>Audit Objectives</b>	Criteria	Criteria Sources
1. The integrity of the procurement process was maintained and consistent with adhering to the Values and Ethics Code for the Public Sector and the Directive on Conflict of Interest	1. Public servants and Public Office Holders ensure that the integrity of the procurement process is maintained and consistent with the Values and Ethics Code for the Public Sector and the Directive on Conflict of Interest.	Conflict of Interest Act- Part I  Directive on Conflict of Interest - 4.2.16, 4.17.3  Values and Ethics Code for the Public Sector - Integrity section (3)  Contracting Policy (before May 13, 2022) - 4.2.12, 10.8, 11.1.1, 12.4  Directive on the Management of Procurement 4.2.2, 4.3.2

<b>Audit Objectives</b>	Criteria	Criteria Sources
	2. Contracting with Former Public Servants and Former Public Office Holders is performed with integrity in accordance with the Directive on Conflict of Interest, Conflict of Interest Act and procurement policy instruments.	Conflict of Interest Act – Part I, Part III (35, 36)  Directive on Conflict of Interest - 4.2.16  Values and Ethics Code for the Public Sector – Integrity section  Contracting Policy (before May 13, 2022) – 4.1.9, 4.2.20, Appendix C, schedule 5  Directive on the Management of Procurement (after May 13, 2022) 4.5.5, 4.6.4, 4.10.1.7

### **Audit Objectives**

2. The procurements were conducted in a fair, open and transparent manner consistent with the TB Policy that was in place at the time (Contracting Policy or the Directive on the Management of Procurement)

### **Criteria**

1. Procurement: non-competitive - There is documentation to support the justification for non-competitive procurement contracts in accordance with section 6 of the Government Contract Regulations.

#### **Criteria Sources**

Contracting Policy (before May 13, 2022) – Sections 10.2.1, 10.2.6, 10.5, 10.7.30, and Appendix C

Directive on the

Management of

Procurement (after
May 13, 2022) – 4.3.1,
4.3.2, 4.3.5 (4.1.1

procurement
framework should
include detailed
requirements)

Contracting Policy
Notice 2007-4 - NonCompetitive
Contracting

Government Contract
Regulations (PDF, 173
KB) [Current to
January 25, 2023] –
Section 6

<b>Audit Objectives</b>	Criteria	Criteria Sources
	2. Procurement: Competitive - Bid evaluation criteria were provided on Request for Proposal (RFP) documents and were used for contractor selection in an open, fair and transparent manner.	Contracting Policy (before May 13, 2022) Sections 4.1.2; 4.1.4, 4.1.9; 16.1.2; 10.5; 10.7; 10.8; 11.1 and 11.3, Appendix J  Directive on the Management of Procurement (after May 13, 2022) – 4.1.1, 4.3.1, 4.3.5 (4.1.1 procurement framework (PDF, 492 KB) should include detailed requirements)

<b>Audit Objectives</b>	Criteria	Criteria Sources
	3. Contract Management - Contracts and contract amendments were approved prior to the receipt of any services or the expiration of the original contract and supporting documentation is retained on file. Documented monitoring and certification of the delivery of the services was implemented.	Contracting Policy (before May 13, 2022) – Sections 4.2.10; 11.2; 11.3; 12.3; 12.4.1; 12.9, Appendix H 2.6  Directive on the Management of Procurement (after May 13, 2022) – 4.3.1, 4.3.5 (procurement framework (PDF, 492 KB) should include detailed requirements on contract management), 4.10.6  Policy on Government Security Appendix A A.6

<b>Audit Objectives</b>	Criteria	Criteria Sources
	<b>4.</b> Certification Authority (section 34) - Certification authority is performed by someone with the delegated authority to do so, is accomplished in a timely manner and verifies the correctness of the payment requested (Section 34 of the <u>FAA</u> ).	Directive on Delegation of Spending and Financial Authorities [2017-04-01] - Sections 4.1.11, A.2.2.1.1 to A.2.2.1.3, A.2.2.1.7 to A.2.2.1.9.  Financial Administration Act [2018-03-18 current to] - Section 34

<b>Audit Objectives</b>	Criteria	Criteria Sources
	5. Proactive Disclosure - Contracts, including amendments, valued at over \$10,000 meet minimum proactive disclosure requirements.	Contracting Policy (before May 13, 2022) - Section 5.1.6  Directive on the Management of Procurement (after May 13, 2022) - Appendix C  Guidelines on the Proactive Disclosure of Contracts- Canada.ca Section 4.1 (amended April 1, 2022).  Proactive Disclosure on Contracts, Guidelines on [previous version] - Section 4.1  Access to Information Act (86-1)

Audit Objectives	Criteria	Criteria Sources
3. The procurements were conducted in a manner consistent with the organization's internal processes and control frameworks (i.e., consistent with procurement management frameworks, financial controls, security controls)	1. Procurements are conducted in a manner consistent with your departmental internal processes and control frameworks.	Contracting Policy (before May 13, 2022)  Directive on the Management of Procurement (after May 13, 2022)

Note: On April 11, 2019, the contracting limits for organizations and PSPC were updated to reflect a 25% increase to account for inflation (see Appendix C of the <u>Contracting Policy</u>).

### Appendix B: Breakdown of findings

Audit criteria	Audit assessment (Compliant, Partially Compliant, Not Compliant, Unable to assess, Not applicable)	Rationale for assessment
----------------	--	--------------------------

**Audit objective 1:** The integrity of the procurement process was maintained and consistent with adhering to the <u>Values and Ethics Code</u> for the <u>Public Sector</u> and the <u>Directive on Conflict of Interest</u>

1. Public servants and Public Office Holders ensure that the integrity of the procurement process is maintained and consistent with the Values and Ethics Code for the Public Sector and the Directive on Conflict of Interest.

## Contract 1: Compliant

#### Contract 1:

- The audit team found no evidence of compromise or inconsistency with:
  - the integrity of the procurement process by public office holders,
  - the Values and Ethics
     Code for the Public
     Sector, and
  - the Directive of Conflict of Interest.

# Contract 2: Compliant

### Contract 2:

- Minister and their staff respected the Conflict of Interest Act or the Values and Ethics Code
- As per PSPC, it was observed by internal audit that public servants involved in the contracting process adhered to the Values and Ethics Code for the Public Sector and the Directive on Conflict of Interest.

2. Contracting with Former Public Servants and Former Public Office Holders is performed with integrity in accordance with the <u>Directive on Conflict of Interest, Conflict of Interest Act</u> and procurement policy instruments.

### Contract 1: Compliant

### Contract 1:

 No former public servants were involved with the contract.

## Contract 2: Compliant

### Contract 2:

 As per PSPC, it was observed by internal audit that contracting involving Former Public Servant services are justified, documented, and approved as required. PSPC also observed that contracts with Former Public Office Holders are justified, documented and respect the rules for postemployment as laid out in Part 3 of the Conflict-of-Interest Act, including the cool-off period.

**Audit objective 2:** The procurements were conducted in a fair, open and transparent manner consistent with the TB Policy that was in place at the time (<u>Contracting Policy</u> or the <u>Directive on the Management of Procurement</u>)

<b>1.</b> Procurement: noncompetitive - There is documentation to support the justification for noncompetitive	Contract 1: Not applicable	Contract 1: This contract was a competitive contract.
procurement contracts in accordance with section 6 of the Government Contract Regulations.	Contract 2: Not applicable	Contract 2: This contract was a competitive contract.

2. Procurement:
Competitive - Bid
evaluation criteria
were provided on
Request for Proposal
(RFP) documents and
were used for
contractor selection in
an open, fair and
transparent manner.

# Contract 1: Compliant

#### Contract 1:

- The bid selection method and evaluation criteria were clearly outlined in the bid solicitation document.
- The bid selection method and evaluation criteria were outlined in the bid solicitation document prior to the issuance of the RFP.
- A valid section 32 is on file and approved by the delegated authority for the original contract and amendments.
- IRCC Contracting Officer reviewed the SOW and evaluation criteria.
- Based on the number of deliverables and its complexity, it is concluded that the duration of the contract (including amendments) was reasonable.
- Bids were evaluated in accordance with the terms and conditions of the solicitation.
- The contract was awarded to the top ranked firm in accordance with the evaluation methodology.

- Evaluation records are documented and maintained.
- The process followed aligns with the specific requirements prescribed in the supply arrangement.

# Contract 2: Compliant

#### Contract 2:

- The bid selection method and evaluation criteria were clearly outlined in the bid solicitation document.
- The bid selection method and evaluation criteria were outlined in the bid solicitation document prior to the issuance of the RFP.
- Section 32 is on file and approved by the delegated authority for the original contract and amendments.
- Contracting Authority reviewed the SOW and evaluation criteria.
- Based on the number of deliverables and its complexity, it is concluded that the duration of the contract (including amendments) was reasonable.

3. Contract Management -Contracts and contract amendments were approved prior to the receipt of any services or the expiration of the original contract and supporting documentation is retained on file. Documented monitoring and certification of the delivery of the services was implemented.

# Contract 1: Compliant

#### Contract 1

- Original contracts and amendments were approved prior to receipt of services and the expiration of the original contract.
- Contracts and amendments were signed by the approved delegated authority.
- Contract amendments are justified and approved before the contract expiry date.
- Contract terms and conditions allowed for verbal or written updates.
   Management has shared that verbal updates were provided to their satisfaction.

## Contract 2: Compliant

#### Contract 2

- Original contracts and amendments were approved prior to receipt of services and the expiration of the original contract.
- Contract amendments are justified and approved before the contract expiry date.
- Contract terms and conditions allowed for verbal or written updates.
   Management has shared that verbal updates were provided to their satisfaction. Management confirmed that all deliverables were provided and that they met the requirements.

<b>4.</b> Certification Authority (section 34) - Certification authority is performed by someone with the delegated authority to do so, is accomplished in a timely manner and verifies the correctness of the payment requested (Section 34 of the <u>FAA</u> ).	Contract 1: Compliant	<ul> <li>Section 34 certification was performed by the appropriate delegated authority.</li> </ul>
	Contract 2: Compliant	<ul> <li>Section 34 certification was performed by the appropriate delegated authority.</li> </ul>
<b>5.</b> Proactive Disclosure - Contracts, including amendments, valued at over \$10,000 meet minimum proactive disclosure	Contract 1: Compliant	<ul> <li>Contract 1</li> <li>Contracts, including amendments, meet minimum proactive disclosure requirements.</li> </ul>
requirements.	Contract 2: Compliant	<ul> <li>Contract 2</li> <li>Contracts, including amendments, meet minimum proactive disclosure requirements.</li> </ul>

**Audit objective 3:** The procurements were conducted in a manner consistent with the organization's internal processes and control frameworks (i.e., consistent with procurement management frameworks, financial controls, security controls)

**1.** Procurements are conducted in a manner consistent with departmental internal processes and control frameworks.

### Contract 1: Compliant

# Contract 2: Compliant

### Contract 1 & 2

Financial Operations and Procurement Branch at IRCC is currently working on a formal Policy Procurement Framework.

For the purposes of this audit, the audit team assessed both contracts against two key internal controls related to procurement:

- 1. A Procurement and
  Contracting Oversight
  Committee approves high
  risk procurements Since
  both contracts were
  deemed as low risk, there
  was no requirement for the
  Committee to review the
  contracts.
- 2. The Procurement and
  Contracting Management
  Division conducts random
  file reviews for quality
  assurance Contract 1 was
  selected for this process.
  No areas of concern were
  noted.

### **Footnotes**

- On April 11, 2019, the contracting limits for organizations and PSPC were updated to reflect a 25% increase to account for inflation (Appendix C in the Contracting Policy). Also, note that the Directive on the Management of Procurement came into effect May 13, 2021 and that the Contracting Policy was fully rescinded May 13, 2022.
- Per the <u>Policy on the Planning and Management of Investments</u>, a contract is defined as "A binding agreement entered into by a contracting authority and a contractor to procure a good, service or construction."
- <u>3</u> See Appendix A for criteria and criteria sources.

#### **Date modified:**

2023-03-30