

Hexapod Robot

Aidan Barber, Aidan Thomas Vine, Harnoordeep Grewal and Julius Atherton
Faculty of Engineering and Applied Science,
OntarioTech University, Oshawa, Ontario, Canada

Aidan.barber@ontariotechu.net, Aidan.vine@ontariotechu.net, Harnoordeep.grewal@ontariotechu.net, Juilius.atherton@ontariotechu.net

Abstract—This report presents the design, modelling, control, simulation and analysis of an electromechanical system known as a the hexapod using matlab simulink and solid works software. A modeling procedure is described together with analytical formulas to justify our design specifications for the design specifications of the hexapod robot. Tables containing these specifications can also be found within this report. The results are based on the analysis of the simulation values obtained in matlab. Experimental results are provided for the a servo motor powered hexapod while analytical results are presented from the various force and torque calculations performed.

Index Terms—Hexapod, Servo, Torque

I. INTRODUCTION

Group 17 was given the task of designing, modeling, simulating and analysing an electromechanical system that includes different aspects of actuators and power electronics. Our group chose the hexapod robot as our choice for the electromechanical system. A hexapod is a robot with parallel kinematic positioning systems consisting of six independent actuator controlled struts or simply put a mechanical vehicle which walks on six legs. Hexapods offer several advantages over other types of multi-legged walking robots such as being able to maintain statically stable while in motion. A robot is considered to be statically stable when on three or more legs and due to the hexapods legs operating independently of each other it can still operate even when some of its legs become disabled. This combined with the fact that the hexapod acts on a single motion platform helps to eliminate the accumulation of guiding errors and increases precision. Furthermore it means that the hexapod can use its additional legs to gain new foot placements or control a payload. Hexapods are the fastest moving robot with the optimum number of legs for movements as adding more legs does not increase speed. The Hexapod will use a servo motor as its actuating device in order to move the legs. A servo motor is a rotary actuator that allows for precise control of angular position, consisting of a motor coupled to a sensor for feedback, the feedback system increases accuracy and allows the motor to precisely control the rotary motion. The servo motor was selected instead of a stepper because of it's high rate of efficiency, power and torque compared to the stepper, additionally the stepper motor produces high amount of heat when in operation which can lead to issues with the circuitry in the long run. Hexapods are useful for a variety of tasks particularly ones that can be dangerous for humans such as space exploration, undersea cable construction and rescue missions to name just few.

II. DESIGN SPECIFICATION

A. Problem Description

- 1) Design of a hexapod robot
- 2) Design must be statically stable
- 3) Must maintain dynamic stability when carrying a specified load
- 4) Modelling and simulation of hexapod robot in matlab.
- 5) Analysis of finished design.

B. Design Requirements

In this subsection, break the problem description down into the fundamental requirements. Your proposed design should meet each of the criteria you create.

- Robot is a hexapod therefore it should move on six legs
- In order to maintain static stability the robot should be capable of maintaining motion even when up to 3 of its legs have been disabled.
- Robot will use a servo motor as its actuating device.
- Servo motor will meet the following specifications:

TABLE I
SERVO MOTOR SPECIFICATIONS

| | |
|----------------------|---------------------|
| Frame Size | 22.2 x 11.8 x 31 mm |
| Modulation | Analog |
| Torque | 1.5 kg/cm |
| Stall Torque | 1.2 kg/cm |
| Mass | 9g |
| Speed | 0.12 s/60 deg |
| Operational voltage | 4-7.2 V |
| Rotational Range | 180 deg |
| Motor Type | 3-pole |
| Driver Input Voltage | DC |
| Pulse Cycle | 20 ms |
| Pulse Width | 500-2400 micro sec |
| Temperature Range | 0-55 deg Celsius |
| Internal Resistance | 0.31 Ohm |
| Motor Inductance | 0.516 mH |

III. MODELING AND SIMULATION

A. Design Decisions

1) *Motor Choice:* A servo motor was chosen as it allows closed loop control over the the motor allowing for very precise control with any unexpected movements being able to be accounted for. The servo is also faster, and provides more torque per size than a stepper motor does. The benefit of a

stepper motor over a servo is that it does not have a limited range of movement like a servo does, however this is not a problem for us as we only need a maximum of 90 degrees of rotation from our motor leaving the servo motor as the clear choice.

2) *Motors Per Leg*: Three motors were chosen per leg as it allows better actuation of the leg than two. By doing this we allow the leg to essentially grab the ground and provide more grip when reaching for new locations

B. Solid works Model

The results of these calculations along with the information from the design specifications were used to create the solid works model.




Fig. 1. Hexapod Solidworks Model

The figure above depicts a hexapod developed with the core concepts above in mind. This hexapod robot is developed using PVC plastic. Using this mechanical model three core concepts were pulled for future use within the simulink model. The first is the structural safety factor determined from placing the mass of a third of the robot's weight (due to 3 legs up, 3 legs down) as a pressure upon a given test leg. The following table I lists the design specifications of the solid works model

TABLE II
HEXAPOD DIMENSIONS

| | |
|-------------------------|----------|
| Foot Mass | 20.18 g |
| Foot Length (L1) | 65.5 mm |
| Knee Mass (W1) | 57.54 g |
| Knee Length (L2) | 2 mm |
| Thigh Mass (W3) | 57.54 g |
| Arduino Mass (Warduino) | 47 g |
| Half Body Length (L3) | 79.72 mm |
| Full Body Mass (W4) | 598.06 g |

Creating a model in solid works allowed us to perform simulations to determine values that would normally be difficult to calculate by hand for such an oddly shaped device. Using the solid works simulation we were able to observe the stress-strain relationship of our mechanical design.




Fig. 2. Stress-Strain of Mechanical Design

From the figure above it is determined that the model is structurally sound and thus is within the clear to perform test upon. Next we move onto understanding the specifications of each link and how they interact with each other. The solids works tool was used to determine the weights and lengths of each link; these values were later recorded for future use. As well the solids work model was then used to determine the range of motion the motors would be able to achieve. While the inertias could be hand solved using the formula in the matrix.

$$I_c = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{12}M_c(3R^2 + H^2) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{12}M_cR^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{12}M_c(3R^2 + H^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

It was determined that a solid works model could be used to simplify inertias within the 3 sets of components.

In order to understand the kinematic characteristics of a hexapod robot with arbitrary values imputed into link weights and link lengths we normally would use the Denavit-Hartenberg algorithm, applying it to a leg of the hexapod robot. Through the assumption that the model involved is a symmetrical structure composed of six identical legs, having three degrees of freedom in each leg we can thus assume due to symmetry that we only need to work on a single leg with a degree of freedom of 3 rather than the complete model with a degree of freedom of 18.

C. Diagrams

Before calculations for the final design could take place several assumptions regarding the forces and torques of the hexapod had to be taken into consideration. These can be

seen in figures 3 and 4 along with the hexapod diagrams and variables needed for the final design.




Fig. 3. Hexapod diagram with assumptions made

D. Equations

The following assumption was made regarding the horizontal force on the hexapod using equation [1]

$$F_1 = 2F_2 \quad (1)$$

The motor inertia was found using the formula [2]

$$J_{motor} = \frac{J_{load}}{n^2} \quad (2)$$

The first set of equations focused on the motor inertia as well as the torque value of the model at critical positions along the frame. The horizontal motor was found using the formula below [3]

$$\Sigma T_5 = T_1 - F_1(L_1 \cos \theta_1 + L_2 \cos \theta_2) - L_3 W_x + 2F_2(2L_3 + L_1 \cos \theta_1 + L_2 \cos \theta_2) \quad (3)$$

Another critical factor the torque on the upper leg was also calculated using the below listed formula [4]

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma T_{hip} = & T_2 - N_1(L_1 \cos \theta_1 + L_2 \cos \theta_2) \\ & + W_1(L_2 \cos \theta_2) - W_4 L_3 - 2W_2 L_3 - 2W_3 L_3 \\ & - 2W_1(2L_3 + L_2 \cos \theta_2) + 2N_2(2L_3 + L_2 \cos \theta_2 + L_1 \cos \theta_1) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$




Fig. 4. Diagram for horizontal motor torque of a hexapod.

The reaction force on one side of the hexapod for a single leg was represented as the torque for the foot of the leg and was calculated using the equation [5]

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma T_{LeftFoot} = & -W_1 L_1 \cos \theta_1 - W_2(L_1 \cos \theta_1 + L_2 \cos \theta_2) \\ & - W_3(L_1 \cos \theta_1 + L_2 \cos \theta_2) - W_4((L_1 \cos \theta_1 + L_2 \cos \theta_2 + L_3) \\ & - 2W_3(2L_3 + L_1 \cos \theta_1 + L_2 \cos \theta_2) \\ & - 2W_2(L_1 \cos \theta_1 + L_2 \cos \theta_2 + 2L_3) \\ & - 2W_1(L_1 \cos \theta_1 + 2L_2 \cos \theta_2 + 2L_3) \\ & + 2N_2(2L_1 \cos \theta_1 + 2L_2 \cos \theta_2 + 2L_3) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The remaining reaction forces were calculated using the equation [6]

$$N_1 + 2N_2 = W_4 + 6(W_1 + W_2 + W_3) \quad (6)$$

The hexapods weight could be calculated as the summation of its component weights as can be seen in equation[7]

$$W_4 = W_{Frame} + W_{Battery} + W_{Elect} \quad (7)$$

The hexapods incline control was determined using the following equation [8]

$$W_x = W \sin \beta \quad (8)$$

E. Electromechanical Model in Simulink

Once the necessary calculations an physical modelling had been complete the project continued with the design of the Electromechanical model using simulink simulation software.

Before the models construction, research had first been conducted on various servo motors and their performance as well as subsequent electro mechanical models. Figures 5 and 6 represent the load effects on the system .




Fig. 5. Model of DC motor with external load coupled by gears

Once the necessary research had been conducted the electromechanical model was designed as can be seen in figure 7




Fig. 6. Model showing load effect on motor




Fig. 7. Electromechanical Model of servo motor

The model of the servo motor in figure 7 uses a PID controller to measure the difference in desired and current position adjusting the voltage output accordingly. It should be noted that the servo motor would also include a potentiometer represented by a block relating voltage to angular position and a pulse with signal, however it was assumed that the pulse would be generated by the micro controller and their by making creation of the pulse with and by extension the potentiometer relating to it not necessary. During testing the PID controller and gearbox were the only variable tuned as all other variables had been calculated from data sheet and experimental with reference 9g servo model. Both the Kp and gear box were increment together as the kp decreased response time contracting the gearboxes effect on load torque, a Kd value was included to minimize overshoot. Due to the high kp value it was decided to add a saturation block to represent the motoring reaching its max input voltage saturation to allow the model to remain plausible. Though simulation results shown in figure 8 and figure 9 it was concluded that the created motor was able to proved a response time of 0.177 seconds for a 60 degree change and over multiple changes in position was able to average a overshoot of 3 percent each time.




Fig. 8. Electromechanical Model Simulation Results




Fig. 9. Electromechanical Model Simulation Results

F. References

The value outlines how to properly include citations in your report. The sources used are only used in an example context. Please number citations consecutively within brackets [1]. The sentence punctuation follows the bracket [2]. Refer simply to the reference number, as in [3]—do not use “Ref. [3]” or “reference [3]” except at the beginning of a sentence: “Reference [3] was the first . . .”

Number footnotes separately in superscripts. Place the actual footnote at the bottom of the column in which it was cited. Do not put footnotes in the abstract or reference list. Use letters for table footnotes.

Unless there are six authors or more give all authors’ names; do not use “et al.”. Papers that have not been published, even if they have been submitted for publication, should be cited as “unpublished” [4]. Papers that have been accepted for publication should be cited as “in press” [4]. Capitalize only the first word in a paper title, except for proper nouns and element symbols.

For papers published in translation journals, please give the English citation first, followed by the original foreign-language citation [5].

IV. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

Upon the completion of this project an understanding of electromechanical system control specifically with hexapods was developed. This

REFERENCES

- [1] R. J. Halter, A. Schned, J. Heaney, A. Hartov, S. Schutz, and K. D. Paulsen, “Electrical impedance spectroscopy of benign and malignant prostatic tissues,” *The Journal of urology*, vol. 179, no. 4, pp. 1580–1586, 2008.
- [2] J. Jossinet, “The impedivity of freshly excised human breast tissue,” *Physiological measurement*, vol. 19, no. 1, p. 61, 1998.
- [3] K. S. Cole and R. H. Cole, “Dispersion and absorption in dielectrics i. alternating current characteristics,” *The Journal of chemical physics*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 341–351, 1941.
- [4] C. Gabriel, S. Gabriel, and y. E. Corthout, “The dielectric properties of biological tissues: I. literature survey,” *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, vol. 41, no. 11, p. 2231, 1996.
- [5] M. Khadem, C. Rossa, N. Usmani, R. S. Sloboda, and M. Tavakoli, “Geometric control of 3d needle steering in soft-tissue,” *Automatica*, vol. 101, pp. 36–43, 2019.