# Module Interface Specification for Software Engineering

Team 1, BANDwidth
Declan Young
Ben Dubois
Nathan Uy
Aidan Mariglia

 $March\ 18,\ 2025$ 

# 1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
January 17 2025	1.0	Initial design of the MIS

# 2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

See SRS Documentation at https://github.com/AidanMariglia/SOCAlgoTestPlatform/blob/main/docs/SRS/SRS.pdf

# Contents

1	Rev	vision 1	History		i						
2	Syn	symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms									
3	Intr	Introduction									
4	Not	ation			1						
5	Mo	dule D	Decomposition		2						
6	MIS	of Lo	$\operatorname{ogin}$		4						
	6.1		ıle		. 4						
	6.2	Uses			. 4						
	6.3	Syntax	NX		. 4						
		6.3.1	Exported Constants								
		6.3.2	Exported Access Programs								
	6.4	Semar	-								
	0.1	6.4.1	State Variables								
		6.4.2	Environment Variables								
		6.4.3	Assumptions								
		6.4.4	Access Routine Semantics								
		6.4.5	Local Functions								
7	MIS	S of Re	egistration		6						
	7.1		ıle								
	7.2										
	7.3		NX								
	1.0	7.3.1	Exported Constants								
		7.3.2	Exported Access Programs								
	7.4	Semar									
	1.1	7.4.1	State Variables								
		7.4.2	Environment Variables								
			Assumptions								
		7.4.3 $7.4.4$	Access Routine Semantics								
		7.4.4 $7.4.5$	Local Functions								
		1.4.0	Local Functions		. 1						
8		of In			8						
	8.1	Modu	ıle		. 8						
	8.2	Uses			. 8						
	8.3	Syntax	<b>n</b> x		. 8						
		8.3.1	Exported Constants		. 8						
		8.3.2	Exported Access Programs		. 8						

	8.4	Semantics .			 		 	 					8
		8.4.1 State	Variables		 		 	 					8
		8.4.2 Enviro	onment Variables .		 		 	 					8
		8.4.3 Assum	nptions		 		 	 					8
		8.4.4 Access	Routine Semantics		 		 	 					8
		8.4.5 Local	Functions		 		 	 					8
9	MIS	of Home											9
	9.1	Module			 		 	 					9
	9.2	Uses			 		 	 					9
	9.3	Syntax			 		 	 					9
		9.3.1 Expor	ted Constants		 		 	 					9
		9.3.2 Expor	ted Access Program	s.	 		 	 					9
	9.4	Semantics .			 		 	 					9
		9.4.1 State	Variables		 		 	 					9
		9.4.2 Enviro	onment Variables .		 		 	 					9
		9.4.3 Assum	nptions		 		 	 					9
		9.4.4 Access	Routine Semantics		 		 	 					9
		9.4.5 Local	Functions		 		 	 					9
10	MIS	of TestAlgo	orithm										10
					 		 	 					10
													10
													10
			ted Constants										10
		-	ted Access Program										10
	10.4												10
			Variables										10
			onment Variables .										10
			nptions										10
			Routine Semantics										10
			Functions										11
11	MIS	of Submit											12
					 		 	 					12
													12
													12
	11.0	•	ted Constants										12
			ted Access Program										12
	11 4	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										12
	11.1		Variables										12
			onment Variables										12
			iptions										12
		TITE TOSUII	1hmome		 	•	 	 	 •	 ٠	•	 •	14

	11.4.4 Access Routine Semantics	12 13
19 ЛЛТС	S of Submission	14
	Module	14
		$\frac{14}{14}$
	Uses	
12.5	Syntax	14
	12.3.1 Exported Constants	14
10.4	12.3.2 Exported Access Programs	14
12.4	Semantics	14
	12.4.1 State Variables	14
	12.4.2 Environment Variables	14
	12.4.3 Assumptions	14
	12.4.4 Access Routine Semantics	14
	12.4.5 Local Functions	15
13 MIS	S of Leaderboard	16
	Module	16
	Uses	16
	Syntax	16
10.0	13.3.1 Exported Constants	16
	13.3.2 Exported Access Programs	16
13 4	Semantics	16
10.1	13.4.1 State Variables	16
	13.4.2 Environment Variables	16
	13.4.3 Assumptions	16
	13.4.4 Access Routine Semantics	16
	13.4.5 Local Functions	17
	10.4.0 Local I uncolons	11
14 MIS	S of Results	18
14.1	Module	18
14.2	Uses	18
14.3	Syntax	18
	14.3.1 Exported Constants	18
	14.3.2 Exported Access Programs	18
14.4	Semantics	18
	14.4.1 State Variables	18
	14.4.2 Environment Variables	18
	14.4.3 Assumptions	18
	14.4.4 Access Routine Semantics	18
	14.4.5 Local Functions	10

<b>15</b>	MIS of AdminControlPanel	20
	15.1 Module	20
	15.2 Uses	20
	15.3 Syntax	20
	15.3.1 Exported Constants	20
	15.3.2 Exported Access Programs	20
	15.4 Semantics	20
	15.4.1 State Variables	20
	15.4.2 Environment Variables	20
	15.4.3 Assumptions	20
		20
	15.4.5 Local Functions	21
16	MIS of App	22
10	11	22
		22
		22
		22
	•	22
		22
		22 22
		22 22
		22 22
	1	22 22
		42 22
	10.4.9 Local Functions	
<b>17</b>	MIS of UserAuthentication Module	23
	17.1 Module	23
	17.2 Uses	23
	17.3 Syntax	23
	17.3.1 Exported Constants	23
	17.3.2 Exported Access Programs	23
		23
	17.4.1 State Variables	23
		23
	17.4.3 Assumptions	23
	1	24
		24
1 2	MIS of DatabaseManagement 2	25
10		25
		25 25
		20 )5

		18.3.1	Exported Constan	ts											25
		18.3.2	Exported Access F	rograms											25
	18.4	Semant	cics					 							25
			State Variables .												
		18.4.2	Environment Varia	ables											25
			Assumptions												
			Access Routine Se												
			Local Functions .												
<b>19</b>			bServer												27
	19.1	Module	2												
	19.3	Syntax													
		19.3.1	Exported Constan	ts											
			Exported Access F												
	19.4	Semant	ics												27
		19.4.1	State Variables .												27
		19.4.2	Environment Varia	ables											27
		19.4.3	Assumptions												27
		19.4.4	Access Routine Se	mantics											27
		19.4.5	Local Functions .												28
<b>2</b> 0	MIS	t of Mo	dolEvecution												20
<b>20</b>			delExecution												29
<b>20</b>	20.1	Module	2												29
<b>20</b>	20.1 20.2	Module Uses .	e												29 29
<b>20</b>	20.1 20.2	Module Uses . Syntax													29 29 29
20	20.1 20.2	Module Uses . Syntax 20.3.1	Exported Constan	ts	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		• • •	 •				  		 	29 29 29 29
20	20.1 20.2 20.3	Module Uses . Syntax 20.3.1 20.3.2	Exported Constan Exported Access F	ts		  		 	  	  	  	   	  	 	29 29 29 29 29
20	20.1 20.2 20.3	Module Uses . Syntax 20.3.1 20.3.2 Semant	Exported Constan Exported Access F	ts Programs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 •				 	  	   	29 29 29 29 29
20	20.1 20.2 20.3	Module Uses . Syntax 20.3.1 20.3.2 Semant 20.4.1	Exported Constan Exported Access F sics	ts rograms							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 	· · · · · · · ·	 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	29 29 29 29 29 29 29
20	20.1 20.2 20.3	Module Uses . Syntax 20.3.1 20.3.2 Semant 20.4.1 20.4.2	Exported Constan Exported Access F tics State Variables Environment Varia	ts Programs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						<ul><li>.</li><li>.</li><li>.</li><li>.</li><li>.</li><li>.</li><li>.</li><li>.</li><li>.</li><li>.</li></ul>	 	   	 	29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29
20	20.1 20.2 20.3	Module Uses . Syntax 20.3.1 20.3.2 Semant 20.4.1 20.4.2 20.4.3	Exported Constan Exported Access F sics State Variables Environment Varia Assumptions	ts	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 	   	 	29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29
20	20.1 20.2 20.3	Module Uses . Syntax 20.3.1 20.3.2 Semant 20.4.1 20.4.2 20.4.3 20.4.4	Exported Constan Exported Access Fics State Variables Environment Varia Assumptions Access Routine Se	ts Programs ables mantics					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 		 	29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 20
20	20.1 20.2 20.3	Module Uses . Syntax 20.3.1 20.3.2 Semant 20.4.1 20.4.2 20.4.3 20.4.4	Exported Constan Exported Access F sics State Variables Environment Varia Assumptions	ts Programs ables mantics					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 		 	29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29
	20.1 20.2 20.3 20.4	Module Uses . Syntax 20.3.1 20.3.2 Semant 20.4.1 20.4.2 20.4.3 20.4.4 20.4.5	Exported Constan Exported Access F sics State Variables Environment Varia Assumptions Access Routine Se Local Functions	ts Programs ables mantics					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 		 	29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29
	20.1 20.2 20.3 20.4 MIS	Module Uses . Syntax 20.3.1 20.3.2 Semant 20.4.1 20.4.2 20.4.3 20.4.4 20.4.5	Exported Constant Exported Access Foics State Variables Environment Variates Assumptions Access Routine Set Local Functions	ts Programs ables mantics											29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 30 <b>31</b>
	20.1 20.2 20.3 20.4 MIS 21.1	Module Uses . Syntax 20.3.1 20.3.2 Semant 20.4.1 20.4.2 20.4.3 20.4.4 20.4.5 <b>of Tes</b> Module	Exported Constan Exported Access F sics State Variables Environment Varia Assumptions Access Routine Se Local Functions	ts Programs  hbles mantics											29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 30 <b>31</b>
	20.1 20.2 20.3 20.4 MIS 21.1 21.2	Module Uses . Syntax 20.3.1 20.3.2 Semant 20.4.1 20.4.2 20.4.3 20.4.4 20.4.5 of Test Module Uses	Exported Constan Exported Access F sics State Variables Environment Varia Assumptions Access Routine Se Local Functions	ts Programs  hbles  mantics											29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 30 <b>31</b> 31
	20.1 20.2 20.3 20.4 MIS 21.1 21.2	Module Uses . Syntax 20.3.1 20.3.2 Semant 20.4.1 20.4.2 20.4.3 20.4.4 20.4.5 Gof Test Module Uses . Syntax	Exported Constant Exported Access Foics	ts Programs ables mantics											29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 30 <b>31</b> 31 31
	20.1 20.2 20.3 20.4 MIS 21.1 21.2	Module Uses Syntax 20.3.1 20.3.2 Semant 20.4.1 20.4.2 20.4.3 20.4.4 20.4.5 Gof Tes Module Uses Syntax 21.3.1	Exported Constan Exported Access F sics State Variables Environment Varia Assumptions Access Routine Se Local Functions  tData Exported Constan	ts Programs  ables  mantics											29 29 29 29 29 29 29 30 <b>31</b> 31 31 31
	20.1 20.2 20.3 20.4 MIS 21.1 21.2 21.3	Module Uses . Syntax 20.3.1 20.3.2 Semant 20.4.1 20.4.2 20.4.3 20.4.4 20.4.5 of Test Module Uses . Syntax 21.3.1 21.3.2	Exported Constant Exported Access Foics	ts Programs hables mantics ts Programs											29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 30 <b>31</b> 31 31

22 Appendix		33
21.4.5	Local Functions	31
21.4.4	Access Routine Semantics	31
21.4.3	Assumptions	31
21.4.2	Environment Variables	31

### 3 Introduction

The following document details the Module Interface Specifications for **SOCAlgoTestPlat-form**.

Battery state of charge (SOC) estimation is challenging, requiring specialized algorithms. Standardized testing is necessary to determine which of the hundreds of SOC estimation approaches proposed yearly are the best. This project will expand upon an existing, early stage online SOC estimation algorithm testing tool. The tool is Matlab based, receives submissions through a Google form, and tests algorithms in serial on a server at McMaster. This approach is not scalable though since testing each algorithm takes an hour or more and the software regularly crashes due to unhandled errors from the submitted algorithms. The project objectives are to create: (1) A cloud based software implementation which can test multiple algorithm submissions in parallel, (2) A secure algorithm submission portal which prevents malware attacks etc., (3) A web interface which reports and compares algorithm performance (see Kaggle as an example), (4) A robust version of the model testing software which can handle any error. These software improvements will allow the testing tool to be scaled up to having several hundred active users.

Complementary documents include the System Requirement Specifications and Module Guide. The full documentation and implementation can be found at <a href="https://github.com/AidanMariglia/SOCAlgoTestPlatform">https://github.com/AidanMariglia/SOCAlgoTestPlatform</a>.

### 4 Notation

The structure of the MIS for modules comes from Hoffman and Strooper (1995), with the addition that template modules have been adapted from Ghezzi et al. (2003). The mathematical notation comes from Chapter 3 of Hoffman and Strooper (1995). For instance, the symbol := is used for a multiple assignment statement and conditional rules follow the form  $(c_1 \Rightarrow r_1|c_2 \Rightarrow r_2|...|c_n \Rightarrow r_n)$ .

The following table summarizes the primitive data types used by Software Engineering.

Data Type	Notation	Description
character	char	a single symbol or digit
integer	$\mathbb{Z}$	a number without a fractional component in $(-\infty, \infty)$
natural number	N	a number without a fractional component in $[1, \infty)$
real	$\mathbb{R}$	any number in $(-\infty, \infty)$
dictionary	dict	a key value pair
query set	QuerySet	the set of items returned from a query to the database
account	Account	A user account containing id, username, email and organization string fields
model	Model	A model that is being submitted for testing
result	Result	The test results for a submitted algorithm
test data	TestData	Constant test data for the test suite to use
submission	Submission	A submitted algorithm and relevant metadata
user	user	A username and password pair
file	file	A file that could be .csv (comma separated values), .m (matlab file), or .png (portable network graphics)

The specification of Software Engineering uses some derived data types: sequences, strings, and tuples. Sequences are lists filled with elements of the same data type. Strings are sequences of characters. Tuples contain a list of values, potentially of different types. In addition, Software Engineering uses functions, which are defined by the data types of their inputs and outputs. Local functions are described by giving their type signature followed by their specification.

### 5 Module Decomposition

The following table is taken directly from the Module Guide document for this project.

Level 1	Level 2
Hardware-Hiding Module	M1
Behaviour-Hiding Module	Login Registration Intro Home Test Algorithm Submit Submission Leaderboard Results Admin Control Panel
Software Decision Module	App User Authentication Database Management Web Server Model Execution Test Data

Table 1: Module Hierarchy

# 6 MIS of Login

#### 6.1 Module

Login

#### 6.2 Uses

UserAuthentication (17)

### 6.3 Syntax

#### 6.3.1 Exported Constants

None

### 6.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	In	Out	Exceptions
display	-	-	-
handleLogin	username, pass	sword: -	-
	str		

#### 6.4 Semantics

#### 6.4.1 State Variables

None

#### 6.4.2 Environment Variables

screen: The screen that the view wll be displayed on

#### 6.4.3 Assumptions

The UserAuthentication module handles login validation correctly.

#### 6.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

display():

• transition: Update the *screen* to display an updated view of the login module

• output: None

• exception: None

 $handle Login (username,\ password):$ 

ullet transition: Update the *screen* to display Home page | Update the *screen* to display Login page with an error message.

• output: None

• exception: None

#### 6.4.5 Local Functions

# 7 MIS of Registration

#### 7.1 Module

Registration

#### 7.2 Uses

UserAuthentication (17)

### 7.3 Syntax

#### 7.3.1 Exported Constants

None

#### 7.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	In	Out	Exceptions
display	-	-	-
handleRegistration	email, username, password,	-	-
	$confirm_password: str$		

#### 7.4 Semantics

#### 7.4.1 State Variables

None

#### 7.4.2 Environment Variables

screen: The screen that the view wll be displayed on

#### 7.4.3 Assumptions

UserAuthentication contains the registration functionality

#### 7.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

display():

• transition: Update the *screen* to display an updated view of the registration module

• output: None

• exception: None

 $handle Registration (email, username, password, confirm\_password) :$ 

• transition: Update the screen to display Login page | Update the screen to display Registration page with an error message.

• output: None

• exception: None

#### 7.4.5 Local Functions

### 8 MIS of Intro

### 8.1 Module

Intro

#### 8.2 Uses

None

### 8.3 Syntax

#### 8.3.1 Exported Constants

None

#### 8.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	In	Out	Exceptions
display	-	-	-

#### 8.4 Semantics

#### 8.4.1 State Variables

None

#### 8.4.2 Environment Variables

screen: The screen that the view wll be displayed on

#### 8.4.3 Assumptions

None

#### 8.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

display():

• transition: Update the *screen* to display an updated view of the intro module

• output: None

• exception: None

#### 8.4.5 Local Functions

### 9 MIS of Home

### 9.1 Module

Home

#### 9.2 Uses

None

### 9.3 Syntax

#### 9.3.1 Exported Constants

None

#### 9.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	In	Out	Exceptions
display	-	-	-

#### 9.4 Semantics

#### 9.4.1 State Variables

None

#### 9.4.2 Environment Variables

screen: The screen that the view wll be displayed on

#### 9.4.3 Assumptions

The WebServer module handles the routing functionality.

#### 9.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

display():

• transition: Update the *screen* to display an updated view of the home module

• output: None

• exception: None

#### 9.4.5 Local Functions

# 10 MIS of TestAlgorithm

#### 10.1 Module

TestAlgorithm

#### 10.2 Uses

Test Data (21)

### 10.3 Syntax

#### 10.3.1 Exported Constants

None

#### 10.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	In		Out	Exceptions
executeTest	model:Model,	test-	result:Result	modelError
	Data:TestData			

#### 10.4 Semantics

#### 10.4.1 State Variables

None

#### 10.4.2 Environment Variables

screen: The screen that the view wll be displayed on

#### 10.4.3 Assumptions

The test data is provided by the TestData module.

#### 10.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

executeTest(model, testData):

- transition: None
- output: Result (after executing test algorithm against TestData)
- exception: modelError (If the test encounters an error during execution)

### 10.4.5 Local Functions

# 11 MIS of Submit

#### 11.1 Module

Submit

#### 11.2 Uses

DatabaseManagement (18)

### 11.3 Syntax

#### 11.3.1 Exported Constants

None

#### 11.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	In	Out	Exceptions
display	-	-	-
handleSu	bmi <del>t</del>	-	-

#### 11.4 Semantics

#### 11.4.1 State Variables

None

#### 11.4.2 Environment Variables

screen: The screen that the view wll be displayed on

#### 11.4.3 Assumptions

The WebServer module handles the routing functionality. The user must be logged in to submit a model.

#### 11.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

display():

• transition: Update the *screen* to display an updated view of the submit module

• output: None

• exception: None

### handleSubmit():

ullet transition: Update the screen to display Submission page with new submission | Update the screen to display Submit page with an error message

• output: None

• exception: None

#### 11.4.5 Local Functions

validateFile(file: file):

• transition: None

• output: None

• exception: InvalidFileFormat | FileTooLarge Error

## 12 MIS of Submission

#### 12.1 Module

Submission

#### 12.2 Uses

DatabaseManagement (18)

### 12.3 Syntax

#### 12.3.1 Exported Constants

None

#### 12.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	In	Out	Exceptions
display	-	-	_
downloadData	-	results: file	-

#### 12.4 Semantics

#### 12.4.1 State Variables

data: QuerySet

#### 12.4.2 Environment Variables

screen: The screen that the view wll be displayed on

#### 12.4.3 Assumptions

The WebServer module handles the routing functionality.

The download functionality is disabled if there are no files to be downloaded.

The submissionId is passed in by the WebServer module

#### 12.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

display():

• transition: Update the *screen* to display an updated view of the submission module

• output: None

• exception: None

### ${\bf downloadData}():$

 $\bullet$  transition: None

 $\bullet$  output: zipped file containing csv and png files

• exception: None

### 12.4.5 Local Functions

get Data<br/>(data: Query Set, submission Id:  $\mathbb{Z}$ ):

• transition: data := The results from submissionId

• output: None

• exception: None

### 13 MIS of Leaderboard

#### 13.1 Module

Leaderboard

#### 13.2 Uses

DatabaseManagement (18)

### 13.3 Syntax

#### 13.3.1 Exported Constants

None

#### 13.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	In	Out	Exceptions
display	-	-	-
filter	category, condition:	filtered data	-
	$\operatorname{str}$		
sort	category, sortBy: str	sorted data	

### 13.4 Semantics

#### 13.4.1 State Variables

appliedFilters: dict appliedSort: dict data: QuerySet

#### 13.4.2 Environment Variables

screen: The screen that the view wll be displayed on

#### 13.4.3 Assumptions

The WebServer module handles the routing functionality. The category, condition and sortBy fields are all valid values.

#### 13.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

display():

• transition: Update the *screen* to display an updated view of the leaderboard module

- output: None
- exception: None

### filter():

- transition: appliedFilters := dictionary with category and condition as keys. data := Filtered data based on appliedFilters
- output: None
- exception: None

### sort():

- transition: appliedSort := dictionary with category and sortBy as keys. data := Sorted data based on appliedSort
- output: None
- exception: None

#### 13.4.5 Local Functions

filterData(data: QuerySet, category: str, condition: str):

- output: Filtered data based on category and condition
- exception: 400 for invalid categories/conditions specified by the user

sortData(data: QuerySet, category: str, sortBy: str):

- output: Sorted data based on category and sortBy
- exception: None

getData(data: QuerySet):

- transition: data := all users' submission results in the database
- output: None
- exception: None

### 14 MIS of Results

#### 14.1 Module

Results

#### 14.2 Uses

DatabaseManagement (18)

### 14.3 Syntax

#### 14.3.1 Exported Constants

None

#### 14.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	In	Out	Exceptions
display	-	-	-
filter	category, condition:	filtered data	-
	$\operatorname{str}$		
sort	category, sortBy: str	sorted data	

#### 14.4 Semantics

#### 14.4.1 State Variables

appliedFilters: dict appliedSort: dict data: QuerySet

#### 14.4.2 Environment Variables

screen: The screen that the view wll be displayed on

#### 14.4.3 Assumptions

The WebServer module handles the routing functionality. The category, condition and sortBy fields are all valid values.

#### 14.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

display():

• transition: Update the *screen* to display an updated view of the results module

- output: None
- exception: None

### filter():

- transition: appliedFilters := dictionary with category and condition as keys. data := Filtered data based on appliedFilters
- output: None
- exception: None

### sort():

- transition: appliedSort := dictionary with category and sortBy as keys. data := Sorted data based on appliedSort
- output: None
- exception: None

#### 14.4.5 Local Functions

filterData(data: QuerySet, category: str, condition: str):

- output: Filtered data based on category and condition
- exception: None

sortData(data: QuerySet, category: str, sortBy: str):

- output: Sorted data based on category and sortBy
- exception: None

getData(data: QuerySet):

- transition: data := all submission results in the database of the current user.
- output: None
- exception: None

### 15 MIS of AdminControlPanel

### 15.1 Module

AdminControlPanel

#### 15.2 Uses

Database Management (18)

### 15.3 Syntax

#### 15.3.1 Exported Constants

None

### 15.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	In	$\mathbf{Out}$	Exceptions
approve_user_request	user_id:str	-	notFound
$delete\_user$	$user\_id:str$	-	notFound
$delete\_submission$	$user\_id:str$	-	notFound

### 15.4 Semantics

#### 15.4.1 State Variables

None

#### 15.4.2 Environment Variables

None

#### 15.4.3 Assumptions

None

#### 15.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

approve\_user\_request(user\_id):

• transition: User account enters active state

• output: None

• exception: notFound

### delete\_user(user\_id):

• transition: User account delete from database

• output: None

• exception: notFound

delete\_submission(submission\_id):

• transition: Submission deleted from database

• output: None

• exception: notFound

#### 15.4.5 Local Functions

# 16 MIS of App

#### 16.1 Module

App

#### 16.2 Uses

Web Server (19), Model Execution (20)

### 16.3 Syntax

#### 16.3.1 Exported Constants

#### 16.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	In	Out	Exceptions
startApp	-	-	-

#### 16.4 Semantics

#### 16.4.1 State Variables

webserver: The webserver the application is running

#### 16.4.2 Environment Variables

None

#### 16.4.3 Assumptions

None

#### 16.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

startApp():

• transition: Initialize the webserver for the application (webserver is initialized)

• output: None

• exception: None

#### 16.4.5 Local Functions

## 17 MIS of UserAuthentication Module

### 17.1 Module

UserAuthentication

#### 17.2 Uses

Database Management (18)

### 17.3 Syntax

### 17.3.1 Exported Constants

None

### 17.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	In	Out	Exceptions
register	dict of username,	Account	registrationFailure
	password, email, and		
	organization		
authenticate	dict of username and	-	authenticationFailure
	password		
getUsername	-	String	-
getOrganization	-	String	-

### 17.4 Semantics

#### 17.4.1 State Variables

username: String
email: String

organization: String

#### 17.4.2 Environment Variables

None

### 17.4.3 Assumptions

#### 17.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

register(username, password, email, organization):

- transition: None
- output: The account that was created
- $\bullet$  exception: exc := registrationFailure if the use input user parameters already existed/were invalid

authenticate(username, password):

- transition: Authenticates the user and retrieves a token for authorization of other actions
- output: None
- $\bullet\,$  exception: exc:= authentication Failure if the credentials used were invalid

getUsername():

- transition: None
- output: username := The username of the authenticated user
- exception: None

getOrganization():

- transition: None
- output: organization := The organization of the authenticated user
- exception: None

#### 17.4.5 Local Functions

## 18 MIS of DatabaseManagement

### 18.1 Module

 ${\bf Database Management}$ 

#### 18.2 Uses

None

### 18.3 Syntax

#### 18.3.1 Exported Constants

None

#### 18.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	In	Out	Exceptions
get_user	user_id:str	user:User	notFound
store_user	user:User	user_id:str	validationError
get_submission	submisison_id:str	submission:Submission	notFound
store_submission	submission:Submission	submission_id:str	validationError

#### 18.4 Semantics

#### 18.4.1 State Variables

None

#### 18.4.2 Environment Variables

database: The database server which the module will communicate with.

#### 18.4.3 Assumptions

Database is online and has been built with schema matching the object definitions for user and submission.

#### 18.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

get\_user(user\_id):

• transition: None

• output: user

• exception: notFound

store\_user(user):

• transition: User data stored in database server

• output: user\_id

ullet exception: validationError

 $get\_submission(submission\_id)$ :

• transition: None

• output: submission

• exception: notFound

store\_submission(submission):

• transition: submission data is stored in database server

• output: submission\_id

• exception: validationError

#### 18.4.5 Local Functions

### 19 MIS of WebServer

#### 19.1 Module

WebServer

#### 19.2 Uses

Home (9), Intro (8), Hardware Hiding, Login (6), Registration (7), Submission (12), Admin Control Panel (15), Leaderboard (13), Results (14), Submit (11)

### 19.3 Syntax

#### 19.3.1 Exported Constants

None

#### 19.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	In	Out	Exceptions
start_server	-	-	ServerError

#### 19.4 Semantics

#### 19.4.1 State Variables

None

#### 19.4.2 Environment Variables

Network: Network environment to allow for HTTP traffic

#### 19.4.3 Assumptions

URL patterns are correctly configured to route to their corresponding handler

#### 19.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

start\_server():

• transition: Initializes the webserver and begins accepting HTTP requests

• output: None

• exception: None

### 19.4.5 Local Functions

 $route\_request(request)$ :

 $\bullet$  transition: None

• output: Appropriate HTTP response

• exception: HTTP404 (when no handler exists for a URL pattern), Server Error

### 20 MIS of ModelExecution

#### 20.1 Module

ModelExecution

#### 20.2 Uses

TestAlgorithm (10), DatabaseManagement (18)

### 20.3 Syntax

#### 20.3.1 Exported Constants

None

#### 20.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	In	Out	Exceptions
executeModel	model:Model	Result	invalidModel
validateModel	model:Model	Boolean	None

#### 20.4 Semantics

#### 20.4.1 State Variables

None

#### 20.4.2 Environment Variables

None

### 20.4.3 Assumptions

None

#### 20.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

executeModel(model):

- transition: None
- output: out := Result of the model that was executed
- exception: exc:= InvalidModel if input model failed validation

validateModel(model):

• transition: None

 $\bullet$  output:  $out := {\it True} \ ({\it for valid model}) \mid {\it False} \ ({\it for invalid model})$ 

• exception: None

### 20.4.5 Local Functions

### 21 MIS of TestData

#### 21.1 Module

TestData

#### 21.2 Uses

None

### 21.3 Syntax

#### 21.3.1 Exported Constants

None

#### 21.3.2 Exported Access Programs

Name	In	Out	Exceptions
submitTestData	testData: TestData -		InvalidTestData

#### 21.4 Semantics

#### 21.4.1 State Variables

testData: TestData

#### 21.4.2 Environment Variables

None

#### 21.4.3 Assumptions

None

#### 21.4.4 Access Routine Semantics

submitTestData(newTestData):

- transition: testData:= newTestData
- output: None
- exception: exc := InvalidTestData (if the input test data fails validation)

#### 21.4.5 Local Functions

# References

Carlo Ghezzi, Mehdi Jazayeri, and Dino Mandrioli. Fundamentals of Software Engineering. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 2nd edition, 2003.

Daniel M. Hoffman and Paul A. Strooper. Software Design, Automated Testing, and Maintenance: A Practical Approach. International Thomson Computer Press, New York, NY, USA, 1995. URL http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/428727.html.

## 22 Appendix

# Appendix — Reflection

- 1. What went well while writing this deliverable?
- 2. What pain points did you experience during this deliverable, and how did you resolve them?
- 3. Which of your design decisions stemmed from speaking to your client(s) or a proxy (e.g. your peers, stakeholders, potential users)? For those that were not, why, and where did they come from?
- 4. While creating the design doc, what parts of your other documents (e.g. requirements, hazard analysis, etc), it any, needed to be changed, and why?
- 5. What are the limitations of your solution? Put another way, given unlimited resources, what could you do to make the project better? (LO\_ProbSolutions)
- 6. Give a brief overview of other design solutions you considered. What are the benefits and tradeoffs of those other designs compared with the chosen design? From all the potential options, why did you select the documented design? (LO\_Explores)

### Nathan Uy

- 1. I liked that our modules were very well-defined, making the writing of MIS easy.
- 2. An issue I encountered was deciding which modules were necessary. It is very easy just to add as many modules as I want. To address this, every time I added a module, I ask myself does this module separate a distinct concern or responsibility? As a result, it limited the number of our modules to just the necessary ones.

### Declan Young

- 1. While writing this deliverable, creating the MIS for our application went well. This is because once we had broken the application into different modules with the MG, it was relatively straight-forward to create specification for these different modules, in order to satisfy the requirements of our application.
- 2. One of the pain points we encountered while writing this deliverable was determining how to break the application into different modules in the MG. This was a pain point because it was quite difficult to find a balance between breaking it into too many simple modules, versus not breaking it into enough modules, therefore leading to modules that were too general and complex. Another pain point was creating the timeline for all of the modules that needed to be implemented. This was difficult because it was hard

to determine the complexity of the different modules in order to properly estimate the necessary time to complete it, as well as to fairly distribute the implementation of all the modules between team members fairly.

#### Ben Dubois

- 1. While writing this deliverable, creating the MIS for each module went well as we already had each module clearly defined within the MG. This ensured that creating the MIS for each module was just adding a few extra details to each module and refining our definitions.
- 2. One of the pain points was creating the Use Hierarchy between modules. For this we had to determine which modules needed to be stand alone and which modules could use the existing features of another module. Therefore, for each new module we needed to look through all existing modules to see if there could be any use relation and this was time consuming. To resolve this, we started by defining all of the modules that we knew for sure could use an existing module and then created stand alone modules after this. This made the process much faster.

### Aidan Mariglia

- 1. During this deliverable, the module decomposition went well. We were able to create clear lines of where the modules exist, defining the system structure and simplifying the process of implementation.
- 2. Producing the access routine semantics for each module ahead of time was more of a pain point. Trying to foresee possible needs of modules which depend on other modules was difficult, and left me with the feeling there will be modifications needed when the implementation stage begins.

#### Team

3. One of the major design decisions that originated from Dr. Kollmeyer was the design decisions regarding the user interface. Dr. Kollmeyer had a fairly specific vision for what the UI should look like, so after some discussions and elicitation of details, we were able to create the design consisting of both the different modules for the UI, as well as the visual design for the UI (which is seen in the Figma design).

For the decisions that we did not consult our client, we instead brainstormed as a group for all major design decisions. We then ensured that all design decisions we made as a group followed the requirements for our application as well as it following the different properties/characteristics of design that we were trying to achieve.

- 4. While creating our design document, we realized that the SRS document needed to be updated because we realized that some of our requirements were not necessary so those requirements were removed. Also, we noticed that some of our requirements were ambiguous and needed some clarification.
- 5. One limitation of our solution is being able to display all the Matlab graphs for each submission due to limitations in our storage. In addition, with unlimited resources, we should be able to run more submissions concurrently, saving users time.
- 6. Another design we considered was only having one behavior hiding module, in an effort to reduce complexity. However, we came to the conclusion that although this would make implementation more simple, it would make maintenance and readability significantly worse.

We ended up with our current design by ensuring it maintained a balanced of many of the different properties of design we prioritized, such as: Maintenance, complexity, readability and scalability