

## Contents

<b>5</b>	<b>Sobolev Spaces</b>	<b>2</b>
5.1	.....	2
5.3	.....	6
5.5	.....	6
5.6	.....	6

## 5 Sobolev Spaces

### 5.1

Suppose  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1$ . Prove  $C^{k,\gamma}(\overline{U})$  is a Banach space.

*Proof.* A Banach space is a *complete normed linear space*, so we need to show that  $C^{k,\gamma}(\overline{U})$  is each of the following:

1. A Linear space.
2. A Normed linear space.
3. A Complete space.

Much of the proof will rely on the fact that we know  $C(\overline{U})$  is a Banach space itself.

1. Suppose  $u, v, w \in C^{k,\gamma}(\overline{U})$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . It follows that  $u, v, w \in C^k(\overline{U})$  and that the Hölder norm of each  $u$ ,  $v$ , and  $w$  is finite. With this knowledge we will loosely prove the linear space axioms as follows:
  - (i)  $u + v \in C^{k,\gamma}(\overline{U})$  because  $\|u + v\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\overline{U})} < \infty$ , which follows from the triangle inequality of norms.
  - (ii)  $u + v = v + u$  because  $u, v \in C^k(\overline{U})$ , which we know is itself a linear space.
  - (iii)  $u + (v + w) = (u + v) + w$  following the same argument as (ii).
  - (iv)  $C^k(\overline{U}) \subset C^{k,\gamma}(\overline{U})$  implies  $\exists \vec{0} \in C^{k,\gamma}(\overline{U})$  such that  $u + \vec{0} = u$ .
  - (v) Following the same argument as (iv) we get that  $\forall u \in C^{k,\gamma}(\overline{U}) \exists (-u)$  such that  $u + (-u) = \vec{0}$ .
  - (vi)  $a(bu) = (ab)u$  following the same argument as (ii).
  - (vii)  $a(u + v) = au + av$  following the same argument as (ii).
  - (viii)  $(a + b)u = au + bu$  following the same argument as (ii).
  - (ix) Following the same argument as (iv) we get that  $\exists \vec{1} \in C^{k,\gamma}(\overline{U})$  such that  $\vec{1} \cdot u = u$ .
  - (x) Following the same argument as (iv) we get that  $\exists \vec{0} \in C^{k,\gamma}(\overline{U})$  such that  $\vec{0} \cdot u = \vec{0}$ .

These axioms prove that  $C^{k,\gamma}(\overline{U})$  is indeed a linear space.

2. Using the fact that  $C(\overline{U})$  is itself a normed linear space, with the norm

$$\|u(x)\|_{C(\overline{U})} := \sup_{x \in \overline{U}} |u(x)|,$$

we can prove the normed linear space axioms as follows:

- (i) Suppose  $u, v \in C^{k,\gamma}(\overline{U})$  and that  $\alpha$  is a fixed multi-index such that  $|\alpha| \leq k$ . It

then follows that

$$\|u + v\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha(u + v)\|_{C(\bar{U})} + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} [D^\alpha(u + v)]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})} \quad (1)$$

$$\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} (\|D^\alpha u\|_{C(\bar{U})} + \|D^\alpha v\|_{C(\bar{U})}) + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} [D^\alpha(u + v)]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})} \quad (2)$$

$$\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} (\|D^\alpha u\|_{C(\bar{U})} + \|D^\alpha v\|_{C(\bar{U})}) + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} ([D^\alpha u]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})} + [D^\alpha v]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})}) \quad (3)$$

$$= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha u\|_{C(\bar{U})} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha v\|_{C(\bar{U})} + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} [D^\alpha u]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})} + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} [D^\alpha v]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})} \quad (4)$$

$$= \left( \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha u\|_{C(\bar{U})} + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} [D^\alpha u]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})} \right) + \left( \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha v\|_{C(\bar{U})} + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} [D^\alpha v]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})} \right) \quad (5)$$

$$= \|u\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} + \|v\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})}. \quad (6)$$

(ii) Suppose  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u \in C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})$ , and that  $\alpha$  is a multi-index such that  $|\alpha| \leq k$ . It then follows that

$$\|mu\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|mD^\alpha u\|_{C^k(\bar{U})} + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} [mD^\alpha u]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})} \quad (7)$$

$$= |m| \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha u\|_{C^k(\bar{U})} + |m| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} [D^\alpha u]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})} \quad (8)$$

$$= |m| \left( \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha u\|_{C^k(\bar{U})} + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} [D^\alpha u]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})} \right) \quad (9)$$

$$= |m| \|u\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})}. \quad (10)$$

(iii) We now want to show that  $\|u\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} \geq 0$ ,  $\forall u \in C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})$ . So suppose  $u \in C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})$ , and from (ii) we know that

$$\| -u \|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} = \| (-1)u \|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} = \| u \|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})},$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|0\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} &= \|u + (-u)\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} \\ &\leq \|u\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} + \| -u \|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} \\ &= \|u\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} + \|u\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} \\ &= 2\|u\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u$  is arbitrary we can say

$$\|0\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} \leq 2\|0\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})},$$

which further implies

$$0 \leq \|0\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})}$$

when we subtract through by  $\|0\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})}$ . Combining inequalities from above gives us

$$0 \leq \|0\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} \leq 2\|u\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})},$$

where dividing through by  $1/2$  results in

$$0 \leq \|u\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})}.$$

- (iv) We finally want to show that  $\|u\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} = 0$  if and only if  $u = 0$ . Firstly, suppose  $u \in C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})$  and that  $\|u\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} = 0$ . Letting  $\alpha$  be some fixed multi-index such that  $|\alpha| \leq k$ , it then follows that

$$\|u\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha u\|_{C(\bar{U})} + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} [D^\alpha u]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})} = 0.$$

By definition we know that each  $\|D^\alpha u\|_{C(\bar{U})} \geq 0$ , and also by definition we know that

$$[D^\alpha u]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})} = \sup_{x,y \in \bar{U}, x \neq y} \left\{ \frac{|D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma} \right\} \geq 0.$$

Therefore, if  $\|D^\alpha u\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} = 0$ , then it must be that each  $\|D^\alpha u\|_{C(\bar{U})} = 0$  and each  $[D^\alpha u]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})} = 0$ . This further implies from the definitions that  $D^\alpha u = 0$  implying  $u = 0, \forall x \in U$ . Conversely, suppose  $u = 0$ . Then by (ii) we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} &= \|0\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} \\ &= \|0 \cdot 0\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} \\ &= |0| \|0\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

These axioms prove that  $C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})$  is indeed a normed linear space.

3. Suppose  $\{u_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})$ . This implies each  $u_m \in C^k(\bar{U})$ , and since  $C^k(\bar{U})$  is a complete space, then there exists  $u \in C^k(\bar{U})$  such that  $u_m \rightarrow u$  in  $C^k(\bar{U})$ , as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Now if we wish to show  $u \in C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})$ , then we need to show that

$$\|u\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha u\|_{C(\bar{U})} + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} [D^\alpha u]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})} < \infty,$$

where  $\alpha$  is some fixed multi-index such that  $|\alpha| \leq k$ .  $u \in C^k(\bar{U})$  implies that  $D^\alpha u$  is uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of  $U$ , for all  $|\alpha| \leq k$ , and since  $U$  is a bounded open set then it follows that each  $D^\alpha u$  is bounded, further implying that

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha u\|_{C(\bar{U})} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_{x \in U} |D^\alpha u(x)| < \infty$$

since there is only a finite number of  $k^{th}$  or less partial derivatives of  $u$ . Now to show that each  $[D^\alpha u]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})}$  is finite observe that for some fixed  $x, y \in U$ , where  $x \neq y$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma} &= \frac{|D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u_m(x) + D^\alpha u_m(x) - D^\alpha u_m(y) + D^\alpha u_m(y) - D^\alpha u(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma} \\ &\leq \frac{|D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u_m(x)|}{|x - y|^\gamma} + \frac{|D^\alpha u_m(x) - D^\alpha u_m(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma} + \frac{|D^\alpha u_m(y) - D^\alpha u(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

where taking  $m \rightarrow \infty$  makes the first and third summands disappear, and since  $u_m$  is a Cauchy sequence then we can say the middle summand is bounded. This means

$$\frac{|D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma} < \infty,$$

which implies

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=k} [D^\alpha u]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})} = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \sup_{x,y \in U, x \neq y} \frac{|D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma} < \infty$$

as again, there is only a finite number of  $k^{th}$  partial derivatives of  $u$ . Then since both summations are finite, it follows that  $\|u\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} < \infty$ , proving that  $u \in C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})$ . Now we need to show that  $u_m \rightarrow u$  in  $C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})$ . This requires that we show

$$\|u_m - u\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha(u_m - u)\|_{C(\bar{U})} + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} [D^\alpha(u_m - u)]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})} < \infty.$$

Now since we know  $u_m \rightarrow u$  in  $C^k(\bar{U})$ , then we could follow a similar argument as before to conclude

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha(u_m - u)\|_{C(\bar{U})} < \infty.$$

To show each  $[D^\alpha(u_m - u)]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})}$  is finite observe again that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|D^\alpha(u_m - u)(x) - D^\alpha(u_m - u)(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma} &= \frac{|D^\alpha u_m(x) - D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u_m(y) + D^\alpha u(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|(D^\alpha u_m(x) - D^\alpha u_n(x)) + (D^\alpha u_m(y) - D^\alpha u_n(y))|}{|x - y|^\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

which can be made arbitrarily small choosing  $m$  large enough, since both  $u_m$  and  $u_n$  are Cauchy sequences. Then since Cauchy implies boundedness, we get that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=k} [D^\alpha(u_m - u)]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})} < \infty.$$

This proves that  $\|u_m - u\|_{C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})} < \infty$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and thus  $u_m \rightarrow u$  in  $C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})$ .

With this last proof we have shown that  $C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})$  is indeed a complete space, which completes the proof for  $C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})$  being a Banach space.  $\square$

**5.3**

Denote by  $U$  the open square  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |x_1| < 1, |x_2| < 1\}$ . Define

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - x_1, & \text{if } x_1 > 0, |x_2| < x_1 \\ 1 + x_1, & \text{if } x_1 < 0, |x_2| < -x_1 \\ 1 - x_2, & \text{if } x_2 > 0, |x_1| < x_2 \\ 1 + x_2, & \text{if } x_2 < 0, |x_1| < -x_2. \end{cases}$$

For which  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  does  $u$  belong to  $W^{1,p}(U)$ .

*Proof.* In progress...

□

**5.5**

Let  $U, V$  be open sets, with  $V \subset\subset U$ . Show there exists a smooth function  $\zeta$  such that  $\zeta \equiv 1$  on  $V$ ,  $\zeta = 0$  near  $\partial U$ . (Hint: Take  $V \subset\subset W \subset\subset U$  and mollify  $\chi_W$ .)

*Proof.* In progress...

□

**5.6**

Assume  $U$  is bounded and  $U \subset\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N V_i$ . Show there exist  $C^\infty$  functions  $\zeta_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ ) such that

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq \zeta_i \leq 1, \text{ supp } \zeta_i \subset V_i \text{ } (i = 1, \dots, N) \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \zeta_i = 1 \text{ on } U. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* In progress...

□