

Problem Solutions

e-Chapter 7

Pierre Paquay

Problem 7.1

To solve this problem, we first begin by separating the positive decision region into two components : the lower one corresponding to $x_2 \in [-1, 1]$ and the upper one corresponding to $x_2 \in [1, 2]$. To define the decision region, we need 7 perceptrons, namely

$$h_1(x) = \text{sign}(x_2 - 2), \quad h_2(x) = \text{sign}(x_2 - 1), \quad h_3(x) = \text{sign}(x_2 + 1),$$

for the horizontal lines, and

$$h_4(x) = \text{sign}(x_1 + 2), \quad h_5(x) = \text{sign}(x_1 + 1), \quad h_6(x) = \text{sign}(x_1 - 1), \quad h_7(x) = \text{sign}(x_1 - 2)$$

for the vertical lines. We are now able to define the lower decision region by $\overline{h_2}h_3h_4\overline{h_7}$, and the upper decision region by $\overline{h_1}h_2h_5\overline{h_6}$, which means that the total decision region is defined by

$$f = \overline{h_2}h_3h_4\overline{h_7} + \overline{h_1}h_2h_5\overline{h_6}$$

which actually characterizes a 3-layer perceptron.

Problem 7.2

(a) Let x and x' be two points from the same region. If we consider a set of M hyperplanes defined by $\{x : w_i^T x = 0\}$, we have that

$$(\text{sign}(w_1^T x), \dots, \text{sign}(w_M^T x)) = (\text{sign}(w_1^T x'), \dots, \text{sign}(w_M^T x'));$$

or put more simply that $\text{sign}(w_i^T x) = \text{sign}(w_i^T x') = s_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, M$ where $s_i = \pm 1$. We begin by the case where $s_i = 1$. Here, we know that $w_i^T x > 0$ and $w_i^T x' > 0$, consequently we have that, for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$w_i^T(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x') = \lambda w_i^T x + (1 - \lambda)w_i^T x' > 0$$

and

$$\text{sign}(w_i^T(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x')) = 1.$$

Now, we consider the case where $s_i = -1$. Here, we know that $w_i^T x < 0$ and $w_i^T x' < 0$, consequently we have that, for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$w_i^T(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x') = \lambda w_i^T x + (1 - \lambda)w_i^T x' < 0$$

and

$$\text{sign}(w_i^T(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x')) = -1.$$

So, in conclusion, the region is actually convex.

(b) A region is defined as the following set

$$\{x : (\text{sign}(w_1^T x), \dots, \text{sign}(w_M^T x)) = (s_1, \dots, s_M); s_i \in \{-1, 1\}\};$$

thus a region is characterized by a particular M -uple (s_1, \dots, s_M) . Since there are at most 2^M of such M -uples, we have at most 2^M different regions.

(c) Let $B(N, d)$ be the maximum number of regions created by M hyperplanes in d -dimensional space. Now, consider adding an $(M + 1)$ th hyperplane; this hyperplane can obviously be viewed as a $(d - 1)$ -dimensional

space, so if we project the initial M hyperplanes into this space, we obtain M hyperplanes in a $(d-1)$ -dimensional space. These hyperplanes can create at most $B(M, d-1)$ regions in this space, and for each of these regions, we get two regions in the original d -dimensional space. Thus, this means that the $(M+1)$ th hyperplane intersects at most $B(M, d-1)$ of the regions created by the M hyperplanes in the d -dimensional space, and so

$$B(M+1, d) \leq B(M, d) + B(M, d-1).$$

Now, we will prove that

$$B(M, d) \leq \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{M}{i}$$

by induction. We begin by evaluating the boundary conditions, we have

$$B(M, 1) = M + 1 \leq \sum_{i=0}^1 \binom{M}{i} = \binom{M}{0} + \binom{M}{1} = M + 1$$

for all M , and

$$B(1, d) = 2 \leq \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{1}{i} = \binom{1}{0} + \binom{1}{1} = 2$$

for all d . Now, we assume the statement is true for $M = M_0$ and all d , we will prove that the statement is still true for $M = M_0 + 1$ and all d . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} B(M_0 + 1, d) &\leq B(M_0, d) + B(M_0, d-1) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{M_0}{i} + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{M_0}{i} \\ &= \binom{M_0}{0} + \sum_{i=1}^d \binom{M_0}{i} + \sum_{i=1}^d \binom{M_0}{i-1} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^d \left[\underbrace{\binom{M_0}{i} + \binom{M_0}{i-1}}_{= \binom{M_0+1}{i}} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{M_0+1}{i}. \end{aligned}$$

We have thus proved the induction step, so the statement is true for all M and d .