Problem Solutions

e-Chapter 7

Pierre Paquay

Problem 7.1

To solve this problem, we first begin by separating the positive decision region into two components: the lower one corresponding to $x_2 \in [-1, 1]$ and the upper one corresponding to $x_2 \in [1, 2]$. To define the decision region, we need 7 perceptrons, namely

$$h_1(x) = \operatorname{sign}(x_2 - 2), \ h_2(x) = \operatorname{sign}(x_2 - 1), \ h_3(x) = \operatorname{sign}(x_2 + 1),$$

for the horizontal lines, and

$$h_4(x) = \operatorname{sign}(x_1 + 2), \ h_5(x) = \operatorname{sign}(x_1 + 1), \ h_6(x) = \operatorname{sign}(x_1 - 1), \ h_7(x) = \operatorname{sign}(x_1 - 2)$$

for the vertical lines. We are now able to define the lower decision region by $\overline{h_2}h_3h_4\overline{h_7}$, and the upper decision region by $\overline{h_1}h_2h_5\overline{h_6}$, which means that the total decision region is defined by

$$f = \overline{h_2}h_3h_4\overline{h_7} + \overline{h_1}h_2h_5\overline{h_6}$$

which actually characterizes a 3-layer perceptron.

Problem 7.2

(a) Let x and x' be two points from the same region. If we consider a set of M hyperplanes defined by $\{x: w_i^T x = 0\}$, we have that

$$(\operatorname{sign}(w_1^T x), \cdots, \operatorname{sign}(w_M^T x)) = (\operatorname{sign}(w_1^T x'), \cdots, \operatorname{sign}(w_M^T x'));$$

or put more simply that $\operatorname{sign}(w_i^T x) = \operatorname{sign}(w_i^T x') = s_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, M$ where $s_i = \pm 1$. We begin by the case where $s_i = 1$. Here, we know that $w_i^T x > 0$ and $w_i^T x' > 0$, consequently we have that, for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$w_i^T(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x') = \lambda w_i^T x + (1 - \lambda)w_i^T x' > 0$$

and

$$\operatorname{sign}(w_i^T(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x')) = 1.$$

Now, we consider the case where $s_i = -1$. Here, we know that $w_i^T x < 0$ and $w_i^T x' < 0$, consequently we have that, for $\lambda \in [0,1]$,

$$w_i^T(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x') = \lambda w_i^T x + (1 - \lambda)w_i^T x' < 0$$

and

$$\operatorname{sign}(w_i^T(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x')) = -1.$$

So, in conclusion, the region is actually convex.

(b) A region is defined as the following set

$$\{x: (\text{sign}(w_1^T x), \cdots, \text{sign}(w_M^T x)) = (s_1, \cdots, s_M); s_i \in \{-1, 1\}\};$$

thus a region is characterized by a particular M-uple (s_1, \dots, s_M) . Since there are at most 2^M of such M-uples, we have at most 2^M different regions.

(c) Let B(N,d) be the maximum number of regions created by M hyperplanes in d-dimensional space. Now, consider adding an (M+1)th hyperplane; this hyperplane can obviously be viewed as a (d-1)-dimensional

space, so if we project the initial M hyperplanes into this space, we obtain M hyperplanes in a (d-1)-dimensional space. These hyperplanes can create at most B(M,d-1) regions in this space, and for each of these regions, we get two regions in the original d-dimensional space. Thus, this means that the (M+1)th hyperplane intersects at most B(M,d-1) of the regions created by the M hyperplanes in the d-dimensional space, and so

$$B(M+1,d) \le B(M,d) + B(M,d-1).$$

Now, we will prove that

$$B(M,d) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{d} \binom{M}{i}$$

by induction. We begin by evaluating the boundary conditions, we have

$$B(M,1) = M + 1 \le \sum_{i=0}^{1} {M \choose i} = {M \choose 0} + {M \choose 1} = M + 1$$

for all M, and

$$B(1,d) = 2 \le \sum_{i=0}^{d} {1 \choose i} = {1 \choose 0} + {1 \choose 1} = 2$$

for all d. Now, we assume the statement is true for $M = M_0$ and all d, we will prove that the statement is still true for $M = M_0 + 1$ and all d. We have that

$$B(M_{0} + 1, d) \leq B(M_{0}, d) + B(M_{0}, d - 1)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{d} {M_{0} \choose i} + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} {M_{0} \choose i}$$

$$= {M_{0} \choose 0} + \sum_{i=1}^{d} {M_{0} \choose i} + \sum_{i=1}^{d} {M_{0} \choose i - 1}$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \underbrace{{M_{0} \choose i} + {M_{0} \choose i - 1}}_{={M_{0} + 1 \choose i}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{d} {M_{0} + 1 \choose i}.$$

We have thus proved the induction step, so the statement is true for all M and d.

Problem 7.3

We begin by proving the following equivalence relation

$$h_m(x) = c_m \Leftrightarrow h_m^{c_m}(x) = +1.$$

The condition is necessary because if $c_m = +1$, we have

$$h_m^{c_m}(x) = h_m(x) = c_m = +1;$$

and if $c_m = -1$, we have

$$h_m^{c_m}(x) = \overline{h}_m(x) = \overline{c}_m = +1.$$

Now the condition is also sufficient because if $c_m = +1$, we have

$$+1 = h_m^{c_m}(x) = h_m(x),$$

which means that $h_m(x) = +1 = c_m$; and if $c_m = -1$, we have

$$+1 = h_m^{c_m}(x) = \overline{h}_m(x),$$

which implies that $h_m(x) = -1 = c_m$.

Now we are able to write that

$$x \in r$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (h_1(x), \dots, h_M(x)) = (c_1, \dots, c_M)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow h_m^{c_m}(x) = +1, \ \forall m$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \prod_{m=1}^M h_m^{c_m}(x) = +1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow t_r(x) = +1.$$

The above relation also implies that

$$x \notin r \Leftrightarrow t_r(x) = -1.$$

Now if x is in a positive region (f(x) = +1), we know that there exists i such that $x \in r_i$, and consequently that $t_{r_i}(x) = +1$ which means that

$$t_{r_1}(x) + \dots + t_{r_k}(x) = +1 = f(x).$$

And if x is in a negative region (f(x) = -1), we know that $x \notin r_i$ for all i, so $t_{r_i}(x) = -1$ for all i which means that

$$t_{r_1}(x) + \dots + t_{r_k}(x) = -1 = f(x).$$