

# Problem Solutions

## Chapter 5

*Pierre Paquay*

### Problem 5.1

(a) Let  $f$  be an arbitrary binary function. If  $\mathcal{H}$  shatters  $x_1, \dots, x_N$ , there exists  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $h(x_n) = f(x_n)$  for all  $n = 1, \dots, N$ , and consequently  $E_{in}(h) = 0$ ; so the proposition is not falsifiable in this case.

(b) Since the data is generated from a random (arbitrary) target function, then every dichotomy is equally likely, which means that their probability is  $1/2^N$ . With that in mind, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[\text{falsification}] &= 1 - \mathbb{P}[\exists h \in \mathcal{H} : E_{in}(h) = 0] \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P}[\exists h \in \mathcal{H} : h(x_n) = f(x_n) \ \forall 1 \leq n \leq N] \\ &= 1 - \frac{\text{Number of dichotomies on } x_1, \dots, x_N \text{ such that } h(x_n) = f(x_n) \ \forall 1 \leq n \leq N}{\text{Number of dichotomies}} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{m_{\mathcal{H}}(N)}{2^N}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) If  $d_{VC} = 10$  and  $N = 100$ , we get that

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{falsification}] \geq 1 - \frac{N^{d_{VC}} + 1}{2^N} = 1 - \frac{100^{10} + 1}{2^{100}} \approx 1.$$

### Problem 5.2

(a) Since  $\mathcal{H}_i \subset \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$ , we know that  $|\mathcal{H}_i| \leq |\mathcal{H}_{i+1}|$ , and

$$E_{in}(g_i) = \min_{h \in \mathcal{H}_i} E_{in}(h) \geq \min_{h \in \mathcal{H}_{i+1}} E_{in}(h) = E_{in}(g_{i+1})$$

for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ .

(b) Let  $p_i = \mathbb{P}[g^* \in \mathcal{H}_i] = \mathbb{P}[g^* = g_i]$ , so if  $p_i$  is small then  $\Omega(\mathcal{H}_i)$  is large, which implies that the model is complex.

(c) It is obvious that

$$g^* \in \mathcal{H}_i \Rightarrow g^* \in \mathcal{H}_{i+1},$$

thus we get that

$$p_i = \mathbb{P}[g^* \in \mathcal{H}_i] \leq \mathbb{P}[g^* \in \mathcal{H}_{i+1}] = p_{i+1}$$

for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ .

(d) We know from the generalization bound that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[|E_{in}(g_i) - E_{out}(g_i)| > \epsilon | g^* = g_i] &\leq \frac{\mathbb{P}[|E_{in}(g_i) - E_{out}(g_i)| > \epsilon \cap g^* = g_i]}{\mathbb{P}[g^* = g_i]} \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbb{P}[|E_{in}(g_i) - E_{out}(g_i)| > \epsilon]}{\mathbb{P}[g^* = g_i]} \\ &\leq \frac{4m_{\mathcal{H}_i}(2N)e^{-\epsilon^2 N/8}}{p_i}. \end{aligned}$$

### Problem 5.3

(a) Here, we consider only one model, so  $M = 1$ .

(b) For  $M = 1$ ,  $N = 10000$ , and  $\epsilon = 0.02$ , the Hoeffding inequality tells us that

$$\mathbb{P}[|E_{in}(g) - E_{out}(g)| > 0.02] \leq 2 \cdot 1 \cdot e^{-2 \cdot 0.02^2 \cdot 10000} = 6.7 \times 10^{-4}.$$

(c) One possible reason is the sampling bias : the data set contains only data about people who did get a credit card, we have no information on people who were rejected. So, when such people are passed to our  $g$  function, the results are not as good as predicted.

(d) Yes, we should have used our function  $g$  on the entire data set of clients (approved and not approved), in this case only would we have got a meaningful probabilistic guarantee.