

COP 4610 - Programming Assignment

CPU Scheduler

Project objective: To learn more about OS scheduling through a hands-on simulation programming experience

Implement the following 3 CPU scheduling algorithms

- Simulate and evaluate each with the set of eight processes below.
- Use any programming language. The program listing should be submitted with the report.

1. **FCFS non-preemptive (partial results provided)**

2. **SJF non-preemptive**

3. **MLFQ**

Multilevel Feedback Queue (absolute priority in higher queues)

Queue 1 uses RR scheduling with $T_q = 5$

Queue 2 uses RR scheduling with $T_q = 10$

Queue 3 uses FCFS

All processes enter first queue 1. If time quantum (T_q) expires before CPU burst is complete, the process is downgraded to next lower priority queue. Processes are not downgraded when preempted by a higher queue level process. Once a process has been downgraded, it will not be upgraded.

Assumptions:

1. All processes are activated at time 0
2. Assume that no process waits on I/O devices.
3. After completing an I/O event, a process is transferred to the ready queue.
4. Waiting time is accumulated while a process waits in the ready queue.
5. Turnaround time is a total of (Waiting time) + (CPU burst time) + (I/O time)
6. Response time is the first measure of waiting time from arrival at time 0 until the first time on the CPU.

Process Data:

process goes {CPU burst, I/O time, CPU burst, I/O time, CPU burst, I/O time,....., last CPU burst}

| | |
|----|---|
| P1 | {5, 27, 3, 31, 5, 43, 4, 18, 6, 22, 4, 26, 3, 24, 4} |
| P2 | {4, 48, 5, 44, 7, 42, 12, 37, 9, 76, 4, 41, 9, 31, 7, 43, 8} |
| P3 | {8, 33, 12, 41, 18, 65, 14, 21, 4, 61, 15, 18, 14, 26, 5, 31, 6} |
| P4 | {3, 35, 4, 41, 5, 45, 3, 51, 4, 61, 5, 54, 6, 82, 5, 77, 3} |
| P5 | {16, 24, 17, 21, 5, 36, 16, 26, 7, 31, 13, 28, 11, 21, 6, 13, 3, 11, 4} |
| P6 | {11, 22, 4, 8, 5, 10, 6, 12, 7, 14, 9, 18, 12, 24, 15, 30, 8} |
| P7 | {14, 46, 17, 41, 11, 42, 15, 21, 4, 32, 7, 19, 16, 33, 10} |
| P8 | {4, 14, 5, 33, 6, 51, 14, 73, 16, 87, 6} |

Simulation completed for FCFS (see results in table below).

Presentation of results:

Write the simulation program in a programming language (such as C, C++, C#, Java, or any other language).

Submit REPORT: Write a well-organized report, which will include:

- (1) Table of Content
- (2) Introduction
- (3) General flow chart (logic) of the simulation program **and/or** GANTT Charts
- (4) Well-presented final results including tables and discussion
 - Discussion and Tables (see below) for
 - U (CPU utilization),
 - Tw (waiting times)
 - Ttr (turnaround times),
 - Rt(response times)
 - for all processes and averages for each algorithm(see FCFS below)
 - **Compare results SJF, FCFS, MLFQ**
- (5) Sample of dynamic execution (program output)
 - This information should be displayed for each context switch*
 - Current Execution time
 - Running process
 - The Ready queue, with the CPU burst time for each process
 - The Processes in I/O with the remaining time for every process for its I/O burst completion
 - Indicate when a process has completed its total execution.
- (6) Results printed at the end of each simulation
 - This information should be displayed at the end of each simulation*
 - 1. Total time needed to complete all 8 processes.
 - 2. CPU utilization - [%] (U).
 - 3. Waiting times for each process and the average waiting time for all processes (Tw)
 - 4. Turnaround time for each process and the average turnaround time.(Ttr)
 - 5. Response time for each process and the average response time (Tr).
- (7) Well commented source code

The grading will be based on the following

- (1) Program structure and organization
- (2) Overall report
- (3) Final results and discussion

Table of results comparison (SJF, FCFS, MLFQ)

| | SJF | FCFS | MLFQ |
|----------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| CPU utilization | | 85.34% | |
| Avg Waiting time (T_w) | | 185.25 | |
| Avg Turnaround time (T_{tr}) | | 521.37 | |
| Avg Response time (T_r) | | 24.37 | |

| SJF CPU utilization: | | | | FCFS CPU utilization: 85.34% | | | | MLFQ CPU utilization: | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | <i>T_w</i> | <i>T_{tr}</i> | <i>T_r</i> | | <i>T_w</i> | <i>T_{tr}</i> | <i>T_r</i> | | <i>T_w</i> | <i>T_{tr}</i> | <i>T_r</i> |
| P1 | | | | | 170 | 395 | 0 | | | | |
| P2 | | | | | 164 | 591 | 5 | | | | |
| P3 | | | | | 165 | 557 | 9 | | | | |
| P4 | | | | | 164 | 648 | 17 | | | | |
| P5 | | | | | 221 | 530 | 20 | | | | |
| P6 | | | | | 230 | 445 | 36 | | | | |
| P7 | | | | | 184 | 512 | 47 | | | | |
| P8 | | | | | 184 | 493 | 61 | | | | |
| Avg | | | | | <i>185.25</i> | <i>521.37</i> | <i>24.37</i> | | | | |