- 1. (a)  $f = \Theta(g)$ . To verify this, we must prove that f = O(g) as well as  $f = \Omega(g)$ . First, when c = 2,  $f(n) \le 2g(n)$  for all  $n \ge 100$ . Then when c = 1,  $f(n) \ge g(n)$  for all  $n \ge 0$ ;
  - (b)  $n^{1/2} = O(n^{2/3})$ . This is simply because  $n^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is always smaller than  $n^{2/3}$  no matter how small the c constant is, given that x is large enough.
  - (c) f = O(g). Since both f and  $g = O(n \log n)$ , f = O(g) and g = O(f) when c is changing.
  - (d)  $f = \Theta(g)$ . To verify this, we must prove that f = O(g) as well as  $f = \Omega(g)$ . As for 0, when c = 1, obviously, f(n) grows slower than g(n). Therefore, f = O(g). Similarly, when  $f = \Omega(g)$ , let's say c = 0.001. In this case  $f(n) = n\log n$ , c = 0.001 f(n) = 0.001
  - (e)  $f = \theta(g)$ . Since both of f and  $g = O(n \log n)$ , f = O(g) and g = O(f) when c is changing.
  - (f)  $f = \theta(g)$ . Since both of f and  $g = O(n \log n)$ , f = O(g) and g = O(f) when c is changing.
  - (g)  $f(n) = \Omega(g)$ . f can be simplified as  $n^*n^{0.01}$  while  $g = n^*(logn)^2$ . In this way, we can find that  $n^{0.01}$  is superior to  $log^2n$ . Therefore  $f(n) = \Omega(g)$ .
  - (h) If we multiply both f and g by logn/n, we have f = n and  $g = (logn)^3$ . And there is no doubt that a power function is superior to the cubic of a logarithmic function. Therefore,  $f = \Omega(g)$
  - (i) Same as what is illustrated in the (h),  $f = \Omega(g)$
  - (j)  $f = \Omega(g)$ . Since f(n) can be simplified as  $f(n) = n^{\log \log n}$ , f becomes a power function which means f always wins.
  - (k) f is a power function which means it always grows faster than g(n). Therefore,  $f = \Omega(g)$
  - (l) g can be simplified as  $g(n) = n^{\log_2 5} > f(n) = n^{1/2}$ . Therefore, f = O(g).
  - (m) f = O(g). Since  $2^n$  is dominated by  $3^n$  with the definition of the exponential function.
  - (n)  $f = \theta(n)$ . Because f and g both  $= 0(2^n)$ .
  - (o)  $f = \Omega(g)$ . Because a factorial function grows much faster than an exponential function.
  - (p) f = O(g). Since f(n) can be simplified as  $f(n) = n^{\log \log n}$ , g(n) can be simplified as  $n\log_2 n$ , obviously f(n) grows faster than g(n).
  - (q) f = 0(g). Since  $f = 1 + 2^k + 3^k + ... + n^k$ .  $g = n^k + n^k + .....(n \text{ times})$ , f grows slower than g.
- 2. (a)  $g(n) = (c^{n+1}-1)/(c-1)$ . When n approaches the positive infinite,  $\lim g(n) = 1/(1-c)$ . And 1/(1-c) > 1. But if 1 \* a constant c 1/(1-c) can be smaller than 1\*c. Therefore  $g(n) = \theta(1)$ .
  - (b) when c = 1.  $g(n) = n + 1 = \Theta(n)$
  - (c) when  $c > 1 \lim_{n \to \infty} g(n) = c^{n+1}/(c-1) = \Theta(c^n)$
- 4. (a) Let's say a matrix A = a b c d, the other B = e f g h. In this case  $A \times B = ae + bg$  af + bh ce + dg cd + dh. And we have done 4 additions and 8 multiplications. Thus proved. To calculate  $X^n$ , it takes n matrix multiplications.
  - (b)  $X^n = X^{(n/2)} * X^{(n/2)}$  (n is even)  $X^n = X * X^{(n/2)} * X^{(n/2)}$  (n is odd)

We can see that the whole process would take logn(n is even) or 1+logn(n is odd) multiplications. Therefore, O(logn) matrix multiplications suffice for computing  $X^n$ .