Goals		
Approach		
• •	1. Reuse Assignment 2 Code:	
	Implemented new code in the previous code that :	2
	2. Parsing Input Strings:	2
	3. Parsing Mechanism:	
	4. Logging Parsing Steps:	
Challenges Faced		2
	Stack Representation and Readability:	2
	Mismatch Between Expected and Actual Input:	
	Using the previous code:	3
	Output Alignment:	3
Correctness Verification		
	1. Successful Parsing:	
	2. Error Handling:	
	3. Manual Cross-Checking:	
	4. Summary Output:	
Conclusio	n	
	phenku >.<	

Parsing Strings Using LL(1) Parsing Table

Goals

- Extend the work from Assignment 2 where we created a Context-Free Grammar (CFG) and constructed its LL(1) parsing table.
- > Use the previously built parsing table to parse multiple input strings.
- > Report each parsing step, identify parsing errors if any, and verify correctness.

Approach

Our approach followed these steps:

1. Reuse Assignment 2 Code:

Implemented new code in the previous code that:

- > Read a CFG.
- > Remove Left factoring and Left recursion.
- Computes FIRST and FOLLOW sets.
- > Builds the LL(1) Parsing Table.

2. Parsing Input Strings:

- > We extended the program to read **multiple lines** from an input file.
 - Each line represents a separate input string (tokenized based on spaces).
- Each input string was **appended with an end-marker** \$ to indicate the end of the input.

3. Parsing Mechanism:

- > A **stack-based parser** was implemented.
- > Initially, the stack is loaded with \$ and the **start symbol** of the CFG.
- > At every step:
 - If the top of the stack **matches** the current input symbol, they are both popped/moved forward.
 - Otherwise:
 - If the top is a **non-terminal**, the appropriate production is **expanded** based on the LL(1) parsing table.
 - If no matching production exists, an **error** is reported.

4. Logging Parsing Steps:

- > For every action (MATCH, GENERATE, ERROR), the parser writes:
 - The current input symbol
 - The action taken
 - The current contents of the stack
- ➤ These logs were saved into SEPARATE FILES(parsing_output_line_line_number>.txt) for each input line.

Challenges Faced

- Stack Representation and Readability:
 - While printing the parsing steps, ensuring the stack contents looked clean and human-readable was challenging.
 - We developed a helper function stackToString to print the stack from bottom to top in a neat format.

- Mismatch Between Expected and Actual Input:
 - One of the difficulties was UNDERSTANDING and clearly explaining different types of syntax errors, such as:
 - Unexpected tokens at the start.
 - Missing symbols mid-parsing.
 - Premature end-of-input errors.
 - o Error messages were designed carefully to guide users toward the exact mistake.
 - The given Assignment file doesn't specify the type of errors.

Using the previous code:

 We already had a complex implementation in our previous code, and we had to modify/reuse the previous code which made us not want to start the assignment xD.

Output Alignment:

- Aligning the columns properly when printing MATCH and GENERATE actions was tricky because text width varies if not viewed with a monospaced font.
- We used formatting functions like setw() and recommended viewing outputs in a monospaced font (e.g., Consolas) for clarity.
- Still it was unclear so we detected that the stack column has the max length, so we
 printed it in the end to keep our output aligned. And we also shifted all our outputs from
 terminal to .txt files.

```
G A3.cpp

≡ string.txt

≡ parsing_output_line_2.txt ×

■ output.txt

                                                                                                 input.txt
 ■ parsing_output_line_2.txt
       --- Parsing line 2 ---
       INPUT
                                                                                    STACK
      id
                                             Generate S → AK
                                                                                 [ $ K A 1
      id
                                             Generate A → Fa
                                                                                 [ $ K a B ]
      id
                                             Generate F \rightarrow Gb
       id
                                             Generate G → H
       id
      d
                                             Generate b → ε
                                             Generate a → La
      d
                                             Generate L → d
                                             MATCH
      e
                                             Generate a → La
                                             Generate L → e
                                             MATCH
      Result: Syntax Error: unexpected '<' after 'e' (mismatched productions)
```

Correctness Verification

To verify the correctness of the parser:

- 1. Successful Parsing:
 - > Input lines that conform to the CFG were parsed successfully without any errors.
 - > These cases printed "Result: parsed successfully" in the respective output files.
- 2. Error Handling:
 - > Input strings with syntax errors correctly triggered meaningful error messages.
 - > Different types of parsing errors were identified (unexpected token, missing symbols, unmatched non-terminals, etc.).
 - > All possible error cases were tested, using multiple inputs. The input.txt file is attached.
- 3. Manual Cross-Checking:
 - > For some test cases, parsing steps were manually traced alongside the program's output to ensure every stack operation and match was correct.
- 4. Summary Output:
 - > At the end of parsing, the program printed a summary:
 - Total number of input lines
 - Number of successfully parsed lines
 - Number of errors encountered
 - Specific error details for each line

```
Parsing completed.
Total lines parsed: 5
Successful parses: 1
Errors encountered: 4
Line2: Syntax Error: unexpected '<' after 'e' (no required productions)
Line3: Syntax Error: unexpected end of input, expected 'else' (missing symbols)
Line4: Syntax Error: unexpected end of input. (mismatched productions)
Line5: Syntax Error: unexpected '<' after 'if' (no required productions)
aisha@DESKTOP-70CHQ16: $
```

This provided an easy way to check overall performance and identify lines that failed.

Conclusion

phenku >.<

This assignment helped us test our previous assignment code.