

```

@app.route("/client_registration", methods = ['POST'])
def registerToSite():
    #Parameters -> [fullName, userName, email, password, phone]
    fullName = request.form['fullName']
    userName = request.form['userName']
    email = request.form['email']
    password = request.form['password']
    phone = request.form['phone']
    if fullName != '' and userName != '' and email != '' and password != '' and phone != '':
        # Check if email already exist
        dbConn = get_db_connection()
        dbCursor = dbConn.cursor()
        q = 'select userName from users where email = "' + email + "'"
        dbData = dbCursor.execute(q).fetchall()
        if len(dbData) > 0:
            return {'msg': 'Email already Exist'}

        dbCursor.execute("INSERT INTO users (fullName, userName, email, password, phone, privillage) VALUES (?, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?)",
            (fullName, userName, email, password, phone, 2)
        )
        dbConn.commit()
        dbConn.close()
        return {'msg': 'User Registered'}
    else:
        return {'msg': 'Invalid Data'}

```

## Security Vulnerabilities for /client\_registration

| ID  | Vulnerability Name<br>(Risk Score)                        | Issue   | Risk  | Steps to Reproduce   | Suggested Mitigation  |
|-----|---|---|---|--|---|
| V-1 | <b>SQL Injection Vulnerability</b><br>(Risk Score: 10/10) | The query string concatenates user input directly: `q = 'select userName from users where email = "' + email + "'"` | Allows attackers to inject malicious SQL code, potentially exposing or altering the database. | 1. Use a tool like Burp Suite or Postman to send a POST request with email set to " OR 1=1--.<br>2. Observe the SQL query executed and verify if it bypasses the email uniqueness check. | Use parameterized queries for all database operations.            |
| V-2 | <b>Cleartext Password Storage</b><br>(Risk Score: 9/10)   | Passwords are stored directly in the database without encryption.   | If the database is compromised, all passwords are exposed in plaintext.                       | 1. Inspect the database after a user registration.<br>2. Observe that passwords are stored in plaintext.   | Use a secure hashing algorithm (e.g., bcrypt) to store passwords. |

|     |   |  |   |  |  |
|-----|---|--|---|--|--|
| V-3 | <b>Lack of HTTPS Enforcement</b><br>(Risk Score: 9/10)                | No enforcement for HTTPS usage.  | User data, including passwords, could be intercepted in transit.  | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Run the app without HTTPS (default Flask development mode).</li> <li>2. Capture the network request with tools like Wireshark.</li> <li>3. Observe sensitive data in plaintext.</li> </ol> | Use a library like Flask-Talisman to enforce HTTPS and secure headers.   |
| V-4 | <b>No Rate Limiting</b><br>(Risk Score: 8/10)                         | No mechanism to limit the number of requests from a client.  | Vulnerable to brute force and denial-of-service attacks.  | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Use a tool like Postman or JMeter to send rapid repeated requests to /client_registration.</li> <li>2. Observe that there is no throttling or blocking of repeated requests.</li> </ol>    | Use rate-limiting middleware like Flask-Limiter to restrict request rates.   |
| V-5 | <b>Error Disclosure</b><br>(Risk Score: 7/10)                         | The error message reveals whether an email exists in the system:<br>{ 'msg': 'Email already Exist' } | Exposes valid emails to attackers during enumeration attempts.  | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Send a POST request with an existing email.</li> <li>2. Observe the specific error message disclosing that the email already exists.</li> </ol>  | Return generic error messages to prevent information disclosure.   |
| V-6 | <b>Lack of Input Validation</b><br>(Risk Score: 6/10)                 | No validation of input fields like email, password strength, or phone format.                        | Increases the risk of invalid or malicious data being stored in the database.                                   | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Send a POST request with invalid input, such as an incorrect email format or weak password.</li> <li>2. Observe that the data is accepted and stored without validation.</li> </ol>        | Use libraries like Cerberus or Marshmallow for input validation. Ensure passwords meet complexity requirements and validate formats for email and phone. |
| V-7 | <b>Weak Password Enforcement</b><br>(Risk Score: 6/10)                | No mechanism enforces strong password policies.  | Weak passwords increase the risk of account compromise.   | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Send a registration request with a weak password like "12345".</li> <li>2. Observe that the system accepts the weak password.</li> </ol>   | Enforce a strong password policy requiring minimum length, uppercase, lowercase, numbers, and special characters.  |
| V-8 | <b>Lack of Role-Based Access Control (RBAC)</b><br>(Risk Score: 6/10) | Hardcoded privilege value does not align with a robust RBAC mechanism.                               | No granular control over what users can do, increasing the risk of unauthorized access or privilege escalation. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Review the privilege column values in the database.</li> <li>2. Observe the lack of flexibility or proper validation for assigning roles.</li> </ol>                                       | Implement a proper RBAC system and ensure roles and privileges are dynamically validated during registration.  |
| V-9 | <b>Missing Logging and Monitoring</b><br>(Risk Score: 5/10)           | No evidence of logging or monitoring for suspicious activity or registration failures.               | Makes it harder to detect and respond to attacks or anomalies in real time.                                     | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Review the codebase and logs for any record of failed registration attempts or suspicious activity.</li> <li>2. Observe the lack of logging and monitoring.</li> </ol>                     | Implement logging and monitoring mechanisms to track registration activity and flag anomalies (e.g., rate of failed attempts).                           |

## Logical Vulnerabilities for /client\_registration

| ID   | Vulnerability Name<br>(Risk Score)                           | Issue   | Risk  | Steps to Reproduce   | Suggested Mitigation  |
|------|--|---|---|--|---|
| V-10 | <b>Unrestricted Registration</b><br>(Risk score: 7/10)       | No mechanism to restrict user registration (e.g., CAPTCHA, email verification).                     | Allows bots or malicious users to create fake accounts, leading to potential spam or abuse.         | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Write a simple script to automate registration requests.</li> <li>2. Observe that there is no verification mechanism like CAPTCHA or email validation to block automated attempts.</li> </ol>  | Implement CAPTCHA and email verification during registration to prevent abuse.  |
| V-11 | <b>Hardcoded Privilege Value</b><br>(Risk score: 6/10)       | Privilege is hardcoded as 2 without validation or flexibility.                                      | May allow unintended privilege escalation or misuse if the privilege system changes.                | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inspect the privilege value stored in the database for all new users.</li> <li>2. Observe that it is always hardcoded to 2.</li> </ol>   | Dynamically determine or validate the privilege level rather than hardcoding it.  |
| V-12 | <b>Email Uniqueness Check Logic</b><br>(Risk score: 5/10)    | Email uniqueness is verified using a raw query and fetching all rows.                               | Inefficient for large datasets and prone to performance issues.                                     | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Add a large number of records to the database.</li> <li>2. Observe performance degradation when checking email uniqueness.</li> </ol>  | Add a UNIQUE constraint to the email column in the database for efficiency and integrity.                               |
| V-13 | <b>Duplicate User Registration</b><br>(Risk score: 5/10)     | No mechanism prevents users with the same userName from registering, potentially causing conflicts. | Can lead to user confusion and ambiguous identification within the system.                          | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Send two registration requests with the same userName but different emails.</li> <li>2. Observe that the system allows both registrations, leading to ambiguity.</li> </ol>                    | Add a UNIQUE constraint to the userName column in the database to prevent duplicates.                                   |
| V-14 | <b>Missing DB Error Handling</b><br>(Risk score: 4/10)       | No handling for potential database failures, such as connection issues or query errors.             | If a database error occurs, it could crash the server or return unhandled exceptions to the client. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Intentionally disrupt the database connection (e.g., by stopping the DB service).</li> <li>2. Send a POST request and observe that the app crashes or returns unhandled exceptions.</li> </ol> | Use try-except blocks around database operations to handle exceptions gracefully and provide meaningful error messages. |
| V-15 | <b>Unvalidated Phone Number Format</b><br>(Risk score: 4/10) | Phone numbers are not validated, allowing invalid or improperly formatted data to be entered.       | Reduces the quality of data stored and increases the risk of invalid contact information.           | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Send a registration request with an invalid phone number format.</li> <li>2. Observe that the system accepts invalid phone numbers without validation.</li> </ol>                              | Use a library like phonenumbers to validate phone number formats during registration.                                   |