

data-ppf.github.io apr 30 2019

lecture 14 of 14: future of data

chris wiggins + matt jones, Columbia

themes for today

themes for today

- ▶ we've diagnosed the problem (last week), what are the solutions?

themes for today

- ▶ we've diagnosed the problem (last week), what are the solutions?
- ▶ predicting the future by

themes for today

- ▶ we've diagnosed the problem (last week), what are the solutions?
- ▶ predicting the future by
 - ▶ tech: seeing what is soon-to-be possible

themes for today

- ▶ we've diagnosed the problem (last week), what are the solutions?
- ▶ predicting the future by
 - ▶ tech: seeing what is soon-to-be possible
 - ▶ power: seeing what's contested (last week), and who can change it (this week)

themes for today

- ▶ we've diagnosed the problem (last week), what are the solutions?
- ▶ predicting the future by
 - ▶ tech: seeing what is soon-to-be possible
 - ▶ power: seeing what's contested (last week), and who can change it (this week)
 - ▶ related: who has power?

solutionist stages: what to look for this week

solutionist stages: what to look for this week

1. what is problem (name the dumpsterfire)

solutionist stages: what to look for this week

1. what is problem (name the dumpsterfire)
2. why a problem / for whom

solutionist stages: what to look for this week

1. what is problem (name the dumpsterfire)
2. why a problem / for whom
 - ▶ role of ethics (R,B,J,L)

solutionist stages: what to look for this week

1. what is problem (name the dumpsterfire)
2. why a problem / for whom
 - ▶ role of ethics (R,B,J,L)
 - ▶ disparate impact (R,B,L)

solutionist stages: what to look for this week

1. what is problem (name the dumpsterfire)
2. why a problem / for whom
 - ▶ role of ethics (R,B,J,L)
 - ▶ disparate impact (R,B,L)
 - ▶ careful: problem for CEOs or for you? e.g.,

solutionist stages: what to look for this week

1. what is problem (name the dumpsterfire)
2. why a problem / for whom
 - ▶ role of ethics (R,B,J,L)
 - ▶ disparate impact (R,B,L)
 - ▶ careful: problem for CEOs or for you? e.g.,
 - ▶ labor vs min wage

solutionist stages: what to look for this week

1. what is problem (name the dumpsterfire)
2. why a problem / for whom
 - ▶ role of ethics (R,B,J,L)
 - ▶ disparate impact (R,B,L)
 - ▶ careful: problem for CEOs or for you? e.g.,
 - ▶ labor vs min wage
 - ▶ terminator problem

solutionist stages: what to look for this week

1. what is problem (name the dumpsterfire)
2. why a problem / for whom
 - ▶ role of ethics (R,B,J,L)
 - ▶ disparate impact (R,B,L)
 - ▶ careful: problem for CEOs or for you? e.g.,
 - ▶ labor vs min wage
 - ▶ terminator problem
3. identify goal ('need', 'should')

solutionist stages: what to look for this week

1. what is problem (name the dumpsterfire)
2. why a problem / for whom
 - ▶ role of ethics (R,B,J,L)
 - ▶ disparate impact (R,B,L)
 - ▶ careful: problem for CEOs or for you? e.g.,
 - ▶ labor vs min wage
 - ▶ terminator problem
3. identify goal ('need', 'should')
 - ▶ also check goal against 2, e.g.,

solutionist stages: what to look for this week

1. what is problem (name the dumpsterfire)
2. why a problem / for whom
 - ▶ role of ethics (R,B,J,L)
 - ▶ disparate impact (R,B,L)
 - ▶ careful: problem for CEOs or for you? e.g.,
 - ▶ labor vs min wage
 - ▶ terminator problem
3. identify goal ('need', 'should')
 - ▶ also check goal against 2, e.g.,
 - ▶ transfer of harms?

solutionist stages: what to look for this week

1. what is problem (name the dumpsterfire)
2. why a problem / for whom
 - ▶ role of ethics (R,B,J,L)
 - ▶ disparate impact (R,B,L)
 - ▶ careful: problem for CEOs or for you? e.g.,
 - ▶ labor vs min wage
 - ▶ terminator problem
3. identify goal ('need', 'should')
 - ▶ also check goal against 2, e.g.,
 - ▶ transfer of harms?
 - ▶ creates new ethical / legal problem? (e.g., tech solution to face recognition)

solutionist stages: what to look for this week

1. what is problem (name the dumpsterfire)
2. why a problem / for whom
 - ▶ role of ethics (R,B,J,L)
 - ▶ disparate impact (R,B,L)
 - ▶ careful: problem for CEOs or for you? e.g.,
 - ▶ labor vs min wage
 - ▶ terminator problem
3. identify goal ('need', 'should')
 - ▶ also check goal against 2, e.g.,
 - ▶ transfer of harms?
 - ▶ creates new ethical / legal problem? (e.g., tech solution to face recognition)
4. identify who should solve it useful powers (SCP)

solutionist stages: what to look for this week

1. what is problem (name the dumpsterfire)
2. why a problem / for whom
 - ▶ role of ethics (R,B,J,L)
 - ▶ disparate impact (R,B,L)
 - ▶ careful: problem for CEOs or for you? e.g.,
 - ▶ labor vs min wage
 - ▶ terminator problem
3. identify goal ('need', 'should')
 - ▶ also check goal against 2, e.g.,
 - ▶ transfer of harms?
 - ▶ creates new ethical / legal problem? (e.g., tech solution to face recognition)
4. identify who should solve it useful powers (SCP)
5. deploy

student observations

student observations

- ▶ hope?

student observations

- ▶ hope?
- ▶ why am i now learning about. . .

student observations

- ▶ hope?
- ▶ why am i now learning about. . .
 - ▶ dragoneye

student observations

- ▶ hope?
- ▶ why am i now learning about. . .
 - ▶ dragoneye
 - ▶ CDA230

student observations

- ▶ hope?
- ▶ why am i now learning about...
 - ▶ dragoneye
 - ▶ CDA230
 - ▶ ...

student observations

- ▶ hope?
- ▶ why am i now learning about. . .
 - ▶ dragoneye
 - ▶ CDA230
 - ▶ ...
- ▶ futility against 'a giant like Microsoft.'

student observations

- ▶ hope?
- ▶ why am i now learning about. . .
 - ▶ dragoneye
 - ▶ CDA230
 - ▶ ...
- ▶ futility against 'a giant like Microsoft.'
- ▶ "I was hoping for more concrete solutions. But, I guess that's the nature of the problem, that there's no quick way to fix this, and that it does take a deeper exploration, collaboration across the humanities, willingness to change the structure of AI, and the regulations by the government to help move us forward."

readings: Rana F, Renée DiResta, CA, AI Now
[optional: NYT on Kahn]

Rana Foroohar (BC'92) on inter-corporate powers

► 2017-10-15

personal data has become the most valuable resource for nearly every business. . . data peddlers or data stewards

Rana Foroohar (BC'92) on inter-corporate powers

- ▶ 2017-10-15

personal data has become the most valuable resource for nearly every business. . . data peddlers or data stewards

- ▶ fear is “public criticism and the possibility of increased regulation”

Rana Foroohar (BC'92) on inter-corporate powers

- ▶ 2017-10-15

personal data has become the most valuable resource for nearly every business. . . data peddlers or data stewards

- ▶ fear is “public criticism and the possibility of increased regulation”
- ▶ “we don’t need to be regulated to do the right thing. We’ve been doing the right thing for a hundred years” - Virginia Marie “Ginni” Rometty, chair, president, and CEO of IBM

Rana Foroohar (BC'92) on inter-corporate powers

- ▶ 2017-10-15

personal data has become the most valuable resource for nearly every business. . . data peddlers or data stewards

- ▶ fear is “public criticism and the possibility of increased regulation”
- ▶ “we don’t need to be regulated to do the right thing. We’ve been doing the right thing for a hundred years” - Virginia Marie “Ginni” Rometty, chair, president, and CEO of IBM
- ▶ “*privacy has become a competitive advantage.*”

Rana: future

tech, data policy, and interaction design:

- ▶ on device-ML, aka 'edge computing', with 'federated machine learning'

corporate positioning w.r.t. policy:

The comment was a clear swipe at Google and Facebook, both of which have been fined by national privacy watchdogs for their data collection methods, as well as [... to GDPR] But it was also a new kind of marketing pitch.

Rana: future

tech, data policy, and interaction design:

- ▶ on device-ML, aka 'edge computing', with 'federated machine learning'
- ▶ IBM's "data principles. . . [for] trust in Big Tech"

corporate positioning w.r.t. policy:

The comment was a clear swipe at Google and Facebook, both of which have been fined by national privacy watchdogs for their data collection methods, as well as [... to GDPR] But it was also a new kind of marketing pitch.

Rana: future

tech, data policy, and interaction design:

- ▶ on device-ML, aka 'edge computing', with 'federated machine learning'
- ▶ IBM's "data principles. . . [for] trust in Big Tech"
 - ▶ no government surveillance assistance (see Snowden 2013)

corporate positioning w.r.t. policy:

The comment was a clear swipe at Google and Facebook, both of which have been fined by national privacy watchdogs for their data collection methods, as well as [... to GDPR] But it was also a new kind of marketing pitch.

Rana: future

tech, data policy, and interaction design:

- ▶ on device-ML, aka 'edge computing', with 'federated machine learning'
- ▶ IBM's "data principles. . . [for] trust in Big Tech"
 - ▶ no government surveillance assistance (see Snowden 2013)
 - ▶ "clients. . . own..rights to their end data" and "" learning' from it."

corporate positioning w.r.t. policy:

The comment was a clear swipe at Google and Facebook, both of which have been fined by national privacy watchdogs for their data collection methods, as well as [... to GDPR] But it was also a new kind of marketing pitch.

IBM (B2B) details:

won't keep any proprietary data in their servers for more than a specified contract period

informational wealth . . . owned by [corporate/state] clients themselves

Apple (B2C) details:

Apple...touting a technique known as “differential privacy”... before it leaves a user’s device

- ▶ Cook: “privacy is a fundamental human right” (though unclear how China as a market will impact this)

it’s good PR, and that’s certainly something that Big Tech could do with these days (recall ‘ethics theater’ – Whittaker)

Renee DiResta: “What's the Prescription?”

Problems

- ▶ “propaganda”+“social media”

Problems

- ▶ “propaganda”+“social media”
- ▶ foreign states (.ru, .ir),

Problems

- ▶ “propaganda”+“social media”
- ▶ foreign states (.ru, .ir),
- ▶ privacy (e.g., Cambridge Analytica discussions)

Problems

- ▶ “propaganda”+“social media”
- ▶ foreign states (.ru, .ir),
- ▶ privacy (e.g., Cambridge Analytica discussions)
- ▶ radicalizing algos

Problems

- ▶ “propaganda”+“social media”
- ▶ foreign states (.ru, .ir),
- ▶ privacy (e.g., Cambridge Analytica discussions)
- ▶ radicalizing algos
- ▶ gatekeeping “social platforms”

Solutions: regulation

- ▶ CA's GDPR: California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA), June 28, 2018

Solutions: regulation

- ▶ CA's GDPR: California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA), June 28, 2018
 - ▶ "\$100 to \$750 per California resident and incident, or actual damages, whichever is greater, and any other relief a court deems proper, subject to an option of the California Attorney General's Office to prosecute the company instead of allowing civil suits to be brought against it (Cal. Civ. Code §1798.150)."

Solutions: regulation

- ▶ CA's GDPR: California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA), June 28, 2018
 - ▶ "\$100 to \$750 per California resident and incident, or actual damages, whichever is greater, and any other relief a court deems proper, subject to an option of the California Attorney General's Office to prosecute the company instead of allowing civil suits to be brought against it (Cal. Civ. Code §1798.150)."
 - ▶ "A fine up to \$7,500 for each intentional violation and \$2,500 for each

Solutions: regulation

- ▶ CA's GDPR: California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA), June 28, 2018
 - ▶ "\$100 to \$750 per California resident and incident, or actual damages, whichever is greater, and any other relief a court deems proper, subject to an option of the California Attorney General's Office to prosecute the company instead of allowing civil suits to be brought against it (Cal. Civ. Code §1798.150)."
 - ▶ "A fine up to \$7,500 for each intentional violation and \$2,500 for each
- ▶ Hipster Antitrust (name coined by opponents)

Solutions: regulation

- ▶ CA's GDPR: California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA), June 28, 2018
 - ▶ "\$100 to \$750 per California resident and incident, or actual damages, whichever is greater, and any other relief a court deems proper, subject to an option of the California Attorney General's Office to prosecute the company instead of allowing civil suits to be brought against it (Cal. Civ. Code §1798.150)."
 - ▶ "A fine up to \$7,500 for each intentional violation and \$2,500 for each"
- ▶ Hipster Antitrust (name coined by opponents)
 - ▶ neo-Brandeisians vs "price-based era of monopoly law"

Solutions: regulation

- ▶ **CA's GDPR: California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA)**, June 28, 2018
 - ▶ "\$100 to \$750 per California resident and incident, or actual damages, whichever is greater, and any other relief a court deems proper, subject to an option of the California Attorney General's Office to prosecute the company instead of allowing civil suits to be brought against it (Cal. Civ. Code §1798.150)."
 - ▶ "A fine up to \$7,500 for each intentional violation and \$2,500 for each"
- ▶ **Hipster Antitrust** (name coined by opponents)
 - ▶ neo-Brandeisians vs "price-based era of monopoly law"
 - ▶ "These are new technologies and new business models," Ms. Khan said. "The remedy is new thinking that is informed by traditional principles."

Solutions: regulation

- ▶ **CA's GDPR: California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA)**, June 28, 2018
 - ▶ "\$100 to \$750 per California resident and incident, or actual damages, whichever is greater, and any other relief a court deems proper, subject to an option of the California Attorney General's Office to prosecute the company instead of allowing civil suits to be brought against it (Cal. Civ. Code §1798.150)."
 - ▶ "A fine up to \$7,500 for each intentional violation and \$2,500 for each
- ▶ **Hipster Antitrust** (name coined by opponents)
 - ▶ neo-Brandeisians vs "price-based era of monopoly law"
 - ▶ "These are new technologies and new business models," Ms. Khan said. "The remedy is new thinking that is informed by traditional principles."
 - ▶ esp. **Bork v Brandeis** framing

Solutions: regulation

- ▶ **CA's GDPR: California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA)**, June 28, 2018
 - ▶ "\$100 to \$750 per California resident and incident, or actual damages, whichever is greater, and any other relief a court deems proper, subject to an option of the California Attorney General's Office to prosecute the company instead of allowing civil suits to be brought against it (Cal. Civ. Code §1798.150)."
 - ▶ "A fine up to \$7,500 for each intentional violation and \$2,500 for each"
- ▶ **Hipster Antitrust** (name coined by opponents)
 - ▶ neo-Brandeisians vs "price-based era of monopoly law"
 - ▶ "These are new technologies and new business models," Ms. Khan said. "The remedy is new thinking that is informed by traditional principles."
 - ▶ esp. **Bork v Brandeis** framing
 - ▶ potential collateral benefit: security through multiplicity and smaller-scale diffusion

4 areas: “oversight, cooperation, education, nat’l security center”

1/4 oversight:

- ▶ “narrow changes to the 1996 Communications Decency Act (CDA) Section 230”, 1996: “eliminating immunity for platforms that leave up content that threatens or intentionally incites physical violence.” (FCC)

1/4 oversight:

- ▶ “narrow changes to the 1996 Communications Decency Act (CDA) Section 230”, 1996: “eliminating immunity for platforms that leave up content that threatens or intentionally incites physical violence.” (FCC)
- ▶ revisit “Do Not Track Me Online Act of 2011” to “curb targetability and tracking” (FTC)

1/4 oversight:

- ▶ “narrow changes to the 1996 Communications Decency Act (CDA) Section 230”, 1996: “eliminating immunity for platforms that leave up content that threatens or intentionally incites physical violence.” (FCC)
- ▶ revisit “Do Not Track Me Online Act of 2011” to “curb targetability and tracking” (FTC)
- ▶ “The Honest Ads Act. . . [regulates] political advertising on the Internet similarly to television, radio, and print advertising” (FEC)

1/4 oversight:

- ▶ “narrow changes to the 1996 Communications Decency Act (CDA) Section 230”, 1996: “eliminating immunity for platforms that leave up content that threatens or intentionally incites physical violence.” (FCC)
- ▶ revisit “Do Not Track Me Online Act of 2011” to “curb targetability and tracking” (FTC)
- ▶ “The Honest Ads Act. . . [regulates] political advertising on the Internet similarly to television, radio, and print advertising” (FEC)
 - ▶ platforms “to disclose how much specific political ads on their platforms cost; the number of ad views; how the ad was targeted; and the contact information of the buyer.”

1/4 oversight:

- ▶ “narrow changes to the 1996 Communications Decency Act (CDA) Section 230”, 1996: “eliminating immunity for platforms that leave up content that threatens or intentionally incites physical violence.” (FCC)
- ▶ revisit “Do Not Track Me Online Act of 2011” to “curb targetability and tracking” (FTC)
- ▶ “The Honest Ads Act. . . [regulates] political advertising on the Internet similarly to television, radio, and print advertising” (FEC)
 - ▶ platforms “to disclose how much specific political ads on their platforms cost; the number of ad views; how the ad was targeted; and the contact information of the buyer.”
- ▶ “SEC for the technology industry.”

2/4 cooperation:

- ▶ detecting campaigns early

2/4 cooperation:

- ▶ detecting campaigns early
- ▶ companies/governments/independent researchers

2/4 cooperation:

- ▶ detecting campaigns early
- ▶ companies/governments/independent researchers
- ▶ cf., Information Sharing and Analysis Centers, or ISACs in healthcare, financial services, and aviation

2/4 cooperation:

- ▶ detecting campaigns early
- ▶ companies/governments/independent researchers
- ▶ cf., Information Sharing and Analysis Centers, or ISACs in healthcare, financial services, and aviation
- ▶ Global Internet Forum to Counter Terrorism:
UN/NGOs/academics

2/4 cooperation:

- ▶ detecting campaigns early
- ▶ companies/governments/independent researchers
- ▶ cf., Information Sharing and Analysis Centers, or ISACs in healthcare, financial services, and aviation
- ▶ Global Internet Forum to Counter Terrorism:
UN/NGOs/academics
- ▶ Global Engagement Center (State)

2/4 cooperation:

- ▶ detecting campaigns early
- ▶ companies/governments/independent researchers
- ▶ cf., Information Sharing and Analysis Centers, or ISACs in healthcare, financial services, and aviation
- ▶ Global Internet Forum to Counter Terrorism:
UN/NGOs/academics
- ▶ Global Engagement Center (State)
- ▶ “pen-testing,” “bug bounty”

3/4 education

- ▶ government-led media literacy campaign, complete with PSAs that explain how algorithmic ranking works, and why disinformation spreads.

3/4 education

- ▶ government-led media literacy campaign, complete with PSAs that explain how algorithmic ranking works, and why disinformation spreads.
- ▶ Estonia, Sweden, and Finland

3/4 education

- ▶ government-led media literacy campaign, complete with PSAs that explain how algorithmic ranking works, and why disinformation spreads.
- ▶ Estonia, Sweden, and Finland
- ▶ Warner's 20 policy recommendations for regulation

4/4 “a new national security doctrine.”

- ▶ no White House cyber czar, no cyber Bureau, and no senior cyber coordinator at the State Department

“multi-faceted solution, not digital security theater. There is no simple technical fix, nor silver-bullet feature that Facebook or Twitter engineers can deploy to “solve” disinformation.”

4/4 “a new national security doctrine.”

- ▶ no White House cyber czar, no cyber Bureau, and no senior cyber coordinator at the State Department
- ▶ need sanctions, export controls, indictments, and military action.

“multi-faceted solution, not digital security theater. There is no simple technical fix, nor silver-bullet feature that Facebook or Twitter engineers can deploy to “solve” disinformation.”

4/4 “a new national security doctrine.”

- ▶ no White House cyber czar, no cyber Bureau, and no senior cyber coordinator at the State Department
- ▶ need sanctions, export controls, indictments, and military action.
- ▶ restoring a cybersecurity coordinator position

“multi-faceted solution, not digital security theater. There is no simple technical fix, nor silver-bullet feature that Facebook or Twitter engineers can deploy to “solve” disinformation.”

4/4 “a new national security doctrine.”

- ▶ no White House cyber czar, no cyber Bureau, and no senior cyber coordinator at the State Department
- ▶ need sanctions, export controls, indictments, and military action.
- ▶ restoring a cybersecurity coordinator position
- ▶ legislation

“multi-faceted solution, not digital security theater. There is no simple technical fix, nor silver-bullet feature that Facebook or Twitter engineers can deploy to “solve” disinformation.”

RAD: Missing: USG and \$

USG shapes companies and markets via \$ as well!

- ▶ customer, e.g.,

RAD: Missing: USG and \$

USG shapes companies and markets via \$ as well!

- ▶ customer, e.g.,
 - ▶ rise of silicon valley = .mil \$

RAD: Missing: USG and \$

USG shapes companies and markets via \$ as well!

- ▶ customer, e.g.,
 - ▶ rise of silicon valley = .mil \$
 - ▶ contracts from ICE, etc.

RAD: Missing: USG and \$

USG shapes companies and markets via \$ as well!

- ▶ customer, e.g.,
 - ▶ rise of silicon valley = .mil \$
 - ▶ contracts from ICE, etc.
- ▶ investor, e.g., In-Q-tel

RAD: Missing: USG and \$

USG shapes companies and markets via \$ as well!

- ▶ customer, e.g.,
 - ▶ rise of silicon valley = .mil \$
 - ▶ contracts from ICE, etc.
- ▶ investor, e.g., In-Q-tel
- ▶ tax collector

People power: “The Tech Revolt”

People power: “The Tech Revolt”

A sometimes pointed, sometimes resigned conversation with engineers, designers, research scientists, and job candidates who are pushing for a more ethical Silicon Valley

Interviews by Cameron Bird, Sean Captain, Elise Craig, Haley Cohen Gilliland, and Joy Shan

“Revolt”: structure

1. leigh honeywell, former security engineer at slack, ceo and co-founder of tall poppy (Slack, Nov/Dec 2016)

“Revolt”: structure

1. leigh honeywell, former security engineer at slack, ceo and co-founder of tall poppy (Slack, Nov/Dec 2016)
2. daniel sieradski, open-source developer (MSFT, Jun 2017)

“Revolt”: structure

1. leigh honeywell, former security engineer at slack, ceo and co-founder of tall poppy (Slack, Nov/Dec 2016)
2. daniel sieradski, open-source developer (MSFT, Jun 2017)
3. anna geiduschek, software engineer at dropbox (AMZN, Aug 2017)

“Revolt”: structure

1. leigh honeywell, former security engineer at slack, ceo and co-founder of tall poppy (Slack, Nov/Dec 2016)
2. daniel sieradski, open-source developer (MSFT, Jun 2017)
3. anna geiduschek, software engineer at dropbox (AMZN, Aug 2017)
4. jack poulson, former senior research scientist at google (GOOG, Aug/Sep 2018)

“Revolt”: structure

1. leigh honeywell, former security engineer at slack, ceo and co-founder of tall poppy (Slack, Nov/Dec 2016)
2. daniel sieradski, open-source developer (MSFT, Jun 2017)
3. anna geiduschek, software engineer at dropbox (AMZN, Aug 2017)
4. jack poulson, former senior research scientist at google (GOOG, Aug/Sep 2018)
5. anonymous, engineer at microsoft and volunteer in the tech workers coalition (MSFT, Jan 2018)

“Revolt”: structure

1. leigh honeywell, former security engineer at slack, ceo and co-founder of tall poppy (Slack, Nov/Dec 2016)
2. daniel sieradski, open-source developer (MSFT, Jun 2017)
3. anna geiduschek, software engineer at dropbox (AMZN, Aug 2017)
4. jack poulson, former senior research scientist at google (GOOG, Aug/Sep 2018)
5. anonymous, engineer at microsoft and volunteer in the tech workers coalition (MSFT, Jan 2018)
6. sahil talwar, former engineer at lanetix, now at oracle (Lanetix, Jan 2018)

“Revolt”: structure

1. leigh honeywell, former security engineer at slack, ceo and co-founder of tall poppy (Slack, Nov/Dec 2016)
2. daniel sieradski, open-source developer (MSFT, Jun 2017)
3. anna geiduschek, software engineer at dropbox (AMZN, Aug 2017)
4. jack poulson, former senior research scientist at google (GOOG, Aug/Sep 2018)
5. anonymous, engineer at microsoft and volunteer in the tech workers coalition (MSFT, Jan 2018)
6. sahil talwar, former engineer at lanetix, now at oracle (Lanetix, Jan 2018)
7. anonymous, former product designer at facebook (FB, 2018)

“Revolt”: structure

1. leigh honeywell, former security engineer at slack, ceo and co-founder of tall poppy (Slack, Nov/Dec 2016)
2. daniel sieradski, open-source developer (MSFT, Jun 2017)
3. anna geiduschek, software engineer at dropbox (AMZN, Aug 2017)
4. jack poulson, former senior research scientist at google (GOOG, Aug/Sep 2018)
5. anonymous, engineer at microsoft and volunteer in the tech workers coalition (MSFT, Jan 2018)
6. sahil talwar, former engineer at lanetix, now at oracle (Lanetix, Jan 2018)
7. anonymous, former product designer at facebook (FB, 2018)
8. jamie kyle, open-source developer (Lerna/Palantir, Aug 2018)

“Revolt”: structure

1. leigh honeywell, former security engineer at slack, ceo and co-founder of tall poppy (Slack, Nov/Dec 2016)
2. daniel sieradski, open-source developer (MSFT, Jun 2017)
3. anna geiduschek, software engineer at dropbox (AMZN, Aug 2017)
4. jack poulson, former senior research scientist at google (GOOG, Aug/Sep 2018)
5. anonymous, engineer at microsoft and volunteer in the tech workers coalition (MSFT, Jan 2018)
6. sahil talwar, former engineer at lanetix, now at oracle (Lanetix, Jan 2018)
7. anonymous, former product designer at facebook (FB, 2018)
8. jamie kyle, open-source developer (Lerna/Palantir, Aug 2018)
9. meredith whittaker, founder and lead of google's open research group and co-founder of the AI Now institute at new york university (GOOG, Apr-Nov 2018)

“Politics Comes to Silicon Valley: A Timeline
[sic]”

“Politics Comes to Silicon Valley: A Timeline [sic]”

- ▶ “December 2016: neveragain.tech

“Politics Comes to Silicon Valley: A Timeline [sic]”

- ▶ “December 2016: neveragain.tech
- ▶ January 2017: Silicon Valley security guards unionize

“Politics Comes to Silicon Valley: A Timeline [sic]”

- ▶ “December 2016: neveragain.tech
- ▶ January 2017: Silicon Valley security guards unionize
- ▶ February 2017: Googlers learn about Project Dragonfly

“Politics Comes to Silicon Valley: A Timeline [sic]”

- ▶ “December 2016: neveragain.tech
- ▶ January 2017: Silicon Valley security guards unionize
- ▶ February 2017: Googlers learn about Project Dragonfly
- ▶ April 2017: Project Maven announcement

“Politics Comes to Silicon Valley: A Timeline [sic]”

- ▶ “December 2016: neveragain.tech
- ▶ January 2017: Silicon Valley security guards unionize
- ▶ February 2017: Googlers learn about Project Dragonfly
- ▶ April 2017: Project Maven announcement
- ▶ July 2017: The James Damore memo

“Politics Comes to Silicon Valley: A Timeline [sic]”

- ▶ “December 2016: neveragain.tech
- ▶ January 2017: Silicon Valley security guards unionize
- ▶ February 2017: Googlers learn about Project Dragonfly
- ▶ April 2017: Project Maven announcement
- ▶ July 2017: The James Damore memo
- ▶ September 2017: Google and Project Maven

“Politics Comes to Silicon Valley: A Timeline [sic]”

- ▶ “December 2016: neveragain.tech
- ▶ January 2017: Silicon Valley security guards unionize
- ▶ February 2017: Googlers learn about Project Dragonfly
- ▶ April 2017: Project Maven announcement
- ▶ July 2017: The James Damore memo
- ▶ September 2017: Google and Project Maven
- ▶ March 2018: Salesforce and Customs and Border Protection; Cambridge Analytica scandal breaks

“Politics Comes to Silicon Valley: A Timeline [sic]”

- ▶ “December 2016: neveragain.tech
- ▶ January 2017: Silicon Valley security guards unionize
- ▶ February 2017: Googlers learn about Project Dragonfly
- ▶ April 2017: Project Maven announcement
- ▶ July 2017: The James Damore memo
- ▶ September 2017: Google and Project Maven
- ▶ March 2018: Salesforce and Customs and Border Protection; Cambridge Analytica scandal breaks
- ▶ May 2018: ACLU report on Amazon

“Politics Comes to Silicon Valley: A Timeline [sic]”

- ▶ “December 2016: neveragain.tech
- ▶ January 2017: Silicon Valley security guards unionize
- ▶ February 2017: Googlers learn about Project Dragonfly
- ▶ April 2017: Project Maven announcement
- ▶ July 2017: The James Damore memo
- ▶ September 2017: Google and Project Maven
- ▶ March 2018: Salesforce and Customs and Border Protection; Cambridge Analytica scandal breaks
- ▶ May 2018: ACLU report on Amazon
- ▶ June 2018: Project Maven dropped; Amazon employees sign open letter; Salesforce and Customs and Border Protection

“Politics Comes to Silicon Valley: A Timeline [sic]”

- ▶ “December 2016: neveragain.tech
- ▶ January 2017: Silicon Valley security guards unionize
- ▶ February 2017: Googlers learn about Project Dragonfly
- ▶ April 2017: Project Maven announcement
- ▶ July 2017: The James Damore memo
- ▶ September 2017: Google and Project Maven
- ▶ March 2018: Salesforce and Customs and Border Protection; Cambridge Analytica scandal breaks
- ▶ May 2018: ACLU report on Amazon
- ▶ June 2018: Project Maven dropped; Amazon employees sign open letter; Salesforce and Customs and Border Protection
- ▶ October 2018: Microsoft and the Pentagon; The Andy Rubin payout

“Politics Comes to Silicon Valley: A Timeline [sic]”

- ▶ “December 2016: neveragain.tech
- ▶ January 2017: Silicon Valley security guards unionize
- ▶ February 2017: Googlers learn about Project Dragonfly
- ▶ April 2017: Project Maven announcement
- ▶ July 2017: The James Damore memo
- ▶ September 2017: Google and Project Maven
- ▶ March 2018: Salesforce and Customs and Border Protection; Cambridge Analytica scandal breaks
- ▶ May 2018: ACLU report on Amazon
- ▶ June 2018: Project Maven dropped; Amazon employees sign open letter; Salesforce and Customs and Border Protection
- ▶ October 2018: Microsoft and the Pentagon; The Andy Rubin payout
- ▶ November 2018: Google walkout takes place; Amazon negotiates with workers; Palantir and ICE

“Politics Comes to Silicon Valley: A Timeline [sic]”

- ▶ “December 2016: neveragain.tech
- ▶ January 2017: Silicon Valley security guards unionize
- ▶ February 2017: Googlers learn about Project Dragonfly
- ▶ April 2017: Project Maven announcement
- ▶ July 2017: The James Damore memo
- ▶ September 2017: Google and Project Maven
- ▶ March 2018: Salesforce and Customs and Border Protection; Cambridge Analytica scandal breaks
- ▶ May 2018: ACLU report on Amazon
- ▶ June 2018: Project Maven dropped; Amazon employees sign open letter; Salesforce and Customs and Border Protection
- ▶ October 2018: Microsoft and the Pentagon; The Andy Rubin payout
- ▶ November 2018: Google walkout takes place; Amazon negotiates with workers; Palantir and ICE
- ▶ December 2018: Google walkout part 2; Google Dragonfly R.I.P.?”

Timeline, addenda from Mountain View

Timeline, addenda from Mountain View

- ▶ Mar 2019: Google “Advanced Technology External Advisory Council (ATEAC)”

Timeline, addenda from Mountain View

- ▶ Mar 2019: Google “Advanced Technology External Advisory Council (ATEAC)”
- ▶ Apr 4 2019: Google disbands ATEAC

Timeline, addenda from Mountain View

- ▶ Mar 2019: Google “Advanced Technology External Advisory Council (ATEAC)”
- ▶ Apr 4 2019: Google disbands ATEAC
- ▶ Last Monday (Apr 22): Meredith Whittaker and Claire Stapleton **email**: GOOG retaliated against them (Wired, Nitasha Tiku)

Timeline, addenda from Mountain View

- ▶ Mar 2019: Google “Advanced Technology External Advisory Council (ATEAC)”
- ▶ Apr 4 2019: Google disbands ATEAC
- ▶ Last Monday (Apr 22): Meredith Whittaker and Claire Stapleton **email**: GOOG retaliated against them (Wired, Nitasha Tiku)
 - ▶ “abandon my work on AI ethics”. . . AI ethics work “was no longer a fit.”. . . cloud division had plans to massively increase sales by “being everywhere Lockheed is”

Timeline, addenda from Mountain View

- ▶ Mar 2019: Google “Advanced Technology External Advisory Council (ATEAC)”
- ▶ Apr 4 2019: Google disbands ATEAC
- ▶ Last Monday (Apr 22): Meredith Whittaker and Claire Stapleton **email**: GOOG retaliated against them (Wired, Nitasha Tiku)
 - ▶ “abandon my work on AI ethics”. . . AI ethics work “was no longer a fit.”. . . cloud division had plans to massively increase sales by “being everywhere Lockheed is”
 - ▶ “demoted and later told to take a medical leave she didn’t need”

Timeline, addenda from Mountain View

- ▶ Mar 2019: Google “Advanced Technology External Advisory Council (ATEAC)”
- ▶ Apr 4 2019: Google disbands ATEAC
- ▶ Last Monday (Apr 22): Meredith Whittaker and Claire Stapleton **email**: GOOG retaliated against them (Wired, Nitasha Tiku)
 - ▶ “abandon my work on AI ethics”. . . AI ethics work “was no longer a fit.”. . . cloud division had plans to massively increase sales by “being everywhere Lockheed is”
 - ▶ “demoted and later told to take a medical leave she didn’t need”
- ▶ Thu (Apr 25) **‘An update on our workplace commitments’**
GOOG PR

Timeline, addenda from Mountain View

- ▶ Mar 2019: Google “Advanced Technology External Advisory Council (ATEAC)”
- ▶ Apr 4 2019: Google disbands ATEAC
- ▶ Last Monday (Apr 22): Meredith Whittaker and Claire Stapleton **email**: GOOG retaliated against them (Wired, Nitasha Tiku)
 - ▶ “abandon my work on AI ethics”. . . AI ethics work “was no longer a fit.”. . . cloud division had plans to massively increase sales by “being everywhere Lockheed is”
 - ▶ “demoted and later told to take a medical leave she didn’t need”
- ▶ Thu (Apr 25) **‘An update on our workplace commitments’**
GOOG PR
- ▶ Friday (Apr 26): town hall @ GOOG

Timeline, addenda from Mountain View

- ▶ Mar 2019: Google “Advanced Technology External Advisory Council (ATEAC)”
- ▶ Apr 4 2019: Google disbands ATEAC
- ▶ Last Monday (Apr 22): Meredith Whittaker and Claire Stapleton **email**: GOOG retaliated against them (Wired, Nitasha Tiku)
 - ▶ “abandon my work on AI ethics”. . . AI ethics work “was no longer a fit.”. . . cloud division had plans to massively increase sales by “being everywhere Lockheed is”
 - ▶ “demoted and later told to take a medical leave she didn’t need”
- ▶ Thu (Apr 25) **‘An update on our workplace commitments’**
GOOG PR
- ▶ Friday (Apr 26): town hall @ GOOG
- ▶ Tomorrow (May 1) **“company-wide day of action”**

people-> corp and people-> people

people-> corp and people-> people

“Before it was this glorious, magical thing to work there,” said Jazz Singh, 18, also studying computer science.

“Everyone cares about ethics in tech before they get a contract,” – Olivia Brown, 20, head of Stanford's Computer Science and Social Good club and an iOS intern at Mozilla

- ▶ “I Don't Really Want to Work for Facebook.' So Say Some Computer Science Students.'

<https://www.nytimes.com/2018/11/15/technology/jobs-facebook-computer-science-students.html>

AI Now: “Solutions [and] Needed Next”

AI Now: “Solutions [and] Needed Next”

AI Now Report 2018

- ▶ Meredith Whittaker, AI Now Institute, New York University,
Google Open Research Kate Crawford, AI Now Institute, New
York University, Microsoft Research

DECEMBER 2018

AI Now: “Solutions [and] Needed Next”

AI Now Report 2018

- ▶ Meredith Whittaker, AI Now Institute, New York University, Google Open Research Kate Crawford, AI Now Institute, New York University, Microsoft Research
- ▶ Roel Dobbe, AI Now Institute, New York University

DECEMBER 2018

AI Now: “Solutions [and] Needed Next”

AI Now Report 2018

- ▶ Meredith Whittaker, AI Now Institute, New York University, Google Open Research Kate Crawford, AI Now Institute, New York University, Microsoft Research
- ▶ Roel Dobbe, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Genevieve Fried, AI Now Institute, New York University

DECEMBER 2018

AI Now: “Solutions [and] Needed Next”

AI Now Report 2018

- ▶ Meredith Whittaker, AI Now Institute, New York University, Google Open Research Kate Crawford, AI Now Institute, New York University, Microsoft Research
- ▶ Roel Dobbe, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Genevieve Fried, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Elizabeth Kaziunas, AI Now Institute, New York University

DECEMBER 2018

AI Now: “Solutions [and] Needed Next”

AI Now Report 2018

- ▶ Meredith Whittaker, AI Now Institute, New York University, Google Open Research Kate Crawford, AI Now Institute, New York University, Microsoft Research
- ▶ Roel Dobbe, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Genevieve Fried, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Elizabeth Kaziunas, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Varoon Mathur, AI Now Institute, New York University

DECEMBER 2018

AI Now: “Solutions [and] Needed Next”

AI Now Report 2018

- ▶ Meredith Whittaker, AI Now Institute, New York University, Google Open Research Kate Crawford, AI Now Institute, New York University, Microsoft Research
- ▶ Roel Dobbe, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Genevieve Fried, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Elizabeth Kaziunas, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Varoon Mathur, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Sarah Myers West, AI Now Institute, New York University

DECEMBER 2018

AI Now: “Solutions [and] Needed Next”

AI Now Report 2018

- ▶ Meredith Whittaker, AI Now Institute, New York University, Google Open Research Kate Crawford, AI Now Institute, New York University, Microsoft Research
- ▶ Roel Dobbe, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Genevieve Fried, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Elizabeth Kaziunas, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Varoon Mathur, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Sarah Myers West, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Rashida Richardson, AI Now Institute, New York University

DECEMBER 2018

AI Now: “Solutions [and] Needed Next”

AI Now Report 2018

- ▶ Meredith Whittaker, AI Now Institute, New York University, Google Open Research Kate Crawford, AI Now Institute, New York University, Microsoft Research
- ▶ Roel Dobbe, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Genevieve Fried, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Elizabeth Kaziunas, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Varoon Mathur, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Sarah Myers West, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Rashida Richardson, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Jason Schultz, AI Now Institute, New York University School of Law Oscar Schwartz, AI Now Institute, New York University

DECEMBER 2018

AI Now: “Solutions [and] Needed Next”

AI Now Report 2018

- ▶ Meredith Whittaker, AI Now Institute, New York University, Google Open Research Kate Crawford, AI Now Institute, New York University, Microsoft Research
- ▶ Roel Dobbe, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Genevieve Fried, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Elizabeth Kaziunas, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Varoon Mathur, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Sarah Myers West, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Rashida Richardson, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ Jason Schultz, AI Now Institute, New York University School of Law Oscar Schwartz, AI Now Institute, New York University
- ▶ With research assistance from Alex Campolo and Gretchen Krueger (AI Now Institute, New York University)

DECEMBER 2018

Emerging Solutions 2.1 “Limits of Technological “Fixes” ”

- ▶ “algorithms alone can’t meaningfully hold other algorithms accountable.” - Pasquale

the problems these techniques ultimately aim to remedy have deep social and historical roots, some of which are more cleanly captured by discrete mathematical representations than others.

Emerging Solutions 2.1 “Limits of Technological “Fixes” ”

- ▶ “algorithms alone can’t meaningfully hold other algorithms accountable.” - Pasquale
- ▶ Broader: “Value Sensitive Design”

the problems these techniques ultimately aim to remedy have deep social and historical roots, some of which are more cleanly captured by discrete mathematical representations than others.

Emerging Solutions 2.2 Toolkits

- ▶ IBM's “‘AI Fairness 360’ open source tool kit. . . 9 algorithms and many metrics”

contra, e.g., principles

Emerging Solutions 2.2 Toolkits

- ▶ IBM's “‘AI Fairness 360’ open source tool kit. . . 9 algorithms and many metrics”
- ▶ GOOG “What-If tool” & “Facets”

contra, e.g., principles

Emerging Solutions 2.2 Toolkits

- ▶ IBM's “ ‘AI Fairness 360’ open source tool kit. . . 9 algorithms and many metrics”
- ▶ GOOG “What-If tool” & “Facets”
- ▶ MSFT fairlearn.py

contra, e.g., principles

Emerging Solutions 2.2 Toolkits

- ▶ IBM's “ ‘AI Fairness 360’ open source tool kit. . . 9 algorithms and many metrics”
- ▶ GOOG “What-If tool” & “Facets”
- ▶ MSFT fairlearn.py
- ▶ FB “Fairness Flow”

contra, e.g., principles

Emerging Solutions 2.2 Toolkits

- ▶ IBM's “‘AI Fairness 360’ open source tool kit. . . 9 algorithms and many metrics”
- ▶ GOOG “What-If tool” & “Facets”
- ▶ MSFT fairlearn.py
- ▶ FB “Fairness Flow”
- ▶ “Even Accenture. . . tools to. . . eliminate the bias in algorithms”

contra, e.g., principles

Emerging Solutions 2.2 Toolkits

- ▶ IBM's “‘AI Fairness 360’ open source tool kit. . . 9 algorithms and many metrics”
- ▶ GOOG “What-If tool” & “Facets”
- ▶ MSFT fairlearn.py
- ▶ FB “Fairness Flow”
- ▶ “Even Accenture. . . tools to. . . eliminate the bias in algorithms”
- ▶ “The Institute of Electrical and Electronics. . . Ethics Certification Program for Autonomous and Intelligent Systems”

contra, e.g., principles

Emerging Solutions 2.3 Why Ethics is not Enough

- ▶ define and design: “oversight and accountability”
a drastic (if momentary) drop in Facebook and Twitter's share price occurred after they announced efforts to combat misinformation and increase spending on security and privacy efforts.

AI Now “What Is Needed Next”

AI Now “What Is Needed Next”

- ▶ 3.1 From Fairness to Justice

AI Now “What Is Needed Next”

- ▶ 3.1 From Fairness to Justice
- ▶ 3.2 Infrastructural Thinking

AI Now “What Is Needed Next”

- ▶ 3.1 From Fairness to Justice
- ▶ 3.2 Infrastructural Thinking
- ▶ 3.3 Accounting for Hidden Labor in AI Systems

AI Now “What Is Needed Next”

- ▶ 3.1 From Fairness to Justice
- ▶ 3.2 Infrastructural Thinking
- ▶ 3.3 Accounting for Hidden Labor in AI Systems
- ▶ 3.4 Deeper Interdisciplinarity

AI Now “What Is Needed Next”

- ▶ 3.1 From Fairness to Justice
- ▶ 3.2 Infrastructural Thinking
- ▶ 3.3 Accounting for Hidden Labor in AI Systems
- ▶ 3.4 Deeper Interdisciplinarity
- ▶ 3.5 Race, Gender and Power in AI

AI Now “What Is Needed Next”

- ▶ 3.1 From Fairness to Justice
- ▶ 3.2 Infrastructural Thinking
- ▶ 3.3 Accounting for Hidden Labor in AI Systems
- ▶ 3.4 Deeper Interdisciplinarity
- ▶ 3.5 Race, Gender and Power in AI
- ▶ 3.6 Strategic Litigation and Policy Interventions

AI Now “What Is Needed Next”

- ▶ 3.1 From Fairness to Justice
- ▶ 3.2 Infrastructural Thinking
- ▶ 3.3 Accounting for Hidden Labor in AI Systems
- ▶ 3.4 Deeper Interdisciplinarity
- ▶ 3.5 Race, Gender and Power in AI
- ▶ 3.6 Strategic Litigation and Policy Interventions
- ▶ 3.7 Research and Organizing: An Emergent Coalition

power and principles

how did new capabilities rearrange power? who can now do what, from what, to whom?

role of rights, harms, justice?

foreshadowing data for Thursday

reminder of themes/big main takeaways

themes

up next

appendix

appendix

- ▶ 2019-01-22: 1 of 14 intro to course

appendix

- ▶ 2019-01-22: 1 of 14 intro to course
- ▶ 2019-01-29: 2 of 14 setting the stakes

appendix

- ▶ 2019-01-22: 1 of 14 intro to course
- ▶ 2019-01-29: 2 of 14 setting the stakes
- ▶ 2019-02-05: 3 of 14 risk and social physics

appendix

- ▶ 2019-01-22: 1 of 14 intro to course
- ▶ 2019-01-29: 2 of 14 setting the stakes
- ▶ 2019-02-05: 3 of 14 risk and social physics
- ▶ 2019-02-12: 4 of 14 statecraft and quantitative racism

appendix

- ▶ 2019-01-22: 1 of 14 intro to course
- ▶ 2019-01-29: 2 of 14 setting the stakes
- ▶ 2019-02-05: 3 of 14 risk and social physics
- ▶ 2019-02-12: 4 of 14 statecraft and quantitative racism
- ▶ 2019-02-19: 5 of 14 intelligence, causality, and policy

appendix

- ▶ 2019-01-22: 1 of 14 intro to course
- ▶ 2019-01-29: 2 of 14 setting the stakes
- ▶ 2019-02-05: 3 of 14 risk and social physics
- ▶ 2019-02-12: 4 of 14 statecraft and quantitative racism
- ▶ 2019-02-19: 5 of 14 intelligence, causality, and policy
- ▶ 2019-02-26: 6 of 14 data gets real: mathematical baptism

appendix

- ▶ 2019-01-22: 1 of 14 intro to course
- ▶ 2019-01-29: 2 of 14 setting the stakes
- ▶ 2019-02-05: 3 of 14 risk and social physics
- ▶ 2019-02-12: 4 of 14 statecraft and quantitative racism
- ▶ 2019-02-19: 5 of 14 intelligence, causality, and policy
- ▶ 2019-02-26: 6 of 14 data gets real: mathematical baptism
- ▶ 2019-03-05: 7 of 14 WWII, dawn of digital computation

appendix

- ▶ 2019-01-22: 1 of 14 intro to course
- ▶ 2019-01-29: 2 of 14 setting the stakes
- ▶ 2019-02-05: 3 of 14 risk and social physics
- ▶ 2019-02-12: 4 of 14 statecraft and quantitative racism
- ▶ 2019-02-19: 5 of 14 intelligence, causality, and policy
- ▶ 2019-02-26: 6 of 14 data gets real: mathematical baptism
- ▶ 2019-03-05: 7 of 14 WWII, dawn of digital computation
- ▶ 2019-03-12: 8 of 14 birth and death of AIq

appendix

- ▶ 2019-01-22: 1 of 14 intro to course
- ▶ 2019-01-29: 2 of 14 setting the stakes
- ▶ 2019-02-05: 3 of 14 risk and social physics
- ▶ 2019-02-12: 4 of 14 statecraft and quantitative racism
- ▶ 2019-02-19: 5 of 14 intelligence, causality, and policy
- ▶ 2019-02-26: 6 of 14 data gets real: mathematical baptism
- ▶ 2019-03-05: 7 of 14 WWII, dawn of digital computation
- ▶ 2019-03-12: 8 of 14 birth and death of AI
- ▶ 2019-03-26: 9 of 14 big data, old school (1958-1980)

appendix

- ▶ 2019-01-22: 1 of 14 intro to course
- ▶ 2019-01-29: 2 of 14 setting the stakes
- ▶ 2019-02-05: 3 of 14 risk and social physics
- ▶ 2019-02-12: 4 of 14 statecraft and quantitative racism
- ▶ 2019-02-19: 5 of 14 intelligence, causality, and policy
- ▶ 2019-02-26: 6 of 14 data gets real: mathematical baptism
- ▶ 2019-03-05: 7 of 14 WWII, dawn of digital computation
- ▶ 2019-03-12: 8 of 14 birth and death of AI
- ▶ 2019-03-26: 9 of 14 big data, old school (1958-1980)
- ▶ 2019-04-02: 10 of 14 data science, 1962-2017

appendix

- ▶ 2019-01-22: 1 of 14 intro to course
- ▶ 2019-01-29: 2 of 14 setting the stakes
- ▶ 2019-02-05: 3 of 14 risk and social physics
- ▶ 2019-02-12: 4 of 14 statecraft and quantitative racism
- ▶ 2019-02-19: 5 of 14 intelligence, causality, and policy
- ▶ 2019-02-26: 6 of 14 data gets real: mathematical baptism
- ▶ 2019-03-05: 7 of 14 WWII, dawn of digital computation
- ▶ 2019-03-12: 8 of 14 birth and death of AIq
- ▶ 2019-03-26: 9 of 14 big data, old school (1958-1980)
- ▶ 2019-04-02: 10 of 14 data science, 1962-2017
- ▶ 2019-04-09: 11 of 14 AI2.0

appendix

- ▶ 2019-01-22: 1 of 14 intro to course
- ▶ 2019-01-29: 2 of 14 setting the stakes
- ▶ 2019-02-05: 3 of 14 risk and social physics
- ▶ 2019-02-12: 4 of 14 statecraft and quantitative racism
- ▶ 2019-02-19: 5 of 14 intelligence, causality, and policy
- ▶ 2019-02-26: 6 of 14 data gets real: mathematical baptism
- ▶ 2019-03-05: 7 of 14 WWII, dawn of digital computation
- ▶ 2019-03-12: 8 of 14 birth and death of AI
- ▶ 2019-03-26: 9 of 14 big data, old school (1958-1980)
- ▶ 2019-04-02: 10 of 14 data science, 1962-2017
- ▶ 2019-04-09: 11 of 14 AI2.0
- ▶ 2019-04-16: 12 of 14 ethics

appendix

- ▶ 2019-01-22: 1 of 14 intro to course
- ▶ 2019-01-29: 2 of 14 setting the stakes
- ▶ 2019-02-05: 3 of 14 risk and social physics
- ▶ 2019-02-12: 4 of 14 statecraft and quantitative racism
- ▶ 2019-02-19: 5 of 14 intelligence, causality, and policy
- ▶ 2019-02-26: 6 of 14 data gets real: mathematical baptism
- ▶ 2019-03-05: 7 of 14 WWII, dawn of digital computation
- ▶ 2019-03-12: 8 of 14 birth and death of AIq
- ▶ 2019-03-26: 9 of 14 big data, old school (1958-1980)
- ▶ 2019-04-02: 10 of 14 data science, 1962-2017
- ▶ 2019-04-09: 11 of 14 AI2.0
- ▶ 2019-04-16: 12 of 14 ethics
- ▶ 2019-04-23: 13 of 14 present problems: attention economy+VC=dumpsterfire

appendix

- ▶ 2019-01-22: 1 of 14 intro to course
- ▶ 2019-01-29: 2 of 14 setting the stakes
- ▶ 2019-02-05: 3 of 14 risk and social physics
- ▶ 2019-02-12: 4 of 14 statecraft and quantitative racism
- ▶ 2019-02-19: 5 of 14 intelligence, causality, and policy
- ▶ 2019-02-26: 6 of 14 data gets real: mathematical baptism
- ▶ 2019-03-05: 7 of 14 WWII, dawn of digital computation
- ▶ 2019-03-12: 8 of 14 birth and death of AI
- ▶ 2019-03-26: 9 of 14 big data, old school (1958-1980)
- ▶ 2019-04-02: 10 of 14 data science, 1962-2017
- ▶ 2019-04-09: 11 of 14 AI2.0
- ▶ 2019-04-16: 12 of 14 ethics
- ▶ 2019-04-23: 13 of 14 present problems: attention economy+VC=dumpsterfire
- ▶ 2019-04-30: 14 of 14 future solutions