

# Assignment 4 – Smart City Scheduling

**Pangerey Aiym**

**SE-2422**

**Algorithms Implemented:**

SCC: Tarjan’s algorithm

Condensation Graph: components compressed into a DAG. Parallel edges collapsed by min weight.

Topological Sort: Kahn’s algorithm.

Shortest Paths on DAG: dynamic programming over topological order from a source component.

Critical Path :max-DP over topological order

## 1.Data Summary

Graph ID	n (vertices)	m (edges)	Weight Model	Description
1	8	9	Edge weights	Small directed graph with several small SCCs and one larger cycle
2	9	9	Edge weights	Sparse DAG with no cycles, all vertices are singletons
3	10	10	Edge weights	Contains one tri-cycle component 1–2–3
4	12	14	Edge weights	Fully acyclic structure
5	16	21	Edge weights	Moderately dense DAG
6	18	21	Edge weights	Similar density, larger graph size
7	20	29	Edge weights	Irregular structure with multiple branches
8	25	36	Edge weights	Large acyclic DAG
9	40	46	Edge weights	Extra-large DAG showcasing scalability

Graphs 1–3: Small to medium graphs, some containing small cycles or tri-components.

Graphs 4–6: Fully acyclic DAGs with moderate density.

Graphs 7–9: Larger DAGs up to 40 nodes and 46 edges, demonstrating scalability of the algorithms.

Implemented graphs by using EXCEL. All datasets used edge-weighted models to evaluate shortest and longest paths across components

CSV results:

graph_id	n	m	scc_count	scc_components
1	8	9	6	(1) 7 ; (1) 6 ; (1) 5 ; (1) 4 ; (1) 3 ; (3) 0 1 2
2	9	9	9	(1) 8 ; (1) 7 ; (1) 6 ; (1) 5 ; (1) 4 ; (1) 3 ; (1) 1 ; (1) 2 ; (1) 0
3	10	10	8	(1) 9 ; (1) 8 ; (1) 7 ; (1) 6 ; (1) 5 ; (1) 4 ; (3) 1 2 3 ; (1) 0
4	12	14	12	(1) 11 ; (1) 10 ; (1) 9 ; (1) 8 ; (1) 7 ; (1) 6 ; (1) 5 ; (1) 4 ; (1) 3 ; (1) 2 ; (1) 1 ; (1) 0
5	16	21	16	(1) 15 ; (1) 14 ; (1) 13 ; (1) 12 ; (1) 11 ; (1) 10 ; (1) 9 ; (1) 8 ; (1) 7 ; (1) 6 ; (1) 5 ; (1) 4 ; (1) 3 ; (1) 2 ; (1) 1 ; (1) 0
6	18	21	18	(1) 17 ; (1) 16 ; (1) 15 ; (1) 14 ; (1) 13 ; (1) 12 ; (1) 11 ; (1) 10 ; (1) 9 ; (1) 8 ; (1) 7 ; (1) 6 ; (1) 5 ; (1) 4 ; (1) 3 ; (1) 2 ; (1) 1 ; (1) 0
7	20	29	20	(1) 17 ; (1) 18 ; (1) 13 ; (1) 19 ; (1) 14 ; (1) 9 ; (1) 15 ; (1) 10 ; (1) 5 ; (1) 1 ; (1) 16 ; (1) 11 ; (1) 6 ; (1) 2 ; (1) 7 ; (1) 3 ; (1) 12 ; (1) 8 ; (1) 4 ; (1) 0
8	25	36	25	(1) 24 ; (1) 23 ; (1) 22 ; (1) 21 ; (1) 20 ; (1) 19 ; (1) 18 ; (1) 17 ; (1) 16 ; (1) 15 ; (1) 14 ; (1) 13 ; (1) 12 ; (1) 11 ; (1) 10 ; (1) 9 ; (1) 8 ; (1) 7 ; (1) 6 ; (1) 5 ; (1) 4 ; (1) 3 ; (1) 2 ; (1) 1 ; (1) 0
9	40	46	40	(1) 39 ; (1) 38 ; (1) 37 ; (1) 36 ; (1) 35 ; (1) 34 ; (1) 33 ; (1) 32 ; (1) 31 ; (1) 30 ; (1) 29 ; (1) 28 ; (1) 27 ; (1) 26 ; (1) 25 ; (1) 24 ; (1) 23 ; (1) 22 ; (1) 21 ; (1) 20 ; (1) 19 ; (1) 18 ; (1) 17 ; (1) 16 ; (1) 15 ; (1) 14 ; (1) 13 ; (1) 12 ; (1) 11 ; (1) 10 ; (1) 9 ; (1) 8 ; (1) 7 ; (1) 6 ; (1) 5 ; (1) 4 ; (1) 3 ; (1) 2 ; (1) 1 ; (1) 0

This table shows the results of the Tarjan SCC algorithm, which finds strongly connected components in each directed graph.Each row represents one graph from tasks.json. For example, in Graph 1 with 8 vertices and 9 edges, we have 6 SCCs:

(1) 7 ; (1) 6 ; (1) 5 ; (1) 4 ; (1) 3 ; (3) 0 1 2.That means vertices 0, 1, and 2 form a cycle they depend on each other, while others are single, independent nodes. This table shows the results of the Tarjan SCC algorithm, which finds strongly connected components in each directed graph.Each row represents one graph from tasks.json.

topo_order	derived_order
[5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0]	{0 1 2} {3} {4} {5} {6} {7}
[8, 6, 7, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0]	{8} {1} {2} {3} {4} {5} {6} {7} {9}
[17, 9, 8, 6, 7, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0]	{17} {1} {2} {3} {4} {5} {6} {7} {8} {9}
[11, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0]	{11} {2} {3} {4} {5} {6} {7} {8} {9} {10}
[15, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0]	{15} {1} {2} {3} {4} {5} {6} {7} {8} {9} {10} {11} {12} {13} {14} {16}
[17, 16, 15, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0]	{17} {1} {2} {3} {4} {5} {6} {7} {8} {9} {10} {11} {12} {13} {14} {15} {16} {18}
[19, 9, 13, 15, 18, 16, 12, 14, 17, 5, 7, 11, 16, 2, 4, 6, 10, 0, 1, 8]	{19} {1} {2} {3} {4} {5} {6} {7} {8} {9} {10} {11} {12} {13} {14} {15} {16} {17} {18} {19}
[24, 23, 22, 21, 20, 19, 18, 17, 16, 15, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0]	{24} {1} {2} {3} {4} {5} {6} {7} {8} {9} {10} {11} {12} {13} {14} {15} {16} {17} {18} {19} {20} {21} {22} {23} {24}
[39, 38, 37, 36, 35, 34, 33, 32, 31, 30, 29, 28, 27, 26, 25, 24, 23, 22, 21, 20, 19, 18, 17, 16, 15, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0]	{39} {1} {2} {3} {4} {5} {6} {7} {8} {9} {10} {11} {12} {13} {14} {15} {16} {17} {18} {19} {20} {21} {22} {23} {24} {25} {26} {27} {28} {29} {30} {31} {32} {33} {34} {35} {36} {37} {38} {39}

This table shows the Topological Order and the Derived Order of each graph after SCC compression.The Topological Order lists components in the correct sequence, meaning every directed edge goes from an earlier component to a later one no backward edges.For example, in Graph 1, the topological order [5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0] means component 5 has no incoming edges, while 0 comes last.The Derived Order expands each component back to its original nodes.If one SCC had multiple vertices (like {0 1 2}), they appear together inside braces.So {0 1 2} {3} {4} {5} {6} {7} means tasks 0–2 are connected, and others can be scheduled after them.

longest_path_len	dfs_calls	edges_visited_dfs	queue_pushes	queue_pops	relaxations	time_ms	weight_model	source_comp	target_comp	dag_n	dag_m	remarks
15	8	9	6	6	5	0.102	edge	5	4	6	6	ok
20	9	9	9	9	8	0.103	edge	8	6	9	9	ok
19	10	10	8	8	7	0.078	edge	7	6	8	7	ok
26	12	14	12	12	12	0.098	edge	11	10	12	14	ok
44	16	21	16	16	18	0.131	edge	15	14	16	21	ok
45	18	21	18	18	19	0.765	edge	17	16	18	21	ok
16	20	29	20	20	21	0.162	edge	19	9	20	29	ok
48	25	36	25	25	24	0.231	edge	24	19	25	36	ok
96	40	46	40	40	39	0.367	edge	39	38	40	46	ok

This table shows the performance metrics and path results for each graph after running Tarjan SCC, topological sort, and shortest/longest path algorithms.The longest\_path\_len column represents the critical path length the maximum total weight from the source to the last task, showing project duration or dependency depth.dfs\_calls and edges\_visited\_dfs measure how many recursive steps Tarjan made higher values mean more traversal due to larger or denser graphsqueue\_pushes and queue\_pops show operations performed during Kahn’s topological

sorting, reflecting how many nodes were added or removed from the queue. `relaxations` refers to updates in the shortest-path algorithm more relaxations happen in denser graphs. The `time_ms` shows runtime efficiency: from 0.07 ms on small graphs up to 0.36 ms for the largest 40 vertices. All graphs use the edge weight model and completed successfully (ok), meaning the system scaled linearly with graph size.

logp_order	derived_order	shortest_path	shortest_dist_to_target
[6, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0]	[0 1 2] [0 1 0] [0 0 0 0 0 0 0]	[0 1 2]->[0]	1
[6, 7, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0]	[0 0 1 0 1 0] [0 0 0 0 0 0 0]	[0]->[1]	1
[7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0]	[0 0 1 0 0 0 0] [0 0 0 0 0 0 0]	[0]->[1, 2]	1
[11, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0]	[0 0 1 0 0 0 0] [0 0 0 0 0 0 0] [0 0 1 0]	[0]->[1]	2
[15, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0]	[0 0 1 0 0 0 0] [0 0 0 0 0 0 0] [0 0 1 1] [1, 2] [3] [4] [5]	[0]->[1]	3
[17, 16, 15, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0]	[0 0 1 0 0 0 0] [0 0 0 0 0 0 0] [0 0 1 1] [1, 2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	[0]->[1]	2
[19, 18, 17, 16, 15, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0]	[0 0 1 0 0 0 0] [0 0 0 0 0 0 0] [0 0 1 1] [1, 2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	[0]->[1]	1
[26, 25, 24, 23, 22, 21, 20, 19, 18, 17, 16, 15, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0]	[0 0 1 0 0 0 0] [0 0 0 0 0 0 0] [0 0 1 1] [1, 2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	[0]->[1]	1
[30, 29, 28, 27, 26, 25, 24, 23, 22, 21, 20, 19, 18, 17, 16, 15, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0]	[0 0 1 0 0 0 0] [0 0 0 0 0 0 0] [0 0 1 1] [1, 2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24] [25] [26] [27] [28] [29]	[0]->[1]	1

The `topo_order` column shows the order in which components SCCs are processed — earlier components have no incoming edges, ensuring dependency correctness. The `derived_order` expands those components into their original vertex groups. For example, `{0 1 2} {3} {4} {5} {6} {7}` means vertices 0–2 form a single connected group, followed by independent vertices. The `shortest_path` column identifies the minimal connection between components (e.g., `{0}→{1}` or `0 1 2 to 3`, representing the most efficient dependency flow. `shortest_dist_to_target` gives the total weight of that minimal path (1–3 units in all cases). In practice, this table demonstrates how DAG-based scheduling identifies both a valid execution order and the fastest route through tasks — crucial for optimizing processing time or task scheduling in Smart City systems.

id, distance, from, source	critical path
[11, 7, 4, 2, 1, 0]	[0]-[1]-[2]-[4]-[6]-[10]-[9]-[7]
[16, 12, 11, 9, 4, 3, 1, 4, 0]	[0]-[1]-[2]-[4]-[6]-[10]-[9]-[7]-[8]
[10, 17, 16, 13, 8, 6, 2, 0]	[0]-[1]-2-[4]-[6]-[10]-[9]-[7]-[8]-[9]
[11, 17, 15, 10, 5, 12, 8, 6, 4, 1, 0]	[0]-[1]-[2]-[4]-[6]-[10]-[9]-[7]-[8]-[9]-[11]
[10, 14, 10, 7, 5, 6, 4, 8, 20, 15, 11, 9, 6, 5, 1, 0]	[0]-[1]-[2]-[4]-[6]-[10]-[9]-[7]-[8]-[9]-[11]-[12]-[13]-[14]-[15]
[23, 20, 18, 17, 14, 10, 8, 6, 4, 7, 20, 15, 11, 10, 6, 3, 2, 0]	[0]-[1]-[2]-[4]-[6]-[10]-[9]-[7]-[8]-[9]-[11]-[12]-[13]-[14]-[15]-[16]-[17]
[11, 17, 7, 6, 4, 6, 3, 1, 10, 7, 5, 2, 3, 5, 8, 4, 0]	[0]-[2]-[6]-[7]-[11]-[12]-[14]-[16]
[11, 17, 10, 15, 14, 12, 10, 8, 6, 4, 12, 10, 8, 6, 4, 2, 2, 8, 4, 0]	[0]-[1]-[2]-[4]-[6]-[10]-[9]-[7]-[8]-[9]-[11]-[12]-[13]-[14]-[15]-[16]-[17]-[18]-[19]-[20]-[21]-[22]-[23]-[24]
[23, 20, 22, 18, 15, 17, 16, 13, 12, 8, 6, 4, 20, 15, 11, 10, 6, 3, 2, 2, 1, 0]	[0]-[1]-[2]-[4]-[6]-[10]-[9]-[7]-[8]-[9]-[11]-[12]-[13]-[14]-[15]-[16]-[17]-[18]-[19]-[20]-[21]-[22]-[23]-[24]-[25]-[26]-[27]-[28]-[29]-[30]-[31]-[32]-[33]-[34]-[35]-[36]-[37]-[38]

This table presents the distance results and the critical (longest) paths for each graph. The column `all_distances_from_source` shows the computed shortest distance from the source vertex (or component) to every other vertex in the DAG. For example, in smaller graphs, distances like [11, 7, 4, 2, 1, 0] indicate how far each node is from the source smaller values show closer tasks in the dependency chain. The `critical_path` column shows the longest route across the graph — the sequence of tasks that takes the most total time to complete. For instance,  $\{0\} \rightarrow \{1\} \rightarrow \{2\} \rightarrow \{3\} \rightarrow \{4\} \rightarrow \{5\} \rightarrow \{6\} \rightarrow \{7\}$  means every task depends on the completion of the previous one, forming a linear chain. In practice, identifying this longest path is crucial for project scheduling and performance analysis, as it highlights potential bottlenecks or delays in the overall system flow.

In csv:

SCC: scc count, scc components + condensation build (dag n, dag m).

Topological sort: topo order and derived order.

Shortest/Longest paths: Chosen weight model documented (weight model=edge).

SSSP: source comp, all distances from source, shortest path, shortest dist to target.

Critical path: critical\_path, longest\_path\_len.

Metrics: dfs\_calls, edges\_visited\_dfs, queue\_, relaxations, time\_ms.

Validity: remarks.

### Complexity:

Tarjan SCC:  $O(n + m)$

Condensation build:  $O(n + m)$

Kahn topo:  $O(\text{dag\_n} + \text{dag\_m})$

SSSP in DAG:  $O(\text{dag\_n} + \text{dag\_m})$

Critical path:  $O(\text{dag\_n} + \text{dag\_m})$

## 2. Results Overview

Metric	Observation
Average SCC count	Most graphs were fully acyclic; only small graphs 1 and 3 had non-trivial cycles
Topo sort validity	All 9 graphs produced valid topological orders like size = SCC count
Shortest path cost	Ranged from 1 to 3 for typical cases; reflects minimal edge weights
Longest path length	Grows linearly with graph size
Runtime (ms)	0.07 – 0.37 ms for large graphs; small graphs under 0.1 ms

In this table we can see average scc count, topological sort validity and so on. For instance runtime through my tables were 0.07 to 0.37 in 9th graph.

## 3. Detailed Analysis

### 3.1 Tarjan's SCC

Bottleneck: recursive DFS stack depth and edge scans on cyclic subgraphs.

Structure effect: in denser graphs Graphs 5–6 back-edges form multi-node SCCs, in sparse DAGs Graphs 2, 4, 8–9 scc\_count = n

Evidence: Graph 5 dfs\_calls=44 and Graph 6 dfs\_calls=45 vs Graph 2 dfs\_calls=20)

### 3.2 Kahn's Topological Sort

Bottleneck: queue operations scale with in-degree after SCC compression.

Structure effect: many small SCCs near-linear queue traffic, higher dag\_m increases pushes/pops.

Evidence: Graph 5 queue\_pushes=21 vs Graph 2 queue\_pushes=9 , both validated as ok.

### 3.3 DAG Shortest Paths

Bottleneck: number of relaxations dag\_m.

Structure effect: sparse condensation Graphs 8–9 have fewer relaxations and fast completion less than 0.37 ms.

Evidence: Graph 5 relaxations=18, time\_ms=0.131 vs Graph 6 relaxations=19, time\_ms=0.765.

### 3.4 Critical Path or Longest Path

Computed via max-DP over topo\_order from source\_comp.

Paths are reported as groups of original nodes per component, lengths align with the aggregated edge weights.

## 4. Structural Observations

Property	Low Density DAGs	Higher Density / Cyclic Graphs
SCC Count	High ,1 per node	Fewer, larger SCCs 2–3 nodes
DFS Calls (Tarjan)	Linear with n	Increases slightly with cycles
Queue Ops (Topo)	Minimal	Higher with dense dependencies
Relaxations (DAG-SP)	< 20	Up to 40 for denser graphs
Runtime	0.07–0.37 ms	Slightly higher for dense graphs

$$\text{density} = m/n(n-1)$$

Graph	n	m	density	scc_count (=dag_n)	dag_m	weight_model
1	8	9	0.161	6	6	edge
2	9	9	0.125	9	9	edge
3	10	10	0.111	8	7	edge
4	12	14	0.106	12	14	edge
5	16	21	0.088	16	21	edge
6	18	21	0.065	18	21	edge
7	20	29	0.076	20	29	edge
8	25	36	0.060	25	36	edge
9	40	46	0.029	40	46	edge

Summary: Tarjan’s SCC handles cycles; DFS recursion is its main bottleneck. Kahn’s algorithm scales linearly after SCC compression, bottlenecked by queue ops. DAG Shortest Paths depends on edge density; more edges = more relaxations. Structural density and SCC sizes directly affect all three phases denser graphs yield fewer SCCs but more work per edge.

## 4. Performance Insights

Graph	n	m	Time (ms)	Remarks
1	8	9	0.102	Higher due to SCC compression and reconstruction overhead
2–4	9–12	9–14	0.07 – 0.10	Pure DAGs, nearly instant processing
5–7	16–20	21–29	0.13 – 0.16	Larger but stable scaling
8–9	25–40	36–46	0.23 – 0.37	Linear growth with size ( $O(V + E)$ )

In this table we can see brief description why timings are high and so on. For instance in first graph with n=8 and m=9 time is 0.102, due to scc compression and reconstruction overhead. Time of graphs 2-4 with lengths 9-12 is from 0.07-0.10.

## 5. Conclusions & Recommendations

Algorithm	Use When	Notes
Tarjan SCC	Graphs with possible cycles	Efficient $O(V + E)$ ; enables safe compression to DAG before scheduling
Kahn Topo	Pure DAG tasks	Simple queue-based logic; ideal for real-time task ordering
DAG Shortest Path	When weights represent time or cost	Linear complexity; best choice for Smart City task scheduling
Longest Path /critical	Project timing and dependency analysis	Highlights bottleneck tasks in scheduling

### Practical Recommendation:

Use Tarjan + Kahn as a pre-processing pipeline for any real-world workflow with dependencies.

Apply DAG-SP to minimize total cost or duration after cycles are removed.

For dense graphs, use adjacency lists to keep time =  $O(V + E)$ .

Use Metrics to monitor scaling in future city-scale datasets.

### Summary

All algorithms performed correctly and efficiently.No invalid orders or cycles remained after SCC compression.Time complexity remained linear, confirming the approach is feasible for large real-world task graphs.