



**Problem Description** 

since the order of elements is changed.

The problem requires us to calculate the sum of widths for all non-empty subsequences of an input array nums, where the width of a subsequence is defined as the difference between the maximum and minimum elements in that subsequence. For instance, in the subsequence [3, 6, 2, 7], the width would be 7 - 2 = 5. Since a sequence can have a large number of subsequences, and thus

the answer can be quite large, we are asked to return the result modulo 10^9 + 7. A subsequence is different from a subset, as a subsequence maintains the relative order of elements as they appear in the original array, whereas a subset does not. For example, [3, 6, 2, 7] is a subsequence of [0, 3, 1, 6, 2, 2, 7] but [3, 7, 6, 2] is not

The challenge here is to find an efficient way to calculate the sum of widths of all possible non-empty subsequences without having to enumerate each one, as that would be computationally infeasible for large arrays.

To understand the solution, let's start with a simple observation about subsequences. When we choose a subsequence from the

at each step.

Intuition

can observe that it will be the maximum in some of the subsequences and the minimum in others. Considering an element nums[i], it will be the maximum element in any subsequence including elements from nums[i] to the end of the array nums. The number of such subsequences can be found by counting the number of combinations of the remaining elements,

sorted array, each element can either be the maximum, the minimum, or neither in that subsequence. If we focus on one element, we

which is  $2^{(len(nums) - i - 1)}$ , since each of the remaining elements can be either included or not included in the subsequence. Similarly, nums [i] can be the minimum element in any subsequence starting from the beginning of the array and including up to nums [i]. The number of such subsequences will be 2^i, following the same logic.

By sorting the array, we ensure that for each pair of elements (nums[i], nums[j]) where i < j, nums[i] will always be the minimum and nums[j] will always be the maximum if both are used in a subsequence.

answer if nums[j] is the maximum and subtracted if nums[i] is the minimum. We iterate through the array, keeping track of the power of 2, and for each element, we add and subtract its contribution to the final sum. To avoid large numbers, we take modulo 10^9 + 7

The solution calculates the sum of  $nums[j] * 2^j - nums[i] * 2^i$  over all pairs (i, j) where i < j. This product is added to the

accumulates the sum of widths modulo  $10^9 + 7$ . This approach allows us to find the sum of widths efficiently without calculating each subsequence explicitly.

In code, p is the power of 2, which is doubled (left-shifted) at each step to represent the increasing powers of 2. The variable ans

Solution Approach

subsequences in a sorted array. Here's how it's implemented, referring to the provided solution code:

length of the list) subsequences for which it can be the maximum.

multiplying it by the number of subsequences where it serves as a minimum.

## 1. Sort the input list nums. Sorting is the essential first step as it allows us to consider the impact of each element in the array as a potential minimum or maximum in the subsequence. Sorting provides easy access to sequences' bounds for width calculations.

than the previous step.

2. Initialize two variables, ans to collect the answer, and p to keep track of powers of 2. The power of 2 is important in this solution because for any element nums[i], there are 2^i subsequences for which it can be the minimum and 2^(n-i-1) (where n is the

The solution makes use of a single-pass algorithm along with some simple mathematical insights into the properties of

- 3. Iterate through the sorted list with a loop using enumerate (nums) so that both the index i and value v are available. Perform the following calculation for each element:
- adding (v \* p) for the subsequences where it acts as maximum and subtracting (nums [-i 1] \* p) (which is the element at the same distance from the end of the list, therefore nums [i]'s counterpart) for the subsequences where it acts as minimum.

b. Every time we consider a new element, we are looking at subsequences that have one more potential member. This is why we

a. Calculate and update ans with the width contributed by the current element as the maximum and minimum. This is done by

4. Take modulus 10^9 + 7 for the updated ans and p at each step to ensure that numbers stay within integer bounds and prevent overflow.

The key insight for the algorithm is that each element's total contribution to the width of all subsequences can be calculated in

isolation by multiplying its value by the number of subsequences in which it serves as a maximum, and subtracting the result of

double ( $\ll$  1) the value of p (to represent p \* 2) for each step, as each step considers subsequences with one more element

Data structures and patterns:

• Modular arithmetic - To handle large numbers and avoid overflow, as well as to produce the output as per problem statement

• Sorting - A fundamental step that helps in calculating the contribution of each element to subsequences' width accurately.

• Bitwise operations - Specifically left shift operation (<<), which is used as an efficient way to calculate powers of 2.

requirements. The algorithm's time complexity is  $0(n \log n)$  due to the initial sorting, and its space complexity is 0(1), not counting the input and

**Example Walkthrough** 

elements before it).

maximum in subsequences.

output, as only constant extra space is needed.

1. First, we sort nums to get [1, 2, 3]. This sorted array will help us easily identify each number's contribution as a minimum or

Let's illustrate the solution approach with a small example. Consider the array nums = [2, 1, 3].

a. For the first element 1 at index 0:

2. We initialize ans as 0 (the eventual answer) and p as 1 (representing 2<sup>o</sup>, the power of 2 for the first element since there are no

= 0 - 1. $\circ$  It can be the maximum in  $2^{(3-0-1)} = 2^2 = 4$  subsequences (the subsequences [1], [1, 2], [1, 3], and [1, 2, 3]).  $\circ$  We double p for the next element, so now p = 2.

○ As a minimum, it contributes 1 \* 2^0 = 1 \* 1 = 1 to ans, but since it is a minimum, we actually subtract it, so ans = ans - 1

- $\circ$  It can be the minimum in  $2^1 = 2$  subsequences ([2] and [2, 3]).
- $\circ$  We add its contribution as ans = ans + 2 \* 2 2 \* 1 = -1 + 4 2 = 1.  $\circ$  Double p, so p = 4.

 $\circ$  It contributes 3 \* 4 - 3 \* 1 = 12 - 3 = 9 to ans.

 $\circ$  So, we update ans = ans + 9 = 1 + 9 = 10.

Therefore, for the input [2, 1, 3], the sum of widths is 10.

# Sort the array in non-decreasing order.

# Initialize the result variable to store the sum of widths.

# subsequences it will be a part of as a min or max.

result = (result + (value - A[-i - 1]) \* power) % mod

# We take the modulus to handle large numbers.

# Initialize power to be used for computing number of subsequences.

# The width contribution for each element is the difference between

# multiplied by the current power of 2, representing the count of

# This is because there are power number of subsequences where A[i]

# is the maximum and power number where A[-i-1] is the minimum.

// Define the modulus to handle the large numbers as the problem might require

int n = nums.size(); // Store the size of the nums array for repeated use

// The width of a subsequence is the difference between max and min

// operating under a large prime  $(10^9 + 7 \text{ is often used in problems to avoid integer overflow})$ 

# the current value and the value at the mirrored index from the end,

thereby optimizing the computation.

Python Solution

from typing import List

A.sort()

result = 0

power = 1

10

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

c. For the third and final element 3 at index 2:

b. For the second element 2 at index 1:

3. We iterate through the sorted nums with their index i.

 $\circ$  It can be the minimum in  $2^{\circ}0 = 1$  subsequence (itself only).

4. We did not need to use modular arithmetic here since the numbers are small, but in the solution, at each step where numbers could overflow, we would take ans % (10^9 + 7).

 $\circ$  It can be the maximum in  $2^{(3-1-1)} = 2^{1} = 2$  subsequences ([1, 2] and [2]).

• It can be the minimum in  $2^2 = 4$  subsequences ([3], [2, 3], [1, 3], and [1, 2, 3]).

class Solution: def sumSubseqWidths(self, A: List[int]) -> int: # Define the modulus as per the problem statement to avoid large integers. mod = 10\*\*9 + 7

The final ans is 10, which represents the sum of the widths of all possible non-empty subsequences of the original nums array.

This method demonstrates how each element contributes to the width calculation without explicitly enumerating all subsequences,

16 # Loop through the array while computing the contribution of 17 # each element to the sum of widths of all subsequences. for i, value in enumerate(A):

```
29
                # Bitwise left shift of power (equivalent to multiplying by 2)
                # to reflect the increase in the number of subsequences
31
                # that include the next element.
32
                power = (power << 1) % mod</pre>
33
```

```
34
           # Return the final result after considering all elements.
35
           return result
36
Java Solution
 1 class Solution {
       // Define the module value to be used for the modulo operation.
       private static final int MOD = (int) 1e9 + 7;
       public int sumSubseqWidths(int[] nums) {
           // Sort the input array in non-decreasing order.
           Arrays.sort(nums);
           // Initialize accumulator for the answer.
            long answer = 0;
 9
           // Initialize power term (2^i) which will be used in the sum calculation.
            long powerOfTwo = 1;
11
           // Get the length of nums array.
12
           int n = nums.length;
13
14
           // Loop over each element to calculate contribution to the answer.
15
           for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
16
               // Update the answer by adding the difference between the current element and
18
               // the mirror element (from the end) multiplied by the current power of 2.
               // The MOD is added before taking modulo to ensure the subtraction does not result in negative values.
19
               answer = (answer + (nums[i] - nums[n - i - 1]) * power0fTwo + MOD) % MOD;
20
21
               // Update powerOfTwo for the next iteration. Shift left is equivalent to multiplying by 2.
               // Take modulo to ensure that the value never overflows.
23
                powerOfTwo = (powerOfTwo << 1) % MOD;</pre>
24
25
26
           // Cast the final answer to int, as required by the problem statement, and return it.
27
           return (int) answer;
29
30
```

## // First, sort the numbers in non-decreasing order 11 12 std::sort(nums.begin(), nums.end()); 13 long long answer = 0; // Use long long for intermediate results to prevent overflow 14 long long powerOfTwo = 1; // We'll use powers of 2, starting with $2^0 = 1$ 15

C++ Solution

1 #include <vector>

class Solution {

public:

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

19

20

#include <algorithm>

static constexpr int MOD = 1e9 + 7;

int sumSubseqWidths(vector<int>& nums) {

for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {

// Iterate over each element in the sorted array

```
// For each element, calculate the number of subsequences where it's the max
22
               // and the number where it's the min, and subtract the latter from the former.
23
               // Multiply this count by the current element's value, adjusted for the modulo
24
               answer = (answer + (nums[i] - nums[n - i - 1]) * power0fTwo % MOD + MOD) % MOD;
25
26
               // Update the power of 2 for the next iteration, adjusting for the modulo
               powerOfTwo = (powerOfTwo << 1) % MOD;</pre>
27
28
29
30
           // Return the final answer, after considering all elements
31
           return static_cast<int>(answer); // Cast to int before returning as per function signature
32
33 }:
34
Typescript Solution
   // Define the modulus to handle potential large numbers and avoid integer overflow
   const MOD: number = 1e9 + 7;
    function sumSubseqWidths(nums: number[]): number {
       // Sort the numbers in non-decreasing order
       nums.sort((a, b) \Rightarrow a - b);
       let answer: number = 0; // Use a number for result as TypeScript doesn't have integer overflow concerns in the same way as C++
       let powerOfTwo: number = 1; // Start with 2^0 which is 1
       const n: number = nums.length; // Store the length of the nums array
11
       // Iterate over each element in the sorted array
       for (let i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
           // Calculate the number of subsequences where nums[i] is either the max (adding) or the min (subtracting)
14
           // Adjust each term with MOD to handle potential large numbers
           answer = (answer + ((nums[i] - nums[n - i - 1]) * power0fTwo) % MOD + MOD) % MOD;
17
           // Update the power of two, adjusting for the modulo to handle potential large numbers
18
```

powerOfTwo = (powerOfTwo \* 2) % MOD;

// Return the answer as per function signature

O(n), but it does not dominate the sorting's time complexity.

```
23
      return answer;
24 }
25
Time and Space Complexity
The time complexity of the provided code is 0(n log n) due to the nums.sort() operation, which is the most time-consuming part.
Sorting the array is necessary before we can calculate the summation of subsequence widths. The following loop runs in linear time
```

The space complexity of the code is 0(1) because it uses a fixed amount of extra space. Variables such as ans, p, mod, and the iteration variables i and v do not depend on the size of the input array nums. No additional space that scales with input size is used, as the sorting operation is performed in-place (Python's sort() method for lists).