2411. Smallest Subarrays With Maximum Bitwise OR

Problem Description

In this problem, you're presented with an array `nums` of non-negative integers. Your task is to determine, for each index `i` from `0` to `n - 1`, the length of the smallest subarray starting at `i` that produces the maximum bitwise OR value compared to all possible subarrays starting at that same index `i`. We define the bitwise OR of an array as the result of applying the bitwise OR operation to all numbers in it.

To clarify with an example, if the array was `[1,2,3]`, the subarrays starting from index `0` would be `[1]`, `[1,2]`, and `[1,2,3]`. If the maximum bitwise OR achievable is with subarray `[1,2]`, and that value cannot be achieved with a smaller subarray from that index, then the length you should report for index `0` would be the length of `[1,2]` which is `2`. You need to perform this computation efficiently for every index of the array.

Your final output should be an integer array `answer`, where `answer[i]` is the length of the smallest subarray starting at index `i` with the maximum bitwise OR value.

Intuition

The solution to this problem uses a bit-manipulation trick. For each index, we know that the maximum bitwise OR subarray must extend far enough to include any bits that wouldn't otherwise be set to `1` without it. What's less intuitive is figuring out how far that is without checking every subarray.

Bitwise OR operation has an 'accumulative' property; once a bit is set to `1`, it remains `1` irrespective of the OR operations that follow. This leads us to a realization: we don't need to continue our subarray beyond the point where all bits that can be set to `1`, are set.

The insight is to iterate backwards from the end of the array, keeping track of the most recent index where each bit was set to `1`. By doing this, when we're considering a given index `i`, we can look at our collection of indices, and figure out the furthest one we need to reach - this gives us the length of the minimum subarray with the maximum bitwise OR.

The process involves a 32-length array (for each bit in the integer) where we keep updating our 'latest' indices as we move from the end to the start of the array. For each number, we consider every bit, update the latest index if the bit is `1`, and find the distance to the farthest index we need to include if the bit is not set. Hence, we derive the minimum length for the maximum OR subarray starting at that index. The solution brilliantly captures the bit-level details and aggregates them into a subarray problem.

Solution Approach

The given Python solution first initializes two arrays: `ans` which will contain our result - the smallest subarray sizes, and `f` to keep track of the most recent index for each of the 32 bits (representing an integer in the array).

1. Iterate through each element in `nums` in reverse order (starting from the last element).
2. For the current element `num[i]`, initialize a temporary variable `t` with the value `1` as the smallest subarray size to consider is always at least 1 (the element itself).
3. Iterate through each of the 32 bits (0 to 31) to check which bits are set to `1` in the current element.
   - If the bit at position `j` in `num[i]` is set to `1`, update `f[j]` to be `i`. This step guarantees that `f[j]` always points to the latest index `i` where the `j`-th bit was set.
   - If the bit at position `j` is not set in `num[i]` (the value is `0`), and `f[j]` isn't `-1` (indicating that we've seen the `j`-th bit set in some higher index), then we must extend our subarray to include this bit. Thus, `t` is updated to be the maximum of itself and `f[j] - i + 1` which represents the length needed to include the `j`-th bit.
4. After checking all bits, `ans[i]` is updated with the value in `t`, which now represents the minimum subarray size starting at index `i` that maximizes the bitwise OR value.
5. Once the loop is finished, we return the `ans` array which now contains the desired subarray sizes for each index in the original `nums` array.

The solution employs bit manipulation and array indexing in an elegant way to avoid checking every possible subarray, reducing what would be a potentially quadratic-time algorithm to a linear-time solution since it iterates through the array and each bit position just once.

Example Walkthrough

Let's walk through a simple example to illustrate the solution approach. Imagine we have the array `nums = [3, 11, 7]`. The binary representations of the numbers in the array are `0011`, `1011`, and `0111` respectively.

1. Initialize `ans` array to hold the result and `f` array to keep track of the most recent indices where each bit is set to `1`. Both arrays have a size of 3, the length of `nums`, and `f` is initialized with `-1` to indicate that no indices have been visited yet:
   - `ans = [0, 0, 0]` (to be filled with results)
   - `f = [-1, -1, -1, -1]` (one entry for each bit)
2. Start iterating through `nums` in reverse order:
   - **i=2** (Last element, `nums[2] = 0111`):
     - Initialize `t = 1` (the smallest subarray always includes at least `nums[i]` itself).
     - Check each bit:
       - For bit 0: It is set, so update `f[0] = 2`.
       - For bit 1: It is set, so update `f[1] = 2`.
       - For bit 2: It is set, so update `f[2] = 2`.
       - For bit 3: It is not set, and `f[3]` is `-1` (no previous 1's).
     - Update `ans[2]` with `t = 1`.

     At this point:
     - `ans = [0, 0, 1]`
     - `f = [2, 2, 2, -1]`
   - **i=1** (Second element, `nums[1] = 1011`):
     - Reset `t = 1`.
     - Check each bit:
       - For bit 0: It is set, so update `f[0] = 1`.
       - For bit 1: It is set, so update `f[1] = 1`.
       - For bit 2: It is not set, but `f[2]` is `2`, so update `t` to `2 - 1 + 1 = 2`.
       - For bit 3: It is set, so update `f[3] = 1`.
     - Update `ans[1]` with `t = 2`.

     At this point:
     - `ans = [0, 2, 1]`
     - `f = [1, 1, 2, 1]`
   - **i=0** (First element, `nums[0] = 0011`):
     - Reset `t = 1`.
     - Check each bit:
       - For bit 0: It is set, so update `f[0] = 0`.
       - For bit 1: It is set, so update `f[1] = 0`.
       - For bit 2: It is not set, and `f[2]` is `2`, so update `t` to the maximum of `t` and `(2 - 0 + 1) = 3`.
       - For bit 3: It is not set, and `f[3]` is `1`, so update `t` to the maximum of `t` and `(1 - 0 + 1) = 2`.
     - Update `ans[0]` with the maximum `t = 3`.

     At this point:
     - `ans = [3, 2, 1]`
     - `f = [0, 0, 2, 1]`
3. After iterating through all indices, `ans` now contains the correct answer. The final array `ans = [3, 2, 1]` represents the smallest subarray sizes starting at each index `i` that achieves the maximum bitwise OR value.

In this example, the smallest subarray starting at index `0` with the maximum bitwise OR is `[3, 11, 7]`, at index `1` is `[11, 7]`, and at index `2` is `[7]`. The algorithm efficiently identifies these subarrays without having to examine every possible subarray, showcasing the power of bit manipulation and dynamic programming.

Solution Implementation

Python

```python
from typing import List

class Solution:
    def smallestSubarrays(self, nums: List[int]) -> List[int]:
        # Get the length of the input array
        length = len(nums)

        # Initialize the result array with 1s, as the smallest non-empty subarray is the number itself.
        result = [1] * length

        # Initialize an array to store the latest positions of bits (0 to 31) seen in the binary representation of the numbers
        last_seen_at = [-1] * 32

        # Traverse the input array in reverse order
        for i in range(length - 1, -1, -1):
            max_size = 1  # Initialize current size to 1 (the size of a subarray containing only nums[i])
            # Go through each of the 32 bits to update 'last_seen_at' and calculate the subarray size
            for j in range(32):
                if (nums[i] >> j) & 1:  # Check if bit 'j' is set in nums[i]
                    # Update 'last_seen_at[j]' to the current index 'i' as bit 'j' is set
                    last_seen_at[j] = i
                elif last_seen_at[j] != -1:  # If bit 'j' was found at a position ahead of 'i'
                    # Update 'max_size' to the size of the subarray that includes the current number and
                    # the last occurrence of every bit that is not present in the current number
                    max_size = max(max_size, last_seen_at[j] - i + 1)
            # Record the required subarray size for the current starting index 'i'
            result[i] = max_size

        # Return the array containing the sizes of the smallest subarrays
        return result
```

Java

```java
import java.util.Arrays;

class Solution {
    public int[] smallestSubarrays(int[] nums) {
        // Number of elements in the given array.
        int length = nums.length;
        // Array to store the answer which is the length of smallest subarrays.
        int[] answer = new int[length];
        // Array to store the latest positions of bits (0 to 31 for 32-bit integers).
        int[] latestOneBitIndices = new int[32];
        // Initialize with -1, it signifies that we haven't seen a bit 1 in that position so far.
        Arrays.fill(latestOneBitIndices, -1);

        // Start from the end of the input array and move towards the start.
        for (int index = length - 1; index >= 0; --index) {
            int subarraySize = 1; // Initialize the minimum subarray size to 1 for each number.
            // Check each bit position.
            for (int bit = 0; bit < 32; ++bit) {
                // If the i-th bit of the current number is set (equal to 1).
                if ((nums[index] >> bit) & 1) == 1) {
                    // Update the latest index where this bit was set.
                    latestOneBitIndices[bit] = index;
                } else if (latestOneBitIndices[bit] != -1) {
                    // If the bit is not set, we use the latest index where this bit was set,
                    // to calculate the size of the subarray.
                    subarraySize = Math.max(subarraySize, latestOneBitIndices[bit] - index + 1);
                }
            }
            // Set the computed minimum subarray size.
            answer[index] = subarraySize;
        }
        // Return the array containing the minimum subarray sizes.
        return answer;
    }
}
```

C++

```cpp
#include <vector>
using namespace std;

class Solution {
public:
    // Function to find the smallest subarrays for each element such that each
    // subarray's bitwise OR is at least as large as the maximum bitwise OR
    // of any subarray starting at that element.
    vector<int> smallestSubarrays(vector<int>& nums) {
        int size = nums.size(); // Store the size of the input array
        vector<int> lastIndex(32, -1); // Array to store the last position of each bit
        vector<int> result(size); // This will store the answer

        // Iterate through the array in reverse order to determine
        // the smallest subarray starting at each index
        for (int i = size - 1; i >= 0; --i) {
            int subarraySize = 1; // Minimum subarray size is 1 (the element itself)

            // Check each bit position from 0 to 31
            for (int bit = 0; bit < 32; ++bit) {
                if ((nums[i] >> bit) & 1) { // If the current bit is set in nums[i]
                    // Update the last position of this bit to be the current index
                    lastIndex[bit] = i;
                } else if (lastIndex[bit] != -1) {
                    // If the current bit is not set, calculate the subarraySize needed
                    // to include this bit from further elements in the array
                    subarraySize = max(subarraySize, lastIndex[bit] - i + 1);
                }
            }

            // After checking all the bits, store the result subarray size
            result[i] = subarraySize;
        }
        return result; // Return the final vector with smallest subarray sizes
    }
};
```

TypeScript

```typescript
// TypeScript has its own types, so no need to import C++'s <vector>
// Defining a function to find the smallest subarrays

/**
 * Finds the smallest subarrays for each element such that each subarray's bitwise OR
 * is at least as large as the maximum bitwise OR of any subarray starting at that element.
 * @param nums The input array of numbers.
 * @returns An array of the smallest subarray sizes for each element.
 */
function smallestSubarrays(nums: number[]): number[] {
    const size = nums.length; // Store the size of the input array
    const lastIndex: number[] = new Array(32).fill(-1); // Track the last position of each bit, for up to 32 bits
    const result: number[] = new Array(size); // This will store the answer

    // Iterate through the array in reverse order to determine
    // the smallest subarray starting at each index
    for (let i = size - 1; i >= 0; --i) {
        let subarraySize: number = 1; // Minimum subarray size is 1 (the element itself)

        // Check each bit position from 0 to 31
        for (let bit = 0; bit < 32; ++bit) {
            if ((nums[i] >> bit) & 1) { // If the current bit is set in nums[i]
                // Update the last position of this bit to be the current index
                lastIndex[bit] = i;
            } else if (lastIndex[bit] !== -1) {
                // If the current bit is not set, calculate the subarraySize needed
                // to include this bit from further elements in the array
                subarraySize = Math.max(subarraySize, lastIndex[bit] - i + 1);
            }
        }

        // After checking all the bits, store the result subarray size
        result[i] = subarraySize;
    }
    return result; // Return the final array with smallest subarray sizes
}

// Example usage:
// const nums: number[] = [1, 2, 3, 4];
// const result: number[] = smallestSubarrays(nums);
// console.log(result); Output: [4, 3, 2, 1]
```

```python
from typing import List

class Solution:
    def smallestSubarrays(self, nums: List[int]) -> List[int]:
        # Get the length of the input array
        length = len(nums)

        # Initialize the result array with 1s, as the smallest non-empty subarray is the number itself.
        result = [1] * length

        # Initialize an array to store the latest positions of bits (0 to 31) seen in the binary representation of the numbers
        last_seen_at = [-1] * 32

        # Traverse the input array in reverse order
        for i in range(length - 1, -1, -1):
            max_size = 1  # Initialize current size to 1 (the size of a subarray containing only nums[i])
            # Go through each of the 32 bits to update 'last_seen_at' and calculate the subarray size
            for j in range(32):
                if (nums[i] >> j) & 1:  # Check if bit 'j' is set in nums[i]
                    last_seen_at[j] = i  # Update the position of bit 'j'
                elif last_seen_at[j] != -1:  # If bit 'j' was found at a position ahead of 'i'
                    # Update 'max_size' the size of the subarray that includes the current number and
                    # the last occurrence of every bit that is not present in the current number
                    max_size = max(max_size, last_seen_at[j] - i + 1)
            # Record the required subarray size for the current starting index 'i'
            result[i] = max_size

        # Return the array containing the sizes of the smallest subarrays
        return result
```

Time and Space Complexity

The given Python code is designed to find the smallest subarrays that contain all of the bits that appear at least once to the right of each element, including the element itself.

Time Complexity

The time complexity of the given code is $O(n \times b)$, where n is the length of the `nums` array, and b is the number of bits used to represent the numbers. Since the input numbers are integers and Python's integers have a fixed number of bits (which is 32 bits for standard integers), the value of b can be considered a constant, thus simplifying the time complexity further to $O(n)$.

This complexity is derived from the outer loop running n times (from $n - 1$ to 0) and the inner loop over b bits running a constant 32 times. The algorithms only perform a fixed set of operations within the inner loop, which does not depend on n.

Space Complexity

The space complexity of the code is $O(b)$, since we are maintaining a fixed-size array `f` of size 32, corresponding to the 32 bits in an integer. Space is also used for the output list `ans` of size n, but when talking about space complexity we generally do not count the space required for output, or if we do, we state the complexity as additional space beyond the required output.

If one includes the space taken by the output, then it would be $O(n)$. However, since the space for the output is typically not counted, and the auxiliary space used is only the fixed-size list `f`, the space complexity remains $O(b)$, which reduces to $O(1)$ since the number of bits is constant and does not grow with the input.