# 2154. Keep Multiplying Found Values by Two

`Easy` `Array` `Hash Table` `Sorting` `Simulation`

## Problem Description

In this problem, you start with an integer called `original` and an array of integers called `nums`. Your goal is to perform a series of steps in which you repeatedly check whether `original` is in the array `nums`. If it is, you double the value of `original` and then search for the new value in the array. You continue this process of doubling and searching until `original` is no longer found in `nums`. The task is to return the final value of `original` after you've either doubled it several times or stopped as soon as it's not found in the array.

This problem involves the use of an iterative process to repeatedly update a number based on the contents of an array. It can be seen as a game or a search operation that has the potential to alter the target number multiple times.

## Intuition

The solution to this problem relies on efficiency and simplicity. Since we are searching for `original` in `nums` multiple times, a key insight is to use a data structure with fast lookup times. A set data structure provides O(1) average time complexity for lookups, which is ideal.

To utilize this, we first convert the list `nums` into a set `s`. Sets do not contain duplicate elements and allow us to check if an element is present in constant time.

The process is as follows:

1. Check if `original` is in the set `s` which contains our `nums`.
2. If it is, multiply `original` by two. This is efficiently done using the left shift operator `<<`, which basically doubles the number.
3. If it's not found, return the current value of `original`.
4. Repeat this process until `original` is not in `s`.

The while loop in the solution keeps this process going, systematically doubling `original` and checking for its presence in the set `s`. This loop will eventually terminate when `original` is no longer present in the set, at which point the most recent value of `original` is returned as the final result.

## Solution Approach

The solution provided is both elegant and efficient, utilizing a set data structure and a simple while loop. Below is a step-by-step walkthrough of the implementation and the reasoning behind each step:

1. Convert the list of numbers `nums` to a set `s`:
    - The conversion is done by initializing the set with `nums`, i.e., `s = set(nums)`.
    - This step is crucial because it optimizes our search operation. While the lookup time for an element in a list is O(n), for a set, it's O(1) on average.
    - Despite the conversion costing O(n) time where n is the number of elements in `nums`, this cost is justified since we only incur it once, and it significantly speeds up the numerous lookups that follow.
2. Use a while loop to determine the final value of `original`:
    - The condition for the while loop is `original in s`, meaning that as long as `original` is found in the set, the loop continues.
    - Inside the loop, `original` is doubled using the left shift operator, `original <<= 1`.
        - The left shift operator effectively multiplies the number by two. Using `<< 1` on an integer is equivalent to multiplying it by 2.
        - This is a bit manipulation trick that performs the operation quickly and with less code.
3. Return the final value of `original` when it's no longer found in the set.

The algorithm's time complexity primarily depends on the number of times `original` can be doubled before it exceeds the largest number in `nums`. If we denote this number of doublings as `k`, then the overall time complexity is O(n + k), where n is the length of `nums`. The space complexity is O(n) due to the additional set.

To understand the practical bounds of `k`, consider that integers have a fixed upper limit (for example, 2*31 - 1 for 32-bits integers). The value of `original` will reach this upper limit after a finite number of doublings regardless of the contents of `nums`. Therefore, `k` is bounded and in practice is much smaller than the maximum possible value of `original`. Thus the doubling operation does not have a significant impact on the time complexity relative to the size of `nums`.

### Example Walkthrough

Let's walk through a small example to illustrate the solution approach.

Suppose we have the integer `original = 2` and the array `nums = [1, 3, 4, 2, 8, 16]`. We are tasked with doubling `original` and checking if the new value is in `nums`, continuing this process until the value is not found.

1. Convert `nums` to a set `s`. The set `s` will be `{1, 3, 4, 2, 8, 16}`.

2. We start a while loop that will run as long as `original` is in `s`.
    - First iteration:
        - Check if `original` (which is 2) is in `s`. It is, so we proceed.
        - We double `original` using the left shift operator: `original <<= 1`.
        - Now `original` becomes 4.
    - Second iteration:
        - Check if the new `original` (which is 4) is in `s`. It is, so we repeat the doubling process.
        - Double `original` again: `original` is now 8.
    - Third iteration:
        - Check if `original` (now 8) is in `s`. It is, therefore we double it again.
        - `original` after the left shift is now 16.
    - Fourth iteration:
        - Check if `original` (now 16) is in `s`. It is, so we double it once more.
        - Doubling `original` gives us 32.
    - Fifth iteration:
        - Check if `original` (now 32) is in `s`. It is not, so the while loop exits.
3. The value of `original` is now 32, and since it's not in the set `s`, we return 32 as the final value.

Throughout this process, we have used the set for efficient lookup and doubled `original` easily with the left shift operator. The final result of this example would be `32`, as that's the value of `original` when it's no longer present in `nums`.

## Python Solution

```python
class Solution:
    def findFinalValue(self, nums: List[int], original: int) -> int:
        # Convert the list of numbers into a set for faster lookup
        num_set = set(nums)

        # Keep doubling the original value as long as it's found in the set
        while original in num_set:
            original *= 2  # Equivalent to original <<= 1 but clearer

        # Once the value is not found in the set, return it
        return original
```

## Java Solution

```java
class Solution {

    /**
     * Finds the final value by doubling the original number until it's not found in the set.
     *
     * @param nums An array of integers.
     * @param original The integer whose final value is to be found.
     * @return The final value of the original integer after doubling.
     */
    public int findFinalValue(int[] nums, int original) {
        // Create a set to store unique elements from the array
        Set<Integer> numSet = new HashSet<>();

        // Add all elements from the array into the set for quicker searches
        for (int num : nums) {
            numSet.add(num);
        }

        // Keep doubling the original value until it's no longer found in the set
        while (numSet.contains(original)) {
            original *= 2; // equivalent to original <<= 1; for doubling
        }

        // Return the final value of original after it couldn't be doubled any further (not found in the set)
        return original;
    }
}
```

## C++ Solution

```cpp
#include <unordered_set>
#include <vector>

class Solution {
public:
    // Function to find the final value after doubling the original value if it is in the nums array
    int findFinalValue(std::vector<int>& nums, int original) {
        // Create an unordered_set to store the unique elements in 'nums'
        std::unordered_set<int> elementsSet;

        // Insert all the numbers in the 'nums' vector into the set
        for (int num : nums) {
            elementsSet.insert(num);
        }

        // Keep doubling the 'original' value as long as it is present in the set
        while (elementsSet.count(original) > 0) {
            original <<= 1; // This is equivalent to multiplying 'original' by 2
        }

        // Return the final value of 'original' after it can no longer be doubled
        return original;
    }
};
```

## Typescript Solution

```typescript
// Finds the final value by multiplying the original value by two as long
// as that new value exists in the set generated from the nums array.
function findFinalValue(number: number[], original: number): number {
    // Initialize a new set from the nums array to facilitate O(1) lookups.
    let numberSet: Set<number> = new Set(nums);

    // Continue doubling 'original' as long as it exists within 'numberSet'.
    while (numberSet.has(original)) {
        original *= 2;
    }

    // Return the final value, which is not present in 'numberSet'.
    return original;
}
```

## Time and Space Complexity

### Time Complexity

The time complexity of the code is O(n) where n is the length of the `nums` list. The reasoning behind this is that the conversion of the list to a set, s = set(nums), takes linear time relative to the number of elements in the list. Then, the `while` loop runs at most until the value of `original` becomes larger than the largest element in s. In the worst-case scenario, this value could double at most n times before exceeding the max element in `nums` if the array contained a sequence of powers of 2. However, since the `while` loop checks membership in a set which is done in constant time, O(1), the increase in `original` does not significantly affect the overall time complexity.

### Space Complexity

The space complexity of the code is O(n). The extra space is used to create the set s from the list `nums`. The set will contain at most n unique values where n is the number of elements in `nums`. Thus, the space complexity depends linearly on the size of the input array.