Hard

Problem Description

In this problem, we are working with a particular type of string operation that involves creating new strings by repeating an initial string a certain number of times. Two strings s1 and s2 and two integers n1 and n2 are given. We create two new strings:

str2 by repeating s2 exactly n2 times (i.e., str2 = s2 * n2).

str1 by repeating s1 exactly n1 times (i.e., str1 = s1 * n1).

Our task is to determine the maximum number of times (m) we can repeat str2 to obtain a string that can be derived from str1 by

characters the same.

possibly removing some characters from str1 without rearranging the remaining characters. For example, "abc" can be derived from "abdbc" by removing the characters "d" and "b" and by keeping the order of the remaining

The intuition behind the solution lies in finding how many times one instance of \$2 can be formed by selectively dropping characters

Intuition

construction of str1 and then str2, we can use this pattern repetition to estimate the final result more efficiently. The approach to solve this problem is: 1. Construct a dictionary (d) that, for each possible start index in s2, stores how many complete s2 strings can be formed from s1

from s1 during its repeated concatenation to form str1. By analyzing the patterns of how s2 can be formed from s1, the solution

leverages the fact that after a certain number of iterations, these patterns will repeat. Thus, rather than simulating the entire

and the index at which the next s2 will start forming.

- 2. Loop through n1 times (the number of times s1 is repeated), each time using the dictionary to avoid completely recomputing how many times s2 can be formed, and keeping track of the current position in s2. 3. Aggregate the counts from each s1 repetition to get the total number of times s2 can be formed, and finally, divide that by n2 to
- get the maximum m that satisfies the condition. By avoiding the unnecessary full simulation of constructing str1 and str2, the algorithm significantly reduces the time complexity
- from what would be prohibitive to a more manageable level, enabling it to handle large inputs efficiently. **Solution Approach**

The solution's core idea is to find a repetitive pattern when matching s2 within the repeated string s1. The same sequence of matches and omissions will eventually repeat since the strings s1 and s2 are finite. This pattern can be captured and used to

extrapolate the matches without explicitly iterating through each concatenation of s1.

Step 1: Constructing the Dictionary for Pattern Recognition

and j is reset to 0. This process continues through the length of s1.

Here's a step-by-step breakdown of the implementation:

Here, cnt is the count of how many times s2 can be formed from s1 when s2 starts from that particular index, and j is the index at which we can continue matching the next s2 after one iteration of s1. This is done by iterating through each index i of s2 and then iterating through s1. If the current character of s1 matches the

character at index j of s2, j is incremented. If j reaches the length of s2, it means s2 has been formed once, so cnt is incremented,

A dictionary d is built where each key represents a starting index in s2, and the value is a tuple consisting of two elements (cnt, j).

Step 2: Counting s2 Formations within str1 We then iterate n1 times (representing the number of s1 repetitions) and track the total count of s2 formations. In each iteration, using the current index j (which tells us where in s2 we are), we update the total count with the count stored in the dictionary and

update j to the next starting index for s2 from the value associated with the current index in the dictionary.

times str2 (which is s2 repeated n2 times) can be formed. This is simply the integer division of the total count by n2.

pattern repetition and dictionary-based tracking to skip over repeated calculations, thus optimizing the process.

Step 3: Calculating the Final Answer After looping through n1 times, the total count represents how many times s2 is formed in str1. The last step is to find how many

The solution makes use of algorithmic techniques such as greedy matching and pattern searching, and it uses a dictionary to store intermediate results for dynamic programming-like reuse. By identifying the repetitive sequences ahead of time, it circumvents the requirement of a more brute-force approach that would involve constructing and comparing the long strings str1 and str2 explicitly.

This process allows us to simulate the matching between \$1 and \$2 over large strings efficiently. The crucial insight is leveraging the

Let's go through an example to illustrate the solution approach with the strings s1 = "ab" and s2 = "baba" and the integers n1 = 6 and n2 = 2.

Step 1: Constructing the Dictionary for Pattern Recognition We start by creating the dictionary d. We will iterate s1 and see how s2 fits within it:

o s1[1] = 'b' matches s2[0] = 'b', so we move to s2[1]. s1 ends, so we start again from s1[0], but now for s2[1].

Start with s2 at index 0:

Example Walkthrough

o s1[0] = 'a' matches s2[1] = 'a', so we move to s2[2]. o s1[1] = 'b' matches s2[2] = 'b', so we move to s2[3].

We can see that after the first s1, we can't completely form s2, but we've made some progress. Our dictionary after processing s1

once will look like this: $d = \{0: (0, 2)\}$. It tells us that starting from index 0 of s2, we can build up to index 2 with one s1.

One full iteration of s1 helps us reach index 3 of s2. We need to continue from here in the next cycle.

```
Step 2: Counting s2 Formations within str1
Now, we would iterate over n1 times. However, since our s1 length is 2, and s2 is 4, it's clear we cannot form a single s2 fully after
one iteration of s1. But for demonstration, let's loop n1=6 times and assume that one s2 can be formed after two s1 iterations. Using
this example, we will operate with hypothesized values, where we assume after two iterations of $1, one $2 is formed.
With d built, we would now loop through s1 n1 times and keep a count of how many s2s we've fully formed. Assume at every two s1
```

iterations, we form one \$2, and so after six \$1 repetitions, the count would be 3.

s1[0] = 'a' does not match s2[0] = 'b', so we skip.

Now, we simply calculate m = count / n2. Here, count = 3 and n2 = 2. Therefore, m = 3 / 2 = 1. By this calculation, we determine that str2 can be formed from str1 a maximum of 1 time. In our example, because of the

mismatching lengths and inability to form even one full \$2, the actual calculation gave us 0 times, but through the hypothesized

Note that for the purpose of this walkthrough, the dictionary size is only kept minimal to illustrate the concept. In practice, the

complete formation of s2 was hypothetically straight-forward to help understand the steps involved in the general case; however,

dictionary d will be of size len(s2) as we have to check for each index of s2. Moreover, we assumed a simplified case where

the actual approach would calculate the dictionary values based on how elements of \$1 map onto \$2 with potentially partial

Build the dictionary with repetitions and the next index for each starting index in target_str

count_repetitions = 0 # Counter for occurrences of target_str in source_str

Loop through each character in source_str to find repetitions of target_str

source_idx += 1 # Move to the next index in target_str

index_to_search = 0 # The starting index in target_str for the next search

count_repetitions, index_to_search = repetition_dict[index_to_search]

count_repetitions += 1 # Increment the count

repetition_dict[idx] = (count_repetitions, source_idx)

Loop for concatenating source_str source_count times

source_idx = idx # The current index in target_str being searched in source_str

if source_idx == target_len: # When one occurrence of target_str is found

source_idx = 0 # Reset the index in target_str for another search

Store the count of repetitions and the next search start index in the dictionary

The variable to store the total number of repetitions of target_str in the concatenated source_str

Get the number of repetitions and the next search starting index from the dictionary

total_repetitions += count_repetitions # Update the total repetitions of target_str

Python Solution

formations involved.

1 class Solution:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

48

47 }

Step 3: Calculating the Final Answer

process, we've illustrated the algorithm's steps.

```
def getMaxRepetitions(self, source_str: str, source_count: int, target_str: str, target_count: int) -> int:
    target_len = len(target_str) # Length of target_str
    repetition_dict = {} # Dictionary to store the repetitions for each starting index of target_str
```

for idx in range(target_len):

for char in source_str:

total_repetitions = 0

for _ in range(source_count):

// Main computation of max repetitions

int j = 0; // Initialize index for s2

repetitions += dp[j][0];

// Multiply s1 n1 times to see how many s2's can be found

// Move to the next starting index in s2

// Add number of times s2 is found in this segment of s1

// Return the total count found divided by n2, to see how many s2*n2 are in s1*n1

int repetitions = 0;

for (; n1 > 0; n1--) {

j = dp[j][1];

return repetitions / n2;

if char == target_str[source_idx]:

```
32
           # Return the total repetitions of target_str divided by target_count to find how many full target_str can be formed
33
            return total_repetitions // target_count
34
Java Solution
   class Solution {
       public int getMaxRepetitions(String s1, int n1, String s2, int n2) {
           // Lengths of s1 and s2
            int s1Length = s1.length(), s2Length = s2.length();
           // Create an array to store the dp result for substrings of s2
           int[][] dp = new int[s2Length][];
           // Precompute how many times s2 can be found in s1 for each starting index
9
           for (int i = 0; i < s2Length; ++i) {</pre>
10
                int j = i; // Pointer for s2
11
12
                int countInS1 = 0; // Count of s2 in s1
13
               // Scan s1 to see how many times the characters of s2 appear in sequence
14
                for (int k = 0; k < s1Length; ++k) {</pre>
15
                    if (s1.charAt(k) == s2.charAt(j)) {
16
                        // Move to the next character in s2
17
18
                        j++;
19
20
                        // If we reach the end of s2, wrap around and increment count
21
                        if (j == s2Length) {
22
                            j = 0;
23
                            countInS1++;
24
25
26
27
28
               // Store the count and next index in the dp table
                dp[i] = new int[] {countInS1, j};
29
30
31
```

1 class Solution { public:

C++ Solution

```
int getMaxRepetitions(string s1, int n1, string s2, int n2) {
            int s1Length = s1.size(), s2Length = s2.size();
           vector<pair<int, int>> countIndexPairs;
           // Pre-processing: Calculate how many full s2 are in one s1, for each starting position in s2
           for (int startIndexS2 = 0; startIndexS2 < s2Length; ++startIndexS2) {</pre>
                int currentIndexS2 = startIndexS2;
               int countS2InS1 = 0;
10
               for (int i = 0; i < s1Length; ++i) {</pre>
                    if (s1[i] == s2[currentIndexS2]) {
12
13
                        currentIndexS2++;
                       // If the end of s2 is reached, count it and reset the index
14
                       if (currentIndexS2 == s2Length) {
15
                            countS2InS1++;
16
                            currentIndexS2 = 0;
18
19
20
21
               // Store the count of s2 in s1 and the next starting index for s2
22
               countIndexPairs.emplace_back(countS2InS1, currentIndexS2);
23
24
           int totalS2Count = 0;
25
26
            int currentStartIndexS2 = 0;
27
28
           // Main calculation: Find the total number of s2 given n1 repetitions of s1
29
           while (n1 > 0) {
30
               // Add the number of full s2s that correspond to the current starting index
31
               totalS2Count += countIndexPairs[currentStartIndexS2].first;
32
               // Move the start index to the next position based on the pre-processed data
               currentStartIndexS2 = countIndexPairs[currentStartIndexS2].second;
33
34
               // One s1 is used up
35
               n1--;
36
37
38
           // We have the total number of s2s in n1 s1s. Divide by n2 to get the answer.
39
           return totalS2Count / n2;
40
41 };
42
Typescript Solution
1 function getMaxRepetitions(s1: string, n1: number, s2: string, n2: number): number {
       // `s2Length` is the length of string `s2`
       const s2Length = s2.length;
       // `repetitionInfo` holds the count of repetitions and the next index for each character in `s2`
       const repetitionInfo: number[][] = new Array(s2Length).fill(0).map(() => new Array(2).fill(0));
6
```

// If at the end of `s2`, reset to beginning of `s2` and increment `repetitionCount`

// Update the index in `s2` where the next cycle will begin 30 currentS2Index = repetitionInfo[currentS2Index][1]; 31 32 33 34

Time and Space Complexity

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The provided code consists of two major parts: creating a dictionary d and calculating the answer ans.

Time Complexity

// Return the maximum number of `s2` repetitions in `s1` * `n1` over `n2` return Math.floor(totalRepetitions / n2); 35 36 } 37

let totalRepetitions = 0; // Store total repetitions of `s2` in `s1` * `n1`

// Precompute the count of `s2` in `s1` and the next starting index in `s2`

let repetitionCount = 0; // Store the number of `s2` found in `s1`

// If chars match, move to the next char in `s2`

let currentS2Index = index; // Start from the character at `index` in `s2`

for (let index = 0; index < s2Length; ++index) {</pre>

if (char === s2[currentS2Index]) {

currentS2Index = 0;

++repetitionCount;

// Update precomputed info for this index

// Add repetitions for current cycle of `n1`

for (let currentS2Index = 0; n1 > 0; --n1) {

if (++currentS2Index === s2Length) {

repetitionInfo[index] = [repetitionCount, currentS2Index];

totalRepetitions += repetitionInfo[currentS2Index][0];

for (const char of s1) {

- 1. Building the dictionary d involves a nested loop where the outer loop runs for the length of s2 and the inner loop for the length of s1. The inner loop goes through s1 to count how many times s2 fits in it starting at different indices. This results in a time complexity of 0(len(s1) * len(s2)) for this part, because for every character in s2, we potentially traverse s1 completely.
- Thus, the time complexity for this part is 0(n1).

2. The next part of the code loops n1 times, where each loop involves a constant time dictionary lookup and addition operation.

Combining these two parts, the total time complexity is 0(len(s1) * len(s2) + n1). **Space Complexity**

- The space complexity is determined by the additional space used by our algorithm, which in this case is primarily the dictionary d:
- 1. The dictionary d stores a tuple for each character of s2, so it will contain len(s2) tuples. Each tuple contains two integers, resulting in a space complexity of O(len(s2)).
- 2. Apart from the dictionary, only constant extra space is used for variables ans and j. Therefore, the total space complexity is O(len(s2)).