

# 367. Valid Perfect Square

Easy Math Binary Search

[Leetcode Link](#)

## Problem Description

The problem is straightforward: given a positive integer `num`, our task is to determine whether it is a perfect square without using any built-in library functions, such as `sqrt`. A perfect square is a number that can be expressed as the product of an integer with itself. For example, the number 16 is a perfect square because it can be expressed as  $4 \times 4$ .

## Intuition

To solve this problem, we can use two different methods - Binary Search and the Math Trick.

### Method 1: Binary Search

The Binary Search approach involves setting two pointers `left` and `right`, where `left` starts at 1 (the smallest perfect square) and `right` starts at `num` (as the largest possible perfect square our input could be). We iteratively narrow down the search range by finding the midpoint of `left` and `right` and squaring it. If the square of this midpoint is larger than or equal to `num`, we know our perfect square root, if it exists, is at or before this midpoint, so we move the `right` pointer to the midpoint. Otherwise, we move `left` up to `mid + 1`. The moment `left` and `right` converge, we check if the square of `left` is equal to `num` to conclude whether `num` is a perfect square.

### Method 2: Math Trick

This method uses the observation that every perfect square is the sum of a sequence of odd numbers starting from 1. We keep adding sequentially larger odd numbers to a sum. This sum starts at 0, and we increase the odd number to add by 2 each time. Whenever the sum equals the number `num`, we confirm that `num` is a perfect square. The underlying math of this trick is that the sum of the first `n` odd numbers is  $n^2$ , which is exactly the definition of a perfect square.

Both methods have an upper time complexity of  $O(\log n)$ , however, the math trick can sometimes conclude that a number isn't a perfect square more quickly since not all numbers are perfect squares and the sum can exceed `num` before we've added `n` terms.

## Solution Approach

The solution implements two approaches to determine if a number is a perfect square without using the built-in `sqrt` function.

### Method 1: Binary Search Approach

In the binary search approach, we use the concept of a binary search algorithm to efficiently find the target perfect square, if it exists. We start by initializing two pointers: `left` at 1 (since 1 is the smallest square) and `right` at `num` (since a number cannot be a perfect square of any number larger than itself).

Here's the step-by-step binary search algorithm applied to this problem:

- While `left` is less than `right`, perform the following steps to narrow down the search space:
  - Calculate the midpoint `mid` by averaging `left` and `right` (using bitwise shifting `>> 1` to divide by 2 for efficiency).
  - Multiply `mid` by itself to check if it gives `num`.
  - If `mid * mid` is greater than or equal to `num`, we set `right` to `mid`. This is because if `mid` squared is larger than or equal to `num`, the number we're looking for, if it exists, cannot be greater than `mid`.
  - If `mid * mid` is less than `num`, we set `left` to `mid + 1`. This is because the number we're looking for must be larger than `mid`.
- After the loop, we check if `left * left` equals `num` to determine if `num` is indeed a perfect square.

The binary search approach ensures that we can quickly zone in on the potential candidate for the square root of the number and confirm if it's a perfect square in  $O(\log(n))$  time complexity.

### Method 2: Math Trick

The math trick approach makes use of a mathematical pattern where every perfect square can be represented as a sum of odd numbers sequentially. For instance:

- $1 = 1$
- $4 = 1 + 3$
- $9 = 1 + 3 + 5$
- ...

This pattern can be continued indefinitely, and each time the resulting sum will be a perfect square.

The algorithm for this approach is as follows:

- Initialize a variable `sum` as 0 to keep track of the sum of odd numbers, and another variable `i` representing the current odd number to add to the sum, starting at 1.
- While `sum` is less than `num`, add `i` to `sum` and check if `sum` equals `num`:
  - If `sum` becomes equal to `num`, then `num` is a perfect square and we return `true`.
  - If `sum` is still less than `num`, increment `i` by 2 to get to the next odd number.
- If the loop ends without returning `true`, then `num` isn't a perfect square, return `false`.

This method runs in  $O(\sqrt{n})$  time complexity since in the worst case, it adds up the sequence of odd numbers up to the square root of the number.

Both of these approaches efficiently determine whether a number is a perfect square without using any built-in square root function, providing a reliable way to solve the problem with a guaranteed logarithmic or square root time complexity.

## Example Walkthrough

Let's illustrate the solution using the number `num = 16` to determine whether it is a perfect square through both methods.

### Binary Search Approach Example with `num = 16`:

- Set `left` to 1 and `right` to `num` (16).
- While `left < right`:
  - Calculate `mid` which is  $(\text{left} + \text{right}) / 2$ , so initially  $(1 + 16) / 2 = 8.5$ , we take the integer part and consider `mid = 8`.
  - Now, check `mid * mid` which equals  $8 * 8 = 64$ . This is greater than `num` (16), so set `right = mid` to 8.
- Update the while loop, and calculate the new `mid` as  $(1 + 8) / 2 = 4.5$ , consider `mid = 4`.
  - Check `mid * mid` which is  $4 * 4 = 16$ . This equals `num` (16), break the loop and confirm that `num` is a perfect square.

In a real run, the loop would continue until `left` and `right` converge to the point where `left == right`. However, in this case, we've found that 16 is a perfect square of 4 during the process. The other steps are not performed because we already found a match.

### Math Trick Approach Example with `num = 16`:

- Initially, `sum = 0` and `i = 1` (the first odd number).
- Add `i` to `sum`, `sum` becomes 1. Then increment `i` by 2 to get 3.
- Now, `sum` is 1 and `i` is 3. Add `i` to `sum`, `sum` becomes  $1 + 3 = 4$ . Increment `i` by 2 to get 5.
- Continuing, add the new `i` to `sum` to get  $4 + 5 = 9$ . Increment `i` by 2 to get 7.
- Add 7 to `sum` to get  $9 + 7 = 16$ , which equals `num`.
- Since the sum now equals `num`, we can assert that `num` is indeed a perfect square.

Through both methods, we have confirmed that 16 is a perfect square. The binary search approached the conclusion more directly by halving the possible range, while the math trick added sequential odd numbers until the sum matched the input (`num`).

## Python Solution

```
1 class Solution:
2     def isPerfectSquare(self, num: int) -> bool:
3         # Initialize the binary search boundaries.
4         left, right = 1, num
5
6         # Use binary search to find the potential square root of the number.
7         while left < right:
8             # Calculate the middle point of the current search boundary.
9             mid = (left + right) // 2
10
11            # If the square of mid is greater than or equal to num, we narrow the search space
12            # to the left half including mid.
13            if mid * mid >= num:
14                right = mid
15            # Otherwise, we narrow the search space to the right half excluding mid.
16            else:
17                left = mid + 1
18
19            # After the loop, left will be equal to right and should be the smallest number
20            # whose square is greater than or equal to num.
21            # Check if it's a perfect square of num.
22            return left * left == num
23
```

## Java Solution

```
1 class Solution {
2     // Method to check if a given number is a perfect square
3     public boolean isPerfectSquare(int num) {
4         long left = 1;           // Set the lower bound of the search range
5         long right = num;        // Set the upper bound of the search range
6
7         // Binary search to find the square root of num
8         while (left < right) {
9             // Calculate the midpoint to avoid overflow
10            long mid = (left + right) >> 1;
11
12            // If mid squared is greater than or equal to num, it could be the root
13            if (mid * mid >= num) {
14                right = mid;        // Adjust the upper bound for the next iteration
15            } else {
16                left = mid + 1;     // Adjust the lower bound if mid squared is less than num
17            }
18        }
19
20        // Check if the final left value squared equals the original number to confirm if it's a perfect square
21        return left * left == num;
22    }
23 }
24
```

## C++ Solution

```
1 class Solution {
2 public:
3     // Function to check if a given number is a perfect square
4     bool isPerfectSquare(int num) {
5         long left = 1;           // Initializing the lower boundary of the search space
6         long right = num;        // Initializing the upper boundary of the search space
7
8         // Using binary search to find the square root of the number
9         while (left < right) {
10            long mid = left + (right - left) / 2; // Calculating the mid-value to prevent overflow
11            // If mid squared is greater than or equal to num, we narrow down the upper boundary
12            if (mid * mid >= num) {
13                right = mid;
14            } else {
15                // If mid squared is less than num, we narrow down the lower boundary
16                left = mid + 1;
17            }
18        }
19
20        // Once left and right converge, we verify if the number is indeed a perfect square
21        return left * left == num;
22    }
23 };
24
```

## Typescript Solution

```
1 /**
2  * Checks whether a given number is a perfect square or not.
3  *
4  * @param {number} num - The number to check.
5  * @returns {boolean} - True if num is a perfect square, false otherwise.
6  */
7 function isPerfectSquare(num: number): boolean {
8     // Initialize the search range
9     let left: number = 1;
10    let right: number = num >> 1; // Equivalent to Math.floor(num / 2)
11
12    // Perform binary search to find the square root of num
13    while (left < right) {
14        // Calculate the midpoint of the current search range, using bitwise shift for division by 2
15        const mid: number = (left + right) >> 1;
16
17        // Compare the square of the mid value with num
18        if (mid * mid < num) {
19            left = mid + 1; // If mid^2 is less than num, narrow the range to the upper half
20        } else {
21            right = mid; // If mid^2 is greater or equal to num, narrow the range to the lower half, including mid
22        }
23    }
24
25    // After the loop, left should be the integer part of the square root if it exists.
26    // Check if the square of 'left' is exactly num to conclude if num is a perfect square.
27    return left * left === num;
28 }
29
```

## Time and Space Complexity

The time complexity of the given binary search algorithm is  $O(\log n)$ , where `n` is the value of the input `num`. This is because the algorithm effectively halves the search space with each iteration by updating either the `left` or `right` variable to the `mid` value.

The space complexity of the algorithm is  $O(1)$  since it uses a fixed amount of extra space - variables `left`, `right`, `mid`, and the space needed for a few calculations do not depend on the size of the input `num`.