2260. Minimum Consecutive Cards to Pick Up

Sliding Window Medium <u>Array</u> Hash Table

Problem Description

In this problem, you're given an array cards with each element representing the value of a card. The task is to find the minimum number of consecutive cards you need to pick from the array to get a pair of matching cards (i.e., two cards with the same value). If it is possible to get a matching pair by picking cards consecutively, you should return the minimum number of cards you need to pick. If no matching pairs exist in the sequence of cards, the function should return -1.

Intuition

of cards, we check if the current card's value has already been seen before by consulting the HashMap. If it has been seen before, we subtract the previous index where this value was seen from the current index to find the distance between them, which also includes the currently picked card (hence we add 1). We continuously update the minimum distance whenever we find a pair of matching cards. 1. Start by initializing a HashMap last to store the last index where each card value was seen.

To solve this problem, we can utilize a **HashMap** to keep track of the last seen indices of card values. As we iterate over the array

- 2. Initialize a variable ans to store the answer, initialized to inf (infinity), which represents that the initial distance is infinitely large.
- 3. Iterate over the cards array using an index i and the card value x: a. If the card x is already in the HashMap (last), it means a previous card
- with the same value was seen. Therefore, calculate the distance from the current card to the last card with the same value, which can be done by i - last[x] + 1, and update the answer ans by the minimum of the current answer and this new distance. b. Update the last HashMap to mark the current index i as the latest index at which the card value x was seen. 4. After the loop, check if ans is still inf. If it is, that means no matching cards were found, and you should return -1. Otherwise, return ans as
- the minimum number of consecutive cards to pick up to have a pair of matching cards. The strength of this approach lies in its time complexity. Because the HashMap access/update is 0(1) on average and the

iteration of the cards is O(n) where n is the number of cards, the overall time complexity of this approach is O(n) which is efficient.

The solution uses a simple yet effective algorithm combined with a dictionary (a.k.a. HashMap in other languages) data structure

Solution Approach

to efficiently track the last occurrence index of card values. Here's a walkthrough of the code implementation:

newly calculated distance.

• A variable named ans is initialized with inf, which represents infinity. This variable will eventually hold the minimum number of cards required

• A dictionary named last is created to store the last occurrence index of each card value encountered as we iterate over the array.

- to find a matching pair or stay as infinity if no match is found. • The code then iterates over each card in the cards array using a for loop with the index i and card value x. o If the card value x is found in the last dictionary, this implies that we have encountered this value before, and therefore, we have found a
- pair of matching cards. The current distance to the last seen matching card is calculated by i last[x] + 1. +1 is included because both the current card and the last card are part of the set we are considering. We then update ans with the minimum of its current value and the
- Whether a match is found or not, the last dictionary is updated such that x now points to the current index i. This operation ensures that the next time \mathbf{x} is encountered, the distance will be calculated from this point. • After the loop concludes, the ans variable is checked to determine whether it still contains inf (meaning no pairs were found). If ans is still inf, the function returns -1, as it is not possible to have matching cards. Otherwise, it returns the value of ans, which is the minimum number
- The efficiency of the algorithm comes from the use of the last dictionary, which allows constant time lookup and update for the indices of card values. This means that the algorithm will perform well, even for large arrays, as the time complexity remains linear (O(n)), where n is the number of cards.

To illustrate the solution approach, let's consider a small example using the array of cards: [5, 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 3].

Example Walkthrough

• Iteration 1: Card value is 5. Since 5 is not present in the dictionary last, we add it to last with index 0: last[5] = 0. • Iteration 2: Card value is 1. It's also not in last, thus we add last[1] = 1.

• Iteration 3: Card value is 3. We add it to last: last[3] = 2.

We start with an empty dictionary last and initialize ans to inf.

of consecutive cards needed to pick up to get a matching pair.

- Iteration 4: Card value is 4. We add last [4] = 3.
- Iteration 5: Card value is 5. This time, 5 is already in last with the index 0. We calculate the distance: i last[5] + 1 = 5 0 + 1 = 6. We

Initialize the answer to infinity to represent a large number.

Iterate over the list of cards with their indices.

for (int i = 0; i < numOfCards; ++i) {</pre>

if (lastIndex.count(cards[i])) {

lastIndex[cards[i]] = i;

// Update the last seen index of cards[i]

minPickup = min(minPickup, i - lastIndex[cards[i]] + 1);

// If the current card has been seen before...

// the current smallest sequence length and

// the length of the current sequence of cards

// Update the smallest sequence length with the minimum between

minSequenceLength = Math.min(minSequenceLength, i - lastIndexMap.get(cards[i]) + 1);

if (lastIndexMap.containsKev(cards[i])) {

- then update ans to 6 since 6 < inf. We also update last[5] to the current index: last[5] = 4. • Iteration 6: Card value is 6. We add last[6] = 5.
- Iteration 8: Card value is 3. As 3 is in last with the index 2, we find another pair. We calculate the distance: i last[3] + 1 = 8 2 + 1 = 7. We compare this with the current ans, which is 6, and since 7 is larger, we don't update ans. Update last[3] = 7.

• Iteration 7: Card value is 7. We add last[7] = 6.

After the iterations, the smallest value in ans that was updated is 6. Therefore, the minimum number of consecutive cards you need to pick up to get a pair of matching cards is 6.

would still be inf, and we would return -1 since there are no consecutive cards that form a pair.

In contrast, if our cards array was something like [8, 5, 1, 3, 4] where no values repeat, at the end of our iteration, the ans

Solution Implementation

class Solution: def minimumCardPickup(self, cards: List[int]) -> int: # Create a dictionary to keep track of the last index where each card was seen.

last seen = {}

min pickup length = inf

from math import inf

Python

```
for index, card value in enumerate(cards):
            # If the card was seen before, calculate the pickup length.
            if card value in last seen:
                # Update the minimum pickup length if a shorter one is found.
                min pickup length = min(min pickup length, index - last_seen[card_value] + 1)
            # Update the last seen index for the current card.
            last_seen[card_value] = index
        # Return -1 if the answer remains infinity (no pickup found), else return the minimum pickup length.
        return -1 if min_pickup_length == inf else min_pickup_length
Java
class Solution {
    public int minimumCardPickup(int[] cards) {
        // Create a map to store the last index of each card value
        Map<Integer, Integer> lastIndexMap = new HashMap<>();
        int numOfCards = cards.length;
        // Initialize the smallest sequence length to maximum possible value
        int minSequenceLength = numOfCards + 1;
        // Iterate through each card in the array
```

```
// Update the last index for this card value
            lastIndexMap.put(cards[i], i);
       // If no sequence is found (minSequenceLength was not updated), return -1
        // Otherwise, return the smallest sequence length
        return minSequenceLength > numOfCards ? -1 : minSequenceLength;
C++
#include <vector>
#include <unordered map>
#include <algorithm>
class Solution {
public:
    // Function to find the minimum number of cards to be picked up in order
    // to get a pair of cards with the same value.
    int minimumCardPickup(vector<int>& cards) {
        unordered map<int, int> lastIndex; // Stores the last index where each card was seen
        int n = cards.size(); // The number of cards
        int minPickup = n + 1; // Initialize it to an impossible maximum
        // Iterate over the cards
        for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
            // If we have seen cards[i] before, calculate the distance from its last occurrence
```

```
};
```

```
// If minPickup did not change from its initial value, no pair was found; return -1
        // Otherwise, return the minimum number of cards picked up to find a pair
        return minPickup > n ? -1 : minPickup;
TypeScript
function minimumCardPickup(cards: number[]): number {
    // Store the length of the cards array.
    const cardCount = cards.length;
    // Create a new map to store the last occurrence index of each card.
    const lastIndexMap = new Map<number. number>();
    // Initialize the answer to be larger than any possible minimum pickup length.
    let minPickupLength = cardCount + 1;
    // Iterate through the cards.
    for (let index = 0; index < cardCount; ++index) {</pre>
        // Check if we have seen the current card before.
        if (lastIndexMap.has(cards[index])) {
            // Update the minimum pickup length if we've found a shorter subarray.
            minPickupLength = Math.min(minPickupLength, index - lastIndexMap.get(cards[index])! + 1);
        // Update the map with the latest index of the current card.
        lastIndexMap.set(cards[index], index);
    // If the answer is still larger than any possible value, return -1 as no valid subarray was found.
    return minPickupLength > cardCount ? -1 : minPickupLength;
```

```
from math import inf
class Solution:
    def minimumCardPickup(self, cards: List[int]) -> int:
        # Create a dictionary to keep track of the last index where each card was seen.
        last seen = {}
        # Initialize the answer to infinity to represent a large number.
        min_pickup_length = inf
        # Iterate over the list of cards with their indices.
        for index, card value in enumerate(cards):
            # If the card was seen before, calculate the pickup length.
            if card value in last seen:
                # Update the minimum pickup length if a shorter one is found.
               min pickup length = min(min pickup length, index - last_seen[card_value] + 1)
           # Update the last seen index for the current card.
            last seen[card value] = index
        # Return -1 if the answer remains infinity (no pickup found), else return the minimum pickup length.
        return -1 if min_pickup_length == inf else min_pickup_length
Time and Space Complexity
```

Time Complexity

The time complexity of the provided code is O(n), where n is the number of cards. This is because the code iterates through the list of cards exactly once with a single loop (for i, x in enumerate (cards):). Within this loop, checking if x is in last (if x in last:) and updating last[x] (last[x] = i) are both operations that take 0(1) time on average when using a dictionary in Python.

Space Complexity

The space complexity of the provided code is <code>O(u)</code> , where <code>u</code> is the number of unique cards. This is because a dictionary <code>last</code> is used to store the last index at which each card appears. In the worst-case scenario where all card values are unique, the dictionary would need to store an entry for each card, thus requiring O(u) space.