2068. Check Whether Two Strings are Almost Equivalent

Easy | Hash Table | String | Counting

Leetcode Link

Problem Description

The problem presents us with the concept of two strings, `word1` and `word2`, being *almost equivalent*. Two strings are almost equivalent if for each letter in the alphabet, the number of times it appears in one word is within three of the number of times it appears in the other word. We are asked to write a function that takes two strings of equal length and returns `true` if they are almost equivalent, and `false` if they are not. This problem is essentially about comparing the frequency of each character from 'a' to 'z' in the two strings and checking if that frequency difference is at most 3.

Intuition

To solve this problem, the initial step is to understand that we need to count and compare the frequency of each letter in both strings. If the difference in the counts of any letter is more than 3, the strings are not almost equivalent.

The intuition behind the solution is to use a Counter data structure to store the frequencies of each letter from `word1` and then decrement those frequencies based on the letters from `word2`. This way, we directly get the difference in frequencies between `word1` and `word2`. By using the built-in Counter from Python's collections module, we can efficiently count the letter frequencies and easily compute the differences.

After processing both strings, we then check if all frequency differences are within the acceptable range (at most 3). The `all()` function is suitable for this check as it ensures that every letter satisfies the almost equivalent condition. If any letter's absolute frequency difference is greater than 3, `all()` immediately returns `false`, indicating that the words are not almost equivalent. Only if all frequency differences are within the allowed limit will `all()` return `true`, confirming that the strings are indeed almost equivalent.

The solution is concise, and the use of the Counter and absolutes of the differences streamlines the entire process from counting to comparison, making this a straightforward approach to solve the problem.

Solution Approach

In this solution, we're using the `Counter` data structure from Python's `collections` module, which is particularly useful for counting hashable objects (in this case, the characters of the strings). It internally maintains a dictionary where keys are the elements being counted and the values are their respective counts.

Here's a breakdown of the steps in the algorithm:

1. We initialize the `Counter` with `word1`. This gives us a dictionary where each key is a unique character from `word1`, and its corresponding value is the number of times the character appears in `word1`.
2. We iterate through each character `c` in `word2` and decrement the count of `c` in our `Counter` by 1. If `c` wasn't in `word1`, its count in the `Counter` will be `-1`, accurately reflecting the difference in frequency between `word1` and `word2`.
3. After processing both strings, our `Counter` now represents the net frequency differences of each character.
4. The final step is to examine if *all* values (net differences) in the `Counter` are less than or equal to 3 in absolute value. This is achieved by using the `all()` function combined with a generator expression. The expression `abs(x) <= 3` is evaluated for every value `x` in the `Counter`. If they all satisfy this condition, it means that the frequency of no character differs by more than 3 between the strings, hence `word1` and `word2` are almost equivalent, and the function returns `true`. If even one such condition fails, the function returns `false` as the strings are not almost equivalent.

In terms of complexity:

- The time complexity of the solution is O(N), where N is the length of the input strings. This is because we iterate over each string once.
- The space complexity is O(1) despite using the `Counter`, as the size of the `Counter` is bounded by the number of unique characters in `word1`, which is at most 26 in the English alphabet. Hence, it is a constant space overhead.

This approach is efficient and exploits the constant upper bound on the number of unique characters. It elegantly simplifies the problem down to a single `Counter` check at the end.

Example Walkthrough

Let's consider `word1 = "abc"` and `word2 = "bcd"`. We shall walk through the solution approach using these two words.

Step 1: Initialize the `Counter` with `word1`. This gives us a `Counter` object where each key is a character from `word1`, and the corresponding value is the frequency of that character in `word1`.

```
Counter for word1: {'a': 1, 'b': 1, 'c': 1}
```

Step 2: Iterate through `word2`, decrementing the counts in the `Counter` by 1 for each character in `word2`.

- After seeing 'b' in `word2`, decrement 'b' count by 1.

```
Counter: {'a': 1, 'b': 0, 'c': 1}
```

- After seeing 'c' in `word2`, decrement 'c' count by 1.

```
Counter: {'a': 1, 'b': 0, 'c': 0}
```

- After seeing 'd' in `word2`, since 'd' is not in the `Counter`, its count becomes `-1`.

```
Counter: {'a': 1, 'b': 0, 'c': 0, 'd': -1}
```

Step 3: The `Counter` object now accurately reflects the difference in frequency for each character between `word1` and `word2`.

Step 4: Use the `all()` function with a generator expression to verify that all frequency differences are within 3. We check if `abs(x) <= 3` for every value `x` in the `Counter`.

- For character 'a': `abs(1) <= 3` is `True`.
- For character 'b': `abs(0) <= 3` is `True`.
- For character 'c': `abs(0) <= 3` is `True`.
- For character 'd': `abs(-1) <= 3` is `True`.

Since all conditions are `True`, `all()` returns `True`, hence `word1` and `word2` are almost equivalent and the function will return `True`.

This example clearly illustrates the solution approach – character counts are compared directly, and the process is both simple and efficient.

Python Solution

```python
from collections import Counter

class Solution:
    def checkAlmostEquivalent(self, word1: str, word2: str) -> bool:
        # Create a counter object to count the frequency of each character in word1
        char_counter = Counter(word1)

        # Decrement the count in the counter for each character in word2
        for character in word2:
            char_counter[character] -= 1

        # Check if the absolute difference of each character's frequency is at most 3
        # If it is within [-3, 3] inclusive for every character, the words are almost equivalent
        return all(abs(frequency) <= 3 for frequency in char_counter.values())

# Example usage:
# sol = Solution()
# result = sol.checkAlmostEquivalent("abc", "pqr")
# print(result)  # Output: True or False based on the words being almost equivalent
```

Java Solution

```java
class Solution {

    // Function to check if two strings are almost equivalent
    public boolean checkAlmostEquivalent(String word1, String word2) {
        // Array to keep count of the frequency difference of each character
        int[] charFrequencyDifference = new int[26];

        // Increment the count for each character in word1
        for (int i = 0; i < word1.length(); ++i) {
            charFrequencyDifference[word1.charAt(i) - 'a']++;
        }

        // Decrement the count for each character in word2
        for (int i = 0; i < word2.length(); ++i) {
            charFrequencyDifference[word2.charAt(i) - 'a']--;
        }

        // Check if any character's frequency difference is greater than 3
        for (int frequencyDifference : charFrequencyDifference) {
            // If the absolute frequency difference is greater than 3, return false
            if (Math.abs(frequencyDifference) > 3) {
                return false;
            }
        }

        // If all characters' frequency differences are 3 or less, return true
        return true;
    }
}
```

C++ Solution

```cpp
class Solution {
public:
    // This function checks if two words are almost equivalent.
    // Two words are almost equivalent if the absolute difference in the frequencies
    // of each letter in the words is at most 3.
    bool checkAlmostEquivalent(string word1, string word2) {
        int letterCounts[26] = {0}; // Initialize an array to count letters, one entry for each letter of the alphabet.

        // Count the frequency of each letter in word1.
        for (char& c : word1) {
            ++letterCounts[c - 'a']; // Increment the count for this letter.
        }

        // Decrement the frequency count for each letter in word2.
        for (char& c : word2) {
            --letterCounts[c - 'a']; // Decrement the count for this letter.
        }

        // Check all the counters to ensure none of the frequencies' absolute differences are greater than 3.
        for (int i = 0; i < 26; ++i) {
            if (abs(letterCounts[i]) > 3) {
                // If the absolute difference in frequencies of any letter is more than 3, words are not almost equivalent.
                return false;
            }
        }

        // If the function did not return false in the loop, the words are almost equivalent.
        return true;
    }
};
```

Typescript Solution

```typescript
function checkAlmostEquivalent(word1: string, word2: string): boolean {
    // Create an array of size 26 to represent each letter of the alphabet and initialize with 0
    const letterCounts: number[] = new Array(26).fill(0);

    // Iterate over each character in word1 and increment the count of the corresponding letter
    for (const char of word1) {
        letterCounts[char.charCodeAt(0) - 'a'.charCodeAt(0)]++;
    }

    // Iterate over each character in word2 and decrement the count of the corresponding letter
    for (const char of word2) {
        letterCounts[char.charCodeAt(0) - 'a'.charCodeAt(0)]--;
    }

    // Check that the absolute difference in counts of each letter does not exceed 3
    return letterCounts.every(count => Math.abs(count) <= 3);
}
```

Time and Space Complexity

The time complexity of the given code is `O(n)` where `n` is the combined length of `word1` and `word2`. This is because the algorithm goes through each character of `word1` to build the counter and then iterates over all characters of `word2` to adjust the counts. Each operation of increment or decrement in the counter takes constant time, hence the overall complexity remains linear with respect to the input size.

The space complexity is `O(C)` where `C` is the size of the character set used in the counter. Since the problem deals with lowercase English letters, `C` is at most 26. This is the space required to store the count of each character. Since `C` is a constant number, we can also consider the space complexity to be `O(1)`, as it does not grow with the input size but is bounded by a fixed limit.