#### 947. Most Stones Removed with Same Row or Column Medium **Depth-First Search Union Find** Graph **Hash Table**

### **Problem Description** In this problem, we're given n stones positioned at integer coordinate points in a 2-dimensional plane. The key rule is that no two

column on the grid, and that other stone is still on the plane (i.e., it hasn't been removed). Our task is to find out the maximum number of stones that can be removed while following the stated rule. The stones are represented in an array named stones, with each element stones[i] being a list of 2 integers: [xi, yi], which corresponds to the

stones can occupy the same coordinate point. A stone can be removed if there is at least one other stone sharing the same row or

location of the i-th stone on the 2D plane. Intuition

one.

by rows or columns in such a way that if you pick any stone in a connected component, you'd be able to trace to any other stone in the same connected component through shared rows or columns. The last stone in such a connected component can never be removed as there would be no other stone in the same row or column. Now, the key observation is that the maximum number of stones that can be removed is equal to the total number of stones minus the number of these connected components. To illustrate, imagine you have three stones forming a straight line either horizontally or

vertically. You can remove the two endpoint stones but have to leave the middle one, making the connected components count as

The intuition behind the solution begins by understanding that if two stones share the same row or the same column, they are part of

the same connected component. In the context of the problem, connected components are groups of stones that are all connected

The provided Python solution employs the union-find (or disjoint-set) data structure to efficiently find connected components. This structure helps to keep track of elements that are connected in some way, and in this case, is used to identify stones that are in the same row or column. Here's the breakdown of how the union-find algorithm is applied:

1. Each stone is represented by a node, whose initial "parent" is itself, indicating that it is initially in its own connected component. 2. Iterate over all stones. For each stone (x, y), unify the component containing x with the component containing y + n (we offset

3. After all stones have been iterated over, we count unique representatives of the connected components which are the parent

4. The answer is the total number of stones minus the number of unique representatives (connected components).

By applying union-find, the solution achieves a merging process of the stones that lie in the same connected component quickly, leading to an efficient way to calculate the maximum number of stones that can be removed.

each node, where a node is either a row or a column index.

nodes for the rows and columns.

Solution Approach

y by n to avoid collisions between row and column indices as they could be the same).

essential attribute. Here's a step-by-step explanation of the solution: Initialization

useful in dealing with problems that involve grouping elements into disjoint sets where the connectivity between the elements is an

The solution implements a Union-Find data structure to keep track of connected components. This data structure is particularly

An array p is created with size 2\*n. This is because we have n possible x-coordinates (0 to n-1) and n possible y-coordinates (0

to n-1), but we need to separate the representation to avoid collision, hence n is doubled. The array p represents the parent of

• The find function is a standard function in Union-Find, which returns the representative (root parent) of the disjoint set that x

If x does not point to itself, we recursively find the parent of x until we reach the root while applying path compression. Path

compression means we set p[x] to its root directly to flatten the structure, which speeds up future find operations on elements

# Initially, every element is set to be its own parent.

The find function

belongs to.

in the same set.

**Unification Process** 

**Counting Connected Components** 

function.

coordinate.

 The main loop iterates over every stone. • For a given stone at coordinates (x, y), we unify the set containing x with the set containing y + n to ensure we don't mix up rows and columns.

• The unification is done by setting the parent of the set containing x to be the parent of the set containing y + n using the find

 The number of unique parents indicates the number of connected components. Calculating the Answer

Finally, the solution is the total number of stones minus the number of connected components. To see why this is the case, for

By implementing Union-Find, the solution approach efficiently identifies and unifies stones into connected components and

each connected component, one stone will inevitably remain, making all others removable.

• len(stones) - len(s) gives us the count of removable stones, satisfying the problem's requirement.

calculates the maximum number of stones that can be removed, leading to an effective strategy for this problem.

A set s is used to store unique parents of the stones, which comes from applying the find function on every stone's x-

#### Let's consider a small example with 5 stones to illustrate the solution approach.

like this: stones = [[0,0], [0,2], [1,1], [2,0], [2,2]].

Next, stones[3] = [2,0], we unify 2 with 0 + 5.

Finally, stones [4] = [2,2], we unify 2 with 2 + 5.

def removeStones(self, stones: List[List[int]]) -> int:

if parent[root\_id] != root\_id:

parent = list(range(num\_nodes \* 2))

public int removeStones(int[][] stones) {

for (int[] stone : stones) {

for (int[] stone : stones) {

for (int i = 0; i < parent.length; ++i) {</pre>

uniqueRoots.add(find(stone[0]));

return stones.length - uniqueRoots.size();

parent[x] = find(parent[x]);

// stone is left in the same row or column

// 2-D coordinates to a 1-D array

parents.resize(maxCoord << 1);</pre>

int removeStones(vector<vector<int>>& stones) {

for (int i = 0; i < parents.size(); ++i) {</pre>

return parent[x];

vector<int> parents;

type Point = [number, number];

const parents: number[] = [];

parents[i] = i;

function find(x: number): number {

**if** (parents[x] !== x) {

const maxCoord = 10010;

initParents(maxCoord << 1);</pre>

stones.forEach(([row, col]) => {

const rowParent = find(row);

parents[rowParent] = colParent;

const uniqueRoots = new Set<number>();

return stones.length - uniqueRoots.size;

uniqueRoots.add(find(row));

stones.forEach(([row, \_]) => {

return parents[x];

12 }

13

16

17

18

19

21

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

48

47 }

});

});

20 }

function initParents(size: number): void {

for (let i = 0; i < size; i++) {

int maxCoord = 10010;

// of the stone's adjusted column index

parent[find(stone[0])] = find(stone[1] + n);

// since each root represents a connected component

return parent[root\_id]

Recursive function that finds the root of a disjoint set.

parent[root\_id] = find\_root(parent[root\_id])

Path compression is applied to flatten the structure for efficiency.

# Given the constraints of the problem, there can be at most 20000 nodes

# Iterate through each stone and unify their row and column into the same set.

# Create an array representing the disjoint set forest with an initial parent of itself.

private int[] parent; // Array to represent the parent of each element in the Disjoint Set Union (DSU)

# because stones could be placed in rows and columns 0 through 9999.

29 # Note: This code assumes that the List class has been imported from the typing module:

int n = 10010; // Representative value for scaling row and column indices

// Add the representative of each stone's row to the set of unique roots

parent = new int[n << 1]; // Initialize the parent array for the DSU

parent[i] = i; // Initially, each element is its own parent

// Class member to hold the parent pointers for the Union-Find data structure

// Function to remove as many stones as possible while ensuring at least one

// Resize the parents vector to double the value since we are mapping

// Global variable to hold parent pointers for the disjoint-set (Union-Find) data structure.

// Function to initialize 'parents' whereby each element is its own parent.

// Function to find the root of element 'x' with path compression.

22 // Function to remove as many stones as possible while ensuring

// Define a large enough value for the maximum coordinate.

// Using a set to store unique roots after path compression,

// minus the number of unique roots in the disjoint-set forest.

// Initialize the 'parents' collection to twice the value of 'maxCoord'.

// The number of stones that can be removed is the total number of stones

// at least one stone is left in the same row or column.

function removeStones(stones: Point[]): number {

// Perform union operations for the stones.

const colParent = find(col + maxCoord);

// which indicates the connected components.

parents[x] = find(parents[x]); // Path compression step

// Define a large enough value for the maximum coordinate

// Initialize the parent of each element to be itself

We keep a set s and insert the root parent for each x-coordinate after unification.

the roots for our example, meaning we have 3 connected components.

considering both x and y coordinates.

applying path compression.

3. Unification Process:

Example Walkthrough

Steps According to the Solution Approach: 1. Initialization: We create an array p of size 2\*n = 10. This array will help us keep track of the parent of each coordinate

2. The find function: Let's define our find function which takes an index x and recursively finds the representative of x's set, while

Looking at stones[0] = [0,0], we unify the x-coordinate (0) with the y-coordinate (0 + 5) to avoid collision with the x-

After the unification, we have root parents for the x-coordinates: [0, 1, 2]. Let's assume union-find identifies 0, 1, and 2 as

Assume we have n = 5 stones at the following coordinates on a 2D plane: [[0,0], [0,2], [1,1], [2,0], [2,2]]. So our stones array looks

- coordinates. Then, stones[1] = [0,2], we unify 0 with 2 + 5. For stones [2] = [1,1], we unify 1 with 1 + 5.
- We have a total of 5 stones, and we've identified 3 connected components. The maximum number of stones that we can remove is len(stones) - len(s) which is 5 - 3 = 2. Thus, we can remove a maximum of 2 stones while ensuring that no

def find\_root(root\_id):

 $num_nodes = 10000$ 

for x, y in stones:

30 # from typing import List

Java Solution

class Solution {

5. Calculating the Answer:

stone is isolated.

removable stones.

Python Solution

class Solution:

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

19

28

31

4

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

22

23

29

30

31

32

34

10

11

12

13

14

15

33 }

4. Counting Connected Components:

This walkthrough demonstrates the solution approach using the union-find algorithm to efficiently compute the maximum number of stones that can be removed according to the given rules. By identifying the connected components where stones share the same

row or column, union-find allows us to easily count these connected components and therefore calculate the maximum number of

parent[find\_root(x)] = find\_root(y + num\_nodes) 20 21 22 # Use a set comprehension to store the unique roots of all stones' rows and columns. 23 unique\_roots = {find\_root(x) for x, \_ in stones} 24 25 # Calculate how many stones can be removed by subtracting the number of unique sets 26 # (which have to remain) from the total number of stones. 27 return len(stones) - len(unique\_roots)

// Perform union of stone's row and column by setting the parent of the stone's row to the representative

Set<Integer> uniqueRoots = new HashSet<>(); // Set to store unique roots after unions have been performed

// The number of stones that can be removed is the total number of stones minus the number of unique roots,

#### 24 25 private int find(int x) { 26 // Recursively find the root representative of the element `x`. Path compression is applied here to flatten // the structure of the tree, effectively speeding up future `find` operations. if (parent[x] != x) { 28

C++ Solution

1 class Solution {

2 public:

```
parents[i] = i;
16
17
18
           // Perform union operations for the stones
           for (auto& stone : stones) {
               parents[find(stone[0])] = find(stone[1] + maxCoord);
21
23
24
           // Using a set to store unique roots after path compression
25
           unordered_set<int> uniqueRoots;
26
           for (auto& stone : stones) {
                uniqueRoots.insert(find(stone[0]));
29
30
           // The number of stones that can be removed is the total number of stones
           // minus the number of unique roots in the disjoint-set forest
31
32
           return stones.size() - uniqueRoots.size();
33
34
35
       // Function to find the root of the element with path compression
       int find(int x) {
36
           if (parents[x] != x) {
37
               parents[x] = find(parents[x]); // Path compression step
38
39
           return parents[x];
40
41
42 };
43
Typescript Solution
 1 // Type definition for a 2D point.
```

# Time and Space Complexity The given code snippet uses the Union-Find algorithm to remove as many stones as possible on a 2D grid while ensuring at least one

complexity analysis of this code is as follows:

closer to  $O(\alpha(n))$ , where  $\alpha(n)$  is the Inverse Ackermann function, which grows very slowly and is practically considered constant time. 2. There is a loop that runs once for each stone, and inside this loop, two find operations are performed, one for the x-coordinate

and one for the y-coordinate shifted by n to keep the x and y coordinates distinct in the union-find structure.

1. The find function is at most traversing the depth of the union-find tree, which, with path compression, results in nearly constant

time per operation. However, worst-case without any optimizations can be O(n), but realistically, with path compression, it is

stone is left in a connected group (a group of stones connected by either the same row or the same column). The time and space

## Considering there are m stones, each union-find operation is $O(\alpha(n))$ , the overall time complexity across all stones will be $O(m * \alpha(n))$ . Since the Inverse Ackermann function $\alpha(n)$ is practically constant for all reasonable values of n, the time complexity simplifies to

Time Complexity:

- O(m). **Space Complexity:**
- 1. An array p of size n << 1 (or 2 \* n) is created to represent the union-find structure, where n is a constant representing the maximum number of different row/column values to expect, set to 10010 in the code. Hence, the space used by p is O(n).

Combining these, the total space complexity is O(n + m) which, given the constant size of n, simplifies to O(m), where m is the

2. A set s is created to store unique roots after path compression. In the worst case, this set will contain all the stones if none share

In summary:

number of stones.

 Time Complexity: O(m), where m is the number of stones. Space Complexity: O(m), where m is the number of stones.

the same row or column, hence an O(m) space complexity at worst.