1492. The kth Factor of n

# Medium Math Number Theory

**Problem Description** 

that when n is divided by i, there is no remainder, i.e., n % i == 0. The factors are considered in ascending order. If n does not have k factors, the function should return -1. Intuition

You are given two integers n and k. The task is to find the kth smallest factor of n. A factor of n is defined as a number i such

The intuition behind the solution is to find all the factors of the given integer n, sort them in increasing order and then return the kth one in the sequence. Since the factors of a number are symmetric around the square root of the number, the solution starts by iterating through all numbers from 1 to the square root of n. For each number i that is a factor of n (meaning n % i == 0), it decrements k because it is one step closer to the kth smallest factor.

then uses a slightly different approach. It checks the symmetric factors by dividing n by i and continues decreasing k until it finds the kth factor or until there are no more factors to check. Again, if k reaches 0 during this process, it returns the current factor, n // i.

If k reaches 0 during this process, it returns the current factor, i. However, if k is still not 0 after this loop ends, the solution

One important case to handle is when n is a perfect square. In such a case, the factor that is exactly the square root of n is only counted once, hence the second loop begins by decrementing i by 1, to avoid double-counting.

The solution efficiently finds the kth smallest factor by avoiding the generation of all factors, instead, all the while counting the position of each factor it finds until it reaches the kth one.

**Solution Approach** The implementation of the solution involves a straightforward iteration through possible factors and can be broken down into two

## ∘ Initialize i to 1.

main stages:

 Loop until i squared is less than n: • Check if i is a factor using the modulus operation n % i == 0. If it is, decrement k. ■ If k reaches 0 within this loop, return i because you've found the kth factor.

■ If k reaches 0 within this loop, return the corresponding factor n // i because you've found the kth factor.

∘ Before starting this loop, check if n is a perfect square by verifying if the square of i-1 (last i from previous loop) is not equal to n; if it

Decrement i and continue until i reaches 0.

Step 1 - Finding Factors Less Than or Equal to Square Root:

• For i = 1, we check if it's a factor of 12 (12 % 1 == 0). It is, so we decrement k to 2.

• For i = 2, we check if it's a factor (12 % 2 == 0). It is, so we decrement k to 1.

so we'll consider all numbers up to 3.

factor, so we can return i which is 3.

We decrement i to 3 and loop downwards:

= 4.

**Python** 

class Solution:

Step 3 - Returning -1:

we would return -1.

Finding Factors Greater Than Square Root:

Finding Factors Less Than or Equal to Square Root:

Increment i to check the next potential factor.

isn't, we reduce i by 1 to ensure we do not repeat the factor at the square root of n in case n is a perfect square. Loop downwards from i:

beyond the 'middle' factor (the square root), thus optimizing the search for the kth factor.

According to the problem, the factors of 12 are 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 12. The 3rd smallest factor in this list is 3.

- Check for the other factor by dividing n by i and see if the modulo with n // i is 0 ((n % (n // i)) == 0). As before, with each successful factor, decrement k.
- Returning -1: ○ In case the total number of factors is less than k, return -1.
- **Example Walkthrough** Let's illustrate the solution approach with an example. Say we are given the integers n = 12 and k = 3. Our goal is to find the 3rd smallest factor of 12.

• We start by initializing it to 1. Since 12 is not a perfect square, we will iterate up to its square root. The square root of 12 is approximately 3.46,

• For i = 3, we check if it's a factor (12 % 3 == 0). It is, and since k is now 1, decrementing it will bring it to 0. We have found the 3rd smallest

This approach uses no additional data structures, relying only on integer variables to track the current candidate factor and the

countdown of k to reach the desired factor. It is efficient because it minimizes the number of iterations to potentially a little more

than twice the square root of n. The algorithm capitalizes on the symmetry of factors and avoids a full enumeration of factors

Since we found the kth smallest factor before exhausting all factors up to the square root of n, there is no need to proceed to Step 2. If k had been greater, such as 5, the steps would continue as follows:

• As n = 12 is not a perfect square, we continue to look for factors greater than the square root. We had last checked i = 3, so we start with i

○ Check i = 2: We find 12 // 2 = 6 is a factor (12 % 6 == 0). We decrement k to 0. We've found the 5th smallest factor, so we return n // i which is 6.

Check i = 3: Since we've already counted this factor in the first loop, we don't count it again.

### any pair of n and k provided.

def kthFactor(self, n: int, k: int) -> int:

if n % potential factor == 0:

potential\_factor = int(n\*\*0.5) - 1

factor count += 1

# Initialize a variable to count factors

for potential factor in range(1, int(n\*\*0.5) + 1):

# If the potential factor is a factor of n

# Increment the count of factors found

# If this is the k-th factor, return it

# Either k is too large (more than the number of factors), or

# the k-th factor is the complement factor of a factor before the square root of n

# Check if i is a factor by seeing if the division of n by i has no remainder

# Decrease k as we are counting down from the total number of factors

# If n is a perfect square, we need to avoid counting the square root twice

# If this is the k-th factor, return its complement factor

# To handle this, start decrementing potential factor and check if its complement is a factor

# Iterate over potential factors from 1 up to the square root of n

**Step 2 - Finding Factors Greater Than Square Root:** 

Solution Implementation

• This step is not necessary for our example as we've found our kth factor. If k were larger than the number of factors 12 has, this step would

ensure that we return -1 to indicate that there is no kth factor. For instance, if k = 8, after checking all possible factors, k would not be 0, so

The solution approach has successfully found the 3rd smallest factor of 12 in a few simple steps. It's efficient and effective for

if factor count == k: return potential\_factor # If the loop completes, there are two possibilities:

#### potential\_factor = int(n\*\*0.5) # Iterate over remaining potential factors from the square root of n to 1 for i in range(potential factor, 0, −1):

else:

if int(n\*\*0.5)\*\*2 == n:

if n % i == 0:

factor count += 1

if factor count == k:

// Calculate the corresponding factor pair

// Decrease k for each factor found

// If we found the k-th factor from the largest end

// Return the factor as it's the k-th factor of 'n'

if (n % (n / factor) == 0) {

return n / factor;

// If no k-th factor is found, return -1

if (k == 0) {

k--;

int kthFactor(int n, int k) {

--k;

int factor = 1;

// Initialize the factor candidate

**if** (n % factor == 0) {

 $if (k == 0) {$ 

if (factor \* factor == n) {

for (; factor > 0; --factor)

**if** (k == 0) {

--factor;

for (; factor < n / factor; ++factor) {</pre>

return factor;

// Loop through potential factors starting from 1

// Decrease k for each factor found

// Check if current factor divides n without remainder

// If the loop exited normally, check for a perfect square

// Iterate backwards from the potential largest factor

// Find the corresponding factor pair

int correspondingFactor = n / factor;

if (n % correspondingFactor == 0) {

return correspondingFactor;

// If the kth factor does not exist, return -1

def kthFactor(self, n: int, k: int) -> int:

if n % potential factor == 0:

if factor count == k:

factor count += 1

# Initialize a variable to count factors

for potential factor in range(1, int(n\*\*0.5) + 1):

# If the potential factor is a factor of n

return potential\_factor

potential\_factor = int(n\*\*0.5) - 1

potential\_factor = int(n\*\*0.5)

for i in range(potential factor, 0, −1):

factor count += 1

if factor count == k:

return n // i

# If no k-th factor was found, return -1

# Increment the count of factors found

# If this is the k-th factor, return it

# If the loop completes, there are two possibilities:

# Either k is too large (more than the number of factors), or

# the k-th factor is the complement factor of a factor before the square root of n

# Check if i is a factor by seeing if the division of n by i has no remainder

# Decrease k as we are counting down from the total number of factors

# If n is a perfect square, we need to avoid counting the square root twice

# Iterate over remaining potential factors from the square root of n to 1

# If this is the k-th factor, return its complement factor

# To handle this, start decrementing potential factor and check if its complement is a factor

# Iterate over potential factors from 1 up to the square root of n

factor--;

factor count = 0

return -1;

class Solution:

// Check if it divides n without remainder

// Decrease k for each factor found

return correspondingFactor;

// If k reaches 0, this is the kth factor

// If n is a perfect square, we do not double count it

// If k reaches 0, the current factor is the kth factor

return -1;

C++

public:

class Solution {

factor count = 0

```
return n // i
        # If no k-th factor was found, return -1
        return -1
Java
class Solution {
    // Method to find the k-th factor of a number n
    public int kthFactor(int n, int k) {
        // Starting from 1, trying to find factors in increasing order
        int factor = 1;
        for (; factor <= n / factor; ++factor) {</pre>
            // If 'factor' is a factor of 'n' and it's the k-th one found
            if (n % factor == 0 \& (--k == 0)) {
                // Return 'factor' as the k-th factor of 'n'
                return factor;
        // Adjust 'factor' if we've surpassed the square root of 'n'
        // because we will look for factors in the opposite direction now
        if (factor * factor != n) {
            factor--;
        // Starting from the last found factor, searching in decreasing order
        for (; factor > 0; --factor) {
```

```
// If kth factor does not exist, return -1
        return -1;
TypeScript
// Function to find the kth factor of n
function kthFactor(n: number, k: number): number {
    // Initialize the factor candidate
    let factor = 1;
    // Loop through potential factors starting from 1
    while (factor < n / factor) {</pre>
        // Check if the current factor divides n without remainder
        if (n % factor === 0) {
            // Decrease k for each factor found
            k--;
           // If k reaches 0, the current factor is the kth factor
            if (k === 0) {
                return factor;
        factor++;
    // If the loop exited normally, check for a perfect square
    if (factor * factor === n) {
        // If n is a perfect square, we do not double count it
        factor--;
    // Iterate backwards from the potential largest factor
    while (factor > 0) {
        // Find the corresponding factor pair
        let correspondingFactor = n / factor;
        // Check if it divides n without remainder
        if (n % correspondingFactor === 0) {
            // Decrease k for each factor found
            k--;
            // If k reaches 0, this is the kth factor
            if (k === 0) {
```

```
return -1
Time and Space Complexity
```

if int(n\*\*0.5)\*\*2 == n:

if n % i == 0:

else:

of 0(sqrt(n)).

The time complexity of the given code can be assessed by examining the two while loops that are run in sequence to find the kth factor of the integer n. The first loop runs while i \* i < n, which means it will run approximately sqrt(n) times, because it stops when i is just less

than the square root of n. Within this loop, the operation performed is a modulo operation to check if i is a factor of n, which is

an 0(1) operation. Therefore, the time complexity contributed by the first loop is 0(sqrt(n)). The second loop starts with i set to a value slightly less than sqrt(n) (assuming n is not a perfect square) and counts down to 1. For each iteration, it performs a modulo operation, which is 0(1). However, not every i will lead to an iteration because the counter is reduced only when (n % (n // i)) == 0, which corresponds to the outer factors of n. Since there are as many

factors less than sqrt(n) as there are greater than sqrt(n), we can expect the second loop also to contribute a time complexity

Combining both loops, the overall time complexity remains <code>0(sqrt(n))</code>, as they do not compound on each other but are sequential. The space complexity of the code is 0(1) as there are only a finite number of variables used (i, k, n), and no additional space

is allocated that would grow with the input size.