2782. Number of Unique Categories

Medium Union Find Counting

as their representative, which we count at the end and return as the final answer.

share the same category and determine the number of unique categories.

category by calling categoryHandler.haveSameCategory(a, b).

Problem Description

unique categories among all elements. We are given a class CategoryHandler, which has a function haveSameCategory(a, b) that tells us if two elements a and b belong to the same category or not. The input n indicates the total number of elements, indexed from 0 to n - 1. The haveSameCategory function returns true if elements a and b are in the same category, false if they are in different categories, or false if either a or b isn't a valid index within the range. The goal is to find and return the count of unique categories.

The approach to solve this problem is akin to a classic Disjoint Set Union (DSU) or Union-Find problem. The idea here is to treat

categories as disjoint sets, where each element initially is in its own category set. As we go through the elements, we use the

In this problem, we are given a different elements, each with an associated category. Our task is to determine the total number of

Leetcode Link

Intuition

haveSameCategory method from CategoryHandler to determine if two elements are in the same category. If they are, we "union" their sets by assigning them the same representative (root). The function find is used to get the representative of an element's set, and if two elements are in the same category, we make one's representative the other's parent, effectively merging their sets. This operation is applied for each pair that share a category until all elements that are in the same category are connected and have the same root. After processing all elements, the number of unique categories is equal to the number of sets that have themselves

The solution uses path compression in the find function which ensures that each element directly points to the set representative after the first time it's looked up, which optimizes the process and keeps the time complexity under control. By iterating over each element and connecting elements in the same category, we're essentially building a forest of trees, where each tree represents a

unique category. The end result is that we obtain the number of unique categories by counting the number of roots in this forest. Solution Approach

The provided solution uses the Disjoint Set Union (DSU) data structure, also known as Union-Find, to efficiently merge elements that

• A list p is initialized with n elements, where each element is initially set to its own index, implying that each element is its own representative. • A nested loop is used to iterate through all pairs of elements. For each pair (a, b), we check whether they belong to the same

• If the returned value is true, we find the representatives (parents) of both elements using the find function and merge them by

setting the representative of a to be the representative of b. This effectively merges the sets that a and b belong to.

(indicating it's the root of a set).

Here's a step-by-step breakdown of the implementation:

- The find function is a crucial part of the DSU pattern. It follows the path of parent pointers from the element x up to the root (representative) of the set containing x. It also implements path compression, a significant optimization where each element on
- the path is set to point directly to the root after the first lookup. After processing all pairs, the categories are merged into disjoint sets with a unique representative for each set. To count the number of unique categories, we iterate over the list p and increment the count for each element that is its own representative
- The final count, which represents the number of unique categories, is then returned. The algorithms and patterns used in this solution include:
- Disjoint Set Union (DSU) pattern for maintaining and merging disjoint sets. Path Compression optimization to flatten the structure of the tree for any affiliated elements, reducing the time complexity of subsequent find operations.

An example of a mathematical formula used in the implementation is the equivalence relation that's being checked in the find

The primary data structure used is a one-dimensional array (p) which keeps track of representatives (roots) for each element,

function: if p[x] = x, it indicates that x is not a root, and we recursively call find(p[x]) to retrieve the representative of x.

allowing us to efficiently perform unions and finds. The solution has a time complexity that's nearly linear (amortized $O(N \alpha(N))$) where α is the Inverse Ackermann function) due to the

use of path compression, making the solution highly efficient even for large values of n.

Let's say we are given n = 5 elements, indexed from 0 to 4. Assume the following haveSameCategory results when checking pairs: haveSameCategory(0, 1) returns false

Based on the description above, we start by initializing a list p with n elements where p = [0, 1, 2, 3, 4], indicating that each element is initially its own representative.

Python Solution

class CategoryHandler:

def numberOfCategories(

parent = list(range(n))

for a in range(n):

class Solution:

) -> int:

12

13

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

28

31

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

50

51

52

53

54

1 # Class definition for handling categories.

Example Walkthrough

haveSameCategory(1, 2) returns true

haveSameCategory(2, 3) returns true

haveSameCategory(3, 4) returns false

haveSameCategory(0, 4) returns true

Next, we iterate through all pairs and use the haveSameCategory function from our CategoryHandler:

2. For the pair (1, 2), haveSameCategory returns true. We find the representative of 1, which is 1 itself, and the representative of 2,

which is 2 itself. We then union these two into the same set, resulting in p = [0, 1, 1, 3, 4], indicating that 1 is now the

1. Since haveSameCategory(0, 1) returns false, we do nothing, as they are not in the same category.

representative of 2 as well. 3. With the pair (2, 3), haveSameCategory returns true. Since they need to be in the same category, we find the representative of 2, which we updated to 1 in the previous step, and the representative of 3, which is 3. After union, p = [0, 1, 1, 1, 4] because 1 is now the representative for 3. 4. Next, haveSameCategory(3, 4) returns false, so no action is taken. 5. Lastly, haveSameCategory(0, 4) returns true. We find the representatives of 0 and 4, which are 0 and 4, respectively. After union,

p = [0, 1, 1, 0], which means 0 is now the representative for 4.

 Index is its own representative, indicating it is a unique category. Index 1 is the representative for indices 2 and 3, indicating another unique category. Indices 2, 3, and 4 are not their own representatives. So, we have two unique categories represented by p = [0, 1, 1, 1, 0]. The final answer is 2.

We have completed the iterations. Now, to count the number of unique categories, we count the number of set representatives:

This method should determine if elements `a` and `b` have the same category. pass

def find_root(x: int) -> int: 14 if parent[x] != x: 15 parent[x] = find_root(parent[x]) # Path compression for efficiency. 16 return parent[x]

Initialization of each element to be its own parent.

if category_handler.haveSameCategory(a, b):

parent[find_root(a)] = find_root(b)

Count the number of unique parents (root elements) to determine

This is done by checking if the parent of an element equals its index.

Iterate through all possible pairs of elements.

the number of different categories.

union(a, b);

int countCategories = 0;

return countCategories;

* @return The root parent of 'x'.

private int find(int x) {

return parents[x];

if (parents[x] != x) {

for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {

if (i == parents[i]) {

countCategories++;

* @param x The element to find the root parent of.

parents[x] = find(parents[x]);

def haveSameCategory(self, a: int, b: int) -> bool:

2 # Note: The actual implementation of CategoryHandler.haveSameCategory should be provided.

self, n: int, category_handler: Optional['CategoryHandler']

Function to find the root of an element `x` using path compression.

for b in range(a + 1, n): # To avoid unnecessary checks, start from a + 1.

If two elements belong to the same category, unite their sets.

```
32
            return sum(1 for i, x in enumerate(parent) if i == x)
33
34
35 # Example usage:
36 # Assuming that `CustomCategoryHandler` is a class derived from `CategoryHandler`
37 # with a specific implementation for the method `haveSameCategory`.
  # solution = Solution()
  # number_of_categories = solution.numberOfCategories(n, CustomCategoryHandler())
40
Java Solution
   /**
    * The Solution class implements the numberOfCategories method which uses the union-find algorithm to determine the number of unique
    */
   class Solution {
       // The array 'parents' is used to track the parent of each element, initializing each element as its own parent.
       private int[] parents;
 8
       /**
        * This method calculates the number of unique categories given the 'CategoryHandler' object.
10
        * @param n The total number of elements.
        * @param categoryHandler An object that provides a method to check if two elements belong to the same category.
12
        * @return The number of unique categories among the elements.
13
14
       public int numberOfCategories(int n, CategoryHandler categoryHandler) {
15
16
           // Initialize the parent array, setting each item's parent to itself.
           parents = new int[n];
           for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
               parents[i] = i;
20
21
22
           // Iterate over all pairs of elements and union them if they are in the same category.
           for (int a = 0; a < n; ++a) {
               for (int b = a + 1; b < n; ++b) {
24
                   if (categoryHandler.haveSameCategory(a, b)) {
```

// Count the number of unique categories by counting the number of elements that are their own parent.

* The find method is used for finding the root parent of 'x'. Also, path compression is applied for optimization.

// If 'x' is not its own parent, recursively find its parent and apply path compression.

```
55
56
        * The union method merges sets containing 'a' and 'b' by attaching one's root parent to the other's parent.
57
        * @param a The first element to union.
58
        * @param b The second element to union.
59
       private void union(int a, int b) {
           // Find the root parents of both 'a' and 'b' and make one the parent of the other.
63
           int rootA = find(a);
           int rootB = find(b);
64
           parents[rootA] = rootB; // Union by attaching rootA's parent to rootB.
66
67 }
68
C++ Solution
   #include <vector>
   #include <numeric>
   #include <functional>
   using namespace std;
    /**
    * Definition for a category handler.
   class CategoryHandler {
   public:
       CategoryHandler(vector<int> categories);
       bool haveSameCategory(int a, int b);
13
  };
14
   class Solution {
   public:
17
       /**
        * Counts the number of unique categories among 'n' items.
18
        * @param n Number of items.
        * @param categoryHandler Pointer to a CategoryHandler instance.
20
        * @return The count of unique categories.
22
23
        int numberOfCategories(int n, CategoryHandler* categoryHandler) {
24
           vector<int> parent(n);
25
           // Initialize the parent vector with elements pointing to themselves.
           iota(parent.begin(), parent.end(), 0);
26
27
           // Function to find the root of the set that x belongs to.
29
            function<int(int)> find = [&](int x) {
                if (parent[x] != x) { // Path compression.
30
                    parent[x] = find(parent[x]);
32
               return parent[x];
           };
34
35
36
           // Traverse all pairs and union the sets if they are of the same category.
37
           for (int a = 0; a < n; ++a) {
                for (int b = a + 1; b < n; ++b) {
38
                    if (categoryHandler->haveSameCategory(a, b)) {
39
                        parent[find(a)] = find(b);
41
43
           // Count the number of items that are their own parent (root of the set).
45
           int count = 0;
           for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
                count += i == parent[i]; // Increment count for each root.
48
49
50
           return count;
51
```

```
const calculateNumberOfCategories = (n: number, categoryHandler: CategoryHandler): number => {
     // Initialize an array to represent the parent of each element, with each element initially being its own parent
     const parents = new Array(n).fill(0).map((_, index) => index);
13
     // Iterate through all possible pairs checking if they have the same category
14
     for (let a = 0; a < n; ++a) {
```

Typescript Solution

return parents[element];

if (parents[element] !== element) {

for (let b = a + 1; b < n; ++b) {

let distinctCategories = 0;

if (i === parents[i]) {

return distinctCategories;

Time Complexity

for (let i = 0; i < n; ++i) {

++distinctCategories;

Time and Space Complexity

52 };

7 };

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

29

30

31

32

34

33 };

53

The outer loop runs n times (from 0 to n-1). For each iteration of the outer loop, the inner loop runs n - a - 1 times.

Additionally, there's a union-find algorithm applied through the find function, which has a complexity of O(alpha(n)) for each find operation, where alpha is the Inverse Ackermann Function which is very slowly growing and for all practical purposes is considered

implementation. If we assume it's O(1), the total time complexity becomes $O(n^2)$.

// Utility function to find the root of the category set that a given element belongs to

// Iterate through all the elements to count the number of distinct roots/categories

// If the element is the root (parent of itself), then it's a distinct category

parents[element] = findRoot(parents[element], parents); // Path compression for optimization

// If two elements are from the same category, union their sets by assigning the same root

const findRoot = (element: number, parents: number[]): number => {

// Main function to calculate the number of distinct categories

if (categoryHandler.haveSameCategory(a, b)) {

parents[findRoot(a, parents)] = findRoot(b, parents);

almost constant. So we need to also consider this complexity for each call to haveSameCategory. If there are m calls to haveSameCategory that return

The number of times the inner loop runs can be described by the sum:

true (and thus perform the union operation), the total time complexity for union-find would be 0(m*alpha(n)). However, since every element can be part of at most one union operation (due to the properties of the disjoint set union structure), m

The time complexity of this code is primarily influenced by the double loop where we check if two elements have the same category

Sigma(n - a - 1) from a=0 to n-1, which equals (n-1) + (n-2) + ... + 1, which is a known arithmetic series sum that equals to

(n*(n-1))/2. Each call to the inner loop incurs a call to haveSameCategory, which could have its own time complexity depending on its

using categoryHandler.haveSameCategory(a, b). This loop runs a from 0 to n-1 and nested loop b runs from a+1 to n.

will at most be n, and thus, we end up with O(n*alpha(n)). The final line of the code, which computes the number of categories present, is simply an iteration over the array p of length n.

Assuming the complexity of haveSameCategory is 0(1), the total time complexity of the code is dominated by the double loop and it is

 $0(n^2 + n*alpha(n))$. But n*alpha(n) is negligible compared to n^2 , so we can simply state the total time complexity as $0(n^2)$.

Space Complexity

The space complexity of the code is O(n). This comes from the space required to store the parent array p, which has one element for each of the n nodes. Space complexity is not greatly affected by the recursion in the find function because the disjoint set unionfind structure ensures that the trees are very shallow (due to path compression), and thus the call stack depth is effectively bounded by alpha(n), which is almost constant.

Therefore, the dominant space requirement is the parent array, resulting in O(n) space complexity.