2908. Minimum Sum of Mountain Triplets I

Easy Array

Problem Description

You are given an array of integers, where the array is indexed from 0 (0-indexed). The goal is to find a specific type of triplet within this array, referred to as a "mountain" triplet. A triplet (i, j, k) is defined as a mountain if it satisfies two conditions:

- The indices are in increasing order: `i < j < k`.
- The values at these indices increase and then decrease, forming a peak: `nums[i] < nums[j]` and `nums[k] < nums[j]`.

Your task is to find such a mountain triplet whose sum of elements (`nums[i] + nums[j] + nums[k]`) is as small as possible and return this minimum sum. If no mountain triplet exists in the array, the function should return -1.

Intuition

The problem is to find three numbers that form a peak (or a mountain) with the smallest possible sum. We could try to look at every possible triplet in the array, but that would be slow as it would require checking `n choose 3` triplets, where `n` is the size of the array.

To optimize the process, we can leverage the sequential nature of the triplets and use the concept of preprocessing. The main insight is that for a valid mountain triplet, the middle element must be greater than the elements to its left and right. So if we fix the middle element, we only need to find the smallest element to its left and the smallest to its right to minimize the sum.

The solution preprocesses the array to find the minimum value to the right of each element. It does so by traversing the array from right to left and keeps updating the minimum value seen so far. This information is stored in an array named `right`, where `right[i]` contains the minimum value in `nums[i+1..n-1]`.

When we enumerate through each possible peak element `nums[i]`, we keep track of the minimum value found to its left so far in a variable `left`. At the same time, we use a variable `ans` to maintain the current smallest sum found.

For each potential middle element `nums[i]`, if it is greater than both the minimum to its left (`left`) and the minimum to its right (`right[i+1]`), it could be the peak of a minimum sum triplet. We update `ans` if the sum of these three elements is less than the current `ans`.

Solution Approach

The solution makes intelligent use of a prefix and suffix strategy combined with a single pass through the array. The overall approach is to prepare in advance the information needed for a quick lookup and to use this information to find the minimum possible sum efficiently. Here's a breakdown of the implementation:

1. First, we initialize an array `right` to keep track of the minimum values to the right of each index. This array is initially filled with `inf` (representing infinity), ensuring that if no smaller element exists to the right, the default large value prevents it from incorrectly contributing to a potential minimum triplet.
2. We then fill the `right` array by iterating over `nums` backwards (from right to left). In each step, we assign to `right[i]` the minimum value between the current element `nums[i]` and the previously recorded minimum in `right[i + 1]`. This ensures that after this loop, for each index `i`, `right[i]` will contain the smallest element found in the subarray starting just after `i` until the end.
3. We initialize two more variables before the main loop: `left` and `ans`. Both are set to `inf`. The `left` variable will hold the minimum value to the left of the current index as we scan the array. The `ans` variable will keep track of the minimum sum of any valid mountain triplet found so far.
4. The main loop iterates over the elements of the `nums` array, attempting to find the smallest sum of a mountain triplet with the current element as the peak. For each element `nums[i]`, we check two conditions to see if it can be the peak of a mountain triplet:
   - `left < nums[i]`: Is the current element greater than the smallest element found to its left?
   - `right[i + 1] < nums[i]`: Is the current element greater than the smallest element found to its right?
5. If both conditions are satisfied, we then calculate the potential minimum sum of the mountain triplet, which is `left + nums[i] + right[i + 1]`. If this sum is less than what is stored in `ans`, we update `ans` with the new minimum sum.
6. In the same iteration, we also update the `left` variable, setting it to be the minimum between its current value and the current element `nums[i]`. This ensures that `left` is always the smallest number to the left of the current element.
7. After the loop completes, we check if `ans` has been updated from its initial value of `inf`. If `ans` is still `inf`, it means no valid mountain triplet was found, and we return `-1`. Otherwise, we return the value of `ans`, which is the minimum sum of a mountain triplet.

This solution cleverly avoids the need for a complex three-level nested loop, which would result in a less efficient algorithm with higher time complexity. Instead, it utilizes dynamic programming-like preprocessing and a single pass for a time-efficient linear scan of the array.

Example Walkthrough

Let's illustrate the solution approach using a small example. Suppose we are given the following array of integers:

```
nums = [2, 3, 1, 4, 3, 2]
```

1. **Initializing the `right` array**: We first create an auxiliary array `right` with the same length as `nums` and initialize it with infinity values to avoid problems if no smaller right-hand side element is found. `right = [inf, inf, inf, inf, inf, inf]`.
2. **Filling the `right` array**: We fill `right` by iterating from right to left starting at index `n - 2`, where `n` is the length of `nums`. We have:

   ```
   5th step: right[4] = min(nums[4], right[5]) = min(3, inf) = 3
   4th step: right[3] = min(nums[3], right[4]) = min(4, 3) = 3
   3rd step: right[2] = min(nums[2], right[3]) = min(1, 3) = 1
   2nd step: right[1] = min(nums[1], right[2]) = min(3, 1) = 1
   1st step: right[0] = min(nums[0], right[1]) = min(2, 1) = 1
   ```

   Now, our `right` array looks like this: `[1, 1, 1, 3, 3, inf]`.
3. **Iterating over `nums`**: We declare `left` as infinity (`inf`) and `ans` also as infinity. These will track the minimum left element and the answer, respectively.
4. **Finding potential peaks**: We iterate over the elements in the array:
   - For `i = 0`: `left` is `inf`, and the current element is `2`, which is not greater than `left` or `right[i + 1]`. Thus, we skip this. We update `left` to `2`.
   - For `i = 1`: `left` is `2`, and the current element is `3`, which is greater than `left` and `right[i + 1]`. The sum here would be `2 + 3 + 1 = 6`. Since `ans` is `inf`, we update `ans` to `6`. We update `left` to `2` (since `min(2,3) = 2`).
   - For `i = 2`: `left` remains `2`, while `right[i + 1]` is `3`. The current element is `1`, so this cannot be a peak. We update `left` to `1`.
   - For `i = 3`: `left` is `1`, and the current element `4` is greater than both `left` and `right[i + 1]` which is `3`. The sum is `1 + 4 + 3 = 8`, but since `ans` is `6`, we don't update `ans`. We update `left` to `1`.
   - For `i = 4` and `i = 5`: There are no elements to the right of `i = 4` or `i = 5` that are smaller than `nums[i]`, so we do not find any valid mountain triplets.
5. **Checking and returning the answer**: After this iteration, we find that `ans` holds the value `6`, which is the minimum sum of a mountain triplet that we have found. This sum corresponds to the triplet `(2, 3, 1)` at indices `(0, 1, 2)`.

Therefore, our function would return `6` as the smallest possible sum of a mountain triplet from the given `nums` array.

Solution Implementation

Python

```python
from typing import List

class Solution:
    def minimum_sum(self, nums: List[int]) -> int:
        # Find the length of the nums list
        num_elements = len(nums)

        # Initialize the 'right_min' list with infinities
        # which will hold the minimum values from right
        right_min = [float('inf')] * (num_elements + 1)

        # Populate the 'right_min' list with the minimum value
        # from the current position to the end
        for i in range(num_elements - 1, -1, -1):
            right_min[i] = min(right_min[i + 1], nums[i])

        # Initialize 'result' (minimum sum) and 'left_min' with infinity
        # 'left_min' will hold the minimum value from the start
        # to the current position
        result = left_min = float('inf')

        # Iterate through the numbers
        for i, num in enumerate(nums):
            # Check if both left and right numbers are less than the current number
            # if yes, then calculate the result as current number plus left and right minimums
            if left_min < num and right_min[i + 1] < num:
                result = min(result, left_min + num + right_min[i + 1])

            # Update 'left_min' with the minimum value encountered so far
            left_min = min(left_min, num)

        # If 'result' is still infinity, it means no valid sum was found
        # return -1 in this case, otherwise return the computed result
        return -1 if result == float('inf') else result
```

Java

```java
class Solution {
    public int minimumSum(int[] nums) {
        int n = nums.length;
        // Create an array to hold the minimum values from the right side.
        int[] minRight = new int[n + 1];
        final int INF = 1 << 30; // A representation of infinity (a very large number).
        minRight[n] = INF; // Initialize the last element as infinity.

        // Populate the minRight array with the minimum values from the right side.
        for (int i = n - 1; i >= 0; --i) {
            minRight[i] = Math.min(minRight[i + 1], nums[i]);
        }

        int answer = INF; // Initialize answer as infinity.
        int minLeft = INF; // Variable to keep track of the minimum value from the left side.

        // Iterate through the array to find the minimum sum that follows the given constraint.
        for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
            // Check if the current number is greater than both the minimum values on its left and right.
            if (minLeft < nums[i] && minRight[i + 1] < nums[i]) {
                // Update the answer with the sum of the smallest elements on both sides of nums[i].
                answer = Math.min(answer, minLeft + nums[i] + minRight[i + 1]);
            }
            // Update the minimum value from the left side.
            minLeft = Math.min(minLeft, nums[i]);
        }

        // If the answer remains infinity, it means there were no valid sums found, so return -1.
        return answer == INF ? -1 : answer;
    }
}
```

C++

```cpp
class Solution {
public:
    int minimumSum(vector<int>& nums) {
        // Get the size of the vector
        int size = nums.size();

        // Define an infinite value for comparison purposes
        const int INF = 1 << 30;

        // Create a vector to store the minimum from the right of each position, initialize with INF at the end
        vector<int> minRight(size + 1, INF);

        // Populate minRight with the minimum value from the right side in reverse order
        for (int i = size - 1; i >= 0; --i) {
            minRight[i] = min(minRight[i + 1], nums[i]);
        }

        // Initialize variables to store the minimum sum and the minimum on the left
        int minimumSum = INF;
        int minLeft = INF;

        // Iterate through numbers, updating minLeft and checking for a potential minimum sum
        for (int i = 0; i < size; ++i) {
            // If the current element is greater than the minimum on the left and right,
            // attempt to update the minimum sum
            if (minLeft < nums[i] && minRight[i + 1] < nums[i]) {
                minimumSum = min(minimumSum, minLeft + nums[i] + minRight[i + 1]);
            }
            // Update the minimum on the left with the current element
            minLeft = min(minLeft, nums[i]);
        }

        // If minimumSum remains INF, no valid sum is found; thus, return -1
        // Otherwise, return the calculated minimum sum
        return minimumSum == INF ? -1 : minimumSum;
    }
};
```

TypeScript

```typescript
function minimumSum(nums: number[]): number {
    // Calculate the length of nums array
    const length = nums.length;
    // Create an array 'rightMin' to store minimum values to the right of each element
    const rightMin: number[] = Array(length + 1).fill(Infinity);

    // Populate 'rightMin' with the minimum values observed from the end of the array
    for (let i = length - 1; i >= 0; i--) {
        rightMin[i] = Math.min(rightMin[i + 1], nums[i]);
    }

    // Initialize 'minimumSum' as Infinity to track the minimum sum of non-adjacent array elements
    let minimumSum: number = Infinity;
    // Initialize 'leftMin' as Infinity to track the minimum value to the left of current index
    let leftMin: number = Infinity;

    // Iterate over the 'nums' array to find the minimum sum of non-adjacent array elements
    for (let i = 0; i < length; i++) {
        // Check current element with minimum elements from both sides (excluding adjacent elements)
        if (leftMin < nums[i] && rightMin[i + 1] < nums[i]) {
            // Update 'minimumSum' if the current triplet sum is smaller than the previously found
            minimumSum = Math.min(minimumSum, leftMin + nums[i] + rightMin[i + 1]);
        }
        // Update 'leftMin' with the minimum value found so far from the left
        leftMin = Math.min(leftMin, nums[i]);
    }

    // If 'minimumSum' is still Infinity, it means no triplet was found, return -1
    // Otherwise, return the minimum sum calculated
    return minimumSum === Infinity ? -1 : minimumSum;
}
```


```python
from typing import List

class Solution:
    def minimum_sum(self, nums: List[int]) -> int:
        # Find the length of the nums list
        num_elements = len(nums)

        # Initialize the 'right_min' list with infinities
        # which will hold the minimum values from right
        right_min = [float('inf')] * (num_elements + 1)

        # Populate the 'right_min' list with the minimum value
        # from the current position to the end
        for i in range(num_elements - 1, -1, -1):
            right_min[i] = min(right_min[i + 1], nums[i])

        # Initialize 'result' (minimum sum) and 'left_min' with infinity
        # 'left_min' will hold the minimum value from the start
        # to the current position
        result = left_min = float('inf')

        # Iterate through the numbers
        for i, num in enumerate(nums):
            # Check if both left and right numbers are less than the current number
            # if yes, then calculate the result as current number plus left and right minimums
            if left_min < num and right_min[i + 1] < num:
                result = min(result, left_min + num + right_min[i + 1])

            # Update 'left_min' with the minimum value encountered so far
            left_min = min(left_min, num)

        # If 'result' is still infinity, it means no valid sum was found
        # return -1 in this case, otherwise return the computed result
        return -1 if result == float('inf') else result
```


Time and Space Complexity

Time Complexity

The time complexity of the given code is `O(n)`.

1. The first `for` loop iterates from `n-1` to `0`, which results in `n` iterations.
2. The second `for` loop iterates from `0` to `n-1`, which is also `n` iterations.

Each of these loops runs in linear time relative to the number of elements `n` in the list `nums`. There are no nested loops, and each operation inside the loops runs in constant time `O(1)`. Hence, adding the time cost gives us a time complexity that is still linear: `O(n) + O(n) = O(2n)` which simplifies to `O(n)`.

Space Complexity

The space complexity of the given code is `O(n)`.

The code uses an additional list `right` of size `n+1` elements to keep track of the minimum elements to the right. Aside from the `right` list and trivial variables (`ans`, `left`, `i`, and `x`), no additional space that scales with input size `n` is used. Therefore, the space complexity is determined by the `right` list, giving `O(n + 1)`; this simplifies to `O(n)`.