1554. Strings Differ by One Character

Medium **Hash Table String Hash Function** Rolling Hash

Problem Description

In this problem, you are given a list of strings called dict, where each string is of the same length. Your task is to determine whether there are at least two strings in the list that differ from each other by exactly one character, and this difference must be at the same position in both strings. If such a pair of strings exists, you should return true. If no such pairs exist, you should return false.

Intuition

compare strings while allowing for one difference, we mask each position in the strings one at a time and see if this version of strings has been seen before.

The core idea behind the solution is to use hashing to efficiently check for the string pair with the one-character difference. To

If we could somehow ignore one character in each string and then compare them, we could easily identify if only one character was different.

Here's the sequence of thoughts leading to the solution approach:

- 2. Hashing is efficient for quickly searching a collection of items. 3. We can iterate over each string and temporarily replace each character one by one with a placeholder (in this case, an asterisk '*'), creating a
- masked version of the string.
- 4. We then check if this masked string has already been encountered i.e., present in our hash set. 5. If we find the masked string in the set, it means there's another word in the list that could match this string with exactly one character
- difference. 6. If not, we add this masked string to the set and continue the process with the next string.
- 7. Finally, if we never find a matching masked string, it means there are no such pairs, and we return false.
- Solution Approach

encountered so far. A set is chosen for its efficient 0(1) average time complexity for adding elements and checking for membership.

Let's go through the algorithm step by step: Initialize an empty set s to hold the masked versions of the strings.

The Python code provided for the solution utilizes a set data structure to keep track of all the masked versions of the strings

For each word, iterate over the length of the word using a range loop to get each index i.

Iterate through each word in the given dict.

- In each iteration, construct a new string t by concatenating:
- The substring of word from the beginning up to but not including i (denoted by word[:i]).

same index. Thus, we return True.

 The substring of word from just after i to the end (denoted by word[i + 1 :]). Check if the new masked string t is already in the set s.

o If t is already in the set, this means there is another string in the list which differs from the current string by exactly one character at the

Using this approach, the time complexity of comparing the strings becomes O(N*M) where N is the number of strings and M is the

length of each string, because for each word we iterate over its length once and each operation inside the loop is 0(1) due to the

If t is not in the set, add this new masked version of the string to the set s for future comparisons.

A placeholder asterisk "*" which acts as a mask for the character at position i.

- If no match is found after processing all words, return False.
- nature of set operations.

Example of how the masking works with an input list ["abcd", "accd", "bccd"]:

For "abcd", we'll add to the set: "*bcd", "a*cd", "ab*d", "abc*"

Continue this process until all words have been processed or until a match is found.

('b' vs 'c'), thus we can return True. By applying the masking technique, we save time by not having to compare each string with every other string in a brute-force manner.

• For "accd", we'll add and compare: "*ccd", "a*cd". As "a*cd" is already in the set, we detect that "accd" differs from "abcd" by one character

Let's take an example list of strings ["pine", "sine", "ping", "cling", "singe"], where we want to determine if there's at least one pair of strings that only differ by one character at the same position.

Initialize an empty set: s = {}

Example Walkthrough

We process the first word "pine". Create masks: "ine", "pne", "pie", "pin"

Create masks: "ine", "sne", "sie", "sin"

Now, process the second word "sine".

Add these to the set: s = {"*ine", "p*ne", "pi*e", "pin*"}

Following the solution approach step by step:

- Checking these against the set:
- Since we found a match, we return True. ■ There is no need to process further as we've found at least one pair of strings meeting the criteria.
- In this example, we quickly identified a pair without having to compare every word to every other word, thus demonstrating the

Initialize a set to store modified words

Iterate over each word in the dictionary

if temp_word in seen:

return True

seen.add(temp_word)

// Iterate over each word in the dictionary

for (int i = 0; i < word.length(); ++i) {</pre>

if (patterns.contains(pattern)) {

// Add the new pattern to the set

return true;

return true;

// A variable to keep track of unique patterns

const patterns: Set<string> = new Set<string>();

function differByOne(dict: string[]): boolean {

for (const word of dict) {

return false;

seen = set()

return False

for word in dict:

class Solution:

// Iterate through each word in the array

// Iterate through each character in the word

// If no such pair of strings is found, return false

def differByOne(self, dict: List[str]) -> bool:

seen.add(temp_word)

Initialize a set to store modified words

Iterate over each word in the dictionary

for (let i = 0; i < word.length; ++i) {</pre>

return false;

};

TypeScript

patterns.insert(pattern);

// If no such pair of words found, return false

// If not found, insert the new pattern into the set

// Function checks if any two strings in the given array differ by exactly one character

for (String word : dict) {

Check if the modified word is already in the set (seen)

Otherwise, add the modified word to the set

Return False if no such pair of words is found in the dictionary

// Replace each character one by one with '*' to create patterns

// If the pattern already exists in the set, return true

// Generate a new pattern by replacing the character at index 'i' with 'st'

String pattern = word.substring(0, i) + "*" + word.substring(i + 1);

efficiency of the solution.

Python

Solution Implementation

If found, return True since two words differ by exactly one character

■ "*ine" is found in the set (matching "pine" masked as "*ine"), meaning "pine" and "sine" differ by one character.

If we had not found a match for "sine", we would then add its masked versions to the set and continue with the next word.

class Solution: def differByOne(self, dict: List[str]) -> bool:

Iterate over each character in the word for i in range(len(word)): # Create a new word by replacing the current character with a '*' temp_word = word[:i] + "*" + word[i + 1:]

for word in dict:

seen = set()

```
return False
Java
class Solution {
    /**
    * Checks if there are two strings in the provided array that differ by exactly one character.
     * @param dict An array of strings.
    * @return true if there are two strings differing by one character, otherwise false.
    public boolean differByOne(String[] dict) {
       // Create a HashSet to store unique patterns of the words
       Set<String> patterns = new HashSet<>();
```

```
patterns.add(pattern);
       // If no pattern has two matching strings, return false
        return false;
C++
#include <vector>
#include <string>
#include <unordered_set>
class Solution {
public:
    // Function checks if any two strings in the given dictionary differ by exactly one character
    bool differByOne(std::vector<std::string>& dict) {
       // Create an unordered set to keep track of unique patterns
       std::unordered_set<std::string> patterns;
       // Iterate through each word in the dictionary
        for (const auto& word : dict) {
           // Iterate through each character in the word
            for (size_t i = 0; i < word.size(); ++i) {
                // Make a copy of the word to create a pattern
                std::string pattern = word;
                // Replace the i-th character with a wildcard symbol '*'
                pattern[i] = '*';
               // Check if the pattern is already in the set
                if (patterns.count(pattern)) {
                    // If found, two words in the dict differ by one character
```

let pattern = word.substring(0, i) + '*' + word.substring(i + 1); // Check if the pattern is already in the set if (patterns.has(pattern)) { // If found, two strings in the array differ by one character return true; // If not found, insert the new pattern into the set patterns.add(pattern);

// Make a copy of the word to create a pattern

```
# Iterate over each character in the word
for i in range(len(word)):
   # Create a new word by replacing the current character with a '*'
    temp_word = word[:i] + "*" + word[i + 1:]
   # Check if the modified word is already in the set (seen)
   if temp word in seen:
       # If found, return True since two words differ by exactly one character
        return True
```

Otherwise, add the modified word to the set

Return False if no such pair of words is found in the dictionary

Time Complexity The time complexity of the given code is primarily determined by the two nested loops: the outer loop iterates over each word in

Time and Space Complexity

If n is the number of words in the dictionary and m is the average length of a word, then the outer loop runs n times, and the inner

loop runs m times for each word. Therefore, the total number of iterations is n * m. Inside the inner loop, there's a string concatenation operation which takes 0(m) time since it involves creating a new string of length m. Then it checks the presence of this pattern in the set and possibly adds it to the set. Both of these set operations take 0(1) time on average.

the dictionary, and the inner loop iterates over each character in a word to create a new string pattern with a wildcard character

"*". This new string pattern has the same length as the original word, but with one of the characters replaced.

word. **Space Complexity**

Combining these factors, the overall time complexity is $0(n * m^2)$, where n is the number of words and m is the length of each

The space complexity is mainly due to the set s that stores all unique word patterns with the wildcard. In the worst case, we store

n * m different patterns since each word can lead to m different patterns. Since each pattern is of length m, they can be thought to occupy m space each.

Therefore, the space complexity is $O(n * m^2)$, as we need to store n * m patterns, each of length m.