780. Reaching Points

## **Problem Description**

<u>Math</u>

Hard

The problem presents an operation that can transform a point (x, y) in a two-dimensional grid to either (x, x + y) or (x + y, y)y). Given four integers sx, sy, tx, and ty, which represent the starting point (sx, sy) and the target point (tx, ty), the task is to determine if it is possible to reach the target point from the starting point by repeatedly applying the transformation.

The only allowed operations are: 1. Add the current y value to the x value, changing (x, y) to (x + y, y).

2. Add the current x value to the y value, changing (x, y) to (x, x + y). The key challenge is to figure out if, by applying these operations starting from (sx, sy), we can eventually obtain the point

(tx, ty). If it's possible, return true; otherwise, return false.

Intuition

### To solve this problem, we can use the reverse thinking approach. Instead of starting from (sx, sy) and trying to reach (tx, ty)

because the operations are reversible. We repeatedly subtract the smaller of tx and ty from the larger until we either pass sx and sy or land exactly on them. During this process, if tx is greater than ty, we know that tx had to be formed by adding ty to a smaller number in the previous step,

using the allowed operations, we start from (tx, ty) and try to work our way backwards to (sx, sy). This approach is valid

so we perform tx %= ty. The same reasoning applies if ty is greater than tx. While doing this, there are three cases:

1. If both tx and ty are greater than sx and sy respectively, we continue reducing tx and ty. 2. If tx becomes equal to sx, we check if ty can be reduced to sy by a multiple of tx. If so, it means we can reach (sx, sy) from (tx, ty).

3. The same check applies if ty becomes equal to sy.

If none of the conditions are met, then it's not possible to transform (sx, sy) to (tx, ty) using the operations, so we return false.

The time complexity of this approach is O(log(max(tx, ty))), which occurs due to the modulo operation that reduces tx and ty

Solution Approach The implementation of the solution follows a reverse approach working backward from the target point (tx, ty) to the start

not equal to ty. This condition allows us to reduce tx and ty until either we can't reduce them anymore without going below

If tx > ty, then tx must have been formed by adding some multiple of ty to the previous x value, so we perform tx %=

point (sx, sy). Here's how the solution translates into code: The solution starts by initializing a while loop that continues as long as both tx and ty are larger than sx and sy, but tx is

# sx or sy, or until one of the coordinates matches sx or sy.

true.

true accordingly.

exponentially.

ty. This reverses the last operation that affected tx. If ty > tx, then we apply ty = tx for the same reason but in the vertical direction.

- After exiting the loop, either tx == sx and/or ty == sy, or both tx and ty have been reduced below sx and sy. We need to handle both cases to complete the algorithm: If tx == sx and ty == sy at the same time, we've found the exact reverse path to (sx, sy), and the function returns

Inside the loop, we use the % (modulo) operator to reverse the operation as follows:

If tx == sx, then ty must be reducible to sy by repeatedly subtracting tx (the same operation reversed). We check this by ensuring ty > sy and (ty - sy) % tx == 0. If this is the case, we return true.

Similarly, if ty == sy, we check if tx > sx and (tx - sx) % ty == 0 to determine if tx can be reduced to sx and return

If none of the conditions are met, it means that we can't reach (sx, sy) from (tx, ty) by reversing the operations, so the function returns false.

This approach is efficient because it avoids the exponential time complexity of trying all possible paths from (sx, sy) to (tx,

ty). Instead, by taking advantage of the mathematical properties of the operation, it quickly navigates to the solution or

determines impossibility with a time complexity of O(log(max(tx, ty))). **Example Walkthrough** 

to the target point using the allowed operations? We apply the reverse approach:

1. We start from (tx, ty) which is (9, 4) and compare it with (sx, sy). Since tx > ty, we perform tx = ty.

7. Comparing the new ty with sy, we see that ty < sy; we cannot reduce ty anymore without going below sy.

starting counterpart, it proves that the path from (sx, sy) to (tx, ty) cannot be achieved with the given operations.

while target x > start x and target y > start y and target x != target\_y:

# Check if the starting point is reached after breaking out of the loop

return target\_y > start\_y and (target\_y - start\_y) % target\_x == 0

# If only target y matches start y, check if we can reach the target point

return target\_x > start\_x and (target\_x - start\_x) % target\_y == 0

# If neither target\_x nor target\_y matches, reaching the target point is not possible

# If target x is greater than target\_y, reduce target\_x

# If target\_y is greater than target\_x, reduce target\_y

Imagine our starting point is (sx, sy) = (2, 4) and our target point is (tx, ty) = (9, 4). Can we transform the starting point

#### 5. Since ty > tx, we perform ty %= tx. 6. After applying the modulo operation, ty is now 4 % 1 = 0. The target point is now (1, 0).

Key Takeaways:

• As a result, we would return false for this example.

subtraction operations (modulo operation).

if target x > target y:

target x %= target y

target\_y %= target\_x

if target x == start\_x and target\_y == start\_y:

# by repeatedly subtracting start\_y from target\_x

Let's consider the problem with a small example:

2. After applying the modulo operation, tx is now 9 % 4 = 1.

4. We compare tx with sx. They are not equal, so we continue with the loop.

3. Now, our target point (tx, ty) has changed to (1, 4).

From the example above, we note the following: • After several steps, we've ended up with ty < sy, which violates the condition that it's only possible to either match the starting point exactly

(in which case both tx and ty would match sx and sy) or reduce one of the target coordinates to match the starting point's while checking if

the other can achieve the same via multiples. • Since we could not achieve a situation where tx or ty matches sx or sy respectively without the other target coordinate going below its

return false because this means that the target point cannot be reached from the starting point. Solution Implementation

• If at any point during the reverse operation one of the target coordinates becomes less than its corresponding start coordinate, we can stop and

• The reverse approach significantly simplifies the problem, turning an otherwise computationally expensive search into a series of simple

class Solution: def reachingPoints(self, start x: int, start v: int, target x: int, target\_y: int) -> bool: # Loop to transform the target point back towards the starting point

# The modulo operation finds how many steps can be taken from target\_y to reach current target\_x

# Likewise, this modulo operation finds the steps from target\_x to reach current target\_y

#### return True # If only target x matches start x, check if we can reach the target point # by repeatedly subtracting start\_x from target\_y

return False

return false;

else {

return false;

// not the other way around.

if (targetX > targetY) {

targetX %= targetY;

targetY %= targetX;

C++

public:

class Solution {

if target x == start x:

if target v == start v:

else:

Java

**Python** 

```
class Solution {
   /**
    * Checks if a point (sx, sy) can reach (tx, ty) by moving either vertically or horizontally.
    * @param sx Starting point x-coordinate.
    * @param sy Starting point y-coordinate.
    * @param tx Target point x-coordinate.
    * @param ty Target point y-coordinate.
    * @return True if the starting point can reach the target point, else false.
    */
   public boolean reachingPoints(int startX, int startY, int targetX, int targetY) {
       // Work backwards from the target point to the starting point.
       while (targetX > startX && targetY > startY && targetX != targetY) {
           if (targetX > targetY) {
               // If the current x-coordinate is larger, reduce it modulo the y-coordinate.
               targetX %= targetY;
           } else {
               // If the current y-coordinate is larger or equal, reduce it modulo the x-coordinate.
               targetY %= targetX;
       // If we have reached the starting point, return true.
       if (targetX == startX && targetY == startY) {
           return true;
       // If we are in the same horizontal line as the starting point, check if we can reach by vertical moves.
       if (targetX == startX) {
           return targetY > startY && (targetY - startY) % startX == 0;
       // If we are in the same vertical line as the starting point, check if we can reach by horizontal moves.
       if (targetY == startY) {
           return targetX > startX && (targetX - startX) % targetY == 0;
```

// If none of the above conditions are met, the target cannot be reached from the starting point.

// Run the loop until either of the target co-ordinates is greater than the start co-ordinates

// and they are not the same. This is because we can move from (x, y) to (x, x+y) or (x+y, y),

// If targetX is greater than targetY, we know in the last move targetX was changed.

// If the targetX is the same as startX, then we can only reach the target by vertical moves.

// If the targetY is the same as startY, then we can only reach the target by horizontal moves.

if (targetX == startX) return targetY > startY && (targetY - startY) % targetX == 0;

if (targetY == startY) return targetX > startX && (targetX - startX) % targetY == 0;

// If neither of the above cases, we can't reach the target point with the moves allowed.

// Similarly, if targetY is greater, we find the previous state of targetY by taking modulus.

// So we take modulus to revert the last operation and try the previous state.

// Function to determine if we can reach the point (tx, tv) starting at (sx, sy)

while (targetX > startX && targetY > startY && targetX != targetY) {

bool reachingPoints(int startX, int startY, int targetX, int targetY) {

// If we have exactly reached the start point, return true.

if (targetX == startX && targetY == startY) return true;

// Thus, check if the difference is a multiple of startX.

// Thus, check if the difference is a multiple of starty.

**}**;

```
TypeScript
// Function to determine if we can reach the point (targetX, targetY) starting at (startX, startY)
function reachingPoints(startX: number, startY: number, targetX: number, targetY: number): boolean {
    // Run the loop until either of the target coordinates is greater than the start coordinates
    // and they are not the same. This is because we can move from (x, y) to (x, x+y) or (x+y, y),
    // not the other wav around.
    while (targetX > startX && targetY > startY && targetX !== targetY) {
        // If targetX is greater than targetY, we know in the last move targetX was changed.
        // So we take modulus to revert the last operation and try the previous state.
        if (targetX > targetY) {
            targetX %= targetY;
        // Similarly, if targetY is greater, we find the previous state of targetY by taking modulus.
        else {
            targetY %= targetX;
    // If we have exactly reached the start point, return true.
    if (targetX === startX && targetY === startY) return true;
    // If the targetX is the same as startX, then we can only reach the target by vertical moves.
    // Thus, check if the difference is a multiple of startX.
    if (targetX === startX) return targetY > startY && (targetY - startY) % startX === 0;
    // If the targetY is the same as startY, then we can only reach the target by horizontal moves.
    // Thus, check if the difference is a multiple of starty.
    if (targetY === startY) return targetX > startX && (targetX - startX) % startY === 0;
    // If neither of the above cases, we can't reach the target point with the moves allowed.
    return false;
class Solution:
    def reachingPoints(self, start x: int, start v: int, target x: int, target_y: int) -> bool:
        # Loop to transform the target point back towards the starting point
        while target x > start x and target v > start v and target x != target_y:
           # If target x is greater than target_y, reduce target_x
            if target x > target y:
```

# The modulo operation finds how many steps can be taken from target\_y to reach current target\_x

# Likewise, this modulo operation finds the steps from target\_x to reach current target\_y

### return target\_x > start\_x and (target\_x - start\_x) % target\_y == 0 # If neither target\_x nor target\_y matches, reaching the target point is not possible return False

Time and Space Complexity

return True

if target x == start x:

if target v == start v:

else:

target x %= target y

target\_y %= target\_x

if target x == start\_x and target\_y == start\_y:

# by repeatedly subtracting start\_x from target\_y

# by repeatedly subtracting start\_y from target\_x

# If target\_y is greater than target\_x, reduce target\_y

# Check if the starting point is reached after breaking out of the loop

# If only target x matches start x, check if we can reach the target point

return target\_y > start\_y and (target\_y - start\_y) % target\_x == 0

# If only target y matches start y, check if we can reach the target point

### **Time Complexity** The time complexity of the provided code primarily depends on the number of iterations it takes for either tx or ty to be

reduced to either sx or sy, or for tx to equal ty. In each iteration, either tx or ty is reduced by a modulo operation, which takes constant time. However, the number of iterations could be large if tx and ty are much larger than sx and sy. In the worst case, the modulo operation reduces the larger number by an amount proportional to the smaller number. Therefore,

the time complexity is logarithmic in relation to the difference between the target and the start coordinates, or more formally,

 $O(\log(\max(tx - sx, ty - sy))).$ **Space Complexity** 

The space complexity of the provided code is 0(1). This is because the algorithm only uses a fixed amount of additional space (for variables tx and ty), and there is no additional space usage that grows with the input size.