2809. Minimum Time to Make Array Sum At Most x **Dynamic Programming** Sorting Array Hard

Leetcode Link

Given two arrays nums1 and nums2 that are both 0-indexed and of the same length, the task is to find the minimum time it takes to

Problem Description

reduce the sum of all elements in nums1 to a value less than or equal to a given integer x. Every second, each element nums1[i] is increased by its corresponding element nums2[i]. Once this increment has happened, you have the choice to reset any nums1[i] to 0 exactly once. If you cannot make the sum of nums1 less than or equal to x, return -1.

been made up to that second.

Intuition To solve this problem, the intuition is to determine for each second what the best outcome would be if we reset one of the nums1

elements to 0 at that exact moment. The outcome to maximize is the sum of all nums1 elements plus all the increments that have

To approach this solution: 1. The nums1 and nums2 items are first zipped together and sorted by the nums2 values since they indicate how much each corresponding nums1 value grows per second. We're interested in maximizing the rate of growth per second, which is why sorting

based on nums2 is sensible.

This list will help keep track of the best outcomes at each second for the corresponding prefix of the array. 3. The main idea applied here is, for a current moment denoted by j, we want to determine whether it would be beneficial to perform the reset operation now or to have done it one second earlier. This is computed by three values:

2. A dynamic programming list f is prepared which is initialized to 0 and has a length of n + 1, where n is the length of the arrays.

- The value if we choose not to reset at this moment (f[j]). • The new sum we would get if we reset f[j-1] one second earlier and added the current a + (b * j), which denotes current
- incremented value plus its growth over j seconds. 4. This leads to the updating of f[j] to the maximum of these options.
- 5. Finally, loop through each possible second and calculate if the total growth plus the sum of elements is less than or equal to x after resetting the best element at that second. If it is, return the current second as the minimum time. If no such second is
- found, return -1.

This solution tries to balance the gain from allowing the nums1 values to grow and the benefit of resetting a value to zero at the right

1. Sorting: First off, the zip function combines the elements from nums1 and nums2 into pairs, and then sorts them by the second value (nums2[i]), which represents the growth rate or increment per second. This is done using the sorted function with the key

By sorting, we prioritize the handling of the elements in nums1 which are paired with the largest increment factors first, allowing

2. Dynamic Programming (DP): A dynamic programming array f is initialized as a list of zeros, with a size of n+1. Here, n is the

sum possible at that moment.

sum of nums1 below or equal to x.

Following the solution approach:

Let nums1 = [4, 3, 8], nums2 = [2, 1, 3], and x = 13.

o Inner loop for j = 1 to n, update f[j]:

Solution Approach

length of nums1. The dynamic programming array f[j] represents the maximum sum that can be achieved by applying the reset operation j times, up until the current point of our iteration over the sorted pairs.

us to benefit from their rapid growth when considering when to reset them to zero.

The solution uses a combination of sorting, dynamic programming, and greedy strategy.

time to minimize the sum to meet the condition with respect to x.

being the second element of the pair (z[1]).

3. Greedy Iteration: After sorting, a loop is started which iterates over each paired element from the sorted list. For each such element, an inner loop runs in reverse over the range from n down to 1. The reverse iteration allows us to consider the scenarios of having consumed one less reset opportunity at each stage.

In the inner loop, a represents the current value of nums1[i], and b is the increment value of nums2[i]. The inner loop updates

the max sum for j resets by comparing the current f[j] and the sum of f[j-1] (the max sum if the reset was done one second

- earlier) plus the value of the current index (after having been incremented for j seconds). The condition f[j] = max(f[j], f[j-1] + a + b * j) ensures that for each possible number of resets j, we track the best
- j f[j]) <= x is met. This is checking if the total growth (assuming maximum growth for each second) minus the maximum sum when j resets have been used is less than or equal to x. If this is the case, it indicates that the sum of nums1 can be maintained below or equal to x in y seconds after resetting the most beneficial elements, and y is returned as the solution.

If the loop completes without finding a satisfactory j, then the function returns -1, indicating that it's not possible to reduce the

4. Calculate Required Seconds: After the dynamic programming step, two sums are calculated: the sum of elements in nums1 (s1)

and the sum of chosen increments in nums2 (s2). The final check loops through j from 0 to n, checking if the condition (s1 + s2 *

dynamic programming to keep track of the best reset decisions as the array elements grow. Example Walkthrough Let's consider a small example to illustrate the solution approach.

The solution capitalizes on the greedy strategy of sorting by growth rate to consider the most impactful elements first and uses

3. Iterating over each element with initialized sums s1 = 15 (sum of nums1) and s2 = 6 (sum of nums2): First iteration (element (3, 1)):

1. The two lists are zipped and sorted by growth values (nums2). The resulting list is [(3, 1), (4, 2), (8, 3)].

• j = 3: f[3] is updated to max(f[3], f[2] + 3 + 1 * 3) \rightarrow f[3] = 10. Second iteration (element (4, 2)):

• Inner loop:

Third iteration (element (8, 3)):

Now we have f = [0, 11, 19, 28].

Checking for j from 0 to n:

Python Solution

class Solution:

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

36

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

C++ Solution

1 #include <vector>

class Solution {

public:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

};

2 #include <algorithm>

#include <cstring>

1 from typing import List

4. Finally, loop to find the minimum seconds required:

than or equal to x. Hence, the answer is 1 second.

num_pairs = len(nums1)

 $sum_nums1 = sum(nums1)$

 $sum_nums2 = sum(nums2)$

return j

return -1

33 # solution = Solution()

32 # Example usage:

for j in range(num_pairs + 1):

If no solution is found, return -1

35 # print(result) # Output will depend on the input values

• j = 1: f[1] is updated to max(f[1], f[0] + 4 + 2 * 1) \rightarrow f[1] remains 4. • j = 2: f[2] is updated to max(f[2], f[1] + 4 + 2 * 2) \rightarrow f[2] remains 7.

Inner loop: • j = 1: f[1] is updated to max(f[1], f[0] + 8 + 3 * 1) \rightarrow f[1] becomes 11.

• j = 1: f[1] is updated to max(f[1], f[0] + 3 + 1 * 1) \rightarrow f[1] = 4.

• j = 2: f[2] is updated to max(f[2], f[1] + 3 + 1 * 2) \rightarrow f[2] = 7.

2. An array f is initialized with zeros, of length 4 since n = 3. f = [0, 0, 0, 0].

• j = 2: f[2] is updated to max(f[2], f[1] + 8 + 3 * 2) \rightarrow f[2] becomes 19. • j = 3: f[3] is updated to max(f[3], f[2] + 8 + 3 * 3) \rightarrow f[3] becomes 28.

• j = 3: f[3] is updated to max(f[3], f[2] + 4 + 2 * 3) \rightarrow f[3] becomes 13.

 \circ j = 0: (s1 + s2 * 0 - f[0]) \rightarrow (15 + 6 * 0 - 0) = 15 which is greater than x. \circ j = 1: (s1 + s2 * 1 - f[1]) \rightarrow (15 + 6 * 1 - 11) = 10 which is less than x. So the minimum time needed is 1 second. In this case, we find that j = 1 is the point where, after resetting the most significant element to zero, the sum of nums1 will be less

def minimumTime(self, nums1: List[int], nums2: List[int], limit: int) -> int:

sorted_pairs = sorted(zip(nums1, nums2), key=lambda pair: pair[1])

Initialize an array to store the maximum value possible with a given number of pairs used

Determine the number of pairs in nums1 and nums2

Calculate the sum of elements in nums1 and nums2

Determine the minimum number of operations needed

34 # result = solution.minimumTime(nums1=[1,2,3], nums2=[3,2,1], limit=50)

to bring the sum of warped nums1 and nums2 below 'limit'

if sum_nums1 + sum_nums2 * j - max_values[j] <= limit:</pre>

// Check for the minimal number of pairs needed to be chosen

if $(sumNums1 + sumNums2 * j - dp[j] <= x) {$

// If no such number of pairs is found, return -1

for (int j = 0; $j \le n$; ++j) {

return -1;

// to satisfy the condition sumNums1 + sumNums2 * j - dp[j] <= x

return j; // Return the minimal number of pairs

// Needed for using std::vector

// Needed for std::memset

int tasksCount = taskTimesA.size(); // Number of tasks

std::vector<std::pair<int, int>> taskPairs;

std::sort(taskPairs.begin(), taskPairs.end());

for (int j = tasksCount; j > 0; --j) {

// Initialize the dynamic programming array;

std::vector<int> dp(tasksCount + 1, 0);

for (auto& [timeB, timeA] : taskPairs) {

for (int j = 0; j <= tasksCount; ++j) {</pre>

// If no solution is found return -1

return -1;

for (int i = 0; i < tasksCount; ++i) {</pre>

// Needed for std::sort and std::accumulate

// Pair each task's time from B with the corresponding time from A

// Sort the pair array based on times from B task times (ascending)

// f[i] will hold the maximum time saved after completing i tasks

dp[j] = std::max(dp[j], dp[j - 1] + timeA + timeB * j);

// Find the minimum number of tasks needed such that the time limit is not exceeded

// Calculate the maximum time saved for each number of tasks

if (totalTimeA + totalTimeB * j - dp[j] <= maxTime) {</pre>

return j; // Minimum number of tasks

taskPairs.emplace_back(taskTimesB[i], taskTimesA[i]);

int minimumTime(std::vector<int>& taskTimesA, std::vector<int>& taskTimesB, int maxTime) {

Sort the pairs based on the second element of each pair

13 # Calculate the maximum value for using each possible number of pairs 14 15 for elem1, elem2 in sorted_pairs: for j in range(num_pairs, 0, -1): 16 max_values[j] = max(max_values[j], max_values[j - 1] + elem1 + elem2 * j) 17

 $max_values = [0] * (num_pairs + 1)$

```
Java Solution
  1 import java.util.*;
    class Solution {
         public int minimumTime(List<Integer> nums1, List<Integer> nums2, int x) {
             int n = nums1.size(); // The size of the given lists
             int[] dp = new int[n + 1]; // Dynamic programming array to store maximum scores
             // Create an array to store the pairs from nums1 and nums2
  8
             int[][] pairs = new int[n][2];
  9
 10
             for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
                 pairs[i] = new int[]{nums1.get(i), nums2.get(i)};
 11
 12
 13
 14
             // Sort the pairs by the second element in ascending order
 15
             Arrays.sort(pairs, Comparator.comparingInt(pair -> pair[1]));
 16
 17
             // Calculate the maximum score for each subsequence of pairs
 18
             for (int[] pair : pairs) {
                 int first = pair[0], second = pair[1];
 19
 20
                 for (int j = n; j > 0; ---j) {
 21
                     dp[j] = Math.max(dp[j], dp[j - 1] + first + second * j);
 22
 23
 24
 25
             int sumNums1 = 0, sumNums2 = 0; // Sum of elements from nums1 and nums2
 26
             for (int v : nums1) {
 27
                 sumNums1 += v;
 28
             for (int v : nums2) {
 29
                 sumNums2 += v;
 31
 32
```

27 28 29 // Calculate the sum of the times for both A and B tasks int totalTimeA = accumulate(taskTimesA.begin(), taskTimesA.end(), 0); 30 31 int totalTimeB = accumulate(taskTimesB.begin(), taskTimesB.end(), 0); 32

```
Typescript Solution
   function minimumTime(nums1: number[], nums2: number[], x: number): number {
        const numPairs = nums1.length;
       const maxValues: number[] = new Array(numPairs + 1).fill(0);
       const sortedPairs: number[][] = [];
       // Combine corresponding elements of nums1 and nums2 into pairs
       for (let i = 0; i < numPairs; i++) {</pre>
            sortedPairs.push([nums1[i], nums2[i]]);
10
       // Sort pairs based on the second element of each pair (nums2 value)
       sortedPairs.sort((pairA, pairB) => pairA[1] - pairB[1]);
12
13
14
       // Populate the maxValues array with maximum scores computed
       for (const [value1, value2] of sortedPairs) {
15
            for (let j = numPairs; j > 0; j--) {
16
                maxValues[j] = Math.max(
                    maxValues[j],
                    maxValues[j - 1] + value1 + value2 * j
19
20
               );
21
22
24
       // Calculate total sum of both arrays
       const totalSumNums1 = nums1.reduce((total, num) => total + num, 0);
25
26
        const totalSumNums2 = nums2.reduce((total, num) => total + num, 0);
27
28
       // Determine the minimum index 'j' where the condition is satisfied
29
       for (let j = 0; j <= numPairs; j++) {</pre>
            const conditionValue = totalSumNums1 + totalSumNums2 * j - maxValues[j];
           if (conditionValue <= x) {</pre>
31
32
                return j;
33
34
35
       // Return -1 if no such index was found
36
37
       return -1;
38 }
39
```

Time and Space Complexity **Time Complexity**

Let's analyze the time complexity of the given code:

After sorting, there is a nested loop: • The outer loop iterates over the sorted list, which is O(n).

• The inner loop iterates backwards from n to 1, which is also 0(n) in the worst case. Inside the inner loop, the code updates the list f with calculated values, which is an O(1) operation.

• There is a loop which sorts the zip of nums1 and nums2 by the second element of the tuple. The sort function in Python uses

Timsort, which has a time complexity of $O(n \log n)$ where n is the number of elements being sorted.

Thus, the total time complexity is dominated by the sorting and the nested loops, giving us $0(n \log n) + 0(n^2)$, which simplifies to O(n^2) because n^2 grows faster than n log n.

• The final step of the function iterates through the range n + 1, which is 0(n).

Combining all the above steps, the overall time complexity of the nested loops is 0(n^2).

- **Space Complexity** For space complexity:
 - A new list f of size n + 1 is created, which gives us O(n). • Sorting the zipped lists creates an additional space which also leads to O(n), despite the fact that Python's sort is typically inplace, because the zip object is being converted to a list and sorted separately.
- Other variables used are of constant space and do not scale with n. Consequently, the total space complexity is O(n), because we only account for the largest term when calculating space complexity.