Problem Description

Concurrency

called by three different threads, let's call them Thread A, Thread B, and Thread C respectively. The challenge is to ensure that these methods are called in the strict sequence where first() is called before second() and second() is called before third(), regardless of how the threads are scheduled by the operating system. This means that if Thread B tries to call second() before Thread A calls first(), Thread B should wait until first() has been called.

In this problem, we are given a class Foo with three methods: first(), second(), and third(). These methods are intended to be

Similarly, Thread C must wait for second() to complete before calling third(). Intuition

The solution to this problem involves synchronization mechanisms that allow threads to communicate with each other about the

Easy

Semaphore. A semaphore manages an internal counter which is decremented by each acquire() call and incremented by each release() call. The counter can never go below zero; when acquire() finds that it is zero, it blocks, waiting until some other thread calls release().

state of the execution. One common synchronization mechanism provided by many programming languages, including Python, is the

In the given solution: • We have three semaphores: self.a, self.b, and self.c.

• self.b and self.c are initialized with a count of 0 to block the second() and third() operations respectively until they are

explicitly released.

Acquires self.a, ensuring no other operation is currently in progress.

The first() method:

self.a is initialized with a count of 1 to allow the first() operation to proceed immediately.

 Once the first() operation is complete, it releases self.b to allow second() to proceed. The second() method:

Acquires self.b, which will only be available once self.a has been released by the first() method.

The third() method:

second(), third()) is being performed but might be included for scenarios where the sequence may restart.

 Acquires self.c, which will only be available after self.b is released by the second() method. After completing its operation, it releases self.a. This is optional in the context where only one cycle of operations (first(),

After completing its operation, it releases self.c to allow third() method execution.

Using these semaphores, the solution effectively enforces a strict order of execution as required by the problem statement, even

- though the threads might be scheduled in any order by the operating system.
- Solution Approach The solution approach effectively utilizes semaphores, which are synchronization primitives that control access to a common

1. Class Initialization:

o Inside the Foo class constructor, three semaphores are initialized. Semaphores self.b and self.c are initialized with a count

of 0 to ensure that second() and third() methods are blocked initially. Semaphore self.a is initialized with a count of 1 to

resource by multiple threads in a concurrent system. Here's a step-by-step breakdown of how the solution is implemented:

allow first() to proceed without blocking. 2. Executing first():

acquire() will decrement the counter to 0, and no blocking occurs. The method performs its intended operation printFirst(). • After completion, it calls self.b.release(). This increments the counter of semaphore self.b from 0 to 1, thereby allowing a

• The first method starts by calling self.a.acquire(). Since self.a was initialized to 1, first() is allowed to proceed as

3. Executing second(): • The second method calls self.b.acquire(). If first() has already completed and called self.b.release(), the semaphore

4. Executing third():

next operation to start.

Example Walkthrough

blocked second() operation to proceed.

completed, second() will be blocked.

It then executes printSecond(). • Upon successful completion, it calls self.c.release(), increasing the counter of the semaphore self.c from 0 to 1, and thus unblocking the third() method.

• The third method begins with self.c.acquire(). Up until second() calls self.c.release(), third() will be blocked. Once

self.c counter is 1, third() can proceed (the acquire operation decrements it to 0).

self.b counter would be 1, and second() can proceed (the acquire operation decrements it back to 0). If first() has not

o Optionally, it can call self.a.release() which is omitted in the given code snippet because it's not necessary unless the sequence of operations is intended to repeat.

It executes printThird().

which threads arrive, they will be forced to execute in the necessary order: first() then second() then third(). This implementation exemplifies a classic synchronization pattern where the completion of one action triggers the availability of the next action in a sequence. The semaphores act like gates that open once the previous operation has signaled that it's safe for the

Each semaphore acts as a turnstile, controlling the flow of operations. The use of semaphores ensures that no matter the order in

ensures these functions are called in the strict sequence required. Let's assume the three threads are started almost simultaneously by the operating system, but due to some randomness in thread

Imagine we have three simple functions that need to be executed in order: printFirst(), printSecond(), and printThird(). They

simply print "first", "second", and "third" respectively to the console. Now, let's see how the solution approach described earlier

scheduling, the actual order of invocation is Thread B (second), Thread C (third), and finally, Thread A (first). 1. Thread B (second) arrives first:

Thread B will now wait for self.b to be released by another operation (specifically, the first() operation).

Since self.b was initialized with 0, it is blocked as the counter is already at 0, and acquire() cannot decrement it further.

• As with self.b, self.c was initialized with 0, so Thread C is blocked because the semaphore's counter is not greater than 0.

• Since self.a was initialized with 1, the acquire() will succeed, the counter will decrement to 0, and the first() method will

3. Thread A (first) arrives last: • It proceeds to call the first() method which attempts to acquire semaphore self.a with an acquire() call.

operation to proceed.

5. Thread C (third) resumes:

counter down to 0.

1 from threading import Semaphore

from typing import Callable

def __init__(self):

proceed.

2. Thread C (third) arrives next:

• Upon completion, the first() method calls self.b.release(), which increments semaphore self.b's counter to 1. 4. Thread B (second) resumes:

Calls second() method and tries to acquire semaphore self.b with an acquire() call.

• It calls the third() method which tries to acquire semaphore self.c with acquire().

It must wait for self.c to be released by the second() operation.

• The printFirst() function is executed, outputting "first".

 The printSecond() function is called, printing "second" to the console. Upon finishing its operation, Thread B calls self.c.release(), incrementing self.c's counter to 1, allowing the third

Similar to the previous steps, with the self.c counter now at 1, the third() method proceeds as acquire() brings the

With self.b's counter now at 1, Thread B can proceed as acquire() successfully decrements it back to 0.

 printThird() is executed, and "third" is printed to the console. In this example, even though the threads arrived out of order, the use of semaphores forced them to wait for their turn, ensuring the

Initialize semaphores to control the order of execution.

Execute the print_first function to output "first".

Release semaphore to allow 'second' method to run.

def second(self, print_second: Callable[[], None]) -> None:

Release semaphore to allow 'third' method to run.

def third(self, print_third: Callable[[], None]) -> None:

Execute the print_third function to output "third".

This line could re-enable the flow for 'first', in case of repeated calls.

Wait for the completion of 'second' method.

std::mutex mtx; // Mutex to protect condition variable

int count; // Counter to keep track of the order

void first(std::function<void()> printFirst) {

void second(std::function<void()> printSecond) {

std::condition_variable cv; // Condition variable for synchronization

std::unique_lock<std::mutex> lock(mtx); // Acquire the lock

std::unique_lock<std::mutex> lock(mtx); // Acquire the lock

count = 2; // Update the count to allow second to run

count = 3; // Update the count to allow third to run

cv.notify_all(); // Notify all waiting threads

count = 1; // Initialize count to 1 to ensure first is executed first

// printFirst() outputs "first". Do not change or remove this line.

cv.wait(lock, [this] { return count == 2; }); // Wait until first is done

// printSecond() outputs "second". Do not change or remove this line.

Execute the print_second function to output "second".

Wait for the completion of 'first' method.

desired sequence of "first", "second", "third" in the console output.

Semaphore 'first_done' allows 'first' method to run immediately. self.first_done = Semaphore(1) # Semaphore 'second_done' starts locked, preventing 'second' method from running. self.second_done = Semaphore(0) # Semaphore 'third_done' starts locked, preventing 'third' method from running.

11 self.third_done = Semaphore(0) 12 13 14 def first(self, print_first: Callable[[], None]) -> None: 15 # Acquire semaphore to enter 'first' method.

print_first()

print_second()

print_third()

Java Solution

self.first_done.acquire()

self.second_done.release()

self.second_done.acquire()

self.third_done.release()

self.third_done.acquire()

self.first_done.release()

Python Solution

class Foo:

10

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

```
import java.util.concurrent.Semaphore;
   public class Foo {
       private Semaphore firstJobDone = new Semaphore(1); // Semaphore for first job, initially available
       private Semaphore secondJobDone = new Semaphore(0); // Semaphore for second job, initially unavailable
       private Semaphore thirdJobDone = new Semaphore(0); // Semaphore for third job, initially unavailable
       public Foo() {
           // Constructor
 9
10
11
12
       // Method for the first job; prints "first"
13
       public void first(Runnable printFirst) throws InterruptedException {
            firstJobDone.acquire(); // Wait for the first job's semaphore to be available
14
           printFirst.run(); // Run the printFirst task; this should print "first"
15
            secondJobDone.release(); // Release the second job semaphore, allowing the second job to run
16
17
18
       // Method for the second job; prints "second"
19
       public void second(Runnable printSecond) throws InterruptedException {
20
21
            secondJobDone.acquire(); // Wait for the second job's semaphore to be available
22
           printSecond.run(); // Run the printSecond task; this should print "second"
23
            thirdJobDone.release(); // Release the third job semaphore, allowing the third job to run
24
25
26
       // Method for the third job; prints "third"
27
       public void third(Runnable printThird) throws InterruptedException {
28
            thirdJobDone.acquire(); // Wait for the third job's semaphore to be available
           printThird.run(); // Run the printThird task; this should print "third"
29
            firstJobDone.release(); // Release the first job semaphore, allowing the cycle of jobs to be restarted (if necessary)
30
31
32 }
33
C++ Solution
 1 #include <mutex>
 2 #include <condition_variable>
   #include <functional>
   class Foo {
   private:
```

cv.notify_all(); // Notify all waiting threads 30 31 32 33 void third(std::function<void()> printThird) { 34

9

11 public:

Foo() {

printFirst();

printSecond();

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

```
std::unique_lock<std::mutex> lock(mtx); // Acquire the lock
35
           cv.wait(lock, [this] { return count == 3; }); // Wait until second is done
           // printThird() outputs "third". Do not change or remove this line.
36
37
           printThird();
38
           // No need to update count or notify, as no further actions are dependent on third
39
40 };
41
Typescript Solution
 1 // Counter to keep track of the order
 2 let count = 1;
  // Promises to control the execution order
   let firstSecondControl: (value: void | PromiseLike<void>) => void;
   let secondThirdControl: (value: void | PromiseLike<void>) => void;
   // Promise that will resolve when it's okay to run `second`
  const canRunSecond = new Promise<void>((resolve) => -
     firstSecondControl = resolve;
10 });
11
   // Promise that will resolve when it's okay to run `third`
   const canRunThird = new Promise<void>((resolve) => {
     secondThirdControl = resolve;
15 });
16
   async function first(printFirst: () => void): Promise<void> {
     // printFirst() outputs "first".
     printFirst();
     // Update the count to allow second to run
20
     count = 2;
21
     // Resolve the promise to unblock the second function
23
     firstSecondControl();
24
25
   async function second(printSecond: () => void): Promise<void> {
     // Wait until the first function has completed
     if (count !== 2) {
28
29
       await canRunSecond;
30
     // printSecond() outputs "second".
31
     printSecond();
32
     // Update the count to allow third to run
33
     count = 3;
34
```

secondThirdControl(); 36 37 } 38

Time and Space Complexity

46

48

47 }

// After third, there are no more actions, so nothing more to do

// Resolve the promise to unblock the third function 35 async function third(printThird: () => void): Promise<void> { // Wait until the second function has completed **if** (count !== 3) { await canRunThird; 43 // printThird() outputs "third". 44 printThird(); 45

constant amount of work: acquiring and releasing a semaphore. The use of semaphores is to control the order of execution but does not add any significant computational overhead.

The space complexity of the Foo class is 0(1) as well. The class has three semaphores as its member variables, and the number of

semaphores does not increase with the input size. Hence, the memory used by an instance of the class is constant.

The time complexity of the Foo class methods first, second, and third is O(1) for each call. This is because each method performs a