

Problem Description

The problem presents an operation that can transform a point (x, y) in a two-dimensional grid to either (x, x + y) or (x + y, y). Given four integers sx, sy, tx, and ty, which represent the starting point (sx, sy) and the target point (tx, ty), the task is to determine if it is possible to reach the target point from the starting point by repeatedly applying the transformation.

1. Add the current y value to the x value, changing (x, y) to (x + y, y).

The only allowed operations are:

- 2. Add the current x value to the y value, changing (x, y) to (x, x + y).
- The key challenge is to figure out if, by applying these operations starting from (sx, sy), we can eventually obtain the point (tx,

ty). If it's possible, return true; otherwise, return false. Intuition

To solve this problem, we can use the reverse thinking approach. Instead of starting from (sx, sy) and trying to reach (tx, ty)

using the allowed operations, we start from (tx, ty) and try to work our way backwards to (sx, sy). This approach is valid because the operations are reversible. We repeatedly subtract the smaller of tx and ty from the larger until we either pass sx and sy or land exactly on them. During this

perform tx %= ty. The same reasoning applies if ty is greater than tx. While doing this, there are three cases:

process, if tx is greater than ty, we know that tx had to be formed by adding ty to a smaller number in the previous step, so we

2. If tx becomes equal to sx, we check if ty can be reduced to sy by a multiple of tx. If so, it means we can reach (sx, sy) from

(tx, ty).

1. If both tx and ty are greater than sx and sy respectively, we continue reducing tx and ty.

3. The same check applies if ty becomes equal to sy.

If none of the conditions are met, then it's not possible to transform (sx, sy) to (tx, ty) using the operations, so we return false.

The time complexity of this approach is O(log(max(tx, ty))), which occurs due to the modulo operation that reduces tx and ty

exponentially.

Solution Approach The implementation of the solution follows a reverse approach working backward from the target point (tx, ty) to the start point

(sx, sy). Here's how the solution translates into code: • The solution starts by initializing a while loop that continues as long as both tx and ty are larger than sx and sy, but tx is not

equal to ty. This condition allows us to reduce tx and ty until either we can't reduce them anymore without going below sx or sy, or until one of the coordinates matches sx or sy.

- ∘ If tx > ty, then tx must have been formed by adding some multiple of ty to the previous x value, so we perform tx %= ty. This reverses the last operation that affected tx.
- After exiting the loop, either tx == sx and/or ty == sy, or both tx and ty have been reduced below sx and sy. We need to

ensuring ty > sy and (ty - sy) % tx == 0. If this is the case, we return true.

• If ty > tx, then we apply ty %= tx for the same reason but in the vertical direction.

• Inside the loop, we use the % (modulo) operator to reverse the operation as follows:

- handle both cases to complete the algorithm: If tx == sx and ty == sy at the same time, we've found the exact reverse path to (sx, sy), and the function returns true.
 - Similarly, if ty == sy, we check if tx > sx and (tx sx) % ty == 0 to determine if tx can be reduced to sx and return true

o If tx == sx, then ty must be reducible to sy by repeatedly subtracting tx (the same operation reversed). We check this by

- If none of the conditions are met, it means that we can't reach (sx, sy) from (tx, ty) by reversing the operations, so the function returns false.
- **Example Walkthrough** Let's consider the problem with a small example:

Imagine our starting point is (sx, sy) = (2, 4) and our target point is (tx, ty) = (9, 4). Can we transform the starting point to the

This approach is efficient because it avoids the exponential time complexity of trying all possible paths from (sx, sy) to (tx, ty).

Instead, by taking advantage of the mathematical properties of the operation, it quickly navigates to the solution or determines

1. We start from (tx, ty) which is (9, 4) and compare it with (sx, sy). Since tx > ty, we perform tx = ty.

We apply the reverse approach:

accordingly.

2. After applying the modulo operation, tx is now 9 % 4 = 1.

From the example above, we note the following:

target point using the allowed operations?

3. Now, our target point (tx, ty) has changed to (1, 4). 4. We compare tx with sx. They are not equal, so we continue with the loop. 5. Since ty > tx, we perform ty %= tx.

Since we could not achieve a situation where tx or ty matches sx or sy respectively without the other target coordinate going

7. Comparing the new ty with sy, we see that ty < sy; we cannot reduce ty anymore without going below sy.

impossibility with a time complexity of O(log(max(tx, ty))).

 After several steps, we've ended up with ty < sy, which violates the condition that it's only possible to either match the starting point exactly (in which case both tx and ty would match sx and sy) or reduce one of the target coordinates to match the starting

point's while checking if the other can achieve the same via multiples.

6. After applying the modulo operation, ty is now 4 % 1 = 0. The target point is now (1, 0).

below its starting counterpart, it proves that the path from (sx, sy) to (tx, ty) cannot be achieved with the given operations. As a result, we would return false for this example.

def reachingPoints(self, start_x: int, start_y: int, target_x: int, target_y: int) -> bool:

Loop to transform the target point back towards the starting point

If target_x is greater than target_y, reduce target_x

while target_x > start_x and target_y > start_y and target_x != target_y:

Check if the starting point is reached after breaking out of the loop

If only target_x matches start_x, check if we can reach the target point

Key Takeaways:

simple subtraction operations (modulo operation).

target_y %= target_x

return True

if target_y == start_y:

if target_x == start_x and target_y == start_y:

by repeatedly subtracting start_y from target_x

if (targetX == startX && targetY == startY) {

return targetY > startY && (targetY - startY) % startX == 0;

return targetX > startX && (targetX - startX) % targetY == 0;

return true;

if (targetX == startX) {

if (targetY == startY) {

return false;

 If at any point during the reverse operation one of the target coordinates becomes less than its corresponding start coordinate, we can stop and return false because this means that the target point cannot be reached from the starting point.

The reverse approach significantly simplifies the problem, turning an otherwise computationally expensive search into a series of

if target_x > target_y: # The modulo operation finds how many steps can be taken from target_y to reach current target_x target_x %= target_y # If target_y is greater than target_x, reduce target_y

Likewise, this modulo operation finds the steps from target_x to reach current target_y

```
# by repeatedly subtracting start_x from target_y
19
20
           if target_x == start_x:
               return target_y > start_y and (target_y - start_y) % target_x == 0
22
23
           # If only target_y matches start_y, check if we can reach the target point
```

Python Solution

class Solution:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

25

26

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

```
return target_x > start_x and (target_x - start_x) % target_y == 0
27
28
           # If neither target_x nor target_y matches, reaching the target point is not possible
29
           return False
30
Java Solution
   class Solution {
3
       /**
        * Checks if a point (sx, sy) can reach (tx, ty) by moving either vertically or horizontally.
        * @param sx Starting point x-coordinate.
        * @param sy Starting point y-coordinate.
        * @param tx Target point x-coordinate.
8
        * @param ty Target point y-coordinate.
9
        * @return True if the starting point can reach the target point, else false.
10
11
        */
       public boolean reachingPoints(int startX, int startY, int targetX, int targetY) {
12
           // Work backwards from the target point to the starting point.
13
14
           while (targetX > startX && targetY > startY && targetX != targetY) {
               if (targetX > targetY) {
15
                   // If the current x-coordinate is larger, reduce it modulo the y-coordinate.
16
17
                   targetX %= targetY;
               } else {
18
                   // If the current y-coordinate is larger or equal, reduce it modulo the x-coordinate.
19
20
                   targetY %= targetX;
21
22
23
24
           // If we have reached the starting point, return true.
```

// If we are in the same horizontal line as the starting point, check if we can reach by vertical moves.

// If we are in the same vertical line as the starting point, check if we can reach by horizontal moves.

// If none of the above conditions are met, the target cannot be reached from the starting point.

```
41
42 }
43
C++ Solution
 1 class Solution {
2 public:
       // Function to determine if we can reach the point (tx, ty) starting at (sx, sy)
       bool reachingPoints(int startX, int startY, int targetX, int targetY) {
           // Run the loop until either of the target co-ordinates is greater than the start co-ordinates
           // and they are not the same. This is because we can move from (x, y) to (x, x+y) or (x+y, y),
           // not the other way around.
           while (targetX > startX && targetY > startY && targetX != targetY) {
               // If targetX is greater than targetY, we know in the last move targetX was changed.
 9
               // So we take modulus to revert the last operation and try the previous state.
10
               if (targetX > targetY) {
11
12
                   targetX %= targetY;
13
14
               // Similarly, if targetY is greater, we find the previous state of targetY by taking modulus.
15
               else {
                   targetY %= targetX;
16
17
18
19
20
           // If we have exactly reached the start point, return true.
21
           if (targetX == startX && targetY == startY) return true;
22
23
           // If the targetX is the same as startX, then we can only reach the target by vertical moves.
24
           // Thus, check if the difference is a multiple of startX.
25
           if (targetX == startX) return targetY > startY && (targetY - startY) % targetX == 0;
26
27
           // If the targetY is the same as startY, then we can only reach the target by horizontal moves.
28
           // Thus, check if the difference is a multiple of startY.
29
           if (targetY == startY) return targetX > startX && (targetX - startX) % targetY == 0;
30
31
           // If neither of the above cases, we can't reach the target point with the moves allowed.
32
           return false;
33
34 };
35
Typescript Solution
```

1 // Function to determine if we can reach the point (targetX, targetY) starting at (startX, startY)

while (targetX > startX && targetY > startY && targetX !== targetY) {

// Thus, check if the difference is a multiple of startY.

2 function reachingPoints(startX: number, startY: number, targetX: number, targetY: number): boolean {

// Run the loop until either of the target coordinates is greater than the start coordinates

// If targetX is greater than targetY, we know in the last move targetX was changed.

// Similarly, if targetY is greater, we find the previous state of targetY by taking modulus.

// So we take modulus to revert the last operation and try the previous state.

if (targetX === startX) return targetY > startY && (targetY - startY) % startX === 0;

if (targetY === startY) return targetX > startX && (targetX - startX) % startY === 0;

variables tx and ty), and there is no additional space usage that grows with the input size.

// If neither of the above cases, we can't reach the target point with the moves allowed.

// If the targetY is the same as startY, then we can only reach the target by horizontal moves.

// and they are not the same. This is because we can move from (x, y) to (x, x+y) or (x+y, y),

// If we have exactly reached the start point, return true. 18 if (targetX === startX && targetY === startY) return true; 19 20 // If the targetX is the same as startX, then we can only reach the target by vertical moves. 21 // Thus, check if the difference is a multiple of startX.

else {

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

23

24

25

26

28

29

// not the other way around.

if (targetX > targetY) {

targetX %= targetY;

targetY %= targetX;

Time Complexity

30 return false; 31 } 32 Time and Space Complexity

However, the number of iterations could be large if tx and ty are much larger than sx and sy. In the worst case, the modulo operation reduces the larger number by an amount proportional to the smaller number. Therefore, the

The time complexity of the provided code primarily depends on the number of iterations it takes for either tx or ty to be reduced to

either sx or sy, or for tx to equal ty. In each iteration, either tx or ty is reduced by a modulo operation, which takes constant time.

time complexity is logarithmic in relation to the difference between the target and the start coordinates, or more formally,

Space Complexity

 $O(\log(\max(tx - sx, ty - sy))).$

The space complexity of the provided code is 0(1). This is because the algorithm only uses a fixed amount of additional space (for