

Problem Description

You are given two integers n and k. The task is to find the kth smallest factor of n. A factor of n is defined as a number i such that when n is divided by i, there is no remainder, i.e., n % i == 0. The factors are considered in ascending order. If n does not have k factors, the function should return -1.

Intuition

The intuition behind the solution is to find all the factors of the given integer n, sort them in increasing order and then return the kth one in the sequence. Since the factors of a number are symmetric around the square root of the number, the solution starts by iterating through all numbers from 1 to the square root of n. For each number i that is a factor of n (meaning n % i == 0), it decrements k because it is one step closer to the kth smallest factor.

a slightly different approach. It checks the symmetric factors by dividing n by i and continues decreasing k until it finds the kth factor or until there are no more factors to check. Again, if k reaches 0 during this process, it returns the current factor, n // i. One important case to handle is when n is a perfect square. In such a case, the factor that is exactly the square root of n is only

If k reaches 0 during this process, it returns the current factor, i. However, if k is still not 0 after this loop ends, the solution then uses

counted once, hence the second loop begins by decrementing i by 1, to avoid double-counting.

position of each factor it finds until it reaches the kth one.

The solution efficiently finds the kth smallest factor by avoiding the generation of all factors, instead, all the while counting the

main stages:

Solution Approach

1. Finding Factors Less Than or Equal to Square Root: Initialize i to 1.

The implementation of the solution involves a straightforward iteration through possible factors and can be broken down into two

- Check if i is a factor using the modulus operation n % i == 0. If it is, decrement k.
 - - If k reaches 0 within this loop, return i because you've found the kth factor. Increment i to check the next potential factor.

Loop until i squared is less than n:

- 2. Finding Factors Greater Than Square Root:
 - equal to n; if it isn't, we reduce i by 1 to ensure we do not repeat the factor at the square root of n in case n is a perfect square.

○ In case the total number of factors is less than k, return -1.

Step 1 - Finding Factors Less Than or Equal to Square Root:

3rd smallest factor, so we can return i which is 3.

If k had been greater, such as 5, the steps would continue as follows:

the 'middle' factor (the square root), thus optimizing the search for the kth factor.

As before, with each successful factor, decrement k.

Loop downwards from i:

■ If k reaches 0 within this loop, return the corresponding factor n // i because you've found the kth factor. Decrement i and continue until i reaches 0.

This approach uses no additional data structures, relying only on integer variables to track the current candidate factor and the

Check for the other factor by dividing n by i and see if the modulo with n // i is 0 ((n % (n // i)) == 0).

∘ Before starting this loop, check if n is a perfect square by verifying if the square of i-1 (last i from previous loop) is not

- 3. **Returning -1:**
- countdown of k to reach the desired factor. It is efficient because it minimizes the number of iterations to potentially a little more than twice the square root of n. The algorithm capitalizes on the symmetry of factors and avoids a full enumeration of factors beyond

According to the problem, the factors of 12 are 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 12. The 3rd smallest factor in this list is 3.

Example Walkthrough Let's illustrate the solution approach with an example. Say we are given the integers n = 12 and k = 3. Our goal is to find the 3rd smallest factor of 12.

• We start by initializing i to 1. Since 12 is not a perfect square, we will iterate up to its square root. The square root of 12 is

approximately 3.46, so we'll consider all numbers up to 3. • For i = 1, we check if it's a factor of 12 (12 % 1 == 0). It is, so we decrement k to 2. • For i = 2, we check if it's a factor (12 % 2 == 0). It is, so we decrement k to 1.

• For i = 3, we check if it's a factor (12 % 3 == 0). It is, and since k is now 1, decrementing it will bring it to 0. We have found the

Since we found the kth smallest factor before exhausting all factors up to the square root of n, there is no need to proceed to Step 2.

- Step 2 Finding Factors Greater Than Square Root:
 - As n = 12 is not a perfect square, we continue to look for factors greater than the square root. We had last checked i = 3, so we start with i = 4.

 We decrement i to 3 and loop downwards: Check i = 3: Since we've already counted this factor in the first loop, we don't count it again.

○ Check i = 2: We find 12 // 2 = 6 is a factor (12 % 6 == 0). We decrement k to 0. We've found the 5th smallest factor, so we

This step is not necessary for our example as we've found our kth factor. If k were larger than the number of factors 12 has, this

def kthFactor(self, n: int, k: int) -> int:

factor_count += 1

if factor_count == k:

Initialize a variable to count factors

If this is the k-th factor, return it

the k-th factor is the complement factor of a factor before the square root of n

If n is a perfect square, we need to avoid counting the square root twice

// Starting from the last found factor, searching in decreasing order

// If we found the k-th factor from the largest end

// Return the factor as it's the k-th factor of 'n'

// Calculate the corresponding factor pair

// Decrease k for each factor found

To handle this, start decrementing potential_factor and check if its complement is a factor

return potential_factor

return n // i which is 6.

Step 3 - Returning -1:

pair of n and k provided.

Python Solution

class Solution:

factor_count = 0

step would ensure that we return -1 to indicate that there is no kth factor. For instance, if k = 8, after checking all possible factors, k would not be 0, so we would return -1. The solution approach has successfully found the 3rd smallest factor of 12 in a few simple steps. It's efficient and effective for any

Iterate over potential factors from 1 up to the square root of n for potential_factor in range(1, int(n**0.5) + 1): 6 # If the potential_factor is a factor of n if n % potential_factor == 0: 8 # Increment the count of factors found 9

13 14 15 # If the loop completes, there are two possibilities: 16 # Either k is too large (more than the number of factors), or

10

11

12

17

18

19

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

35

34 }

factor--;

return -1;

for (; factor > 0; --factor) {

if (k == 0) {

if (n % (n / factor) == 0) {

return n / factor;

// If no k-th factor is found, return -1

// If kth factor does not exist, return -1

```
20
             if int(n**0.5)**2 == n:
 21
                 potential_factor = int(n**0.5) - 1
 22
             else:
 23
                 potential_factor = int(n**0.5)
 24
 25
             # Iterate over remaining potential factors from the square root of n to 1
 26
             for i in range(potential_factor, 0, -1):
 27
                 # Check if i is a factor by seeing if the division of n by i has no remainder
                 if n % i == 0:
 28
 29
                     # Decrease k as we are counting down from the total number of factors
 30
                     factor_count += 1
 31
                     # If this is the k-th factor, return its complement factor
 32
                     if factor_count == k:
 33
                         return n // i
 34
 35
             # If no k-th factor was found, return -1
 36
             return -1
 37
Java Solution
   class Solution {
       // Method to find the k-th factor of a number n
       public int kthFactor(int n, int k) {
           // Starting from 1, trying to find factors in increasing order
           int factor = 1;
           for (; factor <= n / factor; ++factor) {</pre>
               // If 'factor' is a factor of 'n' and it's the k-th one found
               if (n % factor == 0 && (--k == 0)) {
                   // Return 'factor' as the k-th factor of 'n'
                   return factor;
10
11
12
           // Adjust 'factor' if we've surpassed the square root of 'n'
13
           // because we will look for factors in the opposite direction now
           if (factor * factor != n) {
```

```
C++ Solution
  class Solution {
   public:
       int kthFactor(int n, int k) {
           // Initialize the factor candidate
           int factor = 1;
           // Loop through potential factors starting from 1
           for (; factor < n / factor; ++factor) {</pre>
               // Check if current factor divides n without remainder
               if (n % factor == 0) {
                    // Decrease k for each factor found
                    --k;
                   // If k reaches 0, the current factor is the kth factor
                   if (k == 0) {
14
                        return factor;
16
17
18
19
           // If the loop exited normally, check for a perfect square
20
           if (factor * factor == n) {
21
22
               // If n is a perfect square, we do not double count it
23
               --factor;
24
25
           // Iterate backwards from the potential largest factor
26
27
           for (; factor > 0; --factor) {
28
               // Find the corresponding factor pair
29
                int correspondingFactor = n / factor;
               // Check if it divides n without remainder
30
               if (n % correspondingFactor == 0) {
31
                   // Decrease k for each factor found
33
34
                   // If k reaches 0, this is the kth factor
35
                    if (k == 0) {
36
                        return correspondingFactor;
37
38
39
```

k--; 12 13

return -1;

Typescript Solution

40

43

45

};

```
1 // Function to find the kth factor of n
   function kthFactor(n: number, k: number): number {
       // Initialize the factor candidate
       let factor = 1;
       // Loop through potential factors starting from 1
       while (factor < n / factor) {</pre>
           // Check if the current factor divides n without remainder
           if (n % factor === 0) {
               // Decrease k for each factor found
               // If k reaches 0, the current factor is the kth factor
               if (k === 0) {
14
                    return factor;
16
17
            factor++;
18
19
20
       // If the loop exited normally, check for a perfect square
       if (factor * factor === n) {
           // If n is a perfect square, we do not double count it
24
           factor--;
25
26
       // Iterate backwards from the potential largest factor
       while (factor > 0) {
28
           // Find the corresponding factor pair
29
           let correspondingFactor = n / factor;
30
31
           // Check if it divides n without remainder
32
33
           if (n % correspondingFactor === 0) {
               // Decrease k for each factor found
                k--;
               // If k reaches 0, this is the kth factor
               if (k === 0) {
                    return correspondingFactor;
41
42
           factor--;
43
44
       // If the kth factor does not exist, return -1
45
       return -1;
46
48
```

Time and Space Complexity

35 36 37 38 39 40

factor of the integer n. The first loop runs while i * i < n, which means it will run approximately sqrt(n) times, because it stops when i is just less than the

The time complexity of the given code can be assessed by examining the two while loops that are run in sequence to find the k-th

square root of n. Within this loop, the operation performed is a modulo operation to check if i is a factor of n, which is an O(1)

operation. Therefore, the time complexity contributed by the first loop is O(sqrt(n)).

The second loop starts with i set to a value slightly less than sqrt(n) (assuming n is not a perfect square) and counts down to 1. For each iteration, it performs a modulo operation, which is 0(1). However, not every i will lead to an iteration because the counter is reduced only when (n % (n // i)) == 0, which corresponds to the outer factors of n. Since there are as many factors less than sqrt(n) as there are greater than sqrt(n), we can expect the second loop also to contribute a time complexity of O(sqrt(n)).

Combining both loops, the overall time complexity remains O(sqrt(n)), as they do not compound on each other but are sequential. The space complexity of the code is 0(1) as there are only a finite number of variables used (i, k, n), and no additional space is

allocated that would grow with the input size.