

### Problem Description

numbers are referred to as magical numbers. For instance, if a is 2 and b is 3, then the first few magical numbers would be 2, 3, 4 (divisible by 2), 6 (divisible by both 2 and 3), 8 (divisible by 2), and so on. The function <a href="mailto:nthmagicalNumber">nthmagicalNumber</a> should return the <a href="mailto:nthmagicalNumber">nthmagicalNumber</a> magical number in this sequence.

However, due to the potentially large size of the output, we don't want the exact value of the nth magical number but instead its

The problem is about finding the nth number that is divisible by at least one of the two given numbers, a or b. These kinds of

remainder when divided by 10^9 + 7, which is a commonly used large prime number in modular arithmetic problems to prevent integer overflow errors.

### When solving this problem, we need to consider a vital property of Icm (Least Common Multiple) of a and b, which will further help us

Intuition

understand the distribution of magical numbers. Specifically, every multiple of the Icm of a and b is a magical number which is also the least common period in which all the magical numbers repeat. To arrive at the solution, we observe that:

1. Each multiple of a is a magical number.

- 2. Each multiple of b is a magical number. 3. Some numbers are multiples of both a and b, specifically multiples of the lcm of a and b.
- We use a binary search to find the smallest number x where the count of magical numbers up to x is at least n. To count the number of magical numbers up to x, we sum up x // a (the count of multiples of a up to x), x // b (the count of multiples of b up to x), and

The code exploits the bisect\_left function from the bisect module to perform the binary search efficiently. It passes a virtual sequence up to r = (a + b) \* n (an upper bound for the nth magical number) to bisect\_left with a custom key function that calculates the aforementioned count. Then, it takes the result x of the binary search, which is essentially the nth magical number,

subtract x // c (to account for over-counting the numbers that are multiples of both a and b, hence multiples of their lcm c).

and applies the modulo to maintain the result within the bounds required by the problem statement. **Solution Approach** 

#### 1. Binary Search: A classical algorithm to find the position of an element in a sorted array in logarithmic time complexity. Here, it is used to find the smallest number x such that there are at least n magical numbers less than or equal to x.

2. Least Common Multiple (LCM): Used to calculate the period at which the multiples of a and b repeat. This is critical, as we must

The implementation of the solution includes the following concepts:

- adjust the counts to avoid counting any number more than once if it is divisible by both a and b. 3. Modular Arithmetic: This is used to avoid large number overflows by taking the modulus of the result with 10\*\*\*9 + 7.
- 4. Efficient Counting: Using integer division to count multiples up to x for a, b, and their lcm. Looking at the implementation step-by-step:
  - The mod variable is set to 10\*\*\*9 + 7 to prepare for the final output to be given modulo this large prime.

as the product of a and b divided by their greatest common divisor (gcd)). • The variable r defines an upper bound for the nth magical number, which can be set as the sum of a and b multiplied by n. This is

bisect\_left will find the position where this count reaches at least n.

- based on the assumption that magical numbers will appear frequently enough that we do not need to check any number greater than this bound.
- The magic happens with bisect\_left(range(r), x=n, key=lambda x: x // a + x // b x // c). This call is a bit unusual because it uses bisect\_left on a range, leveraging the fact that Python ranges are lazy (they do not instantiate all values) and

thus can be enormous without using much memory. The key function calculates the count of magical numbers up to x, and

The variable c calculates the lcm of a and b using a built-in 1cm function (not shown in the snippet given, but can be implemented)

- The % mod at the end of the function ensures the result is within the required modulus to prevent overflow and match the problem's constraints. In summary, the code uses a binary search to efficiently find the nth magical number, leveraging knowledge of mathematical properties and algorithmic patterns to do so within the computing constraints.
- Example Walkthrough To illustrate the solution approach with an example, let's choose a = 2, b = 3, and we want to find the 5th magical number.

Following the given solution approach: 1. Calculate the least common multiple (LCM) of a and b. The LCM of 2 and 3 is 6, because 6 is the smallest number that is divisible

by both 2 and 3. Therefore, every 6 steps, the pattern of magical numbers will repeat.

number x, we calculate the count as x // a + x // b - x // c, where c is the LCM.

4. Suppose we test x = 10. To count the magic numbers up to 10, we find:

#### 2. We set an upper bound for the binary search. For n magical numbers, a rough upper bound would be (a+b) \* n. In this case, (2+3)\*5 = 25. Therefore, we can start our binary search from 1 to 25.

3. Use a binary search to find the smallest number x such that there are at least n magical numbers less than or equal to x. We do

this by checking the count of multiples of a and b subtracted by the count of their LCM up to certain x. For each candidate

- $\circ$  Multiples of 2 up to 10: 10 // 2 = 5 (2, 4, 6, 8, 10).  $\circ$  Multiples of 3 up to 10: 10 // 3 = 3 (3, 6, 9). Multiples of 6 up to 10: 10 // 6 = 1 (6).
- Now we sum the counts of multiples of a and b then subtract the count of LCM of a and b: 5 + 3 1 = 7. This means there are 7 magical numbers up to 10. Since we are looking for the 5th magical number, 10 is an upper bound (too high) and we need to search lower.
- Summing up and subtracting gives us 4 + 2 1 = 5. There are 5 magical numbers up to 8, so 8 is our candidate for the 5th

# Define the modulo for the result as per the problem statement.

# Function to calculate the least common multiple (LCM).

# Use binary search to find the nth magical number.

# The key function calculates the number of magical numbers

// After binary search, lower bound is our nth magical number

// Utility method to calculate the greatest common divisor using Euclid's algorithm

// Calculate the least common multiple (LCM) using the relationship between GCD and LCM

let left: number = 0, right: number = (a + b) \* n; // Use `number` type for the range

let mid: number = Math.floor((left + right) / 2); // Calculate the middle value

// Check if the mid value has n or more multiples of a or b, considering overlaps

// let result = nthMagicalNumber(n, a, b); // Invoke the function with desired values of n, a, and b

The given code snippet finds the nth magical number where a magical number is a number divisible by either a or b.

if (Math.floor(mid / a) + Math.floor(mid / b) - Math.floor(mid / lcmAB) >= n)

# less than or equal to 'x' by summing the number of multiples of 'a' and 'b',

# then subtracting the number of multiples of 'lcm\_of\_a\_b' to avoid double counting.

5. Adjust the binary search range accordingly. Let's try x = 8. The calculations give us:

```
magical number.
6. Repeat the binary search process until the range is narrowed down to a single number. Since we already found that there are 5
```

 $\circ$  Multiples of 2 up to 8:8 // 2 = 4 (2, 4, 6, 8).

 $\circ$  Multiples of 3 up to 8:8 // 3 = 2 (3, 6).

Multiples of 6 up to 8: 8 // 6 = 1 (6).

outlined in the initial solution approach.

MOD = 10\*\*9 + 7

def lcm(x, y):

r = (a + b) \* n

return x \* y // gcd(x, y)

**Python Solution** 

magical numbers up to 8 and we are looking for the 5th magical number, we can conclude that 8 is the 5th magical number. 7. Finally, we apply the modulo operation. Since the number is lower than 10\*\*\*9 + 7, the modulus doesn't change the number. So the 5th magical number given a = 2 and b = 3 is 8.

This example demonstrates the binary search-based approach in a clear and step-by-step manner, following the key concepts

- from math import gcd from bisect import bisect\_left class Solution: def nth\_magical\_number(self, n: int, a: int, b: int) -> int:
- 12 # Calculate the least common multiple of a and b. 13  $lcm_of_a_b = lcm(a, b)$ 14 15 16 # Calculate an upper boundary for the search space (this is not tight).

```
23
            nth_magical = bisect_left(range(r), n, key=lambda x: x // a + x // b - x // lcm_of_a_b)
24
25
           # Return the nth magical number modulo MOD.
26
           return nth_magical % MOD
27
```

17

18

19

20

21

22

```
Java Solution
 1 class Solution {
       // Define modulus constant for the problem
       private static final int MOD = (int) 1e9 + 7;
       // Function to find the nth magical number
       public int nthMagicalNumber(int n, int a, int b) {
           // Calculate least common multiple of a and b using gcd (Greatest Common Divisor)
           int leastCommonMultiple = a * b / gcd(a, b);
 8
10
           // Initialize binary search bounds
            long lowerBound = 0;
11
            long upperBound = (long) (a + b) * n;
12
13
           // Binary search to find the smallest number that is the nth magical number
14
           while (lowerBound < upperBound) {</pre>
15
               // Middle of the current bounds
16
                long mid = (lowerBound + upperBound) >>> 1;
17
18
19
               // Check if the mid number is a valid magical number by counting
20
               // all multiples of a and b up to mid, minus those of their lcm to avoid double-counting
               if (mid / a + mid / b - mid / leastCommonMultiple >= n) {
                   // If count is equal or greater than n, we shrink the right bound
23
                   upperBound = mid;
24
               } else {
25
                   // Otherwise, we need to look for a larger number
26
                    lowerBound = mid + 1;
27
28
29
```

# C++ Solution

// Return it modulo MOD

private int gcd(int a, int b) {

return (int) (lowerBound % MOD);

// Recursive calculation of gcd

return b == 0 ? a : gcd(b, a % b);

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

22

23

24

26

27

28

29

30

31

37

40

// Usage

```
#include <numeric> // Include necessary library for std::lcm
   class Solution {
   public:
       const int MOD = 1e9 + 7; // Use uppercase for constants
       int nthMagicalNumber(int n, int a, int b) {
            int lcm_ab = std::lcm(a, b); // Calculate the least common multiple of a and b
            long long left = 0, right = 1LL * (a + b) * n; // Use long long for large ranges
           // Perform a binary search to find the nth magical number
11
12
           while (left < right) {</pre>
13
                long long mid = (left + right) / 2; // Calculate the middle value
               // Check if the mid value has n or more multiples of a or b, considering overlaps
14
               if (mid / a + mid / b - mid / lcm_ab >= n)
                    right = mid; // Too high, decrease right
16
               else
17
                    left = mid + 1; // Too low, increase left
18
19
20
           return left % MOD; // Return the nth magical number modulo MOD
22
23 };
24
Typescript Solution
   function lcm(a: number, b: number): number {
       // Calculate the greatest common divisor (GCD) using Euclid's algorithm
       function gcd(a: number, b: number): number {
           while (b !== 0) {
               let t = b;
               b = a % b;
               a = t;
           return a;
```

#### 32 33 34 // Return the nth magical number modulo MOD return left % MOD; 35 36 }

else

**return** (a \* b) / gcd(a, b);

let lcmAB: number = lcm(a, b);

while (left < right) {

const MOD: number = 1e9 + 7; // Use uppercase for constants

// Calculate the least common multiple of a and b

function nthMagicalNumber(n: number, a: number, b: number): number {

// Perform a binary search to find the nth magical number

right = mid; // Too high, adjust the right boundary

left = mid + 1; // Too low, adjust the left boundary

# Time and Space Complexity

Time Complexity

To analyze the time complexity, let's consider the operations performed: 1. Calculating the least common multiple (Icm) of a and b. The time complexity of finding the Icm depends on the algorithm used. If

- the Euclidean algorithm is used to find the gcd (greatest common divisor), then this part of the code has a time complexity of O(log(min(a, b)). 2. The bisect\_left function performs a binary search. The parameter range(r) has a length of about Nx(a+b)/lcm(a,b). The binary
- search algorithm has a time complexity of O(log n), but since it's not searching through a simple list but using a lambda function to generate values on the fly, the key function is calculated for every middle element in each iteration of the binary search. This, therefore, results in a time complexity of  $O(\log(Nx(a+b)/\log(a,b)) * \log(\min(a,b))$  because each key calculation is O(log(min(a, b))). 3. The modulo operation is constant time, 0(1).
- Given these points, the overall time complexity is O(log(Nx(a+b)/lcm(a,b)) \* log(min(a, b))). Space Complexity

## The code uses a constant amount of extra space:

1. Storing variables such as mod, c, and r requires 0(1) space.

- 2. The bisect\_left function does not create additional data structures that scale with the input size, since the range does not actually create a list but is an iterator.
- The lambda function within bisect\_left is stateless and does not consume more memory proportional to the size of the input. Therefore, the overall space complexity of the provided function is 0(1) (constant space).