1005. Maximize Sum Of Array After K Negations

Problem Description

Greedy Array

Sorting

When given an integer array nums and an integer k, we're tasked to carry out a specific modification process to the array a total of k times. This modification involves choosing an index i and then flipping the sign of the number at that index (turning a positive number into a negative one or vice versa). The goal is to maximize the sum of elements in the array after making exactly k sign changes.

Intuition

The thinking process behind the solution is to first increase the sum of the array. We do this by flipping the sign of negative numbers because converting negatives to positives contributes to an increase in the total sum. The priority is to flip the smallest

Easy

The solution approach involves: Using a Counter from the collections module to count the occurrences of each number in the array. This is a more efficient way to handle duplicates and manage the operations rather than sorting or manually manipulating the array.

negative numbers (largest absolute value), as this will have the most significant impact on the sum.

represents the largest sum we can achieve.

- We first consider all negative numbers, starting with the smallest (closest to -100, since the problem limits numbers to the range [-100, 100]). If a negative number exists, we flip it to positive and decrease our k accordingly. This is only beneficial if k remains non-zero after the operation.
- k is even, we can ignore the rest of the operations since flipping any number twice will just return it to its original state (a noop in terms of the array sum). If k is odd, we need to perform one more flip to maximize the sum. In the case where k is odd, we flip the smallest positive number (including zero, if present). We do this because, after all

If we still have k operations left after dealing with all negative numbers, we now look at k's parity (whether it is even or odd). If

- negatives have been flipped (if k allowed), this will have the smallest impact on decreasing the sum (since we have to perform an odd number of flips). After modifying the array according to the above rules, we calculate and return the sum of the final numbers, which
- to achieve the highest sum. Solution Approach

The usage of Counter and flipping based on the smallest absolute values allows us to perform the minimum number of operations

concepts, including: A counter: A Counter from the collections module is utilized to maintain a count of each distinct element present in the

Looping through a range of numbers: A loop is employed to iterate through a range of numbers from -100 to -1 (inclusive),

The given Python solution to maximize the sum of the integer array after k negations makes use of several programming

corresponding to potential negative numbers in nums.

Example Walkthrough

Counter({3: 1, -4: 1, -2: 1, 5: 1})

Step by step, we perform the following operations:

array.

•

Conditional checks and updates: Inside this loop, the algorithm checks for the presence of a negative number x in nums (determined by if cnt[x]) and calculates how many times this number should be flipped using min(cnt[x], k). If a flip is

remaining flips k is decremented by the number of flips made, and if k drops to zero, the loop breaks as no more flips are permitted.

possible, the counter for x is decreased, while the counter for -x (the positive counterpart) is increased. The number of

Handling the parity of the number of flips k: After negating as many negative numbers as possible, if there is an odd number

algorithm looks for the smallest positive number (in the range of 1 to 100) to negate.

4, -2, 5], k = 2, and the Counter updates to $\{3: 1, -4: 0, 4: 1, -2: 1, 5: 1\}$.

5] and k = 0. The Counter updates to $\{3: 1, 2: 0, -2: 1, 4: 1, 5: 1\}$.

def largestSumAfterKNegations(self, nums: List[int], k: int) -> int:

Count the occurrences of each number in the array.

of flips remaining (k & 1), and there are no zeros in the array to negate (since negating zero does not affect the sum), the

Summation of the array: Ultimately, the sum of the elements in the array is calculated using list comprehension and the items

- in the counter. The product of x * v for each number x and its count v is summed up to obtain the final result. The algorithm is efficient as it prioritizes flipping the most significant negative numbers, handles the parity of k wisely, and performs a minimal number of operations by skipping unnecessary flips when k is even. Additionally, by employing a Counter, the solution avoids redundant re-computation by smartly tracking and updating the count of each number after each operation.
- Let's walk through a small example to illustrate the solution approach. Suppose we have the array nums = $\begin{bmatrix} 3, -4, -2, 5 \end{bmatrix}$ and k = 3. Our goal is to maximize the sum of the array after making exactly k flips. We start by using a Counter to count occurrences:

Counter becomes {3: 1, -2: 0, 2: 1, 4: 1, 5: 1}. With k now equal to 1 (which is odd), we need to perform one more flip. We look for the smallest positive number, which is 2, and flip it back to -2 (if there were a zero, we would flip that instead as it would not affect the sum). Now nums = [3, 4, -2,

No more flips remain; k = 0. We calculate the sum of the array using the Counter. The array now looks like [3, 4, -2, 5],

prioritizes the flips to maximize the sum by first flipping the smallest negatives and then handling the case where an odd number

Flip the smallest negative number. The smallest (in terms of value) negative number is -4. So, we flip it to 4. Now, nums = [3,

We still have flips left (k > 0), so we flip the next smallest negative number -2 to 2. Now nums = [3, 4, 2, 5], k = 1, and the

yielding a sum of 10. So the maximum sum we can achieve with k = 3 flips for the array [3, -4, -2, 5] is 10. The solution approach effectively

Solution Implementation

Python

class Solution:

of flips is left by flipping the smallest positive number.

from collections import Counter from typing import List

num_counter = Counter(nums) # Iterate over negative numbers since negating negatives can increase total sum. for x in range(-100, 0): if num_counter[x]: # Determine the minimum between the count of the current number and k. num_negations = min(num_counter[x], k)

num_counter[-x] += num_negations # Increase the count for the opposite positive number.

num counter[x] -= num_negations # Decrease the count for the current number.

k -= num_negations # Decrease k by the number of negations performed.

 $num_counter[-x] += 1$ # Increase the count for its negative.

if k == 0: # Break if all negations have been used up.

If there are an odd number of negations left and no zero in the list,

it's optimal to flip the smallest positive number (if it exists).

return sum(x * occurrence for x, occurrence in num_counter.items())

if k % 2 == 1 and num_counter[0] == 0: for x in range(1, 101): # Look for the smallest positive number. if num_counter[x]: num_counter[x] -= 1 # Decrease its count.

break

break

Calculate the final sum.

```
Java
class Solution {
   public int largestSumAfterKNegations(int[] nums, int k) {
       // Create a frequency map to store the occurrence of each number
       Map<Integer, Integer> frequency = new HashMap<>();
        for (int num : nums) {
            frequency.merge(num, 1, Integer::sum);
       // Negate K numbers starting with the smallest (most negative) number
        for (int i = -100; i < 0 \&\& k > 0; ++i) {
            if (frequency.getOrDefault(i, 0) > 0) {
                // Determine the number of negations allowed for the number i
                int negations = Math.min(frequency.get(i), k);
                // Decrease the count for this number after negations
                frequency.merge(i, -negations, Integer::sum);
                // Increase the count for its positive pair after negations
                frequency.merge(-i, negations, Integer::sum);
                // Decrease the number of remaining negations
                k -= negations;
       // If there is an odd number of negations left and 0 is not present,
       // we must negate the smallest positive number
       if ((k % 2 == 1) && frequency.getOrDefault(0, 0) == 0) {
            for (int i = 1; i \le 100; ++i) {
                if (frequency.getOrDefault(i, 0) > 0) {
                    // Negate one occurrence of the smallest positive number
                    frequency.merge(i, -1, Integer::sum);
                    // Add one occurrence of its negation
```

class Solution { public: int largestSumAfterKNegations(vector<int>& nums, int k) { unordered_map<int, int> countMap; // Map to store the frequency of each number // Count the frequency of each number in the array for (int number : nums) { ++countMap[number];

if (countMap[x]) { // If there are occurrences of 'x'

// Find the smallest positive number to negate

break; // Only negate once, then break

// Negate the negative numbers if possible, starting from the smallest

k -= times; // Decrease k by the number of negations performed

// If there are remaining negations 'k' and there's no zero in the array

--countMap[x]; // Decrement the count of this number

++countMap[-x]; // Increment the count of its negation

countMap[x] -= times; // Decrease the count for 'x'

int times = min(countMap[x], k); // Find the min between count and remaining k

countMap[-x] += times; // Increase the count for '-x', effectively negating 'x'

frequency.merge(-i, 1, Integer::sum);

for (Map.Entry<Integer, Integer> entry : frequency.entrySet()) {

// Calculate the sum of all numbers after the negations

sum += entry.getKey() * entry.getValue();

for (int x = -100; x < 0 && k > 0; ++x) {

if (k % 2 == 1 && !countMap[0]) {

if (countMap[x]) {

for (int x = 1; x <= 100; ++x) {

break;

int sum = 0;

return sum;

```
// Calculate the final sum after the possible negations
        int sum = 0;
        for (const auto& [value, frequency] : countMap) {
            sum += value * frequency; // Sum = number * its frequency
        return sum; // Return the final sum
TypeScript
// This function calculates the largest sum we can achieve by negating K elements
// in the given array 'nums'.
function largestSumAfterKNegations(nums: number[], k: number): number {
    // Create a frequency map to count occurrences of each number
    const frequency: Map<number, number> = new Map();
    // Fill the frequency map with the numbers from 'nums'
    for (const number of nums) -
        frequency.set(number, (frequency.get(number) || 0) + 1);
    // Process the numbers from -100 to -1
    for (let number = -100; number < 0 \&\& k > 0; ++number) {
        // If the current number has a frequency greater than 0 and we still have k negations
        if (frequency.get(number)! > 0) {
            // Determine how many negations we can perform (limited by k and the frequency)
            const negations = Math.min(frequency.get(number) || 0, k);
            // Decrease the frequency of the current number by the negations performed
            frequency.set(number, (frequency.get(number) || 0) - negations);
            // Increase the frequency of the number's positive counterpart
            frequency.set(-number, (frequency.get(-number) || 0) + negations);
            // Decrease the count of remaining negations
            k -= negations;
    // Check for remaining negations; if we have an odd number and no zero is present in 'nums'
    if ((k & 1) === 1 && (frequency.get(0) || 0) === 0) {
        // Apply the negation to the smallest positive number
        for (let number = 1; number <= 100; ++number) {</pre>
            if (frequency.get(number)! > 0) {
                // Decrease the frequency of the smallest positive number by 1
```

frequency.set(number, (frequency.get(number) || 0) - 1);

frequency.set(-number, (frequency.get(-number) || 0) + 1);

Iterate over negative numbers since negating negatives can increase total sum.

if k == 0: # Break if all negations have been used up.

If there are an odd number of negations left and no zero in the list,

for x in range(1, 101): # Look for the smallest positive number.

it's optimal to flip the smallest positive number (if it exists).

num_counter[x] -= 1 # Decrease its count.

Determine the minimum between the count of the current number and k.

k -= num_negations # Decrease k by the number of negations performed.

 $num_counter[-x] += 1 \# Increase the count for its negative.$

num_counter[x] -= num_negations # Decrease the count for the current number.

num_counter[-x] += num_negations # Increase the count for the opposite positive number.

def largestSumAfterKNegations(self, nums: List[int], k: int) -> int:

Count the occurrences of each number in the array.

num_negations = min(num_counter[x], k)

// Increase the frequency of the number's negative counterpart by 1

```
// Calculate the sum of all numbers, taking their frequencies into account
let totalSum = 0;
for (const [num, freq] of frequency.entries()) {
    totalSum += num * freq;
```

return totalSum;

from collections import Counter

from typing import List

class Solution:

break;

// Return the computed total sum

num_counter = Counter(nums)

for x in range(-100, 0):

if num counter[x]:

break

if k % 2 == 1 and num_counter[0] == 0:

if num counter[x]:

};

```
break
      # Calculate the final sum.
       return sum(x * occurrence for x, occurrence in num_counter.items())
Time and Space Complexity
Time Complexity
```

 Counting the elements into the Counter object cnt takes O(N) time. • The first for loop runs for at most 100 iterations (from -100 to -1), which is a constant, hence 0(1). However, within this loop, operations take place min(cnt[x], k) times, which could approach k. Therefore, in the worst case where all elements are negative and k is large, it could be

The given code has a time complexity of O(N + K) where N is the size of the input list nums.

• The check for odd k when there's no zero in the array, and then the subsequent for loop to find the smallest positive number, runs in at most 100 iterations again. Therefore, it consumes constant 0(1) time.

the elements in nums, so O(N).

Here's the breakdown:

0(K).

• Finally, summing up the elements in the cnt takes O(N) as it has to iterate through all elements once. Therefore, we combine these to find 0(N + K + 1 + 1), which simplifies to 0(N + K).

- **Space Complexity** The space complexity of the code is O(N) because:
- The Counter object cnt contains at most N unique integers, which is equal to the size of the input list nums. • No other data structures are used that scale with the size of the input. Thus, the code requires additional space proportional to the number of unique elements in nums, which at maximum could be all