

1738. Find Kth Largest XOR Coordinate Value

Medium **Bit Manipulation** **Array** **Divide and Conquer** **Matrix** **Prefix Sum** **Quickselect** **Heap (Priority Queue)**

[Leetcode Link](#)

Problem Description

In this problem, we are given a matrix of non-negative integers with m rows and n columns. We need to calculate the value of certain coordinates, with the value being defined as the XOR (exclusive OR) of all the elements of the submatrix defined by the corner $(0, 0)$ and the coordinate (a, b) .

To clarify, for each coordinate (a, b) , we consider the rectangle from the top-left corner $(0, 0)$ to the coordinate (a, b) and compute the XOR of all the elements within that rectangle.

Our goal is to find the k -th largest such XOR value from all possible coordinates.

Intuition

Arriving at the solution for this problem involves understanding how XOR operates and using properties of XOR to build a dynamic solution. The XOR operation has a key property of reversibility, which means that if $a \wedge b = c$, then $a \wedge c = b$ and $b \wedge c = a$.

Knowing this, we can compute the cumulative XOR in a dynamic fashion as we traverse the matrix. For each cell (i, j) , we can determine its XOR value based on previously computed values in the matrix: the XOR of the rectangle from $(0, 0)$ to (i, j) is the XOR of the rectangle from $(0, 0)$ to $(i-1, j)$, the rectangle from $(0, 0)$ to $(i, j-1)$, the overlapping rectangle ending at $(i-1, j-1)$ (since it's included twice, it cancels out using the XOR reversibility), and the current cell value `matrix[i][j]`.

Therefore, for any cell (i, j) , we can calculate its cumulative XOR as `s[i][j] = s[i-1][j] ^ s[i][j-1] ^ s[i-1][j-1] ^ matrix[i][j]`. This formula helps us determine the cumulative XOR efficiently. After computing the XOR value for all possible coordinates, we add them to a list.

Once we have the XOR values for all coordinates, we want the k -th largest value. Python's `heapq.nlargest()` function can be extremely helpful here. It allows us to quickly obtain the k largest elements from a list, and we return the last of these elements, which corresponds to the k -th largest value.

Solution Approach

In the given Python code, the solution follows these steps using dynamic programming and a priority queue (heap):

- Initialize a 2D list `s` of size $(m+1) \times (n+1)$ with zeros. This list will store the cumulative XOR values where `s[i][j]` corresponds to the XOR value from the top-left corner $(0, 0)$ to the coordinate $(i-1, j-1)$.

- Create an empty list `ans` which will store the XOR of all coordinates of the given `matrix`.

- Iterate through each cell (i, j) of the given 2D `matrix` starting from the top-left corner. For each cell, calculate the cumulative XOR using the formula:

```
1 s[i + 1][j + 1] = s[i + 1][j] ^ s[i][j + 1] ^ s[i][j] ^ matrix[i][j]
```

This formula uses the concept of inclusion-exclusion to avoid double-counting the XOR of any region. Here, `s[i + 1][j + 1]` includes the value of the cell itself (`matrix[i][j]`), the XOR of the rectangle above it (`s[i + 1][j]`), the XOR of the rectangle to the left (`s[i][j + 1]`), and excludes the XOR of the overlapping rectangle from the top-left to $(i-1, j-1)$ (`s[i][j]`).

- After calculating the cumulative XOR for the cell (i, j) , append the result to the `ans` list.

- Once all cells have been processed, we have a complete list of XOR values for all coordinates. Now, we need to find the k -th largest value. The `nlargest` method from Python's `heapq` library can efficiently accomplish this by return a list of the k largest elements from `ans`. Here's the code line that employs it:

```
1 return nlargest(k, ans)[-1]
```

This code snippets returns the last element from the list returned by `nlargest`, which is the k -th largest XOR value from the matrix.

The time complexity for computing the cumulative XOR is $O(m \times n)$ because we iterate through each cell once, and the time complexity for finding the k -th largest element using `nlargest` is $O(n \times \log(k))$. Hence, the total time complexity of this approach is dominated by the larger of the two, which is typically $O(m \times n)$ assuming k is relatively small compared to $m \times n$.

Example Walkthrough

Let's consider a matrix with $m = 2$ rows and $n = 3$ columns, and let's find the 2nd largest XOR value. The matrix looks like this:

```
1 matrix = [  
2   [1, 2, 3],  
3   [4, 5, 6]  
4 ]
```

Now let's walk through the solution approach:

- We initialize a 2D list `s` with dimensions $(m+1) \times (n+1)$, which translates to a 3×4 list filled with zeros. This will be used to store cumulative XOR values:

```
1 s = [  
2   [0, 0, 0, 0],  
3   [0, 0, 0, 0],  
4   [0, 0, 0, 0]  
5 ]
```

- We create an empty list `ans` to store the XOR values of all coordinates of the given `matrix`.

- We iterate through each cell (i, j) of the `matrix`. On the first iteration $(i, j) = (0, 0)$, we calculate the cumulative XOR as follows:

```
1 s[1][1] = s[1][0] ^ s[0][1] ^ s[0][0] ^ matrix[0][0]  
2 s[1][1] = 0 ^ 0 ^ 0 ^ 1  
3 s[1][1] = 1
```

We append the result to the `ans` list, which now looks like: `ans = [1]`.

- We continue the process for the rest of the cells. After processing all cells, the `s` matrix is filled with cumulative XOR values up to each cell (i, j) :

```
1 s = [  
2   [0, 0, 0, 0],  
3   [0, 1, 3, 0],  
4   [0, 5, 7, 6]  
5 ]
```

And the `ans` list filled with the XOR values of each coordinate is: `ans = [1, 3, 0, 5, 7, 6]`.

- Finally, to find the 2nd largest value, we use the `nlargest` method from Python's `heapq` library and return the second item of the list:

```
1 return nlargest(2, ans)[-1]
```

When we apply the final step, `nlargest` yields the list `[7, 6]` (since 7 and 6 are the two largest numbers from the list `ans`), and we return the last element, which is 6. Thus, the 2nd largest XOR value is 6.

Python Solution

```
1 from heapq import nlargest # We'll use nlargest function from the heapq module  
2  
3 class Solution:  
4     def kthLargestValue(self, matrix: List[List[int]], k: int) -> int:  
5         # Calculate the number of rows and columns  
6         num_rows, num_columns = len(matrix), len(matrix[0])  
7  
8         # Initialize a 2D list for storing exclusive or (XOR) prefix sums  
9         prefix_xor = [[0] * (num_columns + 1) for _ in range(num_rows + 1)]  
10        # This list will hold all the XOR values in the matrix  
11        xor_values = []  
12  
13        # Compute the XOR value for each cell and store it in prefix_xor  
14        for row in range(num_rows):  
15            for col in range(num_columns):  
16                # XOR of the current value with its prefix sums  
17                prefix_xor[row + 1][col + 1] = (  
18                    prefix_xor[row + 1][col] ^  
19                    prefix_xor[row][col + 1] ^  
20                    prefix_xor[row][col] ^  
21                    matrix[row][col]  
22                )  
23                # Add the result to the list of XOR values  
24                xor_values.append(prefix_xor[row + 1][col + 1])  
25  
26        # Get the kth largest XOR value by using the nlargest function  
27        # and returning the last element in the resulting list  
28        return nlargest(k, xor_values)[-1]  
29
```

Java Solution

```
1 class Solution {  
2     public int kthLargestValue(int[][] matrix, int k) {  
3  
4         // Obtain the dimensions of the input matrix  
5         int rows = matrix.length, cols = matrix[0].length;  
6  
7         // Initialize the prefix XOR matrix with one extra row and column  
8         int[][] prefixXor = new int[rows + 1][cols + 1];  
9  
10        // This list will store all the unique XOR values from the matrix  
11        List<Integer> xorValues = new ArrayList<>();  
12  
13        // Calculating Prefix XOR matrix and storing XOR values of submatrices  
14        for (int i = 0; i < rows; ++i) {  
15            for (int j = 0; j < cols; ++j) {  
16  
17                // Calculate the prefix XOR value for the current submatrix  
18                prefixXor[i + 1][j + 1] = prefixXor[i][j + 1] ^ prefixXor[i + 1][j] ^ prefixXor[i][j] ^ matrix[i][j];  
19  
20                // Add the current XOR value to the list  
21                xorValues.add(prefixXor[i + 1][j + 1]);  
22            }  
23        }  
24  
25        // Sort the XOR values in ascending order  
26        Collections.sort(xorValues);  
27  
28        // Return the kth largest value by indexing from the end of the sorted list  
29        return xorValues.get(xorValues.size() - k);  
30    }  
31 }  
32
```

C++ Solution

```
1 class Solution {  
2 public:  
3     int kthLargestValue(vector<vector<int>>& matrix, int k) {  
4         // Get the number of rows and columns in the matrix  
5         int rows = matrix.size(), cols = matrix[0].size();  
6         // Create a 2D vector to store the xor values  
7         vector<vector<int>> prefixXor(rows + 1, vector<int>(cols + 1));  
8         // Vector to store the xor of all elements in the matrix  
9         vector<int> xorValues;  
10  
11        // Calculate the prefix xor values for each cell in the matrix  
12        for (int i = 0; i < rows; ++i) {  
13            for (int j = 0; j < cols; ++j) {  
14                // Compute the xor value for the current cell by using the previously calculated values  
15                prefixXor[i + 1][j + 1] = prefixXor[i + 1][j] ^ prefixXor[i][j + 1] ^ prefixXor[i][j] ^ matrix[i][j];  
16                // Add the computed xor value to the list of xor values  
17                xorValues.push_back(prefixXor[i + 1][j + 1]);  
18            }  
19        }  
20  
21        // Sort the xor values in ascending order  
22        sort(xorValues.begin(), xorValues.end());  
23        // The kth largest value is at index (size - k) after sorting  
24        return xorValues[xorValues.size() - k];  
25    }  
26 };  
27
```

Typescript Solution

```
1 function kthLargestValue(matrix: number[][], k: number): number {  
2     // Get the number of rows and columns in the matrix  
3     const rows = matrix.length;  
4     const cols = matrix[0].length;  
5  
6     // Create a 2D array to store the prefix XOR values for each cell  
7     const prefixXor: number[][] = Array.from({ length: rows + 1 }, () => Array(cols + 1).fill(0));  
8     // Array to store the XOR of all elements in the matrix  
9     const xorValues: number[] = [];  
10  
11    // Calculate the prefix XOR values for each cell in the matrix  
12    for (let i = 0; i < rows; i++) {  
13        for (let j = 0; j < cols; j++) {  
14            // Compute the XOR value for the current cell using the previously calculated values  
15            prefixXor[i + 1][j + 1] =  
16                prefixXor[i + 1][j] ^ prefixXor[i][j + 1] ^ prefixXor[i][j] ^ matrix[i][j];  
17            // Add the computed XOR value to the list of XOR values  
18            xorValues.push(prefixXor[i + 1][j + 1]);  
19        }  
20    }  
21  
22    // Sort the XOR values in ascending order  
23    xorValues.sort((a, b) => a - b);  
24    // The k-th largest value is at the index of (total number of values - k) after sorting  
25    return xorValues[xorValues.length - k];  
26 }  
27
```

Time and Space Complexity

Time Complexity

The time complexity of the given code can be evaluated by looking at each operation performed:

- Initialization of the Prefix XOR Matrix (`s`):**

- The code initializes an auxiliary matrix `s` with dimensions $m + 1$ by $n + 1$.

- Calculation of Prefix XOR values:**

- There are two nested loops that iterate over each cell of the `matrix` which runs $m \times n$ times.
- Within the inner loop, there is a calculation that takes constant time, which performs the XOR operations to fill in the `s` matrix. This is done for each of the $m \times n$ cells.
- After calculating the XOR for a cell, the result is appended to the `ans` list. This operation takes constant time.

So we can express this part of the time complexity as $O(m \times n)$.

- Finding the k th largest value with `heapq.nlargest` method:**

- The function `nlargest(k, ans)` is used to find the k th largest element and operates on the `ans` list of size $m \times n$.
- The `nlargest` function has a time complexity of $O(N \times \log(k))$ where N is the number of elements in the list and k is the argument to `nlargest`. Hence, in our case, it becomes $O(m \times n \times \log(k))$.

So when combined, the overall time complexity is $O(m \times n)$ from the nested loops plus $O(m \times n \times \log(k))$ from finding the k th largest value. Since $O(m \times n)$ is subsumed by $O(m \times n \times \log(k))$, the overall time complexity simplifies to:

$O(m \times n \times \log(k))$

Space Complexity

For space complexity analysis, we consider the additional space used by the algorithm excluding input and output storage:

- Space for the `s` Matrix:**

- The code creates an auxiliary matrix `s` of size $(m + 1) \times (n + 1)$, which takes $O((m + 1) \times (n + 1))$ or simplifying it $O(m \times n)$ space.

- Space for the List `ans`:**

- A list `ans` is used to store XOR results which will have at most $m \times n$ elements.

- Hence the space taken by `ans` is $O(m \times n)$.

So combining these, the space complexity of the algorithm is the sum of the space needed for `s` and `ans`, which is $O(m \times n) + O(m \times n)$, which simplifies to:

$O(m \times n)$

Both time and space complexities are proposed considering the list `List` and integer `int` types are imported from Python's typing module as is customary in type-hinted Python code.