Problem Description

The problem requires us to generate all possible combinations of well-formed parentheses given n pairs. A well-formed combination of parentheses means that each opening bracket "(" has a corresponding closing bracket ")", and they are correctly nested. To better understand, for n=3, one such correct combination would be "((()))", whereas "(()" or "())(" would be incorrect formations.

Intuition To arrive at the solution, we need to think about how we can ensure we create well-formed parentheses. For that, we use Depth First

closing parenthesis ")". 2. However, we have to maintain the correctness of the parentheses. This means we cannot add a closing parenthesis if there are

1. We start with an empty string and at each level of the recursion we have two choices: add an opening parenthesis "(" or a

Search (DFS), which is a recursive method to explore all possible combinations of the parentheses.

- not enough opening ones that need closing. 3. We keep track of the number of opening and closing parentheses used so far. We are allowed to add an opening parenthesis if
- we have not used all n available opening parentheses. 4. We can add a closing parenthesis if the number of closing parentheses is less than the number of opening parentheses used.
- This ensures we never have an unmatched closing parenthesis. 5. We continue this process until we have used all n pairs of parentheses. 6. When both the opening and closing parentheses counts equal n, it means we have a valid combination, so we add it to our list of
- answers. The code uses a helper function dfs which takes 3 parameters: the number of opening and closing parentheses used so far (1 and
- r), and the current combination of parentheses (t).

By calling this function and starting our recursion with 0 used opening and closing parenthesis and an empty string, we will explore all valid combinations and store them in the list ans.

Solution Approach

The solution uses the DFS (Depth First Search) algorithm to generate the combinations. It employs recursion as a mechanism to

explore all possible combinations and backtracks when it hits a dead end (an invalid combination).

Here's a step-by-step breakdown of the DFS algorithm as implemented in the provided solution:

combination (t).

appends ")" to t.

formed parentheses combinations.

1. Initial Call: The generateParenthesis function initiates the process by calling the nested dfs (Depth First Search) function with

initial values of zero used opening parentheses (1), zero used closing parentheses (r), and an empty string for the current

1: The number of opening parentheses used so far.

2. **DFS Function**: This is the recursive function that contains the logic for the depth-first search. It takes three parameters:

- r: The number of closing parentheses used so far. • t: The current combination string formed by adding parentheses. 3. Base Case: The recursion has two base cases within the DFS function: a. Invalid Condition: When the number of used opening
- parentheses 1 is more than n, or the closing parentheses r is more than n or more than 1, it indicates an incorrect combination.

The function returns without doing anything. b. Valid Combination: When both 1 and r equal n, it indicates that a valid

combination of parentheses has been found. The current combination string t is added to the solution set ans.

4. Recursive Exploration: If neither base case is met, the function continues to explore:

1, r, and appends "(" to the current string t. Adding a closing parenthesis: If the number of closing parentheses used is less than the number of opening parentheses (r

< 1), it implies that there are some unmatched opening parentheses. Thus, the dfs function calls itself with 1, r + 1, and

Adding an opening parenthesis: If not all n opening parentheses have been used (1 < n), the dfs function calls itself with 1 +

thus generating all valid paths. 5. Storage of Valid Combinations: The ans list is the container that holds all valid combinations. Each time a complete valid combination is generated, it's added to this list. After all recursive calls are completed, ans will contain all the possible well-

By calling these two lines of code, we ensure that we explore the decisions to either add an opening parenthesis or a closing one,

generateParenthesis function. This implementation provides a sleek and efficient way to solve the problem of generating all combinations of well-formed

6. Return Result: Finally, once all possible combinations have been explored, the ans list is returned as the result of the

parentheses, relying solely on the DFS strategy without needing any additional complex data structures.

Let's consider a small example where n = 2, meaning we want to generate all combinations of well-formed parentheses for 2 pairs. Step 1: Initial Call The generateParenthesis function begins by making an initial call to dfs with l = 0, r = 0, and t = "" (an empty string).

At this stage, we have two choices: add an opening parenthesis or add a closing parenthesis. Since 1 < n, we can add an opening parenthesis. We cannot add a closing parenthesis yet because r < 1 is not satisfied (both 1 and r are 0). So, our recursive calls are:

Step 2: First Level Recursive Calls

Example Walkthrough

dfs(1, 0, "(") **Step 3: Second Level Recursive Calls**

• For l < n, which is true (1 < 2), we add another opening parenthesis: dfs(2, 0, "((")). • We still cannot add a closing parenthesis yet as r is not less than 1 (r < 1 is not true).

dfs(2, 1, "(()")

Step 6: Base Case Reached

Step 5: Fourth Level Recursive Calls

The string is now "(".

Step 4: Third Level Recursive Calls We now have the string "((" and l = 2, r = 0. We cannot add any more opening parentheses because l is not less than n anymore

Our current string is "(()" and l = 2, r = 1. We still satisfy the condition r < l, so we can add another closing parenthesis:

(2 is not less than 2). We must add a closing parenthesis now since r < 1 is satisfied. We get:

After the first opening parenthesis is added, we are again at a stage where we can choose to add an opening or closing parenthesis.

- dfs(2, 2, "(())")
- Now l = 2 and r = 2, which equals n. We have reached a base case where we have a well-formed combination. This combination " (())" is added to our answer set ans.

The algorithm will backtrack now and explore other paths, but since n = 2 and we have used all our opening parentheses, there are

Step 7: Return Result The completed list ans, now containing "(())", is returned.

no more paths to discover.

parenthesis, we decide to add a closing parenthesis:

We can only add a closing parenthesis now: dfs(2, 2, "()()").

So the complete set of combinations for n = 2 is "(())" and "()()".

if open_count == n and close_count == n:

combinations.append(path)

return

// The number of pairs of parentheses

private int maxPairs;

return answers;

return;

/**

/**

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

45

10

11

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6

10

11

12

13

14

};

depthFirstSearch(0, 0, "");

function generateParenthesis(n: number): string[] {

let result: string[] = [];

return;

2 public:

Backtracking

 After the first level, we have "(". • Add a closing parenthesis: dfs(1, 1, "()"), because we can add a closing parenthesis as r < 1.

Considering another branch of this example, if we go back to the second level again and instead of adding another opening

1 class Solution: def generateParenthesis(self, n: int) -> List[str]: # Helper function for depth-first search

10

11

12

13

Python Solution

- def backtrack(open_count, close_count, path): # If there are more open or more close parens than 'n', or more close parens than open, it's invalid if open_count > n or close_count > n or open_count < close_count:</pre> return # When the current path uses all parens correctly, add the combination to the results
- # Continue the search by adding a close paren if possible 14 15 backtrack(open_count, close_count + 1, path + ')') 16 17 # This list will hold all the valid combinations combinations = [] 18
- # Start the recursive search with initial counts of open and close parentheses 20 backtrack(0, 0, '') # Return all the valid combinations found 21 22 return combinations 23

Continue the search by adding an open paren if possible

backtrack(open_count + 1, close_count, path + '(')

• Now, we have l = 1 and r = 1, we can add an opening parenthesis: dfs(2, 1, "()(").

Java Solution class Solution { // List to hold all the valid parentheses combinations private List<String> answers = new ArrayList<>();

* Generates all combinations of n pairs of well-formed parentheses.

12 13 public List<String> generateParenthesis(int n) { 14 this.maxPairs = n; 15 // Start the depth-first search with initial values for open and close parentheses count generate(0, 0, ""); 16

* @param n the number of pairs of parentheses

23 * @param openCount the current number of open parentheses 24 * @param closeCount the current number of close parentheses 25 * @param currentString the current combination of parentheses being built 26 27

if (openCount == maxPairs && closeCount == maxPairs) {

answers.add(currentString);

vector<string> generateParenthesis(int n) {

// Add the valid combination to the list of answers

// Function to generate all combinations of well-formed parentheses.

// Use a lambda function to perform depth-first search.

// 'current' is the current combination of parentheses.

vector<string> result; // This will store the valid combinations.

* Helper method to generate the parentheses using depth-first search.

private void generate(int openCount, int closeCount, String currentString) { 28 // Check if the current counts of open or close parentheses exceed maxPairs or if closeCount exceeds openCount if (openCount > maxPairs || closeCount > maxPairs || openCount < closeCount) {</pre> 29 // The current combination is invalid, backtrack from this path 30 31 return;

// Check if the current combination is a valid complete set of parentheses

* @return a list of all possible combinations of n pairs of well-formed parentheses

- 39 // Explore the possibility of adding an open parenthesis 40 generate(openCount + 1, closeCount, currentString + "("); // Explore the possibility of adding a close parenthesis 41 generate(openCount, closeCount + 1, currentString + ")"); 42 43 44 }
- C++ Solution 1 class Solution {

// 'leftCount' and 'rightCount' track the count of '(' and ')' used respectively.

function<void(int, int, string)> depthFirstSearch = [&](int leftCount, int rightCount, string current) {

// If the current combination is invalid (more ')' than '(' or counts exceed 'n'), stop exploration. 12 if (leftCount > n || rightCount > n || leftCount < rightCount) return;</pre> 13 // If the combination is valid and complete, add it to the result list. 14 15 if (leftCount == n && rightCount == n) { 16 result.push_back(current); 17 return; 18 19 // If we can add a '(', do so and continue the search. 20

depthFirstSearch(leftCount + 1, rightCount, current + "(");

depthFirstSearch(leftCount, rightCount + 1, current + ")");

// If we can add a ')', do so and continue the search.

// Start the search with zero counts and an empty combination.

// Define the result array to store valid combinations of parentheses.

// Define a depth-first search function to explore all possible combinations of parentheses.

// is greater than the left at any point, the current string is invalid.

if (leftCount > n || rightCount > n || leftCount < rightCount) {</pre>

function depthFirstSearch(leftCount: number, rightCount: number, currentString: string): void {

// If the number of left or right parentheses exceeds n, or if the number of right parentheses

// If the current string uses all left and right parentheses correctly, add it to the result.

- 29 30 // Return all the valid combinations found. return result; 31 32 33 }; 34 Typescript Solution
- 16 17 return; 18 19
- if (leftCount === n && rightCount === n) { 15 result.push(currentString); // Explore further by adding a left parenthesis if it does not exceed the limit. 20 depthFirstSearch(leftCount + 1, rightCount, currentString + '('); 21 22 23 // Explore further by adding a right parenthesis if it does not exceed the limit. 24 depthFirstSearch(leftCount, rightCount + 1, currentString + ')'); 25 26 27 // Start the depth-first search with a count of 0 for both left and right parentheses and an empty string. depthFirstSearch(0, 0, ''); 28 29 // Return the array of valid combinations. 30 return result; 31 32 } 33 Time and Space Complexity Time Complexity

// l: count of left parentheses used, r: count of right parentheses used, currentString: current combination of parentheses

The time complexity of the given code is $0(4^n / sqrt(n))$. This complexity arises because each valid combination can be

that gets smaller as n gets larger. Since we're looking at big-O notation, we simplify this to 4ⁿ / sqrt(n) for large n.

represented by a path in a decision tree, which has 2n levels (since we make a decision at each level to add either a left or a right

parenthesis, and we do this n times for each parenthesis type). However, not all paths in the tree are valid; the number of valid paths

follows the nth Catalan number, which is proportional to $4^n / (n * sqrt(n))$, and n is a factor that represents the polynomial part

Space Complexity The space complexity is O(n) because the depth of the recursive call stack is proportional to the number of parentheses to generate, which is 2n, and the space required to store a single generated set of parentheses is also linear to n. Hence, the complexity due to the call stack is O(n). The space used to store the answers is separate and does not affect the complexity from a big-O perspective. Keep in mind that the returned list itself will contain 0(4ⁿ / sqrt(n)) elements, and if you consider the space for the output list, the overall space complexity would be $0(n * 4^n / sqrt(n))$, which includes the length of each string times the number of valid strings. Typically, the space complexity considers only the additional space required, not the space for the output. Therefore, we only consider the O(n) space used by the call stack for our space complexity analysis.