1637. Widest Vertical Area Between Two Points Containing No Points Medium Array Sorting **Leetcode Link**



The given problem requires us to determine the widest vertical gap between any two given points on a 2D plane, with the condition that no points should be inside the area. The input is a list of n points, where each point is represented as a coordinate pair [x_i, y_i]. The goal is to find the maximum width between two lines that are parallel to the y-axis such that the lines do not pass through

any of the given points.

lying in between.

Intuition

To solve this problem, the main insight is to focus solely on the x-coordinates, since the vertical area extends infinitely along the yaxis. Essentially, we want to find two x-coordinates that have the greatest distance between them without any other x-coordinates

creating the buckets and then iterating through them, which both happen in linear time.

The initial step is straightforward: we extract the x-coordinates from the provided points. With this list of x-coordinates alone, we want to identify the largest gap. A brute force approach could involve comparing every pair of x-coordinates to calculate the gap, but this is inefficient as the number of comparisons grows quickly with the number of points $(0(n^2)$ comparisons).

A key realization is that we can find the largest gap more efficiently. If the x-coordinates were sorted, we could simply iterate

through them and measure the gaps between consecutive x-coordinates, because the largest gap must be between two consecutive points in a sorted list. Sorting reduces the problem to a linear pass through the points, leading to a O(n log n) solution due to the sorting step. However, the given solution uses a bucketing approach, which is more complex. Bucketing can be a clever optimization when the

points are distributed over a large range and we expect to find an even wider vertical area. The idea is to divide the range of xcoordinates into equal-width intervals, or buckets, then place the x-coordinates into the corresponding buckets. We can then find the maximum gap by looking only at the maximum and minimum values in each bucket, and observing the gaps between the max of one bucket and the min of the next non-empty bucket. This approach can achieve better than O(n log n) performance under certain

distributions of input. The complexity of the bucket approach in the solution provided however remains O(n) because it consists of

In the given solution, a bucket size is calculated, and then each x-coordinate is assigned to a bucket. After that, the maximum and minimum x-values in each bucket are used to find the largest gap between consecutive non-empty buckets. **Solution Approach** In the provided solution, we seek to find the widest gap between the x-coordinates of the given points without any points lying in that area. Here's how the solution is implemented:

 We start by extracting all the x-coordinates from the given points into a list named nums. • The range of x-coordinates is computed by finding the minimum mi and maximum mx values in nums. Instead of sorting all the x-coordinates, we use bucketing to group the values. The bucket size is chosen as the maximum of 1

and the result of the integer division of the range (mx - mi) by (n - 1), where n is the number of points. This determines how

• The buckets are initialized as a list of pairs [inf, -inf] where we will keep the minimum (first element) and maximum (second element) x-coordinate that falls into each bucket.

that can be solved quickly in linear time.

 $\max(1, 10 // (4 - 1)) = \max(1, 3) = 3.$

 \circ 4 goes into bucket 0 (since (4 - 2) // 3 = 0)

∘ 8 goes into bucket 2 (since (8 - 2) // 3 = 2)

Skip bucket 1 because it is empty ([inf, -inf]).

list of x-coordinates while still finding the maximum gap efficiently.

Find the minimum and maximum x-coordinates

Determine the number of buckets required

Distribute x-coordinates into buckets

if bucket_min > bucket_max:

continue

prev_max = bucket_max

min_coord, max_coord = min(x_coords), max(x_coords)

bucket_size = max(1, (max_coord - min_coord) // (num_points - 1))

Variable to keep track of maximum width of vertical area between points

Update maximum width if current gap is larger than what we have seen before

Variable to keep track of the previous bucket's max x-coordinate

max_width = max(max_width, bucket_min - prev_max)

Update previous bucket's max for the next iteration

// Initialize the variable for the previous maximum x-coordinate

if (bucket[0] > bucket[1]) { // Skip the empty bucket

maxGap = Math.max(maxGap, bucket[0] - prevMaxX);

// Return the maximum gap (maximum width of vertical area)

// Update the previous maximum x-coordinate

int maxWidthOfVerticalArea(vector<vector<int>>& points) {

// Create a vector to hold the x-coordinates

for (const auto& point : points) {

xCoords.push_back(point[0]);

// Update the maximum gap found so far

// Initialize the answer to zero, which represents the maximum width found

// Iterate through each bucket and calculate the gap between adjacent non-empty buckets

int prevMaxX = infinity;

continue;

for (int[] bucket : buckets) {

prevMaxX = bucket[1];

int maxGap = 0;

return maxGap;

bucket_count = (max_coord - min_coord) // bucket_size + 1

buckets = [[inf, -inf] for _ in range(bucket_count)]

10), (2, 6), (12, 8), (8, 5)].

many values will be placed in each bucket on average.

• We iterate over nums and assign each x-coordinate to the appropriate bucket. An x-coordinate x is placed in the bucket at index (x - mi) // bucket_size. Inside the bucket, we update the minimum or maximum value as necessary.

Once the buckets are populated, we iterate through them to find the maximal gap. We use two variables: prev, which keeps track

- of the rightmost x-coordinate we've seen so far (initialized to inf), and ans, which will store the solution. • During the bucket iteration, we only consider non-empty buckets, determined by checking if curmin <= curmax. For each non-
- empty bucket, we calculate the gap as curmin prev, which is the distance between the current bucket's leftmost point and the previous bucket's rightmost point. We update ans with the maximum of itself and the current gap. prev is then updated to curmax, handling the next iteration's calculations. After going through all buckets, ans holds the largest gap found, which is our final answer.

This approach cleverly exploits bucketing to essentially perform a sort of "coarse" sorting, allowing us to bypass the need to sort all

the x-coordinates and still find the widest vertical area. It systematically reduces the problem into smaller manageable subproblems

- **Example Walkthrough** Let's consider a small example to illustrate the solution approach. Suppose we are given the following points on a 2D plane: [(4,
 - 2. We calculate the range by finding the minimum and maximum values in nums, which are mi = 2 and mx = 12. 3. Next, we determine the bucket size. The range (mx - mi) = (12 - 2) = 10, and we have n = 4 points. So, the bucket size is

Buckets: [[inf, -inf], [inf, -inf], [inf, -inf], [inf, -inf]]

5. We iterate over nums and assign each x-coordinate to the correct bucket:

After bucketing, we update the buckets with the min and max values:

1. First, we extract the x-coordinates from the given points: nums = [4, 2, 12, 8].

 2 goes into bucket 0 (since (2 - 2) // 3 = 0) \circ 12 goes into bucket 3 (since (12 - 2) // 3 = 3)

○ At bucket 2, curmin = 8, curmax = 8. The gap is 8 - 4 = 4. Therefore, ans = 4 and prev = 8.

Calculate the bucket size based on the range of x-coordinates and number of points

Initialize buckets, each will hold the minimum and maximum x-coordinates for that bucket

Buckets: [[2, 4], [inf, -inf], [8, 8], [12, 12]] 6. To find the maximum gap, we initialize prev = 2 (the leftmost x-coordinate) and ans = 0. We iterate through the buckets:

4. We initialize four buckets with [inf, -inf], because we have (mx - mi) // bucket_size + 1 = (10 // 3) + 1 = 4 buckets.

 Skip bucket 3 because it is right next to the previous non-empty bucket. 7. After iterating through the buckets, ans = 4 is the widest vertical gap we can have between two lines that are parallel to the yaxis without any points lying in that region.

This example aligns with the solution's logic, where bucketing greatly simplifies the problem and allows us to avoid sorting the entire

class Solution: def maxWidthOfVerticalArea(self, points: List[List[int]]) -> int: # Extract the x-coordinates from the points x_coords = [x for x, _ in points] 8

25 for x in x_coords: index = (x - min_coord) // bucket_size 26 buckets[index][0] = min(buckets[index][0], x) # Update min for the bucket 27 buckets[index][1] = max(buckets[index][1], x) # Update max for the bucket 28 29

```
34
           prev_max = inf
35
36
           # Calculate the maximum width by considering the gaps between the buckets
37
           for bucket_min, bucket_max in buckets:
38
               # Ignore empty buckets
```

max_width = 0

Python Solution

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

30

31

32

33

39

40

41

42

43

44

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

C++ Solution

#include <vector>

class Solution {

public:

9

10

11

12

13

14

#include <algorithm>

using namespace std;

int n = points.size();

vector<int> xCoords;

from typing import List

Get the number of points

num_points = len(x_coords)

from math import inf

```
45
             # Return the maximum width of vertical area found
 46
 47
             return max_width
 48
 49 # Example usage:
 50 # sol = Solution()
 51 # print(sol.maxWidthOfVerticalArea([[8,7], [9,9], [7,4], [9,7]])) # Should output 1
 52
Java Solution
    class Solution {
         public int maxWidthOfVerticalArea(int[][] points) {
             int numPoints = points.length; // The total number of points provided
             int[] xCoords = new int[numPoints]; // Array to hold the x-coordinates of the points
             // Extract the x-coordinates from each point and store them in xCoords
             for (int i = 0; i < numPoints; ++i) {</pre>
                 xCoords[i] = points[i][0];
  8
  9
 10
 11
             // Define infinity as a large number
 12
             final int infinity = 1 << 30;</pre>
 13
             // Initialize variables to store the minimum and maximum x-coordinates
 14
             int minX = infinity, maxX = -infinity;
 15
 16
             // Find the minimum and maximum x-coordinates among all points
             for (int x : xCoords) {
 17
 18
                 minX = Math.min(minX, x);
 19
                 maxX = Math.max(maxX, x);
 20
 21
 22
             // Calculate the size of each bucket for the bucket sort algorithm
 23
             int bucketSize = Math.max(1, (maxX - minX) / (numPoints - 1));
 24
             int bucketCount = (maxX - minX) / bucketSize + 1; // The number of buckets
 25
 26
             // Create a 2D array to store the minimum and maximum values in each bucket
 27
             int[][] buckets = new int[bucketCount][2];
 28
             for (int[] bucket : buckets) {
 29
                 bucket[0] = infinity;
 30
                 bucket[1] = -infinity;
 31
 32
 33
             // Place each x-coordinate into the correct bucket
 34
             for (int x : xCoords) {
 35
                 int index = (x - minX) / bucketSize;
 36
                 buckets[index][0] = Math.min(buckets[index][0], x);
 37
                 buckets[index][1] = Math.max(buckets[index][1], x);
 38
```

23 24 25 26 27

```
15
 16
             // Set initial maximum and minimum values for coordinates
 17
             const int INF = 1 << 30;
 18
             int minX = INF, maxX = -INF;
 19
 20
             // Find minimum and maximum x-coordinates
             for (int x : xCoords) {
 21
 22
                 minX = min(minX, x);
                 maxX = max(maxX, x);
             // Calculate the size of each bucket
             int bucketSize = max(1, (maxX - minX) / (n - 1));
             int bucketCount = (maxX - minX) / bucketSize + 1;
 28
 29
 30
             // Initialize buckets to hold minimum and maximum values
 31
             vector<pair<int, int>> buckets(bucketCount, {INF, -INF});
 32
 33
             // Distribute x-coordinates into buckets
 34
             for (int x : xCoords) {
 35
                 int index = (x - minX) / bucketSize;
 36
                 buckets[index].first = min(buckets[index].first, x);
 37
                 buckets[index].second = max(buckets[index].second, x);
 38
 39
 40
             int maxWidth = 0;
             int prevMax = INF;
 41
 42
 43
             // Calculate the maximum width between adjacent vertical lines
 44
             for (const auto& [bucketMin, bucketMax] : buckets) {
 45
                 if (bucketMin > bucketMax) continue; // Skip empty buckets
                 maxWidth = max(maxWidth, bucketMin - prevMax);
 46
 47
                 prevMax = bucketMax;
 48
 49
 50
             return maxWidth;
 51
 52
    };
 53
Typescript Solution
    function maxWidthOfVerticalArea(points: number[][]): number {
         // Extract the x-coordinates from the points
         const xCoordinates: number[] = points.map(point => point[0]);
         const infinity = 1 << 30; // A large value to represent infinity</pre>
         const numPoints = xCoordinates.length;
  5
         let minCoordinate = infinity; // Initialize minimum coordinate to infinity
  6
         let maxCoordinate = -infinity; // Initialize maximum coordinate to negative infinity
  8
        // Find the minimum and maximum x-coordinates
  9
 10
         for (const x of xCoordinates) {
             minCoordinate = Math.min(minCoordinate, x);
 11
 12
             maxCoordinate = Math.max(maxCoordinate, x);
 13
 14
 15
         // Calculate the size of each bucket
 16
         const bucketSize = Math.max(1, Math.floor((maxCoordinate - minCoordinate) / (numPoints - 1)));
 17
         const bucketCount = Math.floor((maxCoordinate - minCoordinate) / bucketSize) + 1;
 18
         // Initialize buckets for the bucket sort
 19
         const buckets = new Array(bucketCount).fill(0).map(() => [infinity, -infinity]);
```

35 continue; 36 37 maxDistance = Math.max(maxDistance, leftBoundary - previousMax); // Update the maximum distance 38 previousMax = rightBoundary; // Set the previous max to the right boundary of the current bucket 39

return maxDistance;

Time and Space Complexity

// Distribute the x-coordinates into buckets

if (leftBoundary > rightBoundary) {

// Return the maximum distance found

const bucketIndex = Math.floor((x - minCoordinate) / bucketSize);

let previousMax = infinity; // Tracking the maximum value of the previous non-empty bucket

// Iterate through the buckets to find the maximum distance between non-empty consecutive buckets

buckets[bucketIndex][0] = Math.min(buckets[bucketIndex][0], x);

buckets[bucketIndex][1] = Math.max(buckets[bucketIndex][1], x);

// This means the bucket is empty, move to the next one

coordinates into a list, which would take O(N) time, where N is the number of points.

let maxDistance = 0; // Initialize the maximum distance to 0

for (const [leftBoundary, rightBoundary] of buckets) {

for (const x of xCoordinates) {

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

40

41

42

44

43 }

points. Let's analyze the time and space complexity: **Time Complexity**

• Sorting the X-coordinates: The initial step of extracting the x-coordinates does not sort the values, it merely extracts the x-

• Finding Min and Max: Finding the minimum and maximum x-coordinate takes O(N) since it iterates over all x-coordinates once.

The given solution is implementing a form of bucket sort algorithm to find the maximum width of a vertical area between the given

• Bucket Calculations: The next several lines calculate the number of buckets and allocate them, which is O(B), where B is the number of buckets. B is calculated based on the range divided by the bucket size, which is (mx - mi) // (n - 1). Therefore, B is at most N, since the maximum amount of buckets would be when every unique x-coordinate gets its own bucket.

- Buckets Filling: Iterating over the x-coordinates again to fill the buckets also takes 0(N), doing constant-time operations to update the bucket min and max. Determining the Maximum Width: Finally, the last loop to determine the max width goes through each bucket, which takes O(B)
- complexity of this step is also 0(N). So the total time complexity is O(N) for all the steps since they all operate linearly with respect to the number of points.

time. However, the loop skips over the empty buckets effectively, so it processes only the buckets that have been filled. Since

the number of meaningful buckets is at most N - 1 (accounting for the case where all points have unique x-coordinates), the

Space Complexity • Storage for x-coordinates: The list of x-coordinates takes O(N) space. • Buckets Array: The buckets contribute an additional O(B) space complexity. As mentioned earlier, in the worst case, B can be N

- for unique x-coordinates.
- Therefore, the total space complexity is also O(N). In conclusion, the time complexity is O(N) and the space complexity is O(N).