2452. Words Within Two Edits of Dictionary

```
Medium
                     String
           <u>Array</u>
```

**Problem Description** 

In this problem, we are given two arrays of strings: queries and dictionary. Each string consists only of lowercase English letters, and every string in both arrays is of the same length.

We need to perform edits on the words in queries. An edit is defined as changing any single letter in a word to any other letter. Our objective is to determine which words from queries can be transformed into any word from dictionary using at most two

such edits. The output should be a list of words from queries that can be matched with any word in dictionary after performing no more

than two edits. The returned list must preserve the original order of words as they appear in queries. Intuition

The intuition behind the solution is to iterate over each word in queries and each word in dictionary, comparing them letter by

### letter. For each pair of words, we count the number of letters that are different between them. This count is the number of edits needed to transform the query word into the dictionary word.

Since we are allowed up to two edits, we look for pairs of words where the number of differing letters is less than or equal to two. If such a pair is found, the query word qualifies as a match, and we add it to our answer list.

We proceed with this process until we have checked the word from queries against all words in dictionary, ensuring we do not exceed two edits. The crucial observation is that any query word can be transformed into any dictionary word by changing at most two letters - signifying that the edit distance between them is less than or equal to two.

**Solution Approach** The given Python code defines a class Solution with a method twoEditWords, which takes two parameters: queries and

dictionary. These parameters are lists of strings representing the words we will be working with. The method returns a list of

#### class Solution: def twoEditWords(self, queries: List[str], dictionary: List[str]) -> List[str]:

ans = []

for s in queries:

strings.

for t in dictionary: if sum(a != b for a, b in zip(s, t)) < 3:ans.append(s) break return ans

```
The algorithm proceeds with the following steps:
1. Initialize an empty list ans to store the final matching words from queries.
2. Iterate over each word s from queries.
3. For each word s, iterate over each word t from dictionary.
4. Zip the two words s and t to compare their corresponding letters. The built-in zip function pairs up elements from two iterables, allowing us to
  iterate over them in parallel.
```

Let's take a small example to illustrate the solution approach using the Python code provided.

added to our answer list. Then we exit the inner loop and proceed to the next query.

of these words can be transformed into a word in dictionary with no more than two edits.

5. Use a generator expression inside the sum function to count the number of differing letters between s and t. We compare each pair of letters and count a difference whenever a pair does not match (a != b).

6. If the count is less than 3 (meaning we can turn s into t with at most two edits), we append s to our answer list. This is because we are only allowed a maximum of two edits.

8. After going through all the words in queries, return the answer list ans.

- 7. Once a word from queries matches a word from dictionary, break out of the inner loop to avoid unnecessary comparisons and move to the
- next word in queries.
- function. This approach works efficiently when the dataset is not prohibitively large since it explores all possible pairs of words from queries and dictionary and calculates the edit distance in a straightforward manner.

This solution uses a brute-force approach and takes advantage of Python's concise syntax for list comprehension and the sum

- However, it's worth noting that this algorithm has a quadratic time complexity with respect to the number of words in queries and dictionary. Therefore, for very large datasets, the performance might be a concern, and more sophisticated algorithms could be required to optimize the search and comparison processes.
- Suppose we have the following arrays: queries = ["abc", "def", "ghi"] dictionary = ["abd", "def", "hij"]

The question tells us that we can make at most two edits on each word in queries to see if it can match any word in dictionary.

### When we compare "abc" to "abd" in dictionary, we notice that they differ by one character (c!= d). Since only one edit is needed, "abc" can be transformed into "abd" with a single change. Therefore, "abc" satisfies the condition of two or fewer edits and is appended to our

answer list.

Let's walk through each word:

next word in dictionary.

next word in dictionary.

Solution Implementation

for query\_word in queries:

break

# Now, compare with each word in the dictionary.

# If the count of differing characters is less than 3,

# it means they are within two edits of each other.

# Add the query word to the result list.

public List<String> twoEditWords(String[] queries, String[] dictionary) {

// Compare each query with each string in the dictionary.

for dictionary\_word in dictionary:

result.append(query\_word)

// Initialize an ArrayList to store the result.

List<String> result = new ArrayList<>();

int queryLength = queries[0].length();

for (String query : queries) {

continue;

++count;

**if** (query[i] != word[i]) {

int count = 0;

**if** (count < 3) {

break;

const queryLength = queries[0].length;

for (const word of dictionary) {

break;

if (differences <= 2) {</pre>

return true;

return false;

for (let i = 0; i < queryLength; i++) {

# Iterate through each word in the queries list.

return queries.filter(query => {

return result;

**}**;

**TypeScript** 

// Iterate through each string in queries.

for (String word : dictionary) {

int differenceCount = 0;

**Python** 

**Example Walkthrough** 

• We do not need to compare "abc" further with other words in dictionary because we found a match. We move to the next word in queries. Now, look at the second word in queries, "def":

Finally, for the third word in queries, "ghi": • We compare "ghi" with "abd" from dictionary, and all three characters are different, requiring more than two edits. So we continue to the

Starting with the first word in queries, which is "abc":

• We compare "ghi" with "def" from dictionary, but again all characters differ, so we move on to the last word. • The last comparison is between "ghi" from queries and "hij" from dictionary. Here we see that only one character differs (g!= h), so we can make one edit to match them. "ghi" is then added to our answer list, and we finish reviewing queries.

The final returned list (ans) from the twoEditWords method is ["abc", "def", "ghi"], preserving the original order from queries. Each

Using this example, we can see how the solution approach successfully iterates over the queries and dictionary, compares the

o Comparing "def" with "abd" from dictionary, we find three different characters, meaning we need more than two edits, so we move to the

• The next comparison is between "def" from queries and "def" from dictionary. They are identical, so zero edits are required, and "def" is

- words, and keeps track of the number of edits necessary to determine if a word from queries can be turned into any word in dictionary within the allowed edits.
- class Solution: def twoEditWords(self, queries: List[str], dictionary: List[str]) -> List[str]: # Initialize a list to hold the answer. result = [] # Iterate through each word in the queries list.

if sum(1 for q\_char, d\_char in zip(query\_word, dictionary\_word) if q\_char != d\_char) < 3:</pre>

# We've found a word in the dictionary that is within two edits.

# No need to check the rest of the dictionary for this word.

# Return the list of words that are within two edits of any word in the dictionary.

// Assume all strings in queries have the same length as the first string's length.

// Initialize a count to track the number of differing characters.

for (size\_t i = 0; i < query.size() && i < word.size(); ++i) {</pre>

result.emplace\_back(query); // Add query to result

// Add the length difference for any additional characters

// Check if each query string is at most two edits away from any string in the dictionary.

// Iterate over each word in the dictionary to compare with the query.

// Compare each character of the query with the dictionary word.

if (query[i] !== word[i] && ++differences > 2) {

// Assuming all queries are of the same length as stated by the first query's length.

// Filter and return only those queries that are within two edits of any dictionary word.

// If characters do not match, increment the differences count.

// If no words in the dictionary are within two edits of the query, filter it out.

function twoEditWords(queries: string[], dictionary: string[]): string[] {

# Java

import java.util.ArrayList;

import java.util.List;

class Solution {

return result

```
// Check for character differences between the query and the dictionary word.
                  for (int i = 0; i < queryLength; ++i) {</pre>
                      if (query.charAt(i) != word.charAt(i)) {
                           differenceCount++;
                  // If there are fewer than 3 differences, add the query to the result list.
                  if (differenceCount < 3) {</pre>
                      result.add(query);
                      // Since we found a word in the dictionary that is within
                      // two edits of the query, we break out of the dictionary loop.
                      break;
        // Return the list of queries that are within two edits of some dictionary word.
         return result;
C++
#include <vector>
#include <string>
using std::vector;
using std::string;
class Solution {
public:
    // Function to return a vector of strings from queries that are at most two edits
    // away from any string in the dictionary.
    vector<string> twoEditWords(vector<string>& queries, vector<string>& dictionary) {
        vector<string> result;
for (auto& query : queries) {
    for (auto& word : dictionary) {
        // To hold the result strings
        // Iterate through each query
        // Iterate through each word in the dictionary
                  // Ensure the length difference is not greater than 2
                  if (std::max(query.size(), word.size()) - std::min(query.size(), word.size()) > 2) {
```

// Counter to track differences

// Increment count if characters differ

// If less than three edits

// Return the resulting vector

// Break since only one match is needed

count += std::abs(static\_cast<int>(query.size()) - static\_cast<int>(word.size()));

let differences = 0; // Counter for character differences between query and dictionary word

// If differences exceed 2, break out of the loop as it is no longer a valid match.

// If the word in the dictionary is at most two edits away from the query, it's a match.

```
class Solution:
   def twoEditWords(self, queries: List[str], dictionary: List[str]) -> List[str]:
        # Initialize a list to hold the answer.
        result = []
```

**Time Complexity** 

});

```
for query_word in queries:
           # Now, compare with each word in the dictionary.
           for dictionary_word in dictionary:
               # If the count of differing characters is less than 3,
               # it means they are within two edits of each other.
               if sum(1 for q_char, d_char in zip(query_word, dictionary_word) if q_char != d_char) < 3:</pre>
                   # We've found a word in the dictionary that is within two edits.
                   # Add the query word to the result list.
                   result.append(query_word)
                   # No need to check the rest of the dictionary for this word.
                   break
       # Return the list of words that are within two edits of any word in the dictionary.
       return result
Time and Space Complexity
```

### time, where len(s) and len(t) represent the lengths of the individual strings being compared. To determine the time complexity of the entire code, we have to consider the lengths of the queries and dictionary lists and the average lengths of the strings within them. Let n denote the number of strings in queries, m denote the number of strings in

## dictionary, and L be the average length of the strings in both lists. The total time complexity is thus: 0(n \* m \* L)

strings occurs. **Space Complexity** The space complexity of the code consists of the space needed to store the ans list and the temporary space for the comparison

operation. The ans list, in the worst case, will store all strings from queries. Therefore, its space complexity depends on the

The generator expression with zip and sum does not create a list of differences but rather creates an iterator, which takes

For every string in queries, every string in dictionary is checked, and for each comparison, an iteration over the length of the

The given code has two nested loops; the outer loop iterates through each string in queries, while the inner loop iterates through

each string in dictionary. Within the inner loop, there's a comparison of corresponding characters in two strings (from queries

and dictionary) made using a generator expression with a zip function and sum. This comparison runs in O(min(len(s), len(t)))

Hence, the space complexity is:

overhead of the input and the internal working storage of Python's function calls).

constant space.

0(n)

This represents the space needed to store the ans list. The rest of the operations use constant additional space (ignoring the

length of the output list, which is at most n (where n is the number of strings in queries).