# **Problem Description**

array called stoneValue. The players take turns, with Alice going first, and on each player's turn, they may take 1, 2, or 3 stones from the beginning of the remaining row of stones.

Alice and Bob are playing a game with an arrangement of stones in a row. Each stone has a value, and these values are listed in an

The score for a player increases by the value of the stones they take, and everyone starts with a score of 0. The goal is to finish the game with the highest score, and it is possible that the game ends in a tie if both players have the same score when all the stones have been taken.

The objective is to determine the winner of the game or to find out if it will end in a tie, based on the values of the stones.

The key part of the problem is that both players will play optimally, meaning they will make the best possible moves to maximize their

To solve this problem, we need to use dynamic programming, as we're looking for the best strategy over several turns, considering

### the impact of each possible move.

the future opportunities for the opponent.

the second player (Bob) wins.

the results of subproblems.

can be obtained.

Example Walkthrough

game's outcome.

• dfs(0):

Intuition

own score.

The intuition behind the solution is to look at each position in the array and decide the best move for the player whose turn it is. Since each player is trying to maximize their own score, they should be looking to maximize their current score minus whatever score the opponent would get after that move. This is because while a player aims to increase their score, they should also try to minimize

The dynamic programming function, here defined as dfs(i), returns the maximum score difference (player score - opponent score) from the ith stone to the end of the array. When it's the current player's turn, they look ahead at up to 3 moves and calculate the score difference they would get if the next player played optimally from that point. We recursively calculate dfs(i) for the possible moves and choose the one with the maximum score difference.

Since Python's @cache decorator is being used, computations for each position are remembered and not recalculated multiple times, which greatly improves the efficiency. After performing the DFS, the final answer is compared against 0. If the final score difference resulting from dfs(0) (starting at the first stone) is zero, it is a 'Tie.' If greater than zero, the current player (Alice, since she starts) wins, and if it is less than zero, it means

**Solution Approach** 

The solution is implemented using dynamic programming, one of the most powerful algorithms in solving optimization problems,

especially those involving the best strategy to play a game. In this case, memoization via Python's @cache decorator is used to store

that can be obtained starting with the ith stone. Here's a breakdown of how the dfs(i) function operates: • It takes a parameter i which represents the index of the starting stone in the stoneValue list.

A check is made to see if i is beyond the last stone in the array, in which case the function returns 0, indicating no more scores

• A loop explores taking 1, 2, or 3 stones from the current position by incrementing j. The constraint is to break out of the loop if

• The function maintains two variables: ans, which will store the maximum score difference possible from this position, and s,

The dfs(i) function defined within the stoneGameIII method is the core of the solution, which represents the best score difference

the end of the stones array is reached.

best move considering the opponent will also play optimally.

• For each possible move (taking j stones), we calculate the sum s of values of stones taken, and we calculate a potential answer

a greater score difference by playing optimally. If it's negative, Bob wins for the opposite reason.

as s - dfs(i + j + 1). This calculation ensures we consider the opponent's optimal play after our move. We update ans to be the maximum of itself or the newly calculated potential answer.

Once we loop through all possible moves, we return the maximum answer.

which is the cumulative sum of stone values that have been picked.

• The function is initially called with i = 0 as we start evaluating from the first stone.

- The memoization ensures that we don't compute the same state multiple times, reducing the problem to linear complexity. Finally, when the dfs(0) is called, we check if the result is 0, indicating a tie. If it's positive, Alice wins because it means she can have
- The data structure used here is essentially the list called stoneValue. The @cache decorator creates an implicit hashtable-like structure to remember previously calculated results of the dfs function.

This approach uses the concept of minimax, which is widely used in decision-making and turn-based games, to always make the

Let's illustrate the solution approach with an example. Suppose we have the stoneValue array as follows: [1,2,3,7]. Alice and Bob will play optimally, taking turns starting with Alice. Let's see how the dfs function would be used to determine the

Take stoneValue[0], stoneValue[1], and stoneValue[2] which sums to 6, and then dfs(3) will be called for Bob.

3. dfs(1) will be Bob's turn with remaining stones [2,3,7]. Bob has the same choice to take 1, 2, or 3 stones, and after each

5. During each call, dfs calculates the maximum score difference Alice or Bob can have at that point. For instance, if Alice takes

6. Eventually, all possible options and their score differences are computed, and dfs(i) will return the optimal score difference the

4. This process continues until i reaches the length of the stoneValue array, at which point the function returns 0 since no stones are left to take.

current player can achieve from i to the end of the array.

Choice 1: Take 1. dfs(1) (Bob's turn) is now evaluated.

beginning of the array. If the score difference is:

Greater than 0: Alice wins.

Alice takes 1, and dfs(1) is called.

be maximized as follows:

- Bob's total: 4 = 7).

**Python Solution** 

class Solution:

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

 $\circ$  Choice 2: Take 1 + 2 = 3. dfs(2) (Bob's turn) is now evaluated.

from functools import lru\_cache # Import the lru\_cache decorator from functools

from math import inf # Import 'inf' from the math library to represent infinity

:return: str - The result of the game, either 'Alice', 'Bob', or 'Tie'.

:param current\_index: int - The current index a player is at.

# Initialize the answer to negative infinity and a sum accumulator

accumulated\_sum += stone\_values[current\_index + j]

for (int j = 0;  $j < 3 \&\& index + j < totalStones; ++j) {$ 

// Store the result in memoization and return it.

// Function to decide the winner of the stone game III

int n = stoneValue.size(); // Get the number of stones

// Recursive lambda function to perform depth-first search

string stoneGameIII(vector<int>& stoneValue) {

return memoization[index] = maxDifference;

sum += stoneValues[index + j]; // Increment sum with stone value.

maxDifference = Math.max(maxDifference, sum - dfs(index + j + 1));

// Update maxDifference with the maximum of its current value and the score

// difference. The score difference is current sum - result of dfs(i + j + 1).

vector<int> dp(n, INT\_MIN); // Initialize the dynamic programming table with minimum int values

return dp[index]; // If the value is already computed, return it

int maxScore = INT\_MIN; // Initialize the max score for the current player

int sum = 0; // Variable to store the cumulative value of stones picked up

return 0; // Base case: if we've reached or exceeded the number of stones, the score is 0

:param stone\_values: List[int] - A list of integers representing the values of stones.

Calculate the maximum score difference the player can obtain starting from 'current\_index'.

max\_score\_diff = max(max\_score\_diff, accumulated\_sum - dfs(current\_index + j + 1))

# Calculate the max score difference recursively by subtracting the opponent's best score after the current player's

def stoneGameIII(self, stone\_values: List[int]) -> str:

# Define the depth-first search function with memoization

:return: int - The maximum score difference.

max\_score\_diff, accumulated\_sum = -inf, 0

Determine the result of the stone game III.

@lru\_cache(maxsize=None)

return 0

return max\_score\_diff

# Get the total number of stones

total\_stones = len(stone\_values)

def dfs(current\_index: int) -> int:

if current\_index >= total\_stones:

choice, a new dfs with the appropriate index would be called for Alice.

1. Initially, dfs(0) is called since Alice starts with the first stone.

Take stoneValue[0] which is 1, and then dfs(1) will be called for Bob.

2. At i = 0, there are three choices for Alice:

one stone, then Bob can optimally choose the best outcome from the remaining stones, and the score difference is updated accordingly.

• Take stoneValue[0] and stoneValue[1] which sums to 3, and then dfs(2) will be called for Bob.

- The game would unfold as follows, showing the choices and corresponding dfs calls:
  - $\circ$  Choice 3: Take 1 + 2 + 3 = 6. dfs(3) (Bob's turn) is now evaluated. • dfs(1) (Bob's turn with [2,3,7]): Bob will look ahead and follow similar steps, aiming to minimize Alice's score following his moves.

After evaluating all the possibilities, dfs(0) will yield the best score difference Alice can achieve by taking stones optimally from the

 Less than 0: Bob wins. Exactly 0: The game results in a tie.

For this example, Alice can secure a win by taking the first stone (with a value of 1), because now the score difference (dfs(0)) can

• If Bob takes 2, Alice can take 3 and 7 and wins. If Bob takes 2 and 3, Alice takes 7 and still wins. Hence Bob will choose the option

that minimizes loss, which might be taking 2, making the sequence of plays [1], [2], [3,7], and Alice wins by 7 (Alice's total: 11

Using this strategy, we understand that dfs(0) would return a positive score indicating Alice as the winner. Each call to dfs was efficiently processed due to memoization, which saved the state to prevent re-evaluation.

# The player can pick 1, 2, or 3 stones in a move 28 for j in range(3): 29 # If the range exceeds the length of stone\_values, stop the loop 30 if current\_index + j >= total\_stones: 31 break # Accumulate the value of stones picked 32

```
# Start the game from index 0 to get the overall answer
42
            final_score_diff = dfs(0)
43
           # Compare the final score difference to determine the winner
44
           if final_score_diff == 0:
45
46
               return 'Tie'
47
           elif final_score_diff > 0:
               return 'Alice'
48
           else:
49
               return 'Bob'
50
51
Java Solution
  1 class Solution {
         private int[] stoneValues; // An array to hold the values of the stones.
         private Integer[] memoization; // A memoization array to store results of subproblems.
         private int totalStones; // The total number of stones.
  6
         // Determines the outcome of the stone game III.
         public String stoneGameIII(int[] stoneValues) {
             totalStones = stoneValues.length; // Initialize the total number of stones.
  8
             this.stoneValues = stoneValues; // Set the class's stoneValues array.
  9
             memoization = new Integer[totalStones]; // Initialize the memoization array.
 10
 11
 12
             // Result of the DFS to compare against.
 13
             int result = dfs(0);
 14
 15
             if (result == 0) {
 16
                 return "Tie"; // If result is zero, then the game is tied.
 17
 18
 19
             // If the result is positive, Alice wins; otherwise, Bob wins.
 20
             return result > 0 ? "Alice" : "Bob";
 21
 22
 23
         // Depth-First Search with memoization to calculate the optimal result.
 24
         private int dfs(int index) {
 25
             // Base case: if the index is out of the right boundary of array.
 26
             if (index >= totalStones) {
 27
                 return 0;
 28
 29
 30
             // Return the already computed result if present, avoiding redundant computation.
 31
             if (memoization[index] != null) {
                 return memoization[index];
 32
 33
 34
 35
             int maxDifference = Integer.MIN_VALUE; // Initialize to the smallest possible value.
 36
             int sum = 0; // Sum to store the total values picked up until now.
 37
 38
             // Try taking 1 to 3 stones starting from the current index 'i' and calculate
 39
             // the maximum score difference taking the subproblem (i+j+1) into account.
```

#### function<int(int)> dfs = [&](int index) -> int { 15 if (index >= n) { 16 17 18 19 if (dp[index] != INT\_MIN) { 20

public:

10

11

12

13

14

21

22

23

C++ Solution

1 #include <vector>

2 #include <cstring>

class Solution {

#include <functional>

using namespace std;

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

51

50 }

```
24
 25
                 // Explore upto 3 moves ahead, because a player can pick 1, 2, or 3 stones
 26
                 for (int j = 0; j < 3 \&\& index + j < n; ++j) {
                     sum += stoneValue[index + j]; // Accumulate value of stones picked
 27
 28
                     maxScore = max(maxScore, sum - dfs(index + j + 1)); // Choose the move which gives the max score
 29
 30
                 dp[index] = maxScore; // Memoize the result for the current index
                 return maxScore;
 32
             };
 33
 34
             int finalScore = dfs(0); // Start the game from the first stone
 35
             // Using the calculated final score, determine the winner or if it's a tie
 36
 37
             if (finalScore == 0) {
 38
                 return "Tie";
 39
 40
             return finalScore > 0 ? "Alice" : "Bob";
 41
 42 };
 43
Typescript Solution
  1 // Function that determines the winner of the stone game
    function stoneGameIII(stoneValues: number[]): string {
         const stoneCount = stoneValues.length;
         const INFINITY = 1 << 30; // A representation of infinity.</pre>
         const dp: number[] = new Array(stoneCount).fill(INFINITY); // DP array initialized with 'infinity' for memoization.
  6
         // Helper function that uses Depth First Search and dynamic programming to calculate the score
         const dfs = (currentIndex: number): number => {
  8
             if (currentIndex >= stoneCount) { // Base case: no stones left.
  9
 10
                 return 0;
 11
 12
             if (dp[currentIndex] !== INFINITY) { // If value already computed, return it from memoization storage.
                 return dp[currentIndex];
 13
 14
 15
             let maxDiff = -INFINITY; // Initialize max difference as negative infinity.
 16
 17
             let currentSum = 0; // Holds the running total sum of stone values.
 18
             for (let count = 0; count < 3 && currentIndex + count < stoneCount; ++count) {</pre>
 19
                 currentSum += stoneValues[currentIndex + count];
 20
                 // Recursive call to dfs for the opponent's turn.
                 // Update maxDiff to be the maximum value Alice can get by considering the current pick.
 21
                 maxDiff = Math.max(maxDiff, currentSum - dfs(currentIndex + count + 1));
 23
 24
             // Store the computed maxDiff value in the dp array (memoization)
 25
             dp[currentIndex] = maxDiff;
 26
             return maxDiff;
         };
 27
 28
```

## Time and Space Complexity **Time Complexity**

is implemented in the for loop that iterates at most three times).

potentially store results for each of the n starting indices.

#### 33 34 // If final score is positive, Alice wins; otherwise, Bob wins. return finalScore > 0 ? 'Alice' : 'Bob'; 35 36 37

const finalScore = dfs(0); // Start the game with the first stone. 29 30 31 if (finalScore === 0) { 32 return 'Tie'; // If final score is 0, then the game is a tie.

The memoization (through the @cache decorator) stores the results of the subproblems, which are the optimal scores starting from each index i. There are n possible indices to start from (where n is the length of stoneValue), and since each function call of dfs examines at most 3 different scenarios before recursion, the total number of operations needed is proportional to n.

The time complexity of the function stoneGameIII is determined by the recursion process performed by the helper function dfs. In

Hence, the time complexity is O(n) because each state is computed only once due to memoization, and the recursive calls made

this function, the algorithm attempts to maximize the score for the current player by choosing up to three consecutive stones (which

The space complexity of stoneGameIII is determined by two factors: the space used for recursion (the recursion depth) and the space used to store the results of subproblems (due to memoization).

from each state are at most three.

**Space Complexity** 

1. Recursion Depth: Since the function dfs is called recursively and can potentially make up to three recursive calls for each call made, the depth of the recursion tree could theoretically go up to n in a worst-case scenario. However, due to memoization, many recursive calls are pruned, and thus, the true recursion depth is limited. Generally, the recursion does not go beyond n.

Combining these two factors, the space complexity is also O(n), primarily due to the space needed to store the computed values for memoization for each possible starting index and the call stack for the recursive calls.

2. Memoization Storage: The memoization of dfs subproblem results is implemented through the @cache decorator, which could