2707. Extra Characters in a String

Hash Table

String

keeping track of the minimum number of extra characters at each step.

Dynamic Programming

Problem Description

Array

Medium

In this problem, we are given a string s which is indexed starting at 0. We are also provided with a dictionary containing several words. Our goal is to split the string s into one or more non-overlapping substrings, with the condition that each substring must be a word that exists in the dictionary. It's possible that there are some characters in s that do not belong to any of the words in the dictionary, in which case they are considered as extra characters.

The challenge is to break s into substrings in such a way that the number of these extra characters is minimized. The task

requires finding an optimal way to perform the breakup of s to achieve the least number of characters that cannot be associated with any dictionary words. The output of the problem is the minimum number of extra characters resulting from the optimal breakup of s.

The approach to solving this problem revolves around dynamic programming—a method for solving a complex problem by

breaking it down into a collection of simpler subproblems. The idea is to compute the best solution for every prefix of the string s,

Intuition

Let's create an array f, where f[i] represents the minimum number of extra characters if we consider breaking the string up to index i - 1 (since our string is 0-indexed). We initialize this array in such a way that f[0] is 0 because no extra characters are present when no substring is considered.

present when no substring is considered.

We iterate over the length of the string s, and for each index i, we initially set f[i] to f[i-1]+1, which implies that the default action is not to match the character at s[i-1] with any word in the dictionary (hence it's counted as an extra character).

iterating from j = 0 to j = i. For each j, we're effectively trying to match the substring s[j:i]. If a match is found (s[j:i] is in our dictionary), we update f[i] to be the minimum of its current value and f[j], since using this word in our split would mean adding no extra characters from this substring.

Next, we need to check for every substring of s that ends at index i if it matches with any word in our dictionary. We do this by

The <u>dynamic programming</u> recurrence here is leveraging the idea that the optimal breakup of the string at position <u>i</u> depends on the optimal breakups of all the prior smaller substrings ending before <u>i</u>. By continually updating our <u>f</u> array, we build up the solution for the entire string. After the iteration completes, <u>f[n]</u> will contain the minimum number of extra characters for the entire string <u>s</u>.

In the solution code provided, we follow a <u>dynamic programming</u> approach, as this is an optimization problem where we aim to

reduce the number of extra characters by breaking the string into valid dictionary words. The idea is to use a list f with the size n

Initial Setup: We start by converting the dictionary into a set called ss for constant-time lookup operations, which is faster

Array Initialization: We initialize an array f with n + 1 elements, where n is the length of s. We'll use this array to store the

This solution is efficient because we're reusing the results of subproblems rather than recalculating from scratch, hence

displaying optimal substructure and overlapping subproblems—key characteristics of dynamic programming.

minimum number of extra characters at each index i of the string. The list is initialized with zeros.

+ 1 where n is the length of the string s, to store the computed minimum number of extra characters at each position.

Let's walk through the key elements of the implementation:

than looking for words in a list.

<u>Dynamic Programming Iteration:</u>

substring in constant time.

Solution Approach

We iterate i from 1 to n (inclusive) to consider all prefix subproblems of s.
 At the start of each iteration, we set f[i] = f[i - 1] + 1, assuming the current character s[i - 1] will be an extra character if we can't match it with a dictionary word ending at this position.
 We then check for all possible endings for words that end at index i. For this, we iterate over all j from 0 to i and check if the substring

s[j:i] exists in our set ss.
If s[j:i] is a dictionary word, we compare and update f[i] with f[j], if f[j] is smaller, as that means we can create a valid sentence ending at i without adding any extra character for this particular substring.

Avoiding Recalculation: Instead of computing whether each possible substring is in the dictionary, by traversing the entire

dictionary, we use the fact that the dictionary entries have been stored in a set ss, which allows us to check the presence of a

5. **Returning the Result**: After the loop completes, f[n] contains the minimum number of extra characters left over if we break up s optimally.

The solution leverages dynamic programming with the base case that no extra characters are needed when no string is

processed (f[0] = 0). It uses iterative computations to build and improve upon the solutions to subproblems, leading to an

- optimal overall solution. The efficient data structure (set) is used to optimize the lookup time for checking words in the dictionary, and the iterative approach incrementally builds the solution without unnecessary recalculations.

 Example Walkthrough
- dictionary with the words ["leet", "code"].
 Initial Setup: We first convert the dictionary into a set ss. So ss = {"leet", "code"} for quick lookups.
 Array Initialization: Since s has a length n = 8, we initialize an array f with n + 1 = 9 elements, all set to zero: f = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0]

 \circ When we reach i = 4, we find that the substring s[0:4] = "leet" is in ss. So we update f[4] to min(f[4], f[0]) = min(4, 0) = 0.

Let's consider a small example to illustrate the solution approach. Suppose we are given the string s = "leetcode" and the

4. **Avoiding Recalculation**: During this process, we avoid recalculating by using the set ss for checking the dictionary presence.

computed states.

class Solution:

Solution Implementation

n = len(s)

word_set = set(dictionary)

Get the length of the string s

0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0].

Dynamic Programming Iteration:

• Iterating through i from 1 to 8, we consider all combinations of substrings.

Continuing, each character 'c', 'o', 'd', 'e' initially increments the extra count.

def minExtraChar(self, s: str, dictionary: List[str]) -> int:

needed to form substrings present in the dictionary

min_extras[i] = min_extras[j]

public int minExtraChar(String s, String[] dictionary) {

int n = s.length(); // Get the length of the string s

// f[i] will be the minimum count for substring s[0..i)

minExtraChars[i] = minExtraChars[i - 1] + 1;

function minExtraChar(s: string, dictionary: string[]): number {

const minExtraChars = new Array(strLength + 1).fill(0);

// Extract the current substring

if (wordSet.has(currentSubstring)) {

for (let endIndex = 1; endIndex <= strLength; ++endIndex) {</pre>

minExtraChars[endIndex] = minExtraChars[endIndex - 1] + 1;

// Return the minimum extra characters needed for the entire string

Initialize an array to store the minimum number of extra characters

'f[i]' represents the minimum extra characters from the substring s[:i]

needed to form substrings present in the dictionary

Check all possible substrings ending at index 'i'

min_extras[i] = min_extras[i - 1] + 1

names have not been changed as per the instructions.

// Check all possible substrings that end at the current position

const currentSubstring = s.substring(startIndex, endIndex);

for (let startIndex = 0; startIndex < endIndex; ++startIndex) {</pre>

// Initialize an array to store the minimum number of extra characters needed

// By default, assume we need one more extra character than the previous position

// If the current substring is in the dictionary, update the minimum extra chars needed

minExtraChars[endIndex] = Math.min(minExtraChars[endIndex], minExtraChars[startIndex]);

// Convert the dictionary to a Set for faster lookup

const wordSet = new Set(dictionary);

// to reach each position in the string

// Get the length of the string

const strLength = s.length;

// Iterate over the string

return minExtraChars[strLength];

 $min_extras = [0] * (n + 1)$

for i in range(1, n + 1):

Iterate through the string s

Set<String> wordSet = new HashSet<>();

int[] minExtraChars = new int[n + 1];

for (int j = 0; j < i; ++j) {

for (int i = 1; i <= n; ++i) {

// Iterate over each character in the string

for (String word : dictionary) {

wordSet.add(word);

Convert the list of words in the dictionary to a set for faster lookup

Initialize an array to store the minimum number of extra characters

If the substring s[j:i] is in the dictionary and

using it reduces the count of extra characters,

update the minimum extra characters accordingly

// Create a set from the dictionary for efficient lookup of words.

// Create an array to store the minimum number of extra characters needed.

// Check each possible substring ending at current character i

// update minExtraChars[i] if a smaller value is found

// If the substring from index j to i is in the dictionary,

minExtraChars[0] = 0; // Base case: no extra characters needed for an empty string

// By default, assume one more extra char than the minExtraChars of the previous substring

if s[j:i] in word_set and min_extras[j] < min_extras[i]:</pre>

Return the minimum number of extra characters needed for the whole string

As we proceed, we check substrings of s ending at each i.

 \circ At i = 1, we set f[1] = f[0] + 1 = 1, treating the first character 'l' as an extra character.

5. **Returning the Result**: After completing the iteration, f [8] indicates there are 0 extra characters needed, showing the string s can be perfectly split into words from the dictionary.

 \circ At i = 8, checking the substring s[4:8] = "code", which is also in ss, we update f[8] to min(f[8], f[4]) = min(4, 0) = 0.

- This process demonstrates the power of resourcefully applying dynamic programming to reduce the problem into subproblems while using optimal previous decisions at each step. The choice of using a set for the dictionary makes the lookup operations much more efficient, and as we build out f, we're effectively making the best decision at each step based on the previously
- # Define the Solution class

'f[i]' represents the minimum extra characters from the substring s[:i]
min_extras = [0] * (n + 1)

Iterate through the string s
for i in range(1, n + 1):
 # Assume that adding one more character increases the count of extra characters
 min_extras[i] = min_extras[i - 1] + 1
 # Check all possible substrings ending at index 'i'
 for j in range(i):

Remember to include the import statement for `List` from the `typing` module at the beginning of your code file if it's not alrea

return min_extras[n] # Note: The 'List' should be imported from 'typing', and the overwritten method # names have not been changed as per the instructions.

from typing import List

class Solution {

```python

Java

C++

#include <string>

#include <vector>

#include <algorithm>

#include <unordered\_set>

```
class Solution {
public:
 // Function to find the minimum number of extra characters
 // needed to construct the string 's' using the words from the 'dictionary'.
 int minExtraChar(std::string s, std::vector<std::string>& dictionary) {
 // Transform the dictionary into an unordered set for constant-time look-ups.
 std::unordered_set<std::string> wordSet(dictionary.begin(), dictionary.end());
 int stringLength = s.size();
 std::vector<int> dp(stringLength + 1);
 dp[0] = 0; // Base case: no extra character is needed for an empty substring.
 // Calculate the minimum number of extra characters for each substring ending at position 'i'.
 for (int i = 1; i <= stringLength; ++i) {</pre>
 // Initialize dp[i] assuming all previous characters are from the dictionary and only s[i-1] is extra.
 dp[i] = dp[i - 1] + 1;
 // Check all possible previous positions 'j' to see if s[j...i-1] is a word in the dictionary.
 for (int j = 0; j < i; ++j) {
 if (wordSet.count(s.substr(j, i - j))) {
 // If s[j...i-1] is a word, update dp[i] to be the minimum of its current value
 // and dp[j], which represents the minimum number of extra characters needed to form substring s[0...j-1].
 dp[i] = std::min(dp[i], dp[j]);
 // dp[stringLength] holds the minimum number of extra characters needed for the entire string.
 return dp[stringLength];
};
```

# class Solution: def minExtraChar(self, s: str, dictionary: List[str]) -> int: # Convert the list of words in the dictionary to a set for faster lookup word\_set = set(dictionary) # Get the length of the string s

# Define the Solution class

n = len(s)

**TypeScript** 

```
for j in range(i):
 # If the substring s[j:i] is in the dictionary and
 # using it reduces the count of extra characters,
 # update the minimum extra characters accordingly
 if s[j:i] in word_set and min_extras[j] < min_extras[i]:
 min_extras[i] = min_extras[j]

Return the minimum number of extra characters needed for the whole string
 return min_extras[n]

Note: The 'List' should be imported from 'typing', and the overwritten method</pre>
```

# Assume that adding one more character increases the count of extra characters

However, considering the worst-case scenario of slicing the string s[j:i], which can take 0(k) time (where k is the length of the substring), the total time complexity of the nested loops can be  $0(n^3)$  if we multiply n (from the outer loop), by n (from the possible slices in an inner loop), by k (for the slicing operation, which in the worst case can be n). For large strings, this might not

Inner loop can run up to n times: 0(n)

Slicing string operation: 0(n)

Time and Space Complexity

### be efficient. But since we k

```python

from typing import List

Time Complexity

But since we know strings in Python are immutable and slicing a string actually creates a new string, the slicing operation should be considered when calculating the complexity. However, the slicing operation can sometimes be optimized by the interpreter, and the complexity may be less in practice, but to be rigorous we often consider the worst case.

So, breaking it down:

• Outer loop runs n times: 0(n)

For space complexity, there is an auxiliary array f of size n + 1 being created. Additionally, we have the set ss, which can contain

The space complexity for the array f is thus O(n). The space required for the set ss depends on the size of the dictionary, but

since it is not mentioned to scale with n, we can consider it a constant factor for the analysis of space complexity relative to n.

Remember to include the import statement for `List` from the `typing` module at the beginning of your code file if it's not already p

The time complexity of the given code is determined by two nested loops. The outer loop runs n times, where n is the length of

the input string s. Inside the outer loop, there is an inner loop that also can run up to n times, since j ranges from 0 to i-1. During

each iteration of the inner loop, we check if s[j:i] is in the set ss. Since ss is a set, the lookup operation takes 0(1) on average.

Multiplying these factors together, we get 0(n^3) for the time complexity.

Space Complexity

up to the number of words in the dictionary. However, since the problem statement doesn't provide the size of the dictionary relative to n, and typically, space complexity is more concerned with scalability regarding the input size n, we focus on n.

Summing it up:

Array f: 0(n)
 Set ss: Size of the dictionary (constant with respect to n)

Consequently, the overall space complexity of the code is O(n).