

# 2722. Join Two Arrays by ID

## Problem Description

The problem provides two arrays, `arr1` and `arr2`, each containing objects that have an `id` field with an integer value. The goal is to merge these arrays into a single array `joinedArray` in such a way that `joinedArray` has the combined contents of both `arr1` and `arr2`, with each object having a unique `id`. If an `id` exists in only one array, the corresponding object is included in `joinedArray` without changes. If the same `id` appears in both arrays, then the resultant object in `joinedArray` should have its properties merged; if a property exists only in one object, it is directly taken over, but if a property is present in both, the value from the object in `arr2` must overwrite the value from `arr1`. Finally, `joinedArray` is sorted in ascending order by the `id` key.

## Intuition

To solve this problem, we need to find an efficient way to merge the objects based on their `id`. A `Map` data structure is suitable for this task because it allows quick access and insertion of key-value pairs where the key is unique (the `id` in our case). The following steps exemplify the approach:

- Create a new `Map`, and populate it with objects from `arr1`, using `id` as the key. This enables us to quickly locate any object based on its `id`.
- Go through each object in `arr2`, check if an object with the same `id` already exists in the `Map`:
  - If it does, merge the existing object with the object from `arr2`. Object destructuring (`{ ...d.get(x.id), ...x }`) facilitates this by copying properties from both objects into a new object, with properties from `x` (the object from `arr2`) having priority in case of any conflicts.
  - If it does not, simply add the object from `arr2` to the `Map`.
- Convert the `Map` values into an array using `[...d.values()]`, which ensures that each `id` is represented by a single, merged object.
- Sort the resulting array by `id` in ascending order.

This method ensures that we honor the conditions for merging and respect the values from `arr2` in cases of overlap, all while preparing the `joinedArray` to be returned with the correct order of `id` values.

## Solution Approach

The solution approach is straightforward and efficient, leveraging the JavaScript `Map` object to handle merging and ensuring unique `id` values. Here's a walkthrough of the implementation:

- Initialize a new `Map` and populate it with the `id` and corresponding object from `arr1`:

```
1 const d = new Map(arr1.map(x => [x.id, x]));
```

In this line, `arr1.map(x => [x.id, x])` effectively prepares an array of `[id, object]` pairs that can be accepted by the `Map` constructor, establishing a direct mapping between each `id` and its respective object.

- Iterate through `arr2` and merge or add objects as necessary:

```
1 arr2.forEach(x => {
2   if (d.has(x.id)) {
3     d.set(x.id, { ...d.get(x.id), ...x });
4   } else {
5     d.set(x.id, x);
6   }
7 });
```

In this snippet, `d.has(x.id)` checks if the current `id` from `arr2` is already present in the map `d`. If it is, the objects from `arr1` and `arr2` are merged with object spread syntax `{ ...d.get(x.id), ...x }`, where properties from `x` (from `arr2`) can overwrite those from `d.get(x.id)` (from `arr1`) in case of duplication. If the `id` is not present, the `id` and object are added to the map as a new entry.

- Transform the `Map` into an array and sort the objects by `id`:

```
1 return [...d.values()].sort((a, b) => a.id - b.id);
```

Here, `[...d.values()]` transforms the `Map` values (our merged objects) into an array. The `sort` function is used to sort the array in ascending order based on the `id`. The comparator `(a, b) => a.id - b.id` ensures a numerical sort rather than a lexicographic one, which is crucial as `ids` are integers.

This approach elegantly solves the problem by constructing a `Map`, handling the merging logic through conditions and object spreading, and then returning the sorted array of unique objects. By using `Map`, we can efficiently look up and decide how to handle each object from `arr2`, making the algorithm both straightforward in logic and practical in terms of computation complexity.

## Example Walkthrough

Let's walk through a small example to illustrate the solution approach described above.

Suppose `arr1` and `arr2` are as follows:

```
1 const arr1 = [{ id: 1, name: 'John', age: 25 }, { id: 2, name: 'Jane' }];
2 const arr2 = [{ id: 2, city: 'New York' }, { id: 1, age: 26 }, { id: 3, name: 'Kyle' }];
```

We want to merge these arrays into `joinedArray` by following the solution's steps.

- Initialize a new `Map` and populate it with the `id` and corresponding object from `arr1`:

```
1 const d = new Map(arr1.map(x => [x.id, x]));
2 // Map content after initialization:
3 // 1 => { id: 1, name: 'John', age: 25 }
4 // 2 => { id: 2, name: 'Jane' }
```

We start with `arr1`, turning it into a `Map` where each object is keyed by its `id`.

- Iterate through `arr2` and merge or add objects as necessary:

```
1 arr2.forEach(x => {
2   if (d.has(x.id)) {
3     d.set(x.id, { ...d.get(x.id), ...x });
4   } else {
5     d.set(x.id, x);
6   }
7 });
8
9 // Map content after processing arr2:
10 // 1 => { id: 1, name: 'John', age: 26 }
11 // 2 => { id: 2, name: 'Jane', city: 'New York' }
12 // 3 => { id: 3, name: 'Kyle' }
```

As we process `arr2`, we check if an `id` is already in the map:

- For `id: 2`, we find it in the map and merge the object with `{ city: 'New York' }`. Jane now also has a `city` property.
- For `id: 1`, we merge and update John's `age` to 26.
- `id: 3` is new, so we add `{ id: 3, name: 'Kyle' }` to the map.

- Transform the `Map` into an array and sort the objects by `id`:

```
1 return [...d.values()].sort((a, b) => a.id - b.id);
2 // Resulting joinedArray:
3 // [{ id: 1, name: 'John', age: 26 },
4 //   { id: 2, name: 'Jane', city: 'New York' },
5 //   { id: 3, name: 'Kyle' }]
```

Finally, we convert the `Map` back into an array of values and sort this array by `id`. This gives us the correctly merged and ordered `joinedArray`.

Through this example, we've seen the effectiveness of using a `Map` to identify unique objects and merge them when necessary, ensuring that `arr2` has precedence in properties, and finished by sorting the `joinedArray` in ascending order by `id`.

## Python Solution

```
1 def join(array1, array2):
2     # Create a dictionary to hold merged objects,
3     # using 'id' as the key for fast access.
4     merged_data = {}
5
6     # Process the first array and map each object's 'id' to itself.
7     for element in array1:
8         merged_data[element['id']] = element
9
10    # Iterate through the second array.
11    for element in array2:
12        # If the 'id' already exists in the dictionary, merge the current object
13        # with the existing one by updating the dictionary at this 'id' key.
14        if element['id'] in merged_data:
15            existing_element = merged_data[element['id']]
16            # Merge existing_element with element. In case of conflicting keys,
17            # the values from element will update those from existing_element.
18            merged_data[element['id']] = {**existing_element, **element}
19        else:
20            # If the 'id' is new, add the current object to the dictionary.
21            merged_data[element['id']] = element
22
23    # Convert the merged data back to a list, then sort by 'id' and return.
24    # Sorting is done by using a lambda function that extracts the 'id' for comparison.
25    return sorted(merged_data.values(), key=lambda x: x['id'])
26
```

## Java Solution

```
1 import java.util.*;
2 import java.util.stream.Collectors;
3
4 public class ArrayJoiner {
5
6     /**
7      * Joins two lists of objects based on their 'id' property, merges objects with the
8      * same 'id' from both lists, and includes all unique objects. The resulting list is
9      * sorted by the 'id' property in ascending order.
10     */
11     * @param list1 The first list of objects with 'id' property
12     * @param list2 The second list of objects with 'id' property
13     * @return A sorted list of merged objects
14     */
15     public List<Map<String, Object>> join(List<Map<String, Object>> list1, List<Map<String, Object>> list2) {
16         // Create a Map to hold merged objects with the 'id' as the key
17         Map<Integer, Map<String, Object>> mergedData = new HashMap<>();
18
19         // Process the first list and map each object's 'id' to the object itself
20         for (Map<String, Object> element : list1) {
21             mergedData.put((Integer) element.get("id"), element);
22         }
23
24         // Iterate through the second list
25         for (Map<String, Object> element : list2) {
26             Integer id = (Integer) element.get("id");
27             // If the 'id' already exists in the map, merge the existing object with the current one
28             if (mergedData.containsKey(id)) {
29                 Map<String, Object> existingElement = mergedData.get(id);
30                 // Combine all keys from both maps, preferring the second element's value if a key collision occurs
31                 Map<String, Object> combinedElement = new HashMap<>(existingElement);
32                 combinedElement.putAll(element);
33                 mergedData.put(id, combinedElement);
34             } else {
35                 // If the 'id' is new, add the current object to the map
36                 mergedData.put(id, element);
37             }
38         }
39
40         // Convert the merged map to a list and sort it by 'id' in ascending order
41         return mergedData.values().stream()
42             .sorted(Comparator.comparingInt(element -> (Integer) element.get("id")))
43             .collect(Collectors.toList());
44     }
45 }
46
```

## C++ Solution

```
1 #include <vector>
2 #include <map>
3 #include <algorithm>
4
5 // Function to join two vectors of objects based on their 'id' property.
6 // It merges objects with the same 'id' and includes all unique objects from both vectors.
7 // The function also sorts the resulting array by the 'id' property in ascending order.
8 std::vector<std::map<std::string, int>> Join(
9     const std::vector<std::map<std::string, int>&> array1,
10    const std::vector<std::map<std::string, int>&> array2) {
11
12    // Create a map to hold merged objects, using 'id' as the key.
13    std::map<int, std::map<std::string, int>>> mergedData;
14
15    // Process the first vector and map each object's 'id' to itself.
16    for (const auto& element : array1) {
17        mergedData[element.at("id")] = element;
18    }
19
20    // Iterate through the second vector.
21    for (const auto& element : array2) {
22        // If the 'id' already exists in the map, merge the existing object with the current one.
23        if (mergedData.find(element.at("id")) != mergedData.end()) {
24            for (const auto& pair : element) {
25                mergedData[element.at("id")][pair.first] = pair.second;
26            }
27        } else {
28            // If the 'id' is new, add the current object to the map.
29            mergedData[element.at("id")] = element;
30        }
31    }
32
33    // Create a vector to hold the merged objects for sorting.
34    std::vector<std::map<std::string, int>>> mergedVector;
35
36    // Extract values from the map and push them into the vector.
37    for (const auto& pair : mergedData) {
38        mergedVector.push_back(pair.second);
39    }
40
41    // Sort the vector by the 'id' in ascending order.
42    std::sort(mergedVector.begin(), mergedVector.end(),
43        [](const std::map<std::string, int>& a, const std::map<std::string, int>& b) {
44            return a.at("id") < b.at("id");
45        });
46    return mergedVector;
47 }
48 }
49
```

## Typescript Solution

```
1 // Function to join two arrays of objects based on their 'id' property.
2 // It merges objects with the same 'id' and includes all unique objects from both arrays.
3 // The function also sorts the resulting array by the 'id' property in ascending order.
4 function join(array1: any[], array2: any[]): any[] {
5     // Create a Map to hold merged objects, using 'id' as the key.
6     const mergedData = new Map<number, any>();
7
8     // Process the first array and map each object's 'id' to itself.
9     array1.forEach(element => mergedData.set(element.id, element));
10
11    // Iterate through the second array.
12    array2.forEach(element => {
13        // If the 'id' already exists in the map, merge the existing object with the current one.
14        if (mergedData.has(element.id)) {
15            const existingElement = mergedData.get(element.id);
16            mergedData.set(element.id, { ...existingElement, ...element });
17        } else {
18            // If the 'id' is new, add the current object to the map.
19            mergedData.set(element.id, element);
20        }
21    });
22
23    // Return a sorted array of the merged objects, based on their 'id'.
24    return Array.from(mergedData.values()).sort((elementA, elementB) => elementA.id - elementB.id);
25 }
26
```

## Time and Space Complexity

**Time Complexity:**

- The time complexity for creating the `Map` `d` from `arr1` is  $O(n)$ , where `n` is the number of elements in `arr1`. This involves iterating over `arr1` and inserting each element into the `Map`.
- The time complexity for the `forEach` loop over `arr2` is  $O(m)$ , where `m` is the number of elements in `arr2`. Inside this loop, checking for the existence of an element with `has` and updating or setting with `set` is  $O(1)$  because `Maps` in TypeScript/JavaScript typically provide these operations with constant time complexity.
- The spread operator `...` used in the merge `{ ...d.get(x.id), ...x }` has a time complexity that is linear to the number of properties in the objects being merged. Since this is inside the loop, its impact depends on the size of objects; if we assume they have `k` properties on average, this operation would have a complexity of  $O(k)$  every time it is executed.
- The `sort` function has a worst-case time complexity of  $O(p \log(p))$ , where `p` is the number of elements in the resulting array which can be at most `n + m`.

Overall, the time complexity would be  $O(n) + O(m) + O(mk) + O((n+m) \log(n+m))$ . Assuming `k` is not very large and can be considered nearly constant, we can simplify this to  $O((n+m) \log(n+m))$ .

**Space Complexity:**

- The space complexity for the `Map` `d` involves storing up to `n+m` elements, giving a space complexity of  $O(n+m)$ .
- If the merge `{ ...d.get(x.id), ...x }` creates new objects, this happens `m` times at most, but does not increase the overall number of keys in the final map, so the space complexity remains  $O(n+m)$  for the `Map` itself.
- The array returned by `[...d.values()]` will contain at most `n+m` elements, so this is  $O(n+m)$ .

Given these considerations, the overall space complexity of the function is  $O(n+m)$ .