## 29. Divide Two Integers Medium Bit Manipulation Math

#### **Problem Description**

The problem at hand requires us to divide two integers, dividend and divisor, without using multiplication, division, and mod operator. The result should be the integer part of the quotient, with the division result truncated towards zero, meaning that any fractional part is disregarded. This operation should be handled with care as the problem also specifies dealing with overflows by capping the return value at 32-bit signed integer limits.

result is truncated towards zero, ensuring we work within the 32-bit integer range. We have to be cautious, as the direct operations that normally achieve this (/, \*, %) are not permitted.

In essence, we are to implement a form of division that replicates how integer division works in programming languages where the

#### The solution relies on the concept of subtraction and bit manipulation to accomplish the division. Since we can't use the division

Intuition

divided by 2, it means how many times we can subtract 2 from 10 until we reach a number less than 2.

The intuition behind the solution is to use a subtraction-based approach where we keep subtracting the divisor from the dividend and count how many times we can do this until the dividend is less than the divisor. This is a valid first step but not efficient enough

operator, we think about what division actually means. Division is essentially repeated subtraction. For instance, when we say 10

for large numbers, which is where bit manipulation comes in handy.

To improve efficiency, instead of subtracting the divisor once at a time, we exponentially increase the subtracted amount by left

shifting the divisor (which is equivalent to multiplying by powers of 2) and subtract this from the dividend if possible. This approach is much faster, as left shifting effectively doubles the subtracted amount each time, allowing us to subtract large chunks in logarithmic time compared to a linear approach.

The whole process loops, increasing the amount being subtracted each time (as long as the double of the current subtraction

amount is still less than or equal to the remaining dividend) and adding the corresponding power of 2 to our total. This loop

ensuring the result stays within the specified integer range.

Time and space complexity is considered, especially since we are working within a constrained environment that doesn't allow typical operations. The time complexity here is O(log(a) \* log(b)), with 'a' being the dividend and 'b' the divisor. This complexity arises because the algorithm processes parts of the dividend in a time proportional to the logarithm of its size, and likewise for the

represents a divide and conquer strategy that works through the problem using bit-level operations to mimic standard division while

Solution Approach

The approach to solving the division problem without multiplication, division, or mod operator involves a few key steps and utilizes

divisor since the subtraction step is proportional to its logarithm as well. The space complexity is constant, O(1), since we use a fixed

### 1. Handle Signs:

or 1 for a positive one.

∘ First, we need to handle the sign of the quotient. If the dividend and divisor have the same sign, the result is positive; otherwise, it's negative. We define the variable sign and use a simple comparison to set its value to −1 for a negative result

The actual division operation will be conducted on the absolute values of the dividend and divisor.
 Initialize Variables:

would exceed the current dividend.

Here's a step-by-step walkthrough of the implementation:

simple yet powerful concepts of bit manipulation to efficiently find the quotient.

- - Set INT\_MAX as (1 << 31) 1, which represents the maximum positive value for a 32-bit integer.</li>
     Set INT\_MIN as -(1 << 31), representing the minimum negative value for a 32-bit integer.</li>
     Initialize the quotient tot to 0.

We start a loop where we continue to subtract the divisor from the dividend until dividend is smaller than divisor. For

3. Bitwise Shift for Division:

cnt to our running total tot. This is equivalent to adding 2^cnt.

Handle potential integer overflow by comparing the result against INT\_MAX and INT\_MIN:

Multiply the tot by the sign to apply the correct sign to the result.

efficiently and accurately divide two integers in a constrained environment.

Initialize a counter cnt with 0.
 Inside an inner loop, left shift the divisor by cnt + 1 positions, effectively multiplying the divisor by 2 each time, until it

each subtraction:

Reduce the dividend by divisor << cnt.</li>
 4. Finalizing Result:

• After finding the maximum amount by which we can multiply the divisor without exceeding the dividend, we add 1 <<</p>

If the result is within the range [INT\_MIN, INT\_MAX], return the result.
 If the result exceeds the range, return INT\_MAX.

This algorithm effectively simulates division by breaking it down into a combination of subtraction and left bitwise shift operations,

with each recursive subtraction, hence the  $0(\log a * \log b)$  time complexity, where a is the dividend and b is the divisor. Space

replicating multiplication by powers of 2. It's a logarithmic solution in the sense that it reduces the problem size by approximately half

complexity is 0(1) since the number of variables used does not scale with input size.

The pattern used here can be thought of as a "divide and conquer" as well as "bit manipulation". By using these principles, we can

#### Let's walk through a small example to illustrate the solution approach as described. Suppose our dividend is 10 and our divisor is 3.

1. Handle Signs:

2. Initialize Variables:

Initialize total quotient tot = 0.

3. Bitwise Shift for Division:

Example Walkthrough

• Both the dividend (10) and divisor (3) are positive, so our result will also be positive. Thus, sign = 1.

We need to find out how many times we can subtract 3 from 10, with the operations restricted as per the problem statement.

### Begin the loop to subtract divisor from dividend.

On the first iteration, cnt = 0. We check if (divisor << cnt + 1) <= dividend:</li>
 ■ 3 << 0 is 3, and 3 << 1 (which is 6) is still <= 10 (dividend).</li>

■ 3 << 2 would be 12, which exceeds 10. So, we can stop at cnt = 1.

∘ Subtract divisor << cnt (which is 3) from dividend. Now, dividend = 4 - 3 = 1.

Because dividend is now less than divisor, we can conclude our calculation.

Since we began with tot = 0 and added 2 first and then 1 to it, we have tot = 3.

Subtract divisor << cnt which is 3 << 1 or 6 from dividend. Now, dividend = 10 - 6 = 4.</li>
 With the new dividend of 4, repeat the process:

Add 1 << cnt which is 1 to tot. Now, tot = 2 + 1 = 3.</li>

Multiply tot by sign. Since sign = 1, the result remains tot = 3.

Add 1 << cnt which is 1 << 1 or 2 to tot.</li>

INT\_MAX is set as (1 << 31) - 1 and INT\_MIN is set as -(1 << 31).</li>

4. Finalizing Result:

On the second iteration, cnt = 0.3 << cnt + 1 is 6, which is greater than 4. So we can't shift cnt to 1 this time.</li>

• Check for overflow, which isn't the case here, so the final result is 3.

Thus, dividing 10 by 3 yields a quotient of 3 using this approach. Since we are only concerned with the integer part of the division,

by allowing us to subtract larger powers of 2 wherever possible.

def divide(self, dividend: int, divisor: int) -> int:

sign = -1 if (dividend \* divisor) < 0 else 1

while dividend >= (divisor << (count + 1)):</pre>

# Define the boundaries for an integer (32-bit signed integer)

# Loop to find how many times the divisor can fit into the dividend

# Double the divisor as much as possible without exceeding the dividend

// Loop to find how many times the divisor can be subtracted from the dividend

// Double the divisor until it is less than or equal to the dividend

// Add the number of times we could double the divisor to the total

// Subtract the final doubled divisor value from the dividend

// This counter will keep track of the number of left shifts

while (longDividend >= (longDivisor << (count + 1))) {</pre>

// Handle overflow cases by clamping to the Integer range

if (result >= Integer.MIN\_VALUE && result <= Integer.MAX\_VALUE) {</pre>

# Work with positive values for both dividend and divisor
dividend = abs(dividend)
divisor = abs(divisor)

# Initialize the total quotient
total\_quotient = 0

# Unitialize the total quotient

# Count will keep track of the number of times we can double the divisor while still being less than or equal to dividenc

# Determine the sign of the output. If dividend and divisor have different signs, result will be negative

the remainder is disregarded, aligning with the truncation towards zero rule. The bit manipulation significantly speeds up the process

# Increment total\_quotient by the number of times we doubled the divisor total\_quotient += 1 << count # Decrease dividend by the matched part which we just calculated dividend -= divisor << count count

Python Solution

 $INT_MAX = 2**31 - 1$ 

while dividend >= divisor:

count += 1

# Multiply the result by the sign

while (longDividend >= longDivisor) {

int count = 0;

count++;

total += 1L << count;

long result = sign \* total;

return (int) result;

longDividend -= longDivisor << count;</pre>

1 // Global function for division without using division operator

// Determine sign of the result based on the signs of dividend and divisor

let resultSign: number = (dividend < 0) ^ (divisor < 0) ? -1 : 1;</pre>

// Use number to accommodate for JavaScript's safe integer range

// and to avoid precision issues with bitwise operations

function divide(dividend: number, divisor: number): number {

let absDividend: number = Math.abs(dividend);

let absDivisor: number = Math.abs(divisor);

let result: number = 0; // Initialize result

// Multiply the sign back into the total

result = sign \* total\_quotient

count = 0

 $INT_MIN = -2**31$ 

1 class Solution:

8

17

18

19

20

21

23

29

30

31

16

17

18

19

20

22

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

32 # Check and correct for overflow: if result is out of the 32-bit signed integer range, clamp it to INT\_MAX 33 if result < INT\_MIN:</pre> 34 return INT\_MIN 35 elif result > INT\_MAX: 36 return INT\_MAX 37 else: 38 return result 39 Java Solution class Solution { public int divide(int dividend, int divisor) { // Determine the sign of the result int sign = 1; if ((dividend < 0) != (divisor < 0)) {</pre> sign = -1;// Use long to avoid integer overflow issues long longDividend = Math.abs((long) dividend); 10 long longDivisor = Math.abs((long) divisor); 11 12 13 // This will accumulate the result of the division 14 long total = 0; 15

# // If the result is still outside the range, return the max integer value return Integer.MAX\_VALUE; }

```
C++ Solution
 1 class Solution {
 2 public:
        int divide(int dividend, int divisor) {
           // Determine sign of the result based on the signs of dividend and divisor
            int resultSign = (dividend < 0) ^ (divisor < 0) ? -1 : 1;
           // Use long long to avoid overflow issues for abs(INT32_MIN)
            long long absDividend = abs(static_cast<long long>(dividend));
            long long absDivisor = abs(static_cast<long long>(divisor));
            long long result = 0; // Initialize result
           // Loop until the dividend is smaller than divisor
           while (absDividend >= absDivisor) {
13
14
                int shiftCount = 0; // Count how many times the divisor has been left-shifted
15
16
               // Find the largest shift where the shifted divisor is smaller than or equal to dividend
               while (absDividend >= (absDivisor << (shiftCount + 1))) {</pre>
17
                    ++shiftCount;
18
19
20
21
               // Add to the result the number represented by the bit at the found position
22
                result += 1ll << shiftCount;
23
24
               // Reduce dividend by the found multiple of divisor
25
                absDividend -= absDivisor << shiftCount;</pre>
26
27
28
           // Apply sign of result
29
            result *= resultSign;
30
           // Handle overflow by returning INT32_MAX if the result is not within int range
31
           if (result >= INT32_MIN && result <= INT32_MAX) {</pre>
32
33
                return static_cast<int>(result);
34
35
           return INT32_MAX;
36
37 };
38
Typescript Solution
```

```
11
 12
         // Loop until the dividend is smaller than divisor
 13
         while (absDividend >= absDivisor) {
 14
             let shiftCount: number = 0; // Count how many times the divisor has been multiplied by 2
 15
 16
            // Find the largest multiple of 2 for divisor that is still less than or equal to dividend
             while (absDividend >= (absDivisor * Math.pow(2, shiftCount + 1))) {
 17
 18
                shiftCount++;
 19
 20
 21
             // Accumulate the quotient by the power of 2 corresponding to the shift count
 22
             result += Math.pow(2, shiftCount);
 23
 24
            // Decrease dividend by the found multiple of the divisor
 25
             absDividend -= absDivisor * Math.pow(2, shiftCount);
 26
 27
 28
         // Apply the sign of the result
 29
         result *= resultSign;
 30
 31
         // Handle overflow by returning the maximum safe integer value if the result is not within 32-bit signed integer range
 32
         if (result >= -(2 ** 31) && result <= (2 ** 31) - 1) {
 33
             return Math.trunc(result);
 34
 35
         return (2 ** 31) - 1;
 36 }
 37
Time and Space Complexity
Time Complexity
The time complexity of the given code is O(\log(a) * \log(b)). This is because in the first while loop, we're checking if a is greater
```

left by one doubles its value, and cnt increases until a is no longer greater than b shifted by cnt + 1. Therefore, these two loops

## than or equal to b, which requires $O(\log(a) * \log(b))$ . This is because in the first white loop, we're checking if a is greater than or equal to b, which requires $O(\log(a))$ time since in each iteration a is reduced roughly by a factor of two or more. The inner while loop is responsible for finding the largest shift of b that a can handle, which will execute at most $O(\log(b))$ times, as shifting b

combined yield the time complexity mentioned.

depend on the size of the input. Hence, the space used is constant.

9

10

Space Complexity

The space complexity of the given code is 0(1). Only a fixed number of integer variables sign, tot, and cnt are used, which do not