**Monotonic Stack** 

### Medium Stack Array

**Problem Description** 

element. We are tasked with finding the next greater number for each element in the array. The "next greater number" is defined as the first number that is bigger than the given number when you traverse the array from the current position, considering the circular nature of the array. If no such number exists, we are to return -1 for that element. **Example:** Suppose the array is [1, 2, 1]. For the first element (1), the next greater number is 2. For the second element (2), there is

The problem gives us a circular integer array, which means that after the last element of the array, it wraps around back to the first

no greater number in the array, so the output is -1. For the last element (1), the next greater number is again 2 because we can traverse the array circularly. Intuition

## To solve this problem, we need to consider each element and find the next element that is greater than it. Since the array is circular, we can't just iterate linearly from the start to the end. Once we reach the end, we need to loop back to the beginning of the array

yet.

and continue our search. This effectively doubles the "length" of our search space. A naive approach would be to check each element against all others, but this would be inefficient with time complexity O(n^2), where n is the length of the array. Instead, we can use a stack to keep track of the indices of elements for which we haven't found the next

greater number yet. Here is the intuition for how the efficient solution works: 1. Create an array ans to store the next greater numbers, initialized with -1, signifying we haven't found the answer for any element

2. Use a stack to store the indices of elements in decreasing order of their values.

the loop wraps around.

- 3. Iterate through the array twice (because it's circular) using the index i. For each element: While there is an element in the stack, and the current element is greater than the element pointed to by the index at the top of the stack (nums[stk[-1]] < nums[i % n]), it means we have found the next greater number for the element at the top of
- the stack. We then pop the index from the stack and update the ans array. Push the current index modulo the length of the array i % n onto the stack. This ensures we are in bounds of the array when

4. The reason to loop twice is to simulate the circular array by giving each element a second chance to find its next greater value.

- By using the stack, each element is pushed and popped at most once, yielding a linear time complexity solution, O(n), where n is the length of the array.
- **Solution Approach**

The reference solution provided uses a stack to keep track of the indices of elements for which we need to find the next greater number. Let's break down the steps taken in the implementation:

# Calculate the length of the nums array and store it in a variable n.

1. Initialize Necessary Variables:

∘ Create an answer array ans of the same length as nums, initialized with -1. This will hold the next greater elements for the respective indices. Initialize an empty list stk which will serve as the stack.

Iterate over a range that is double the length of nums to simulate the circular nature of the array. The current index in the

As we iterate, we continuously check whether the stack is non-empty and the current number nums [i % n] is greater than

If that's the case, we have found a next greater number for the element at the top of the stack. We update the ans array at

Each index i % n is added to the stack. The modulo operation ensures we cycle back to the starting indices after reaching

array is given by i % n.

2. Double Iteration Over The Array:

3. Finding the Next Greater Element Using Stack:

the number at the index at the top of the stack nums[stk[-1]].

- the index popped from the stack with the current number. This process is repeated until no such element is found or the stack becomes empty. 4. Maintain Decreasing Stack:
- the end of the array. The stack maintains indices of elements in decreasing order. When an element with a greater value comes up, it signifies the

The key patterns used in this implementation are stack usage for maintaining a decreasing sequence and modulus arithmetic to

handle circular array traversal efficiently. This algorithm is efficient because each element is pushed onto the stack once and popped

∘ The ans array now contains either the next greater elements for each index, or -1 if no greater element was found in the

• We loop over the range of 2n, which is 6 in this case, to simulate the circular array.

circular traversal. The ans array is returned as the final result.

"next greater number" for all elements on the stack it is compared against.

at most once, leading to a time complexity of O(n), where n is the length of the nums array.

**Example Walkthrough** 

 $\circ$  The length of nums is n = 3.

5. Return the Answer:

Let's use an example to illustrate the solution approach described above. Suppose we are given the circular array nums = [4, 1, 2]. 1. Initialize Necessary Variables:

 $\circ$  We create an answer array ans = [-1, -1, -1]. This will hold the next greater elements. We initialize an empty list stk as our stack. 2. Double Iteration Over The Array:

## 3. Iteration Details: $\circ$ First Pass ([4, 1, 2]): We start with i = 0 (i % n = 0), and the stack is empty. We push the index 0 to the stack.

 $\circ$  Move to i = 1 (i % n = 1). nums[1] = 1 is not greater than nums[0] = 4, so we push 1 onto the stack.

∘ Move to i = 2 (i % n = 2). nums[2] = 2 is not greater than nums[1] = 1 but is also not greater than nums[0] = 4, so we

Second Pass ([4, 1, 2] again):

push 2 onto the stack.

corresponding values in ans as -1.

def nextGreaterElements(self, nums):

∘ Move to i = 4 (i % n = 1). nums[4 % n] = nums[1] = 1 is not greater than nums[2] = 2 or than nums[0] = 4. Nothing changes.

the top of the stack. So, we pop 1 from the stack and update ans [1] to 2. Now stk = [0, 2].

next greater number considering the circular nature of the array. We return ans as the result.

# Stack to keep indexes of nums for which we haven't found the next greater element.

# While stack is not empty and the current element is greater than the element at

# Avoid pushing index onto the stack in the second pass to prevent duplicating work.

# the index of the last element in stack, update the result for the index at the

:param nums: List[int] - List of integers where we need to find the next greater element for each.

Finds the next greater element for each element in a circular array.

:return: List[int] - List containing the next greater elements.

# Iterate over the list twice to simulate circular array behavior.

# For the first pass, we need to fill the stack with index.

// Function to find the next greater elements for each element in a circular array.

// Set the next greater element for the index on the top of the stack

// Remove the index from the stack as we have found a next greater element

result[indexStack[indexStack.length - 1]] = nums[currentIndex];

// If we're in the first pass, add the current index to the stack

vector<int> nextGreaterElements(vector<int>& nums) {

int n = nums.size(); // Size of the input array

for i in range(2 \* n): # Shorthand for n << 1.</pre>

# Get the index in the original nums array.

while stack and nums[stack[-1]] < nums[index]:</pre>

result[stack.pop()] = nums[index]

 $\circ$  Now, i = 3 (i % n = 0). nums[3 % n] = nums[0] = 4 is not greater than <math>nums[2] = 2, so nothing changes.

4. Finish the Stack Processing: Since we reached the end of our second pass and there is no greater element for indices 0 and 2, we leave their

○ Our answer array ans is now [-1, 2, -1], which correctly reflects that the next greater number for the first element (4)

doesn't exist in the array, for the second element (1) the next greater number is 2, and for the third element (2) there is no

o Move to i = 5 (i % n = 2). nums [5 % n] = nums [2] = 2. Here, we see nums [2] = 2 is greater than nums [1] = 1 which is at

**Python Solution** 

#### # The number of elements in the input list. n = len(nums)10 11 # Initialize the result list with -1 for each element. 12 result = [-1] \* n13

stack = []

index = i % n

if i < n:

# top of the stack.

stack.append(index)

5. Return the Answer:

1 class Solution:

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

32

33

35

34 }

```
34
           # Return the result list containing next greater elements.
35
           return result
36
Java Solution
1 import java.util.Arrays;
2 import java.util.Deque;
   import java.util.ArrayDeque;
   class Solution {
       public int[] nextGreaterElements(int[] nums) {
           // Determine the length of the input array.
           int n = nums.length;
8
           // Initialize the answer array with -1 (assuming no next greater element).
9
           int[] answer = new int[n];
10
           Arrays.fill(answer, -1);
11
12
           // Use a deque as a stack to keep track of indices.
13
           Deque<Integer> stack = new ArrayDeque<>();
14
15
           // Iterate through the array twice to simulate a circular array.
           for (int i = 0; i < 2 * n; ++i) {
16
               // While the stack is not empty and the current element is greater than the
17
               // element at index at the top of the stack — it means we have found the
18
19
               // next greater element for the index at the top of the stack.
20
               while (!stack.isEmpty() && nums[stack.peek()] < nums[i % n]) {</pre>
21
                   // Update the answer for the index at the top of the stack.
22
                   answer[stack.pop()] = nums[i % n];
23
24
               // If this index is within the original array, push its index on the stack.
25
               // During the second iteration, we don't push the index into the stack
26
               // to avoid duplicates.
27
               if (i < n) {
28
                   stack.push(i);
29
30
31
           // Return the answer array with next greater elements for each index.
```

#### vector<int> answers(n, −1); // Initialize the answer vector with −1, which means no greater element 9 stack<int> indicesStack; // Stack to store the indices of elements 10 11 12 // Loop through the array twice to simulate circular array traversal. 13 for (int i = 0; i < 2 \* n; ++i) {

C++ Solution

2 #include <stack>

public:

#include <vector>

class Solution {

return answer;

```
14
               // While stack is not empty and the current element is greater
15
               // than the element corresponding to the index on the top of the stack
               while (!indicesStack.empty() && nums[indicesStack.top()] < nums[i % n]) {</pre>
16
                    // Update the answer for the index at the top of stack as we have found
17
                    // a next greater element
18
                    answers[indicesStack.top()] = nums[i % n];
19
20
                    // Pop the index from the stack as we've found a next greater element for it
                    indicesStack.pop();
21
22
23
24
               // We only push the index of the element to the stack
25
               // as long as we are in the first iteration over the array.
26
               if (i < n) {
27
                    indicesStack.push(i);
28
29
30
            return answers;
31
32 };
33
Typescript Solution
   function nextGreaterElements(nums: number[]): number[] {
       // Stack to keep indexes where we haven't found the next greater element yet
       const indexStack: number[] = [];
       const length = nums.length;
       // Result array initialized with -1, assuming we don't find a next greater element
       const result: number[] = new Array(length).fill(-1);
 8
       // Iterate twice over the array to simulate a circular array
       for (let i = 0; i < 2 * length - 1; i++) {
 9
           // Actual index for the original nums array (using modulo for wrap—around)
10
            const currentIndex = i % length;
11
           // Pop all elements from the stack smaller than the current element in nums
13
           while (indexStack.length !== 0 && nums[indexStack[indexStack.length - 1]] < nums[currentIndex]) {</pre>
14
```

# Time and Space Complexity

return result;

indexStack.pop();

if (i < length) {</pre>

## • The code consists of a for loop that iterates 2n times where n is the length of the input array nums. This is evident from the loop construction for i in range(n $\ll$ 1), which is equivalent to for i in range(2 \* n).

Time Complexity

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 }

28

The time complexity of the provided code can be analyzed as follows:

indexStack.push(currentIndex);

- Inside the loop, the while loop can potentially run for each element of the stack. However, considering that no element is pushed onto the stack more than twice (once for its original position and once for its virtual copied position due to the circular nature of the problem), each element is also popped only once. Therefore, in amortized analysis, the while loop runs 0(1) time for each
- iteration of the for loop. Accordingly, the overall time complexity is O(n) for the single pass in the doubled array, counting the amortized constant time operations inside the loop. Thus, the time complexity is O(n).
- **Space Complexity** The space complexity can be considered in the following points:

- A new list ans of size n is created to store the results so this takes O(n) space. Additionally, a stack stk is used to store indices. In the worst case, this stack can grow to store n indices before elements are popped.
- Taking these into account, the space complexity is also O(n).

There are no other significant contributors to space complexity.