Hash Table

Problem Description

Array

Medium (Trie)

In this problem, we are given a string s which is indexed starting at 0. We are also provided with a dictionary containing several words. Our goal is to split the string s into one or more non-overlapping substrings, with the condition that each substring must be a word that exists in the dictionary. It's possible that there are some characters in s that do not belong to any of the words in the dictionary, in which case they are considered as extra characters.

Dynamic Programming

finding an optimal way to perform the breakup of s to achieve the least number of characters that cannot be associated with any dictionary words. The output of the problem is the minimum number of extra characters resulting from the optimal breakup of s.

The challenge is to break s into substrings in such a way that the number of these extra characters is minimized. The task requires

The approach to solving this problem revolves around dynamic programming—a method for solving a complex problem by breaking it

Intuition

of the minimum number of extra characters at each step. Let's create an array f, where f[i] represents the minimum number of extra characters if we consider breaking the string up to index 1 - 1 (since our string is 0-indexed). We initialize this array in such a way that f[0] is 0 because no extra characters are present

down into a collection of simpler subproblems. The idea is to compute the best solution for every prefix of the string s, keeping track

when no substring is considered. We iterate over the length of the string s, and for each index i, we initially set f[i] to f[i - 1] + 1, which implies that the default action is not to match the character at s[i-1] with any word in the dictionary (hence it's counted as an extra character).

Next, we need to check for every substring of s that ends at index i if it matches with any word in our dictionary. We do this by iterating from j = 0 to j = i. For each j, we're effectively trying to match the substring s[j:i]. If a match is found (s[j:i] is in our

extra characters from this substring. The dynamic programming recurrence here is leveraging the idea that the optimal breakup of the string at position i depends on the optimal breakups of all the prior smaller substrings ending before 1. By continually updating our f array, we build up the solution for the entire string. After the iteration completes, f[n] will contain the minimum number of extra characters for the entire string s.

dictionary), we update f[i] to be the minimum of its current value and f[j], since using this word in our split would mean adding no

optimal substructure and overlapping subproblems—key characteristics of dynamic programming. Solution Approach

This solution is efficient because we're reusing the results of subproblems rather than recalculating from scratch, hence displaying

In the solution code provided, we follow a dynamic programming approach, as this is an optimization problem where we aim to reduce the number of extra characters by breaking the string into valid dictionary words. The idea is to use a list f with the size n 🕂

1 where n is the length of the string s, to store the computed minimum number of extra characters at each position.

Let's walk through the key elements of the implementation:

looking for words in a list.

2. Array Initialization: We initialize an array f with n + 1 elements, where n is the length of s. We'll use this array to store the minimum number of extra characters at each index i of the string. The list is initialized with zeros.

1. Initial Setup: We start by converting the dictionary into a set called ss for constant-time lookup operations, which is faster than

 We iterate i from 1 to n (inclusive) to consider all prefix subproblems of s. At the start of each iteration, we set f[i] = f[i - 1] + 1, assuming the current character s[i - 1] will be an extra character if we can't match it with a dictionary word ending at this position.

the substring s[j:i] exists in our set ss.

3. Dynamic Programming Iteration:

If s[j:i] is a dictionary word, we compare and update f[i] with f[j], if f[j] is smaller, as that means we can create a valid

sentence ending at i without adding any extra character for this particular substring.

4. Avoiding Recalculation: Instead of computing whether each possible substring is in the dictionary, by traversing the entire dictionary, we use the fact that the dictionary entries have been stored in a set ss, which allows us to check the presence of a substring in constant time.

We then check for all possible endings for words that end at index i. For this, we iterate over all j from 0 to i and check if

5. Returning the Result: After the loop completes, f[n] contains the minimum number of extra characters left over if we break up s optimally. The solution leverages dynamic programming with the base case that no extra characters are needed when no string is processed

(f[0] = 0). It uses iterative computations to build and improve upon the solutions to subproblems, leading to an optimal overall

Let's consider a small example to illustrate the solution approach. Suppose we are given the string s = "leetcode" and the

approach incrementally builds the solution without unnecessary recalculations.

solution. The efficient data structure (set) is used to optimize the lookup time for checking words in the dictionary, and the iterative

dictionary with the words ["leet", "code"]. 1. Initial Setup: We first convert the dictionary into a set ss. So ss = {"leet", "code"} for quick lookups. 2. Array Initialization: Since s has a length n = 8, we initialize an array f with n + 1 = 9 elements, all set to zero: f = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] 0, 0, 0, 0, 0].

• At i = 1, we set f[1] = f[0] + 1 = 1, treating the first character 'l' as an extra character. As we proceed, we check substrings of s ending at each i.

0) = 0.

Python Solution

class Solution:

9

10

11

12

13

20

21

23

24

26

19

20

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

1 # Define the Solution class

 $min_extras = [0] * (n + 1)$

Iterate through the string s

3. Dynamic Programming Iteration:

Example Walkthrough

Initialize an array to store the minimum number of extra characters

using it reduces the count of extra characters,

update the minimum extra characters accordingly

if s[j:i] in word_set and min_extras[j] < min_extras[i]:</pre>

Return the minimum number of extra characters needed for the whole string

'f[i]' represents the minimum extra characters from the substring s[:i]

needed to form substrings present in the dictionary

min_extras[i] = min_extras[j]

minExtraChars[i] = minExtraChars[i - 1] + 1;

if (wordSet.contains(s.substring(j, i))) {

// Return the minimum extra characters for the entire string

for (int j = 0; j < i; ++j) {

return minExtraChars[n];

// Check each possible substring ending at current character i

// update minExtraChars[i] if a smaller value is found

// If the substring from index j to i is in the dictionary,

minExtraChars[i] = Math.min(minExtraChars[i], minExtraChars[j]);

present, as the function signature uses List for type hinting.

can be perfectly split into words from the dictionary.

Continuing, each character 'c', 'o', 'd', 'e' initially increments the extra count.

Iterating through i from 1 to 8, we consider all combinations of substrings.

- 5. Returning the Result: After completing the iteration, f[8] indicates there are 0 extra characters needed, showing the string s
- This process demonstrates the power of resourcefully applying dynamic programming to reduce the problem into subproblems while using optimal previous decisions at each step. The choice of using a set for the dictionary makes the lookup operations much more efficient, and as we build out f, we're effectively making the best decision at each step based on the previously computed states.

• When we reach i = 4, we find that the substring s[0:4] = "leet" is in ss. So we update f[4] to min(f[4], f[0]) = min(4,

At i = 8, checking the substring s[4:8] = "code", which is also in ss, we update f[8] to min(f[8], f[4]) = min(4, 0) = 0.

4. Avoiding Recalculation: During this process, we avoid recalculating by using the set ss for checking the dictionary presence.

def minExtraChar(self, s: str, dictionary: List[str]) -> int: # Convert the list of words in the dictionary to a set for faster lookup word_set = set(dictionary) # Get the length of the string s n = len(s)

for i in range(1, n + 1): 14 15 # Assume that adding one more character increases the count of extra characters 16 min_extras[i] = min_extras[i - 1] + 1 17 # Check all possible substrings ending at index 'i' for j in range(i): 18 # If the substring s[j:i] is in the dictionary and

Remember to include the import statement for List from the typing module at the beginning of your code file if it's not already

return min_extras[n] 27 28 # Note: The 'List' should be imported from 'typing', and the overwritten method 29 # names have not been changed as per the instructions.

from typing import List

Java Solution

class Solution { public int minExtraChar(String s, String[] dictionary) { // Create a set from the dictionary for efficient lookup of words. Set<String> wordSet = new HashSet<>(); for (String word : dictionary) { wordSet.add(word); int n = s.length(); // Get the length of the string s 10 // Create an array to store the minimum number of extra characters needed. 11 // f[i] will be the minimum count for substring s[0..i) int[] minExtraChars = new int[n + 1]; 13 minExtraChars[0] = 0; // Base case: no extra characters needed for an empty string 14 // Iterate over each character in the string 17 for (int i = 1; i <= n; ++i) { 18 // By default, assume one more extra char than the minExtraChars of the previous substring

1 #include <string> 2 #include <vector>

C++ Solution

```
#include <unordered_set>
   #include <algorithm>
 6 class Solution {
 7 public:
       // Function to find the minimum number of extra characters
       // needed to construct the string 's' using the words from the 'dictionary'.
       int minExtraChar(std::string s, std::vector<std::string>& dictionary) {
10
           // Transform the dictionary into an unordered set for constant-time look-ups.
11
12
           std::unordered_set<std::string> wordSet(dictionary.begin(), dictionary.end());
13
           int stringLength = s.size();
14
           std::vector<int> dp(stringLength + 1);
           dp[0] = 0; // Base case: no extra character is needed for an empty substring.
16
17
           // Calculate the minimum number of extra characters for each substring ending at position 'i'.
18
19
           for (int i = 1; i <= stringLength; ++i) {</pre>
               // Initialize dp[i] assuming all previous characters are from the dictionary and only s[i-1] is extra.
20
               dp[i] = dp[i - 1] + 1;
22
23
               // Check all possible previous positions 'j' to see if s[j...i-1] is a word in the dictionary.
24
               for (int j = 0; j < i; ++j) {
                   if (wordSet.count(s.substr(j, i - j))) {
25
26
                       // If s[j...i-1] is a word, update dp[i] to be the minimum of its current value
                       // and dp[j], which represents the minimum number of extra characters needed to form substring s[0...j-1].
27
                       dp[i] = std::min(dp[i], dp[j]);
28
29
30
31
32
           // dp[stringLength] holds the minimum number of extra characters needed for the entire string.
34
           return dp[stringLength];
35
36 };
37
Typescript Solution
   function minExtraChar(s: string, dictionary: string[]): number {
       // Convert the dictionary to a Set for faster lookup
       const wordSet = new Set(dictionary);
```

// Extract the current substring const currentSubstring = s.substring(startIndex, endIndex); 20 21 // If the current substring is in the dictionary, update the minimum extra chars needed 22 if (wordSet.has(currentSubstring)) { 23

8

10

11

14

15

16

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

32

31 }

// Get the length of the string

// to reach each position in the string

const minExtraChars = new Array(strLength + 1).fill(0);

for (let endIndex = 1; endIndex <= strLength; ++endIndex) {

minExtraChars[endIndex] = minExtraChars[endIndex - 1] + 1;

// Check all possible substrings that end at the current position

for (let startIndex = 0; startIndex < endIndex; ++startIndex) {</pre>

// Return the minimum extra characters needed for the entire string

// Initialize an array to store the minimum number of extra characters needed

// By default, assume we need one more extra character than the previous position

const strLength = s.length;

// Iterate over the string

return minExtraChars[strLength];

Time and Space Complexity

input string s. Inside the outer loop, there is an inner loop that also can run up to n times, since j ranges from 0 to i-1. During each iteration of the inner loop, we check if s[j:i] is in the set ss. Since ss is a set, the lookup operation takes 0(1) on average.

Time Complexity

However, considering the worst-case scenario of slicing the string s[j:i], which can take O(k) time (where k is the length of the substring), the total time complexity of the nested loops can be 0(n^3) if we multiply n (from the outer loop), by n (from the possible slices in an inner loop), by k (for the slicing operation, which in the worst case can be n). For large strings, this might not be efficient. But since we know strings in Python are immutable and slicing a string actually creates a new string, the slicing operation should be

The time complexity of the given code is determined by two nested loops. The outer loop runs n times, where n is the length of the

minExtraChars[endIndex] = Math.min(minExtraChars[endIndex], minExtraChars[startIndex]);

So, breaking it down: Outer loop runs n times: 0(n) Inner loop can run up to n times: O(n)

considered when calculating the complexity. However, the slicing operation can sometimes be optimized by the interpreter, and the

Multiplying these factors together, we get $O(n^3)$ for the time complexity.

complexity may be less in practice, but to be rigorous we often consider the worst case.

Space Complexity For space complexity, there is an auxiliary array f of size n + 1 being created. Additionally, we have the set ss, which can contain up

Slicing string operation: 0(n)

to the number of words in the dictionary. However, since the problem statement doesn't provide the size of the dictionary relative to n, and typically, space complexity is more concerned with scalability regarding the input size n, we focus on n.

it is not mentioned to scale with n, we can consider it a constant factor for the analysis of space complexity relative to n.

The space complexity for the array f is thus O(n). The space required for the set ss depends on the size of the dictionary, but since

- Summing it up: Array f: 0(n)
- Set ss: Size of the dictionary (constant with respect to n) Consequently, the overall space complexity of the code is O(n).