234. Palindrome Linked List Stack Recursion **Linked List Two Pointers Leetcode Link** Easy

Problem Description The problem presents a scenario where you're given a singly linked list and asks you to determine if it is a palindrome. A palindrome

palindrome, but [1 -> 2 -> 3] is not. Intuition

is a sequence that reads the same forward and backward. For example, in the case of a linked list, [1 -> 2 -> 2 -> 1] is a

To solve the problem of determining whether a linked list is a palindrome, we need an approach that allows us to compare elements

from the start and end of the list efficiently. Since we can't access the elements of a linked list in a reverse order as easily as we can with an array, we have to be creative.

The solution involves several steps:

- list, the slow pointer will be in the middle.
- pointer moves one step at a time, while the fast pointer moves two steps at a time. When the fast pointer reaches the end of the 2. Reverse the second half of the linked list: Starting from the middle of the list, reverse the order of the nodes. This will allow us

to directly compare the nodes from the start and the end of the list without needing to store additional data or indices.

of the reversed second half. If all corresponding nodes are equal, then the list is a palindrome.

1. Find the middle of the linked list: We can find the middle of the linked list using the fast and slow pointer technique. The slow

4. Restore the list (optional): If the problem required you to maintain the original structure of the list after checking for a palindrome, you would follow up by reversing the second half of the list again and reattaching it to the first half. However, this step is not implemented in the provided code, as it is not part of the problem's requirements.

3. Compare the first and the second half: After reversal, we then compare the node values from the start of the list and the start

- The crux of this approach lies in the efficient O(n) traversal and no additional space complexity apart from a few pointers, which makes this method quite optimal.
- 1. Two-pointer technique: To find the middle of the list, we use two pointers (slow and fast). The slow pointer is incremented by one node, while the fast pointer is incremented by two nodes on each iteration. When the fast pointer reaches the end, slow will be pointing at the middle node.

slow, fast = slow.next, fast.next.next

1 while pre:

5 return True

Example Walkthrough

for comparison purposes.

4 List: 1 -> 2 -> 3 -> 2 -> 1

1 Initial state:

2 slow -> 1

3 fast -> 2

1 Iteration 1:

2 slow -> 2

7 slow -> 3

1 slow, fast = head, head.next

while fast and fast.next:

t = cur.next

cur.next = pre

Solution Approach

2. Reversing the second half of the list: Once we have the middle node, we reverse the second half of the list starting from

The solution approach follows the intuition which is broken down into the following algorithms and patterns:

slow.next. To do this, we initialize two pointers pre (to keep track of the previous node) and cur (the current node). We then iterate until cur is not None, each time setting cur. next to pre, effectively reversing the links between the nodes. pre, cur = None, slow.next 2 while cur:

the values of the nodes starting from head and pre. If at any point the values differ, we return False indicating that the list is not

a palindrome. Otherwise, we keep advancing both pointers until pre is None. If we successfully reach the end of both halves

pre, cur = cur, t 3. Comparison of two halves: After reversing the second half, pre will point to the head of the reversed second half. We compare

if pre.val != head.val:

pre, head = pre.next, head.next

size; we're just manipulating the existing nodes in the linked list.

return False

without mismatches, the list is a palindrome, so we return True.

determine if this list represents a palindrome. Step 1: Finding the Middle We use the two-pointer technique. Initially, both slow and fast point to the first element, with fast moving to the next immediately

Let's illustrate the solution approach using a small example. Consider the linked list [1 -> 2 -> 3 -> 2 -> 1]. The goal is to

The code uses the two-pointer technique and the reversal of a linked list to solve the problem very effectively. The total time

complexity of the algorithm is O(n), and the space complexity is O(1), because no additional space is used proportional to the input

3 fast -> 3 List: 1 -> 2 -> 3 -> 2 -> 1 6 Iteration 2:

Step 2: Reverse the Second Half

pointers pre and cur to achieve this:

2 cur points to 3 (slow.next)

3 List: 1 -> 2 -> 3 -> 2 -> 1

1 pre points to None

3 cur -> 2

7 pre -> 2

8 cur -> 1

12 pre -> 1

6 Iteration 2:

11 Iteration 3:

13 cur -> None

Now we begin traversal:

8 fast -> 1 (fast reaches the end of the list so we stop here) 9 List: 1 -> 2 -> 3 -> 2 -> 1

Starting from the middle node (where slow is currently pointing), we proceed to reverse the second half of the list. We'll use two

We now iterate and reverse the link between the nodes until cur is None: 1 Iteration 1: 2 pre -> 3

Reversed part: None <- 3 List: 1 -> 2 -> 3 -> 2 -> 1

Reversed part: None <- 3 <- 2 List: 1 -> 2 -> 3 -> 2 -> 1

At this stage, slow is pointing to the middle of the list.

14 Reversed part: None <- 3 <- 2 <- 1

need to compare the values of both halves:

We move both pointers and compare their values:

1 pre -> 2, head -> 2 (values match, move forward)

2 pre -> 3, head -> 3 (values match, move forward)

def __init__(self, val=0, next_node=None):

confirms the linked list [1 -> 2 -> 3 -> 2 -> 1] is indeed a palindrome.

def isPalindrome(self, head: Optional[ListNode]) -> bool:

1 pre points to 1, head points to 1

After reversing, we have pre pointing to the new head of the reversed second half, which is the node with the value 1. **Step 3: Compare Two Halves**

We now have two pointers, head pointing to the first node of the list and pre pointing to the head of the reversed second half. We

When pre becomes None, we've successfully compared all nodes of the reversed half with the corresponding nodes of the first half

When implementing these steps in a programming language like Python, the overall result of this example would be that the function

and found that all the values match, which implies that the list represents a palindrome. Hence, we return True.

Initialize two pointers, slow moves one step at a time, fast moves two steps

Move fast pointer to the end of the list, and slow to the middle

Python Solution 1 # Definition for singly-linked list.

self.val = val

slow = head

fast = head.next

self.next = next_node

while fast and fast.next:

slow = slow.next

fast = fast.next.next

temp = current.next

current.next = prev

prev, current = current, temp

// All values matched, so it's a palindrome

return true;

* Definition for singly-linked list.

ListNode() : val(0), next(nullptr) {}

bool isPalindrome(ListNode* head) {

ListNode* fastPtr = head->next;

while (fastPtr && fastPtr->next) {

fastPtr = fastPtr->next->next;

// Reverse the second half of the list

ListNode* currentNode = slowPtr->next;

currentNode->next = prevNode;

slowPtr = slowPtr->next;

ListNode* prevNode = nullptr;

prevNode = currentNode;

currentNode = nextTemp;

return false;

head = head->next;

return true;

Typescript Solution

prevNode = prevNode->next;

while (currentNode) {

ListNode* slowPtr = head;

ListNode(int x) : val(x), next(nullptr) {}

ListNode(int x, ListNode *next) : val(x), next(next) {}

// Use two pointers to find the middle of the list

// When fastPtr reaches the end, slowPtr will be at the middle

// Move fastPtr by two and slowPtr by one step

ListNode* nextTemp = currentNode->next;

// Move to the next nodes in both halves

* Function to determine if a given singly linked list is a palindrome.

* @param {ListNode | null} head - The head of the singly linked list.

* @returns {boolean} - True if the list is a palindrome, false otherwise.

// Two pointers: slow moves one step at a time, fast moves two steps.

// All values matched, so it is a palindrome

function isPalindrome(head: ListNode | null): boolean {

C++ Solution

* struct ListNode {

int val;

ListNode *next;

Compare the first half and the reversed second half

2 class ListNode:

class Solution:

12

13

14

15

16

24

25

26

33

34

47

48

49

50

52

51 }

/**

*

* };

public:

11 class Solution {

*/

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

39

40

43

44

45

46

50

};

1 /**

*/

5

18 # Reverse the second half of the list 19 prev = None 20 current = slow.next 21 while current:

```
27
           while prev:
28
                if prev.val != head.val:
                    return False
29
30
                prev, head = prev.next, head.next
31
32
           # If all nodes matched, it's a palindrome
```

* Definition for singly-linked list.

return True

Java Solution

class ListNode {

int val;

/**

*/

```
ListNode next;
       ListNode() {}
       ListNode(int val) { this.val = val; }
       ListNode(int val, ListNode next) { this.val = val; this.next = next; }
 9
10 }
11
   class Solution {
       public boolean isPalindrome(ListNode head) {
13
           // Use two pointers: slow moves one step at a time and fast moves two steps at a time.
14
           ListNode slow = head;
15
           ListNode fast = head;
16
            // Move fast pointer to the end, and slow to the middle of the list
18
           while (fast != null && fast.next != null) {
19
20
                slow = slow.next;
21
                fast = fast.next.next;
22
23
24
           // Reverse the second half of the list
25
           ListNode prev = null;
26
           ListNode current = slow;
27
           while (current != null) {
28
                ListNode temp = current.next; // Stores the next node
29
                current.next = prev; // Reverses the link
30
                prev = current; // Moves prev to current node
                current = temp; // Move to the next node in the original list
31
32
33
34
           // Compare the reversed second half with the first half
           ListNode firstHalfIterator = head;
35
           ListNode secondHalfIterator = prev;
36
37
           while (secondHalfIterator != null) {
38
               // If values are different, then it's not a palindrome
39
                if (secondHalfIterator.val != firstHalfIterator.val) {
                    return false;
40
41
42
43
               // Move to the next nodes in both halves
44
                secondHalfIterator = secondHalfIterator.next;
45
                firstHalfIterator = firstHalfIterator.next;
46
```

33 34 35 // Compare the reversed second half with the first half while (prevNode) { 36 37 // If the values are different, then it's not a palindrome if (prevNode->val != head->val) { 38

```
let slowPointer: ListNode | null = head;
       let fastPointer: ListNode | null = head?.next;
10
       // Traverse the list to find the middle
11
12
       while (fastPointer !== null && fastPointer.next !== null) {
13
           slowPointer = slowPointer.next;
           fastPointer = fastPointer.next.next;
14
15
16
       // Reverse the second half of the list
17
       let current: ListNode = slowPointer.next;
18
       slowPointer.next = null;
19
       let previous: ListNode = null;
20
       while (current !== null) {
21
22
           let temp: ListNode = current.next;
23
           current.next = previous;
24
           previous = current;
25
           current = temp;
26
28
       // Compare the two halves of the list
       while (previous !== null && head !== null) {
29
           if (previous.val !== head.val) {
30
               return false; // Values do not match, not a palindrome
           previous = previous.next;
           head = head.next;
34
35
36
       // If all values matched, then the list is a palindrome
       return true;
38
39 }
40
Time and Space Complexity
The code above checks if a given singly-linked list is a palindrome. Here is the analysis of its time and space complexity:
Time Complexity
```

After finding the middle of the list, the code reverses the second half of the linked list. This is another loop that runs from the middle to the end of the list, which is also 0(n/2) or simplifies to 0(n).

Finally, the code compares the values of nodes from the start of the list and the start of the reversed second half. This comparison stops when the end of the reversed half is reached, which is at most n/2 steps, so 0(n/2) or 0(n). The total time complexity is the sum of these steps, which are all linear with respect to the length of the linked list: 0(n) + 0(n) +

The algorithm uses two pointers (slow and fast) to find the middle of the linked list. The fast pointer moves two steps for every step

the slow pointer takes. This loop will run in O(n/2) time, which is O(n) where n is the number of nodes in the list.

O(n) which is O(3n) or simply O(n).

Space Complexity There are no additional data structures used that grow with the input size. The pointers and temporary variables use a constant

amount of space regardless of the size of the linked list. Therefore, the space complexity is 0(1), which means it is constant. So, the overall time complexity of the algorithm is O(n), and the space complexity is O(1).