1260. Shift 2D Grid Matrix Simulation Easy

## **Problem Description**

'shift' operation on the grid exactly k times, where each shift operation moves elements in the grid to their adjacent right position with wrapping around at the edges. This means that: An element at grid[i][j] moves to grid[i][j + 1].

In this problem, we are provided with a 2D grid that represents a matrix of size m x n and an integer k. The task is to perform a

- An element at the end of a row (grid[i][n 1]) moves to the starting of the next row (grid[i + 1][0]). • The bottom-right element (grid[m-1][n-1]) moves to the very first position of the grid (grid[0][0]).
- We need to return the grid as it looks after performing all k shifts.

To solve this problem, we aim to simulate the process of shifting elements. However, directly shifting elements in the grid k times

Intuition

would be inefficient, especially for large values of k. Instead, we can take advantage of the observation that after m \* n shifts, the grid will look exactly as it started (here, m \* n represents the total number of elements in the grid). By using this fact, we can calculate the effective number of shifts needed by taking the remainder of k divided by the total

number of elements m \* n (k % (m \* n)). This way, we minimize the number of shifts to no more than m \* n - 1. To implement the shifts, the solution takes the following steps:

Flatten the grid into a one-dimensional list t, thus simplifying the problem to shifting elements in an array.

performing a rotation. The remaining elements t[:-k] are then appended to the result of the previously rotated elements to form the new array after the shift. Finally, we map the elements back to the original grid shape  $(m \times n)$  by iterating through the matrix indices and assigning the

Since we are shifting to the right, we take the last k elements of the array t [-k:] and move them to the front, effectively

- values from the shifted list back into the grid.
- Solution Approach The solution implementation uses a straightforward approach to solve the problem by manipulating the grid as a one-dimensional

list and then reshaping it back to the 2D grid. Here's the breakdown of the implementation:

## First, we determine the size of the grid with $m_1$ , n = len(grid), len(grid[0]). This gives us the number of rows (m) and columns (n) in the grid.

grid[i][j] = t[i \* n + j]

dimensions 2  $\times$  3 and an integer k = 4.

Since the grid is effectively a circular data structure after m \* n shifts, we compute the effective shifts needed: k %= m \* n. This step uses the modulus operator to ensure we only shift the minimum required number of times as shifting m \* n times

- would return the grid to its original configuration. We then flatten the grid into a one-dimensional list t: t = [grid[i][j] for i in range(m) for j in range(n)]. This step uses list comprehension to traverse all elements in a row-major order.
- = t[-k:] + t[:-k]. Here, t[-k:] obtains the last k elements which will move to the front of the list, and t[:-k] gets the elements before the last k, which will now follow the shifted k elements.

The next step involves rotating the list (shifting the elements to the right by k places). This is accomplished with list slicing: t

traverse the rows and columns of the grid and set each element to its corresponding value from the rotated list: for i in range(m): for j in range(n):

Finally, we map the elements from the rotated list back to the 2D grid form. This is done using nested loops where we

 For each index (i, j) in the grid, we calculate the one-dimensional index using i \* n + j, which is then used to access the correct value from the list t. The modified grid after all k shifts is then returned.

and elegantly manages the wrapping around behavior by treating the 2D grid as a circular list.

**Determine Grid Size:** First, we recognize the grid has m = 2 rows and n = 3 columns.

6, which is k = 4 % 6 = 4. It means we only need to shift elements four positions to the right.

The algorithm makes use of simple list manipulations, modular arithmetic, and the efficiency of Python's list slicing operations to

provide a swift resolution to the grid shifting problem. It avoids the more computationally expensive direct simulation of the shifts

```
Example Walkthrough
  Let's illustrate the solution approach using a small example grid and a number of shifts k. Consider the following grid grid with
```

grid = [[1, 2, 3], [4, 5, 6]

Compute Effective Shifts: Since the total number of elements is m \* n = 6, we compute the effective number of shifts k =

**Rotate the List**: To simulate the shift operation, we perform a rotation on the list. Given k = 4, we slice and re-arrange the list:

```
Flatten the Grid: Next, we flatten the grid into a one-dimensional list.
t = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]
```

t[-k:] = [3, 4, 5, 6]

t[:-k] = [1, 2]

- After rotation, the list t will look like:
- Map Rotated List Back to Grid: Lastly, we convert the rotated list back into a 2D grid form using the formulas from our approach. This will restructure the list into rows and columns based on the grid's dimensions.

And that's how you apply the given solution to perform shift operations on a grid. The grid grid after four shifts turns out to be [

[3, 4, 5], [6, 1, 2]], which demonstrates the effectiveness of this method for moving elements to their right positions while

grid = [[3, 4, 5], [6, 1, 2]

grid[0][0] = t[0 \* 3 + 0] = t[0] = 3

grid[0][1] = t[0 \* 3 + 1] = t[1] = 4

grid[0][2] = t[0 \* 3 + 2] = t[2] = 5

grid[1][0] = t[1 \* 3 + 0] = t[3] = 6

grid[1][1] = t[1 \* 3 + 1] = t[4] = 1

grid[1][2] = t[1 \* 3 + 2] = t[5] = 2

The final grid after 4 shifts will be:

t = [3, 4, 5, 6] + [1, 2] = [3, 4, 5, 6, 1, 2]

```
Solution Implementation
 Python
```

k %= num\_rows \* num\_columns

# Number of rows and columns in the grid

# Return the modified grid after k shifts

num\_rows, num\_columns = len(grid), len(grid[0])

# Flatten the grid into a single list 'flattened\_grid'

def shiftGrid(self, grid: List[List[int]], k: int) -> List[List[int]]:

grid[row][col] = shifted\_grid[row \* num\_columns + col]

wrapping around the edges.

class Solution:

# Shift the flattened\_grid by k positions to the right shifted\_grid = flattened\_grid[-k:] + flattened\_grid[:-k] # Reconstruct the original grid structure with the new shifted positions for row in range(num\_rows): for col in range(num\_columns):

# Normalize k to be within the range of the number of elements in grid to avoid unnecessary full cycles

flattened\_grid = [grid[row][column] for row in range(num\_rows) for column in range(num\_columns)]

```
class Solution {
    public List<List<Integer>> shiftGrid(int[][] grid, int k) {
       // Get the dimensions of the grid.
```

return result;

C++

public:

**}**;

**TypeScript** 

#include <vector>

class Solution {

return grid

Java

```
int rowCount = grid.length;
int colCount = grid[0].length;
// Ensure the number of shifts 'k' is within the grid's boundary.
k %= (rowCount * colCount);
// Create a result list that will contain the shifted grid.
List<List<Integer>> result = new ArrayList<>();
// Initialize the result list with zeros in preparation for value assignment.
for (int row = 0; row < rowCount; ++row) {</pre>
    List<Integer> newRow = new ArrayList<>();
    for (int col = 0; col < colCount; ++col) {</pre>
        newRow.add(0);
    result.add(newRow);
// Iterate over each element in the grid to compute its new position after shifting.
for (int row = 0; row < rowCount; ++row) {</pre>
    for (int col = 0; col < colCount; ++col) {</pre>
        // Compute the one-dimensional equivalent index of the current position.
        int currentOneDIndex = row * colCount + col;
        // Compute the new one-dimensional index after shifting 'k' times.
        int newOneDIndex = (currentOneDIndex + k) % (rowCount * colCount);
        // Convert the one-dimensional index back to two-dimensional grid coordinates.
```

```
for (int col = 0; col < columns; ++col) {</pre>
        // Calculating the new position of each element after shifting 'k' times.
        int newPosition = (row * columns + col + k) % (rows * columns);
        // Placing the element in its new position in the result grid.
        shiftedGrid[newPosition / columns][newPosition % columns] = grid[row][col];
// Returning the result grid after performing the shifts.
```

function shiftGrid(grid: number[][], k: number): number[][] {

// Creating a new 2D vector to store the answer.

// Iterating over each element of the grid.

for (int row = 0; row < rows; ++row) {</pre>

// Extracting the number of rows and columns of the grid.

int newRow = newOneDIndex / colCount;

int newCol = newOneDIndex % colCount;

// Return the result which contains the shifted grid.

// Function to shift the elements of a 2D grid.

int rows = grid.size();

return shiftedGrid;

// Return the newly shifted grid

k %= num rows \* num columns

Time and Space Complexity

**Time Complexity** 

**Space Complexity** 

# Number of rows and columns in the grid

num\_rows, num\_columns = len(grid), len(grid[0])

# Flatten the grid into a single list 'flattened\_grid'

# Shift the flattened\_grid by k positions to the right

shifted\_grid = flattened\_grid[-k:] + flattened\_grid[:-k]

return shiftedGrid;

class Solution:

int columns = grid[0].size();

result.get(newRow).set(newCol, grid[row][col]);

// Assign the current value to its new position in the result list.

std::vector<std::vector<int>> shiftGrid(std::vector<std::vector<int>>& grid, int k) {

std::vector<std::vector<int>> shiftedGrid(rows, std::vector<int>(columns));

```
// m denotes the number of rows in the grid
const numRows = grid.length;
// n denotes the number of columns in the grid
const numCols = grid[0].length;
// Total number of elements in the grid
const totalSize = numRows * numCols;
// Normalize k to prevent unnecessary rotations
k %= totalSize;
// If no shift is required or the grid is effectively 1x1, return the original grid
if (k === 0 || totalSize <= 1) return grid;</pre>
// Flatten the 2D grid into a 1D array
const flatArray = grid.flat();
// Initialize the answer array with the same structure as the original grid
let shiftedGrid = Array.from({ length: numRows }, () => new Array(numCols));
// Fill the new grid by shifting the elements according to 'k'
for (let i = 0, shiftIndex = totalSize - k; i < totalSize; i++) {</pre>
   // Calculate the new position for the element
    shiftedGrid[Math.floor(i / numCols)][i % numCols] = flatArray[shiftIndex];
```

# Normalize k to be within the range of the number of elements in grid to avoid unnecessary full cycles

flattened\_grid = [grid[row][column] for row in range(num\_rows) for column in range(num\_columns)]

```
for row in range(num_rows):
    for col in range(num_columns):
        grid[row][col] = shifted_grid[row * num_columns + col]
# Return the modified grid after k shifts
return grid
```

The given Python code performs a shift operation on a 2D grid by k places.

# Reconstruct the original grid structure with the new shifted positions

// Update the shiftIndex to cycle through the flatArray

def shiftGrid(self, grid: List[List[int]], k: int) -> List[List[int]]:

shiftIndex = shiftIndex === totalSize - 1 ? 0 : shiftIndex + 1;

The time complexity of the code is determined by several factors: Calculating the total number of cells in the grid, which is m \* n. This is constant-time operation 0(1).

- Flattening the grid into a 1D list, which involves iterating through all the cells. This takes 0(m \* n) time. Slicing the list to perform the shift operation. The list slicing operation in Python takes O(k) time in the worst case, where k is
- the number of elements being sliced. In this case, it's also 0(m \* n) because k is at most m \* n after the modulo operation. Iterating through the grid again to place the shifted values back into the 2D grid. This is another 0(m \* n) operation.
- Combining these steps, the overall time complexity is 0(m \* n) because all other operations are constant time or also 0(m \* n).

The space complexity is determined by the additional space used by the algorithm, not including the space used by the input and output. Storing the flattened grid as a 1D list t requires 0(m \* n) space.

```
The space needed for storing shifted list slices before concatenation is also 0(m * n).
Overall, the space complexity is 0(m * n) due to the additional list created to store the grid elements.
```