

Problem Description

1. Your task is to calculate the number of ways you can split the given array into "good" subarrays. Because the resulting number could be very large, you have to return this number modulo 10^9 + 7. It's important to remember that a subarray is considered nonempty, meaning it must have at least one element.

You are given an array of binary numbers, nums. A "good" subarray is defined as a contiguous part of nums that contains exactly one

Intuition

The solution's intuitive approach relies on counting contiguous segments of zeros between ones. For each segment of zeros between ones, you can create multiple subarrays that include the single one. The number of ways to split on the left side of a '1' depends on the number of zeros before it. More precisely, you multiply ans with the number of elements between the current '1' and the previous one, because each of these elements represents a point where the subarray could start.

• Initialize a variable to keep track of the previous index where 1 was found. Let's call this j, and we start with j = -1, which indicates there's no 1 found yet.

Here's how we approach the solution:

- Iterate through the given array, and each time we find a 1, we calculate the number of ways using the difference between the current index i and j.
- If j is set (not -1), it means we have found a 1 previously, and we should multiply the current count (ans) with the number of elements between the current and the previous 1 (which is i - j). • Take modulo 10^9 + 7 with the result of the multiplication to ensure the number does not exceed the limit after each operation.
- If we never find a 1 (j stays −1), return 0 since it's impossible to form any "good" subarrays. Otherwise, if at least one 1 is found, return the final count ans.

The code implements a simple yet effective approach to solve the problem using a single pass through the input array and arithmetic

Solution Approach

operations. The core idea is based on combinatorics, considering the number of zeros between ones.

Here's a step-by-step breakdown of the algorithm:

1. Variables:

○ mod: A constant representing the value 10***9 + 7, used for taking the modulo to keep the count within integer limits.

ans: It acts as a running total for the number of ways we can split the array into "good" subarrays. Initialized to 1.

∘ j: An index marker to remember the position of the last 1 encountered in the array. Initialized to -1 to indicate that no 1 has

3. When encountering a 1 (x == 1):

- been seen at the start. 2. Loop:
- We iterate over the array with an index i and value x using enumerate(nums). \circ Within the loop, if we encounter a zero (x == 0), we simply continue to the next iteration as zeros between ones do not change the count directly but affect the number of ways to split before the next one.
- - ∘ Check if j is greater than -1, which would mean this is not the first 1. If so, compute the product of the current ans and the difference (i - j), representing the number of zeroes between the previous 1 and the current. This product represents the

number of new "good" subarrays we can now form.

- We then take the result modulo mod to ensure the number stays within the required limit. 4. Update j: Regardless of whether it's the first 1 or a subsequent one, we update j to the current index i right after the above
- ∘ After the loop, we check if j is −1. If it is, it implies that the array contained no 1 to create "good" subarrays, so we return 0.
- to the total count of subarray splits by providing multiple starting points for the subarrays. By updating the count at each 1, we

computation.

5. Final check and return:

Example Walkthrough

This solution leverages the pattern that each one in the array "resets" the possibility counter, and the zeros preceding one contribute

∘ If j is not -1, then we return the value of ans as the final result as it contains the total count modulo 10**9 + 7.

harness the potential of previous zeros, thus avoiding the need for nested loops and reducing the overall time complexity.

of ways we can split this array into "good" subarrays, which are subarrays containing exactly one 1. Following the solution approach:

Let's illustrate the solution with a small example. Consider the input array nums = [0, 1, 0, 0, 1]. We want to calculate the number

1. Initialize mod = 10**9 + 7, ans = 1, and j = -1.

2. Begin looping through nums with index i and value x:

 \circ At i = 0, x = 0. Since it's a zero, we continue to the next iteration. \circ At i = 1, x = 1. This is the first 1 we've encountered, so we don't multiply ans by (i - j). We simply update j = 1. \circ At i = 2, x = 0. It's a zero, so again, we continue to the next iteration.

 \circ At i = 4, x = 1. We now have j = 1 from the previous 1. So, ans is multiplied by (i - j), which is (4 - 1) = 3. This gives us

ans = ans * 3 % mod = 1 * 3 % mod = 3, since there are three ways to split with zeros between the two 1s. We then update j = 4.

3. Looping is complete, now we check j:

[1, 0] from the subarray [0, 1, 0]

behind the algorithm in a straightforward manner.

MODULUS = 10**9 + 7

if number == 0:

continue;

if (lastNonZeroIndex > -1) {

// Otherwise, return the computed result

return lastNonZeroIndex == -1 ? 0 : result;

lastNonZeroIndex = i;

[1] from the subarray [0, 1]

○ In our example, j is not -1 (it's 4), which means we have "good" subarrays.

 \circ At i = 3, x = 0. Since it's a zero, we proceed to the next iteration.

[1, 0, 0] from the subarray [0, 1, 0, 0]

The key to understanding the approach is recognizing how the "good" subarrays can only start after the previous 1 up until the next

1. Hence, the (i - j) multiplication effectively captures the valid "good" subarray starts. This example demonstrates the principle

4. Finally, we would return ans. The final answer is 3, representing the number of ways to split the array into "good" subarrays:

Python Solution from typing import List class Solution:

def numberOfGoodSubarraySplits(self, nums: List[int]) -> int:

Define the modulus constant to avoid magic numbers,

as required in some competitive programming problems.

Loop through the list of numbers with their indices.

Skip zero values as they do not contribute to "good" subarray splits.

// If we have encountered a non-zero element before, update the result

result = (int) ((long) result * (i - lastNonZeroIndex) % mod);

// Update the 'lastNonZeroIndex' with the current index

// If no non-zero elements were found, return 0 (no good splits)

// The number of ways the array can be split increases by the distance between

// the current non-zero element and the immediate previous non-zero element

for current_index, number in enumerate(nums):

8 9 # Initialize the answer with 1 since there's always at least one "good" subarray # if there's at least one non-zero number in the input. 10 11 answer = 112 # Initialize the previous non-zero index as -1 (indicating not found yet). 13 prev_non_zero_index = -1

19 continue 20 21 # If we've found a non-zero before, we calculate the product of the answer 22 # and the distance between the current non-zero and the previous non-zero. # We apply the modulus to keep the numbers manageable. 23

14

15

16

17

18

24

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

31

33

34

35

33 };

Typescript Solution

let answer = 1;

let prevNonZeroIndex = −1;

function numberOfGoodSubarraySplits(nums: number[]): number {

// Initialize the previous non-zero index 'j' with -1

// Initialize the answer with 1 as a default for the first valid split

34

```
if prev_non_zero_index > -1:
                    answer = (answer * (current_index - prev_non_zero_index)) % MODULUS
25
26
27
               # Update the index of the previous non-zero number.
               prev_non_zero_index = current_index
           # If no non-zero was found (prev_non_zero_index is still -1), return 0.
           # Otherwise, return the computed answer.
31
32
            return 0 if prev_non_zero_index == -1 else answer
33
Java Solution
 1 class Solution {
       // Method to calculate the number of good subarray splits in a given array.
       public int numberOfGoodSubarraySplits(int[] nums) {
           // Modulus to ensure the result fits within integer limits
           final int mod = (int) 1e9 + 7;
           // Initialize the result with 1 since there's always at least one way to split (i.e., the whole array itself)
           int result = 1;
 9
10
11
           // Initialize 'lastNonZeroIndex' to keep track of the last non-zero element seen
12
           int lastNonZeroIndex = -1;
13
14
           // Iterate over the array
15
           for (int i = 0; i < nums.length; ++i) {</pre>
               // Skip if the current element is zero
16
               if (nums[i] == 0) {
17
```

```
36 }
37
C++ Solution
   #include <vector>
  using namespace std;
   class Solution {
   public:
       // Function to compute the number of good subarray splits in the given array.
       int numberOfGoodSubarraySplits(vector<int>& nums) {
            const int MODULO = 1e9 + 7; // A constant for modulo operation to avoid overflow.
           int totalGoodSplits = 1; // Initialize the result to start from 1.
10
           int lastNonZeroIndex = -1; // Keep track of the last non-zero index encountered.
12
13
           // Iterate through all elements in the array.
           for (int i = 0; i < nums.size(); ++i) {</pre>
14
               // Skip processing if the current element is 0.
15
               if (nums[i] == 0) {
16
                   continue;
18
19
               // If this is not the first non-zero element,
20
               // multiply the total number of good splits by the distance to the previous non-zero element.
21
22
               if (lastNonZeroIndex > -1) {
                    totalGoodSplits = static_cast<long long>(totalGoodSplits) * (i - lastNonZeroIndex) % MODULO;
24
25
26
               // Update the last non-zero index to the current index.
               lastNonZeroIndex = i;
30
           // If there were no non-zero elements found, return 0. Otherwise, return the number of good splits.
31
           return lastNonZeroIndex == -1 ? 0 : totalGoodSplits;
32
```

```
// Define the modulus value for large number handling
       const MODULUS = 10 ** 9 + 7;
       // Get the length of the input array
       const arrayLength = nums.length;
10
       // Iterate through every element in the given array
       for (let currentIndex = 0; currentIndex < arrayLength; ++currentIndex) {</pre>
12
           // Continue to next iteration if the current element is zero
13
           if (nums[currentIndex] === 0) {
14
15
               continue;
16
           // If a non-zero element was already found,
           // calculate the product of the number of elements between the current and previous non-zero elements.
           if (prevNonZeroIndex > -1) {
19
               answer = (answer * (currentIndex - prevNonZeroIndex)) % MODULUS;
20
21
           // Update the previous non-zero index to the current index
23
           prevNonZeroIndex = currentIndex;
24
25
       // Return 0 if no non-zero elements were found; otherwise, return the answer
26
       return prevNonZeroIndex === -1 ? 0 : answer;
Time and Space Complexity
Time Complexity
```

The given Python code defines a function numberOfGoodSubarraySplits that iterates through the entire list nums just once. The

operations performed within the loop are constant-time operations: basic arithmetic operations, a modulus operation, a conditional statement, and variable assignments.

• Modulus operation: Also a constant-time operation, i.e., 0(1). • Conditional statement check (if statements): Checking a condition is a constant-time operation, i.e., 0(1). Since these are all done in a single pass over the list, we are looking at 0(n) * 0(1), which simplifies to 0(n).

• Arithmetic operations: These are constant-time operations within the loop, i.e., 0(1).

• Enumerating over the list nums: O(n), where n is the number of elements in nums.

- Therefore, the overall time complexity of the function is O(n). **Space Complexity**
- The space complexity of the function is determined by the amount of additional memory used by the function as the size of the input changes.
- Variables ans, j, mod: All use a fixed amount of space regardless of the input size, i.e., 0(1). • The input list nums: Since the input is not altered and no additional data structures are used that grow with the input size, this
 - does not add to the space complexity. • Loop variables i, x: they also use a fixed amount of space, i.e., 0(1).

Since there are no additional data structures used that scale with the input size, the space complexity is simply the space required for the fixed-size variables, which is 0(1).

Overall, the space complexity of the function is 0(1).