29. Divide Two Integers

Bit Manipulation

Problem Description

Medium

operator. The result should be the integer part of the quotient, with the division result truncated towards zero, meaning that any fractional part is disregarded. This operation should be handled with care as the problem also specifies dealing with overflows by capping the return value at 32-bit signed integer limits. In essence, we are to implement a form of division that replicates how integer division works in programming languages where

The problem at hand requires us to divide two integers, dividend and divisor, without using multiplication, division, and mod

the result is truncated towards zero, ensuring we work within the 32-bit integer range. We have to be cautious, as the direct operations that normally achieve this (/, *, %) are not permitted.

Intuition

The intuition behind the solution is to use a subtraction-based approach where we keep subtracting the divisor from the dividend and count how many times we can do this until the dividend is less than the divisor. This is a valid first step but not efficient enough for large numbers, which is where bit manipulation comes in handy.

divided by 2, it means how many times we can subtract 2 from 10 until we reach a number less than 2.

The solution relies on the concept of subtraction and bit manipulation to accomplish the division. Since we can't use the division

operator, we think about what division actually means. Division is essentially repeated subtraction. For instance, when we say 10

To improve efficiency, instead of subtracting the divisor once at a time, we exponentially increase the subtracted amount by left shifting the divisor (which is equivalent to multiplying by powers of 2) and subtract this from the dividend if possible. This approach is much faster, as left shifting effectively doubles the subtracted amount each time, allowing us to subtract large

chunks in logarithmic time compared to a linear approach.

The whole process loops, increasing the amount being subtracted each time (as long as the double of the current subtraction amount is still less than or equal to the remaining dividend) and adding the corresponding power of 2 to our total. This loop represents a divide and conquer strategy that works through the problem using bit-level operations to mimic standard division

while ensuring the result stays within the specified integer range. Time and space complexity is considered, especially since we are working within a constrained environment that doesn't allow typical operations. The time complexity here is O(log(a) * log(b)), with 'a' being the dividend and 'b' the divisor. This complexity arises because the algorithm processes parts of the dividend in a time proportional to the logarithm of its size, and likewise for

a fixed number of variables regardless of the size of the inputs. Solution Approach The approach to solving the division problem without multiplication, division, or mod operator involves a few key steps and

the divisor since the subtraction step is proportional to its logarithm as well. The space complexity is constant, O(1), since we use

negative. We define the variable sign and use a simple comparison to set its value to -1 for a negative result or 1 for a positive one. • The actual division operation will be conducted on the absolute values of the dividend and divisor.

Handle Signs:

Initialize Variables: ∘ Set INT_MAX as (1 << 31) - 1, which represents the maximum positive value for a 32-bit integer.

First, we need to handle the sign of the quotient. If the dividend and divisor have the same sign, the result is positive; otherwise, it's

Bitwise Shift for Division:

■ Reduce the dividend by divisor << cnt.

Initialize the quotient tot to 0.

Initialize a counter cnt with 0.

Here's a step-by-step walkthrough of the implementation:

the current dividend. • After finding the maximum amount by which we can multiply the divisor without exceeding the dividend, we add 1 << cnt to our</p> running total tot. This is equivalent to adding 2^{cnt}.

• We start a loop where we continue to subtract the divisor from the dividend until dividend is smaller than divisor. For each subtraction:

■ Inside an inner loop, left shift the divisor by cnt + 1 positions, effectively multiplying the divisor by 2 each time, until it would exceed

Finalizing Result: Multiply the tot by the sign to apply the correct sign to the result.

Example Walkthrough

2. Initialize Variables:

This algorithm effectively simulates division by breaking it down into a combination of subtraction and left bitwise shift operations, replicating multiplication by powers of 2. It's a logarithmic solution in the sense that it reduces the problem size by

the divisor. Space complexity is 0(1) since the number of variables used does not scale with input size.

If the result is within the range [INT_MIN, INT_MAX], return the result.

Handle potential integer overflow by comparing the result against INT_MAX and INT_MIN:

utilizes simple yet powerful concepts of bit manipulation to efficiently find the quotient.

Set INT_MIN as -(1 << 31), representing the minimum negative value for a 32-bit integer.

The pattern used here can be thought of as a "divide and conquer" as well as "bit manipulation". By using these principles, we can efficiently and accurately divide two integers in a constrained environment.

INT_MAX is set as (1 << 31) - 1 and INT_MIN is set as -(1 << 31).

• Begin the loop to subtract divisor from dividend.

• With the new dividend of 4, repeat the process:

If the result exceeds the range, return INT_MAX.

1. Handle Signs: • Both the dividend (10) and divisor (3) are positive, so our result will also be positive. Thus, sign = 1.

Let's walk through a small example to illustrate the solution approach as described. Suppose our dividend is 10 and our divisor

is 3. We need to find out how many times we can subtract 3 from 10, with the operations restricted as per the problem statement.

approximately half with each recursive subtraction, hence the $0(\log a * \log b)$ time complexity, where a is the dividend and b is

 Initialize total quotient tot = 0. 3. Bitwise Shift for Division:

Add 1 << cnt which is 1 << 1 or 2 to tot. ○ Subtract divisor << cnt which is 3 << 1 or 6 from dividend. Now, dividend = 10 - 6 = 4.

∘ Subtract divisor << cnt (which is 3) from dividend. Now, dividend = 4 - 3 = 1.

On the second iteration, cnt = 0.3 << cnt + 1 is 6, which is greater than 4. So we can't shift cnt to 1 this time.

On the first iteration, cnt = 0. We check if (divisor << cnt + 1) <= dividend:

■ 3 << 2 would be 12, which exceeds 10. So, we can stop at cnt = 1.

■ 3 << 0 is 3, and 3 << 1 (which is 6) is still <= 10 (dividend).

Because dividend is now less than divisor, we can conclude our calculation. Since we began with tot = 0 and added 2 first and then 1 to it, we have tot = 3.

Python

 $INT_MIN = -2**31$

dividend = abs(dividend)

Initialize the total quotient

total_quotient += 1 << count</pre>

dividend -= divisor << count</pre>

public int divide(int dividend, int divisor) {

if ((dividend < 0) != (divisor < 0)) {</pre>

while (longDividend >= longDivisor) {

// Use long to avoid integer overflow issues

long longDivisor = Math.abs((long) divisor);

longDividend -= longDivisor << count;</pre>

function divide(dividend: number, divisor: number): number {

// and to avoid precision issues with bitwise operations

let absDividend: number = Math.abs(dividend);

let absDivisor: number = Math.abs(divisor);

result += Math.pow(2, shiftCount);

def divide(self, dividend: int, divisor: int) -> int:

sign = -1 if (dividend * divisor) < 0 else 1

while (absDividend >= absDivisor) {

shiftCount++;

// Apply the sign of the result

result *= resultSign;

 $INT_MAX = 2**31 - 1$

 $INT_MIN = -2**31$

let result: number = 0; // Initialize result

// Loop until the dividend is smaller than divisor

// Determine sign of the result based on the signs of dividend and divisor

while (absDividend >= (absDivisor * Math.pow(2, shiftCount + 1))) {

// Accumulate the quotient by the power of 2 corresponding to the shift count

let shiftCount: number = 0; // Count how many times the divisor has been multiplied by 2

// Find the largest multiple of 2 for divisor that is still less than or equal to dividend

// Handle overflow by returning the maximum safe integer value if the result is not within 32-bit signed integer range

let resultSign: number = (dividend < 0) ^ (divisor < 0) ? -1 : 1;</pre>

// Use number to accommodate for JavaScript's safe integer range

// Decrease dividend by the found multiple of the divisor

Define the boundaries for an integer (32-bit signed integer)

Decrease dividend by the matched part which we just calculated

absDividend -= absDivisor * Math.pow(2, shiftCount);

long longDividend = Math.abs((long) dividend);

// This will accumulate the result of the division

// Loop to find how many times the divisor can be subtracted from the dividend

// Double the divisor until it is less than or equal to the dividend

// Add the number of times we could double the divisor to the total

// Subtract the final doubled divisor value from the dividend

// This counter will keep track of the number of left shifts

while (longDividend >= (longDivisor << (count + 1))) {</pre>

// Determine the sign of the result

Multiply the result by the sign

result = sign * total_quotient

if result < INT_MIN:</pre>

else:

class Solution {

return INT_MIN

elif result > INT_MAX:

return INT_MAX

return result

int sign = 1;

sign = -1;

long total = 0;

int count = 0;

count++;

total += 1L << count;

divisor = abs(divisor)

total_quotient = 0

4. Finalizing Result:

 Check for overflow, which isn't the case here, so the final result is 3. Thus, dividing 10 by 3 yields a quotient of 3 using this approach. Since we are only concerned with the integer part of the

sign = -1 if (dividend * divisor) < 0 else 1

Work with positive values for both dividend and divisor

Decrease dividend by the matched part which we just calculated

Multiply tot by sign. Since sign = 1, the result remains tot = 3.

Add 1 << cnt which is 1 to tot. Now, tot = 2 + 1 = 3.

Solution Implementation

the process by allowing us to subtract larger powers of 2 wherever possible.

class Solution: def divide(self, dividend: int, divisor: int) -> int: # Define the boundaries for an integer (32-bit signed integer) $INT_MAX = 2**31 - 1$

Loop to find how many times the divisor can fit into the dividend while dividend >= divisor: # Count will keep track of the number of times we can double the divisor while still being less than or equal to divi count = 0 # Double the divisor as much as possible without exceeding the dividend while dividend >= (divisor << (count + 1)):</pre> count += 1# Increment total_quotient by the number of times we doubled the divisor

Check and correct for overflow: if result is out of the 32-bit signed integer range, clamp it to INT_MAX

Determine the sign of the output. If dividend and divisor have different signs, result will be negative

division, the remainder is disregarded, aligning with the truncation towards zero rule. The bit manipulation significantly speeds up

Java

```
// Multiply the sign back into the total
        long result = sign * total;
       // Handle overflow cases by clamping to the Integer range
        if (result >= Integer.MIN_VALUE && result <= Integer.MAX_VALUE) {</pre>
            return (int) result;
        // If the result is still outside the range, return the max integer value
        return Integer.MAX_VALUE;
C++
class Solution {
public:
    int divide(int dividend, int divisor) {
       // Determine sign of the result based on the signs of dividend and divisor
        int resultSign = (dividend < 0) ^ (divisor < 0) ? -1 : 1;
        // Use long long to avoid overflow issues for abs(INT32_MIN)
        long long absDividend = abs(static_cast<long long>(dividend));
        long long absDivisor = abs(static_cast<long long>(divisor));
        long long result = 0; // Initialize result
       // Loop until the dividend is smaller than divisor
       while (absDividend >= absDivisor) {
            int shiftCount = 0; // Count how many times the divisor has been left-shifted
            // Find the largest shift where the shifted divisor is smaller than or equal to dividend
            while (absDividend >= (absDivisor << (shiftCount + 1))) {</pre>
                ++shiftCount;
            // Add to the result the number represented by the bit at the found position
            result += 1ll << shiftCount;
            // Reduce dividend by the found multiple of divisor
            absDividend -= absDivisor << shiftCount;</pre>
        // Apply sign of result
        result *= resultSign;
       // Handle overflow by returning INT32_MAX if the result is not within int range
        if (result >= INT32_MIN && result <= INT32_MAX) {</pre>
            return static_cast<int>(result);
        return INT32_MAX;
};
TypeScript
// Global function for division without using division operator
```

if (result $>= -(2 ** 31) \&\& result <= (2 ** 31) - 1) {$ return Math.trunc(result); return (2 ** 31) - 1;

class Solution:

Work with positive values for both dividend and divisor dividend = abs(dividend) divisor = abs(divisor) # Initialize the total quotient total_quotient = 0 # Loop to find how many times the divisor can fit into the dividend while dividend >= divisor: # Count will keep track of the number of times we can double the divisor while still being less than or equal to dividend count = 0# Double the divisor as much as possible without exceeding the dividend while dividend >= (divisor << (count + 1)):</pre> count += 1# Increment total_quotient by the number of times we doubled the divisor total_quotient += 1 << count</pre>

Check and correct for overflow: if result is out of the 32-bit signed integer range, clamp it to INT_MAX

Determine the sign of the output. If dividend and divisor have different signs, result will be negative

Time Complexity The time complexity of the given code is $O(\log(a) * \log(b))$. This is because in the first while loop, we're checking if a is greater

dividend -= divisor << count</pre>

Multiply the result by the sign

result = sign * total_quotient

if result < INT_MIN:</pre>

return INT_MIN

elif result > INT_MAX:

return INT_MAX

return result

Time and Space Complexity

else:

than or equal to b, which requires O(log(a)) time since in each iteration a is reduced roughly by a factor of two or more. The inner while loop is responsible for finding the largest shift of b that a can handle, which will execute at most 0(log(b)) times, as shifting b left by one doubles its value, and cnt increases until a is no longer greater than b shifted by cnt + 1. Therefore, these

two loops combined yield the time complexity mentioned. **Space Complexity**

The space complexity of the given code is 0(1). Only a fixed number of integer variables sign, tot, and cnt are used, which do not depend on the size of the input. Hence, the space used is constant.