

**Problem Description** The problem presents the task of determining if a given string s follows the same pattern as given by a string pattern. Each letter in pattern corresponds to a non-empty word in s, and the relationship between the letters and the words must be a bijection. This

# means every character in the pattern should map to a uniquely associated word in s and vice versa, with no two letters mapping to

the same word and no two words mapping to the same letter.

Intuition The key to solving this problem is to maintain a mapping between the characters of the pattern and the words in s. We can achieve

this by using two hash tables: one table (d1) to map characters to words and another (d2) to map words to characters.

the pattern cannot be followed, and we can return false immediately. After checking this length criterion, we proceed to iterate over

We start by splitting the string s into words. The number of words in s should match the number of characters in the pattern; if not,

the characters and words in parallel. For every character-word pair, we check:

1. If the current character is already mapped to a different word in d1, the pattern is broken.

- 2. Conversely, if the current word is already mapped to a different character in d2, the pattern is also broken.
- If none of the above cases are true, we record the mapping in both directions (d1 and d2). This enforces the bijection principle. If we can complete this process without conflicts, then the string s successfully follows the pattern defined by pattern, and we return

true. **Solution Approach** 

# 1. We begin by splitting the input string s using the split() method, which returns a list of words. This list is stored in a variable ws.

2. We immediately check if the number of words in ws is equal to the length of the pattern. If there's a mismatch, we return false

does not follow the pattern, and we return false.

number of words in s, assuming that the hash operations are constant time.

The solution follows a straightforward approach using hash tables. Here's how it works, step by step:

- as it's not possible for s to follow the pattern if the number of elements doesn't match.
- 3. We declare two dictionaries, d1 and d2. d1 will keep track of the letter-to-word mappings, and d2 will keep track of word-to-letter mappings. They help us verify that each letter in pattern maps to exactly one word in s and each word in s maps to exactly one letter in pattern.
- 4. We use the built-in zip function to iterate over the characters in pattern and the words in ws simultaneously. For each character a and word b: We check if a is already a key in d1. If a is already mapped to a word, and that word is not b, we have a conflict, meaning s
- o Similarly, we check if b is already a key in d2. If b is already mapped to a character, and that character is not a, we again have a conflict, and we return false. 5. If neither of the above conflicts arises, we map character a to word b in d1 and word b to character a in d2.
- 6. If we can iterate over all character-word pairs without encountering any conflicts, the function returns true, indicating that the string s does follow the given pattern.
- This approach cleverly uses the properties of hash tables: constant time complexity for insertions and lookups (on average). By maintaining two separate mappings and checking for existing mappings at each step, we ensure a bijection between the characters

of pattern and the words in s. This algorithm has a time complexity of O(n), where n is the number of characters in pattern or the

**Example Walkthrough** To illustrate the solution approach, let's consider an example where the pattern string is "abba" and the string s is "dog cat cat

# 2. Next, we check whether the length of ws matches the length of pattern. Here, they both have a length of 4, so we can proceed

(a, dog)

with the mapping process.

dog".

3. We initialize two dictionaries:  $d1 = \{\}$  and  $d2 = \{\}$ . 4. Start iterating through the pairs generated by zip("abba", ["dog", "cat", "cat", "dog"]), which gives us:

(b, cat)

6. For the second pair (b, cat), b is not a key in d1 and cat is not a key in d2, so we likewise add them:

5. For the first pair (a, dog), a is not a key in d1 and dog is not a key in d2, so we add them to our dictionaries:

1. We begin by splitting the string s into words, which gives us ws = ["dog", "cat", "cat", "dog"].

(b, cat) (a, dog)

```
o d1 = {'a': 'dog', 'b': 'cat'}
    o d2 = {'dog': 'a', 'cat': 'b'}
7. For the third pair (b, cat), b is already in d1 and it maps to cat, and cat is in d2 and it maps to b, so no conflict occurs.
```

o d1 = {'a': 'dog'}

o d2 = {'dog': 'a'}

8. Finally, for the fourth pair (a, dog), a is already in d1 and it maps to dog, and dog is in d2 and it maps to a, so again no conflict occurs.

indeed follow the given pattern. Therefore, our function should return true for this example.

# Split the input string on whitespace to get individual words

# If the pattern length and word count are different, they don't match

if (char in char\_to\_word\_map and char\_to\_word\_map[char] != word) or \

(word in word\_to\_char\_map and word\_to\_char\_map[word] != char):

words = str\_sequence.split()

return False

char currentChar = pattern.charAt(i);

charToWordMap.put(currentChar, currentWord);

wordToCharMap.put(currentWord, currentChar);

// If no inconsistencies are found, return true

String currentWord = words[i];

return false;

// Update the mappings

return false;

return true;

patternToWord[patternChar] = currentWord;

wordToPattern[currentWord] = patternChar;

// If all pattern characters and words match up, return true

char\_to\_word\_map = {}

word\_to\_char\_map = {}

**Python Solution** class Solution: def wordPattern(self, pattern: str, str\_sequence: str) -> bool:

Since we have iterated through all character-word pairs without encountering any conflicts, we can conclude that the string s does

if len(pattern) != len(words): return False 9 # Initialize dictionaries to store character-to-word map and word-to-character map

### # Iterate over the pattern and the corresponding words together 14 for char, word in zip(pattern, words): 15 # If the character is already mapped to a different word, 16 # or the word is already mapped to a different character, return False

12

13

19

20

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

30

31

37

38

39

40

41

43

44

45

46

```
21
22
               # Add the mappings to both dictionaries
               char_to_word_map[char] = word
24
               word_to_char_map[word] = char
25
26
           # If no mismatches are found, pattern and words match - return True
27
           return True
28
Java Solution
   class Solution {
       public boolean wordPattern(String pattern, String s) {
           // Split the s string into individual words
           String[] words = s.split(" ");
           // If the number of characters in the pattern does not match the number of words, return false
           if (pattern.length() != words.length) {
               return false;
10
           // Initialize two dictionaries to track the mappings from characters to words and vice versa
11
           Map<Character, String> charToWordMap = new HashMap<>();
12
           Map<String, Character> wordToCharMap = new HashMap<>();
13
14
15
           // Iterate over the pattern
           for (int i = 0; i < words.length; ++i) {</pre>
16
```

// If the current mapping from char to word or word to char does not exist or is inconsistent, return false

if (!charToWordMap.getOrDefault(currentChar, currentWord).equals(currentWord) || wordToCharMap.getOrDefault(currentWord,

## 32 33 }

return true;

```
34
C++ Solution
  #include <sstream>
2 #include <vector>
  #include <string>
   #include <unordered_map>
   class Solution {
  public:
       // Determines if a pattern matches the words in a string
8
       bool wordPattern(string pattern, string str) {
9
           // Utilize istringstream to split the string into words
10
           istringstream strStream(str);
11
12
           vector<string> words;
13
           string word;
14
15
           // Splitting the string by whitespaces
           while (strStream >> word) {
16
               words.push_back(word);
18
19
20
           // If the number of pattern characters and words do not match, return false
21
           if (pattern.size() != words.size()) {
22
               return false;
23
24
25
           // Create mappings to keep track of the pattern to word relationships
26
           unordered_map<char, string> patternToWord;
27
           unordered_map<string, char> wordToPattern;
28
29
           // Iterate through the pattern and corresponding words
           for (int i = 0; i < words.size(); ++i) {</pre>
30
               char patternChar = pattern[i];
31
32
               string currentWord = words[i];
33
34
               // Check if the current pattern character has already been mapped to a different word
               // or the current word has been mapped to a different pattern character
35
               if ((patternToWord.count(patternChar) && patternToWord[patternChar] != currentWord) ||
36
```

(wordToPattern.count(currentWord) && wordToPattern[currentWord] != patternChar)) {

// Map the current pattern character to the current word and vice versa

```
49 };
50
Typescript Solution
1 // Checks if a string pattern matches a given string sequence.
2 // Each letter in the pattern corresponds to a word in the s string.
   function wordPattern(pattern: string, s: string): boolean {
       // Split the string s into an array of words.
       const words = s.split(' ');
       // If the number of elements in the pattern does not match the number of words, return false.
       if (pattern.length !== words.length) {
           return false;
10
11
12
       // Initialize two maps to store the character-to-word and word-to-character correspondences.
       const charToWordMap = new Map<string, string>();
13
       const wordToCharMap = new Map<string, string>();
14
15
16
       // Iterate over the pattern.
17
       for (let i = 0; i < pattern.length; ++i) {</pre>
           const char = pattern[i]; // Current character from the pattern.
18
           const word = words[i]; // Current word from the string.
19
20
21
           // Check if the current character is already associated with a different word.
22
           if (charToWordMap.has(char) && charToWordMap.get(char) !== word) {
23
               return false; // Mismatch found, return false.
24
25
26
           // Check if the current word is already associated with a different character.
           if (wordToCharMap.has(word) && wordToCharMap.get(word) !== char) {
28
               return false; // Mismatch found, return false.
29
           // Add the current character-to-word and word-to-character association to the maps.
           charToWordMap.set(char, word);
           wordToCharMap.set(word, char);
34
35
       // If no mismatch was found, return true.
36
37
       return true;
38 }
39
Time and Space Complexity
```

# follows: Time Complexity

The time complexity of the code is O(N) where N is the length of the longer of the two inputs: the pattern and the s.split() list. s.split() operation itself is O(n) where n is the length of the string s, as it must traverse the string once and create a list of words.

The function wordPattern checks if a string follows a specific pattern. The time complexity and space complexity analysis is as

# be the lesser of the two lengths. However, since we've already ensured both lengths are equal, it results in min(len(pattern),

len(ws)) operations, which in this case is len(pattern) or equivalently len(ws). Inside the loop, the operations involve checking membership and equality in two dictionaries, d1 and d2. Dictionary membership and

The zip(pattern, ws) operation will iterate over the pairs of characters in pattern and words in ws, and the number of iterations will

Combining these operations results in the total time complexity being O(N), where N = max(m, n) (the longer of the pattern's length m and the split string list ws length n). But since the function only works when m == n, you can simplify the statement to just O(n) where

**Space Complexity** 

n is the length of pattern or ws.

assignment are average case 0(1) operations due to hash table properties.

The space complexity of the function is also O(N) where N = m + n, the size of the input pattern plus the size of the list ws, which comes from the split() of string s.

The two dictionaries d1 and d2 will store at most m keys (unique characters in the pattern) and n keys (unique words in s). Provided that both pattern and s.split() are of the same length due to the early return conditional, the space complexity simplifies

to O(n), where n is the length of pattern or ws.