

Problem Description

The problem gives us a possible operation on a string where we can take any non-empty string (other than a single character) and split it into two non-empty substrings. We then have the option to either swap these two substrings or leave them as they are. This process can be applied recursively to the new substrings generated from the split. With these rules in mind, we are asked to determine if a given string s2 can be made from another string s1 through one or more of the mentioned scrambling operations, assuming s1 and s2 are of the same length.

Intuition

example of a divide and conquer algorithm. Given two strings s1 and s2, our goal is to see if there is a way to split both strings into substrings such that, after potentially swapping the substrings, the smaller pieces are equal or can themselves be further scrambled to be equal. Taking this intuition, we can design a recursive function that tries to split the strings into two parts in various ways and then checks if

The core of the solution lies in understanding that the problem can be broken down into smaller subproblems. This is a classic

after swapping or not swapping, the resulting substrings could be made equal through further recursion. To optimize the recursive solution and eliminate redundant calculations, we use memorization, which stores the results of subproblems that have already been solved.

The function dfs(i, j, k) represents a recursive depth-first search with memorization that checks if the substring of s1 starting at index i and of length k can be transformed into the substring of s2 starting at index j and of the same length. This is done by checking, for each possible split, both cases: keeping the order of the substrings untouched, and swapping them. If any of these

To implement this efficiently, we use the cache decorator (from Python's functools module) to memorize the results of subproblems, thus ensuring that our algorithm runs in polynomial time. The recursive function will stop splitting once it reaches substrings of length 1, as those cannot be split further, and a simple character comparison will suffice to continue the recursion. Without

memorization, the solution would be prohibitively slow due to the exponential growth of possible splits as the string length increases. **Solution Approach**

The solution to this problem utilizes a technique known as memorized recursion, which is a subset of dynamic programming. The

idea is that we build our solution from the bottom up by solving all possible subproblems and storing their results to avoid redundant computation for overlapping subproblems.

Here's a breakdown of the implementation: • Memorized Recursion (dfs function): A depth-first search is performed recursively by the function dfs(i, j, k) which attempts to determine whether a substring of \$1 starting at i and having a length k can be transformed into a substring of \$2

starting at j of the same length (k). We use Python's @cache decorator to store the results of subproblems in memory, essentially

creating a memoization table that the dfs function accesses before computing a new result. This significantly speeds up the algorithm by avoiding recomputation.

a scramble respectively.

characters of both substrings can make a scramble, respectively.

cases yields true, we can conclude that s2 is a scrambled version of s1.

- Subproblem Conditions: For each call to dfs(i, j, k), two cases are possible depending on whether the substrings are swapped or not: 1. When there is no swap, we check if dfs(i, j, h) and dfs(i + h, j + h, k - h) return true for some split length h, meaning that the first h characters of the two substrings and the remaining k - h characters of the two substrings can make
- 2. When there is a swap, we check if dfs(i, j + k h, h) and dfs(i + h, j, k h) return true for some split length h, meaning that the last h characters of the first substring and the first h characters of the second substring, and the remaining

• Base Case: dfs includes a base case for when the length of the substring (k) is 1, at which point it simply compares the

- individual characters at the given indices i and j in s1 and s2, respectively. • Return Value: The overall result comes from the initial call to dfs(0, 0, len(s1)), which checks if the entire s1 can be scrambled to result in s2.
- substring length k at every possible starting index i and j. Space complexity comes from the amount of information we need to store in our cache. This recursive and memoization approach ensures we only compute what's necessary, making the problem solvable within a reasonable amount of time for larger strings.

Let's consider a small example to illustrate the solution approach. Suppose we have two strings s1 = "great" and s2 = "rgeat". We

• Complexity: The memoization search has a time complexity of O(n^4) and a space complexity of O(n^3), where n is the length of

the strings. Time complexity is such because, in the worst case, we may need to check each possible split for each possible

want to find out if \$2 can be obtained from \$1 by applying the scrambling operations described in the problem. Step 1: Check if s1 can be scrambled to become s2 by calling dfs(0, 0, len(s1)). This is the top-level problem we need to solve.

Step 2: In our dfs(i, j, k) function, we start with i = 0, j = 0, and k = len("great") = 5. We need to check every possible split.

In the no-swap case:

Example Walkthrough

Step 3: Let's split s1 and s2 into h = 2 characters from the start and the remaining k - h = 3 characters. For s1 ("great"), the two parts are "gr" and "eat". For s2 ("rgeat"), the corresponding parts are "rg" and "eat".

• For the first 2 characters, a recursive call dfs(0, 0, 2) checks if "gr" can be scrambled into "rg", which is true. So "gr" can be transformed into "rg" by swapping the characters. • For the remaining 3 characters, a recursive call dfs(2, 2, 3) checks if "eat" can be scrambled into "eat", which is trivially true.

needing to check the swap case for this split. Step 4: The algorithm would then proceed to check all other possible ways of splitting s1 and s2. However, since we have already

Step 5: After all the recursion and verification, if any of the recursive calls return true, we conclude that s2 is a scrambled version of

s1. Since we found that dfs(0, 0, len(s1)) returns true, s2 = "rgeat" can indeed be made from s1 = "great".

found a valid scramble, the algorithm doesn't need to continue further for this example.

The recursive calls would return true since both parts can be made to match. Therefore, s2 is a valid scramble of s1 without even

arise, the algorithm retrieves the result from the table instead of recalculating, effectively reducing computation time and complexity. In summary, by breaking the problem into smaller subproblems, recursing on those, and memoizing the results, the algorithm can

Step 6: During the process, the results of various calls to dfs are stored in the memoization table. Should the same subproblems

efficiently determine if one string is a scrambled version of the other. **Python Solution**

@lru_cache(maxsize=None) def search_recursive(i: int, j: int, length: int) -> bool: # Base case: if substring length is 1, check equality of chars if length == 1: 9 return s1[i] == s2[j] 10

search_recursive(i + split_point, j + split_point, length - split_point)):

16 17 18 # Check for a scramble with a swapped second half 20 if (search_recursive(i + split_point, j, length - split_point) and

11

12

13

14

15

21

22

23

24

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 }

class Solution:

from functools import lru_cache

def isScramble(self, s1: str, s2: str) -> bool:

Check for each possible split

return True

return True

for split_point in range(1, length):

Decorator to cache the results of recursive calls

if (search_recursive(i, j, split_point) and

If no scramble can be formed, return False

If a matching scramble is found with a split, return True

// If the length to compare is 1, check if characters are equal

return memoizationCache[index1][index2][length] = true;

// Check if non-swapped variant leads to a scramble that matches

return memoizationCache[index1][index2][length] = true;

// If none of the above conditions lead to a scramble that matches, then return false

// Check if swapping the partition leads to a scramble that matches

return str1.charAt(index1) == str2.charAt(index2);

for (int partition = 1; partition < length; ++partition) {</pre>

return memoizationCache[index1][index2][length] = false;

1 // A function to determine if two strings are scrambles of each other

// A recursive function to check if substrings are scrambles

return Boolean((memo[i][j][k] = 1));

const checkScramble = (i: number, j: number, k: number): boolean => {

// If this subproblem has already been computed, return the result

// If the length of the substrings is 1, we simply compare the characters

// If swapping the current parts make them equals, set result to true

// If none of the splits result in matching strings, set result to false

// Calling the recursive check with the start indices at 0 and full length of the strings

// The memoization table where -1 indicates uninitialized, 0 for false, and 1 for true

.map(() => new Array(length).fill(0).map(() => new Array(length + 1).fill(-1)));

function isScramble(str1: string, str2: string): boolean {

// i is the start index of the substring in strl

// j is the start index of the substring in str2

const length = str1.length;

.fill(0)

const memo = new Array(length)

// k is the length of the substrings

if $(memo[i][j][k] !== -1) {$

if (k === 1) {

return memo[i][j][k] === 1;

return str1[i] === str2[j];

return Boolean((memo[i][j][k] = 0));

return checkScramble(0, 0, length);

analysis of its time and space complexities:

// Check for all possible splits

for (let h = 1; h < k; ++h) {

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

43

45

44 }

search_recursive(i, j + length - split_point, split_point)):

```
return False
26
27
           # Initial call for the recursive search function
28
           return search_recursive(0, 0, len(s1))
29
30 # Example usage
31 # sol = Solution()
32 # result = sol.isScramble("great", "rgeat") # Should return True
33 # print(result)
34
Java Solution
 1 class Solution {
       private Boolean[][][] memoizationCache; // A 3D memoization cache to store the results of subproblems
       private String str1; // First string to compare
       private String str2; // Second string to compare
       // Determines if s2 is a scrambled string of s1
       public boolean isScramble(String s1, String s2) {
           int length = s1.length();
           this.str1 = s1;
           this.str2 = s2;
10
           memoizationCache = new Boolean[length][length][length + 1]; // Initialize memoization cache
11
12
           return dfs(0, 0, length); // Launch the depth-first search starting with the full length
13
14
15
       // Executes the depth-first search to see if two substrings are scrambled equivalents
       private boolean dfs(int index1, int index2, int length) {
16
17
           if (memoizationCache[index1][index2][length] != null) {
               // If result is already computed for this subproblem, return the result
18
               return memoizationCache[index1][index2][length];
20
21
           if (length == 1) {
```

if (dfs(index1, index2, partition) && dfs(index1 + partition, index2 + partition, length - partition)) {

if (dfs(index1 + partition, index2, length - partition) && dfs(index1, index2 + length - partition, partition)) {

// The first segment of strl matches the first segment of str2 and the second segment of str1 matches the second segm

// The first segment of str1 matches the second segment of str2 and the second segment of str1 matches the first segm

41

```
C++ Solution
  1 #include <cstring>
  2 #include <functional>
    #include <string>
    using namespace std;
    class Solution {
    public:
        // Function to determine if two strings are scramble strings
         bool isScramble(string s1, string s2) {
 10
             int length = s1.size();
 11
             // Define a 3D array to store the states of substring scrambles
 12
             int scrambleStates[length][length][length + 1];
             memset(scrambleStates, -1, sizeof(scrambleStates));
 14
 15
 16
             // Recursive lambda function to check for scramble strings
 17
             function<bool(int, int, int)> checkScramble = [&](int index1, int index2, int len) -> bool {
                 if (scrambleStates[index1][index2][len] != -1) {
 18
                     // Use memoization to return the previously computed result
 19
 20
                     return scrambleStates[index1][index2][len] == 1;
 21
 22
                 if (len == 1) {
 23
                     // If the length is 1, just compare the characters
 24
                     return s1[index1] == s2[index2];
 25
 26
                 for (int split = 1; split < len; ++split) {</pre>
                     // Check if the first split part is a scramble and the second split part is a scramble
 27
                     if (checkScramble(index1, index2, split) && checkScramble(index1 + split, index2 + split, len - split)) {
 28
 29
                         scrambleStates[index1][index2][len] = 1;
 30
                         return true;
 31
 32
                     // Check if swapping the split parts results in a scramble
 33
                     if (checkScramble(index1 + split, index2, len - split) && checkScramble(index1, index2 + len - split, split)) {
                         scrambleStates[index1][index2][len] = 1;
 34
 35
                         return true;
 36
 37
 38
                 scrambleStates[index1][index2][len] = 0;
 39
                 return false;
 40
             };
 41
 42
             // Start the recursive check with the full length of the strings
 43
             return checkScramble(0, 0, length);
 44
 45
    };
 46
Typescript Solution
```

if (checkScramble(i, j, h) && checkScramble(i + h, j + h, k - h)) { 29 30 return Boolean((memo[i][j][k] = 1)); 31 32 // If swapping the non-corresponding parts make them equals, set result to true 33 if $(checkScramble(i + h, j, k - h) \&\& checkScramble(i, j + k - h, h)) {$

};

Time and Space Complexity

The given Python solution leverages recursion with memoization to determine if one string is a scramble of another. Here's an

(i, j) and length k. Since i and j can each range from 0 to n-1 (for an n-character string) and k can range from 1 to n, there are 0(n^2) pairs of starting indices and 0(n) possible lengths for each pair. Thus, the time complexity can seem to be 0(n^3) considering

Time Complexity:

each possible (i, j, k) triplet is calculated once due to memoization. However, within every call to dfs(i, j, k), there is a loop that runs k-1 times. Since k can be up to n, the loop can contribute at most O(n) operations per memoized function call. This loop is where the fourth dimension of the time complexity stems from, leading to a total time complexity of $0(n^4)$.

The dfs function is memoized and explores each possible split of the string segments once for every distinct pair of starting indices

The space complexity is primarily dictated by the memoization cache. The space needed for the cache relates directly to the number of distinct arguments passed to the dfs function, which, as explained, is the product of the different values i, j, and k can take. Since i and j range from 0 to n-1 and k ranges from 1 to n, the max number of distinct states stored in the cache is n * n * n, which

Besides the cache, the space complexity also includes the space for the call stack due to recursion. In the worst case, the recursive

is 0(n^3).

Space Complexity:

calls could stack up to 0(n) deep (the maximum possible depth of recursion is when k decreases by 1 each time). However, this does not affect the overall space complexity, which remains 0(n^3) due to the dominating size of the memoization cache.