1725. Number Of Rectangles That Can Form The Largest Square

<u>Array</u> Easy

## In the given problem, we are presented with an array named rectangles, where each element rectangles [i] represents the

**Problem Description** 

dimensions of the ith rectangle as a pair  $[l_i, w_i]$  indicating its length  $l_i$  and width  $w_i$ . We are allowed to make cuts to these rectangles in order to form squares. A square can be formed from a rectangle if its side

length k is less than or equal to both the length and the width of the rectangle. For instance, from a rectangle [4,6], we can cut out a square with a maximum side length of 4.

The objective is to find the side length of the largest square, maxLen, that can be formed from these rectangles. Then we need to count the number of rectangles in the array that are capable of forming a square with this maximum side length. To summarize, the task is to first determine the largest possible square side length that can be cut out from any of the rectangles,

and then to count how many rectangles in the list are large enough to provide a square of that size.

ntuition

## Initialize two variables ans and mx to keep track of the count of rectangles that can produce the largest square, and to store the maximum square side length, respectively.

Traverse through each rectangle in the rectangles array and for each rectangle, determine the side length of the largest

The solution involves a straightforward approach. Here's the process to understand how the solution works:

possible square, which would be the minimum of the length and width of the rectangle as we are bound by the lesser dimension. This value is stored in a temporary variable t.

If we find a square side length t greater than the current mx, we know that we have found a new, larger square side length. So,

- we update mx to this new maximum side length t, and reset ans to 1 since this is the first rectangle that can form a square of side length t. If t is equal to mx, it means the current rectangle can also form a square of the largest side length found so far. Therefore, we
- If t is less than mx, we do nothing, as the rectangle cannot produce a square of side length maxLen. Once all rectangles have been processed, ans will contain the final count of rectangles that can produce squares of side
- **Solution Approach**

The solution takes advantage of simple mathematical concepts and logical comparison within a single-pass for-loop to achieve

We begin iterating over each rectangle provided in the rectangles list. Within the loop, we're performing the following steps

w. This relies on the constraint that a square's side length must be less than or equal to the lengths of both sides of the

Compare the calculated square side length t with the maximum found so far, mx. If t is greater, we've discovered a new

Initialize two variables, ans to store the number of rectangles that can form the largest square, initially set to 0, and mx to store the maximum square side length possible, also initially set to 0.

Here's a step-by-step breakdown of the implementation details with reference to the solution code:

increment ans by 1 to reflect this additional rectangle.

length mx, and we return ans.

the desired result.

ans = mx = 0

for each rectangle:

for l, w in rectangles:

Calculate the largest possible square side length t from the current rectangle by taking the minimum of its length 1 and width

```
maximum square size. We then update mx to this new value, and set ans to 1 since this is the first occurrence of such a large
```

mx, ans = t, 1

square.

if mx < t:</pre>

rectangle.

t = min(l, w)

If t equals the current maximum mx, it means another rectangle was found which can form a square of the same maximum size. Hence we increment ans by 1 without changing mx. elif mx == t:

If t is less than mx, that indicates the current rectangle cannot contribute to a square of side length mx, so no operation is

In terms of algorithms and data structures, this solution is a straight-forward linear scan (O(n) complexity) without the need for

understand. This elegant simplicity arises from the observation that we're interested only in the counts related to the maximum

any additional complex data structures. The use of basic variables and a single for-loop makes the code efficient and easy to

square size which can be maintained and updated on-the-fly as we inspect each rectangle.

After the loop completes, the variable ans contains the count of rectangles which can form the largest square, and this value

```
return ans
```

**Example Walkthrough** 

ans.

Solution Implementation

max\_square\_length = 0

good\_rectangles\_count = 0

for length, width in rectangles:

side\_length = min(length, width)

if side\_length > max\_square\_length:

public int countGoodRectangles(int[][] rectangles) {

if (maxSize < largestSquareSize) {</pre>

countOfMaxSquares = 1;

++countOfMaxSquares;

return goodRectanglesCount;

function countGoodRectangles(rectangles: number[][]): number {

def countGoodRectangles(self, rectangles: List[List[int]]) -> int:

# Initialize maximum square length and count of good rectangles

# Loop through each rectangle to find the maximum square length

# update max\_square\_length and reset good\_rectangles\_count

# If the current square length is equal to the maximum,

# Find the smaller of the two sides to get the largest possible square

# If the current square length is greater than the previous maximum,

# Return the total count of rectangles that can produce the largest square

**TypeScript** 

class Solution:

max\_square\_length = 0

good\_rectangles\_count = 0

for length, width in rectangles:

side\_length = min(length, width)

if side\_length > max\_square\_length:

good\_rectangles\_count = 1

good\_rectangles\_count += 1

max\_square\_length = side\_length

# increment the count of good rectangles

elif side\_length == max\_square\_length:

maxSize = largestSquareSize;

} else if (maxSize == largestSquareSize) {

// increment the count of max size squares.

// This is the smaller side of the rectangle.

// Loop through each rectangle.

for (int[] rectangle : rectangles) {

max\_square\_length = side\_length

class Solution:

Java

class Solution {

We begin iterating over each rectangle:

6 and ans is reset to 1.

current mx (0), we update mx to 3 and set ans to 1.

possible side length. Hence, the function would return 1.

def countGoodRectangles(self, rectangles: List[List[int]]) -> int:

# Initialize maximum square length and count of good rectangles

# Loop through each rectangle to find the maximum square length

# update max\_square\_length and reset good\_rectangles\_count

int maxSize = 0; // This will keep the maximum square size we can get.

int largestSquareSize = Math.min(rectangle[0], rectangle[1]);

// update the maximum size and reset the count of max squares.

// If we found a larger square size than we've seen so far,

// Find the size of the largest square that fits within the rectangle.

// If we found a square with a size equal to the current maximum,

# Find the smaller of the two sides to get the largest possible square

# If the current square length is greater than the previous maximum,

side length and the count of rectangles that could form a square of that maximum size.

ans += 1

performed.

is returned.

Let's consider the following array of rectangles: rectangles = [[3, 5], [6, 6], [5, 2], [4, 4]]. We need to determine the largest square side length we can cut from any rectangle and then count how many rectangles can form a square of that size.

For the first rectangle [3, 5], the largest square side length t we can cut is min(3, 5) = 3. Since 3 is greater than the

Moving on to the second rectangle [6, 6], t = min(6, 6) = 6. This is greater than the current mx (3), so mx is updated to

For the third rectangle [5, 2], t = min(5, 2) = 2. This is less than the current mx (6), so no changes are made to mx or

After completing the loop, mx is 6 and ans is 1, since only one rectangle, [6, 6], could produce a square with the largest

This example demonstrates the straightforward linear scan through the array and the simple tracking of the maximum square

We initialize ans and mx to 0. These will keep track of the count and size of the largest square, respectively.

- The last rectangle [4, 4] also provides a square with t = min(4, 4) = 4, which is still less than our mx (6), so again no changes are made to mx or ans.
- **Python** from typing import List

```
good_rectangles_count = 1
    # If the current square length is equal to the maximum,
    # increment the count of good rectangles
    elif side_length == max_square_length:
        good_rectangles_count += 1
# Return the total count of rectangles that can produce the largest square
return good_rectangles_count
```

int countOfMaxSquares = 0; // This will keep track of how many maximum squares we can cut.

```
// After checking all rectangles, return the count of the largest squares.
       return countOfMaxSquares;
C++
#include <vector> // Include necessary library for vector
#include <algorithm> // Include for access to the min function
class Solution {
public:
   int countGoodRectangles(std::vector<std::vector<int>>& rectangles) {
        int maxSquareLen = 0;  // Variable to store the maximum square length
        int goodRectanglesCount = 0; // Variable to count the number of good rectangles
       // Iterate over each rectangle in the input vector
        for (const std::vector<int>& rect : rectangles) {
           // Calculate the maximum square length that can be cut out of the current rectangle
            int squareLen = std::min(rect[0], rect[1]);
           // If the current square length is greater than maxSquareLen, update maxSquareLen
           // and reset goodRectanglesCount since we have found a larger square
           if (squareLen > maxSquareLen) {
               maxSquareLen = squareLen;
               goodRectanglesCount = 1; // Start counting from one as this is the new largest square
           // If the current square length is equal to maxSquareLen, increment the count
           else if (squareLen == maxSquareLen) {
               ++goodRectanglesCount;
```

```
// Loop through each rectangle
      for (let [length, width] of rectangles) {
          // Find the minimum side to determine the size of the largest square
          let largestSquareSide = Math.min(length, width);
          // If the current square's side is equal to the maximum found so far, increment the count
          if (largestSquareSide == maxSquareSide) {
              squareCount++;
          } else if (largestSquareSide > maxSquareSide) {
              // If current square's side is larger, update the maximum side and reset the count
              maxSquareSide = largestSquareSide;
              squareCount = 1;
      // After looping through all rectangles, return the count of squares with the largest side
      return squareCount;
from typing import List
```

let maxSquareSide = 0; // Initialize a variable to keep track of the maximum square side length

let squareCount = 0; // Initialize a counter to keep track of the number of squares with maxSquareSide

// After iterating through all rectangles, return the count of good rectangles

// Function to count the number of rectangles that can form a square with the largest side

```
Time and Space Complexity
Time Complexity
```

return good rectangles count

the code iterates through each rectangle exactly once, and for each rectangle, it performs a constant number of operations: calculating the minimum of the length and width, comparison, and possibly incrementing the answer or updating the maximum length of a square.

The time complexity of the given code is O(n), where n is the number of rectangles in the input list rectangles. This is because

**Space Complexity** 

The space complexity of the code is 0(1). This is because the code only uses a fixed amount of additional space: two variables (ans and mx). The amount of space used does not depend on the input size, so the space complexity is constant.