

Speeding up Preprocessor

Ivan Sorokin

JetBrains

Ivan.Sorokin@jetbrains.com

Problem statement

- In IDE, files need to be reparsed fast
 - For example in code completion, AST should be ready as soon as possible
- Different tricks are used
 - Caching parsed representation of header files
 - Doing incrementally as many things as possible
 - Doing lazily as many things as possible
- Still sometimes a complete reparse is needed
 - For example when some macro is changed

Idea

Can we compute the final result of the macro expansion and then reuse it?

```
#define A(x) (1 + B(x))  
#define B(x) (2 * (x))
```

```
// ...
```

```
#define A(x) (1 + (2 * (x)))  
#define B(x) (2 * (x))
```

```
// ...
```

Idea (2)

Macros can be redefined changing the meaning of other macros:

```
#define A(x) (1 + B(x))  
#define B(x) (2 * (x))
```

```
// ...
```

```
#define B(x) (3 * (x))
```

```
// ...
```

```
#define A(x) (1 + (2 * (x)))  
#define B(x) (2 * (x))
```

```
// ...
```

```
#define A(x) (1 + (3 * (x)))  
#define B(x) (3 * (x))
```

```
// ...
```

Idea (3)

- At first expansion, compute the final replacement tokens
 - Cache them for reuse
- Track dependencies: cached representation of which macro depends on which macros
 - Invalidate cached replacement tokens for all dependent macros
- Implemented a quick-and-dirty prototype on clang, the result:
 - Caching speeds up preprocessing of boost libraries by 20%.
 - Can not upstream, because source location tracking was disabled.
- Caching and tracking dependencies overhead can theoretically slow down preprocessing in some cases
 - Was not seen on real programs

Another approach

- Observation: only a small number of macros in boost are expanding thousand of times
- Can we just implement them as built-ins?

Another approach (2)

- Implemented built-in for common macros in boost
 - BOOST_PP_CAT 8 LoC
 - BOOST_PP_{IIF,IF} 11 LoC
 - BOOST_PP_BOOL 10 LoC
 - BOOST_PP_COMMA_IF 9 LoC
 - BOOST_PP_REPEAT_n ~90 LoC
- Implementing just these reduced the total number of macros expanded from 752695 to 465823
- Got 20% speed-up on boost libraries

Another approach (3)

- Number of macros expanded

Before:

```
58450 BOOST_PP_IIF_I
58450 BOOST_PP_IIF
43717 BOOST_PP_CAT_I
43717 BOOST_PP_CAT
40193 BOOST_PP_IIF_1
32148 BOOST_PP_BOOL_I
32148 BOOST_PP_BOOL
18798 BOOST_PP_IF
18257 BOOST_PP_IIF_0
12785 BOOST_PP_COMMA_IF
 9498 BOOST_PP_COMMA
 8194 BOOST_PP_TUPLE_EAT_3
 8076 BOOST_PP_FOR_SR_P
 7697 BOOST_PP_ENUM_PARAMS_M
 7470 BOOST_PP_DEC_I
 7470 BOOST_PP_DEC
```

After:

```
43717 BOOST_PP_CAT
39652 BOOST_PP_IIF
13350 BOOST_PP_BOOL
12785 BOOST_PP_COMMA_IF
 8194 BOOST_PP_TUPLE_EAT_3
 8076 BOOST_PP_FOR_SR_P
 7697 BOOST_PP_ENUM_PARAMS_M
 7470 BOOST_PP_DEC_I
 7470 BOOST_PP_DEC
 6854 BOOST_PP_REPEAT_P
 6821 BOOST_PP_VARIADIC_SIZE_I
 6821 BOOST_PP_VARIADIC_SIZE
 6810 BOOST_PP_OVERLOAD
 6799 BOOST_PP_VARIADIC_ELEM
 6799 BOOST_PP_TUPLE_ELEM_O_3
 6799 BOOST_PP_TUPLE_ELEM_O_2
```


Another approach (4)

Pros:

- Much easier implementation than the caching
 - An implementation of each macro is localized in one function, doesn't affect surrounding code
- Better error messages

```
BOOST_PP_IF(FOO, 1, 2)
```

```
$ pp --builtin-boost-pp 1.cpp
```

```
1.cpp:3:13: the 1st argument of macro BOOST_PP_IF must be a  
number
```

```
$ pp 1.cpp
```

```
BOOST_PP_IIF_BOOST_PP_BOOL_FOO( 1, 2)
```

Another approach (5)

Pros:

- Much easier implementation than the caching
 - An implementation of each macro is localized in one function, doesn't affect surrounding code
- Better error messages

Cons:

- Only speed up boost.

Question

- Is it feasible to implement these built-in macros in major compilers?
 - `__builtin_pp_cat`
 - `__builtin_pp_if`
 - `__builtin_pp_repeat`
 - ...
- If it is so, we can prepare a patch.
- As a starting point, the semantics can be defined as if in `BOOST_PP_xxx`. Can be refined because inside the compiler more tools are available
- In theory we can make the preprocessor library better
 - What about replacing `BOOST_PP_{ADD,SUB,MUL,DIV}` with generic `__builtin_pp_eval(pp-expr)`?

Thank you!

- Any feedback is welcome!
 - Ivan.Sorokin@jetbrains.com