Feynn Labs (Ajeet Kumar Mishra)

Summary

Step 1: Deciding (Not) to Segment

1. Overview

This chapter discusses the critical considerations before committing to a **market segmentation strategy**. It highlights the long-term implications, potential barriers, and prerequisites for successful implementation.

2. Key Implications of Market Segmentation

- **Long-term Commitment:** Segmentation is a strategic, ongoing process—not a one-time effort.
- **Organizational Changes:** May require new products, pricing adjustments, distribution shifts, and internal restructuring (e.g., organizing around segments rather than products).
- **Costs:** Significant investment in research, tailored marketing, and operational adjustments.
- **Profitability Test:** Segmentation must yield higher profits than a non-segmented approach to justify costs (Cahill, 2006).
- **Leadership Role:** Decision-making must originate at the executive level and be reinforced across the organization.

3. Implementation Barriers

1. **Senior Management Challenges:**
   - Lack of leadership, commitment, or resource allocation.
   - Without CEO support, segmentation efforts often fail (McDonald & Dunbar, 1995).
2. **Organizational Culture:**
   - Resistance to change, short-term thinking, poor communication, or lack of market orientation.
   - Croft (1994) suggests assessing cultural readiness via a questionnaire.
3. **Operational Hurdles:**
   - Lack of training or expertise in segmentation concepts.

- Absence of formal marketing/data analysis roles.
- Financial or structural constraints (Beane & Ennis, 1987).

4. **Process Issues:**

- Unclear objectives, poor planning, or time pressures.
- Complex methodologies may deter adoption unless presented simply (e.g., visualizations).

4. Step 1 Checklist: Key Questions

Before proceeding, organizations must affirmatively answer:

✔ Is the culture **market-oriented** and open to change?

✔ Are **long-term perspectives** and resources available?

✔ Can the organization **restructure** around segments?

✔ Is there **executive buy-in** and cross-functional collaboration?

✔ Are **qualified teams** (marketing, data, analytics) in place?

Knock-out Criteria: If answers are "no," segmentation may not be viable.

5. Recommendations

- **Secure executive sponsorship** and dedicated budgets.
- **Train teams** on segmentation fundamentals and implications.
- **Assess readiness** using Croft's (1994) cultural questionnaire.
- **Start small** if resources are limited, but ensure alignment with long-term goals.

Step 2: Specifying the Ideal Target Segment

1. Purpose and Overview

Step 2 establishes the framework for evaluating and selecting McDonald's target market segments by defining two critical types of criteria:

1. **Knock-out criteria** (must-have requirements)
2. **Attractiveness criteria** (desirable qualities)

This dual approach ensures segments are both viable for the business and strategically valuable.

2. Knock-Out Criteria (Non-Negotiable Requirements)

Segments must pass all these threshold requirements to be considered:

1. **Homogeneity**
   - Members must share similar key characteristics (e.g., perceptions of McDonald's)
2. **Distinctness**
   - Must differ meaningfully from other segments
3. **Substantial Size**
   - Large enough to justify customized marketing investment
4. **Strategic Alignment**
   - Must match McDonald's strengths (e.g., openness to fast food)
5. **Identifiability**
   - Can be detected in market (through demographics/behavior)
6. **Reachability**
   - Accessible through marketing channels (advertising, promotions)

Implementation Note: These criteria act as binary filters - segments either qualify or are eliminated.

3. Attractiveness Criteria (Strategic Value)

For segments passing knock-out criteria, these factors determine priority:

Core Attractiveness Factors:

1. **Brand Perception**
   - Positive view of McDonald's (high "Like" scores)
2. **Visit Frequency**
   - Regular customers (weekly/monthly visits)

Growth Opportunity Factors:

3. **Conversion Potential**

- Currently negative perceptions that could be changed

4. **Strategic Fit**
   - Alignment with McDonald's initiatives (e.g., healthier menu options)
5. **Profit Potential**
   - Purchasing power and loyalty likelihood

Process Guidelines:

- Select maximum 6 criteria to maintain focus
- Weight criteria through team consensus (e.g., 100-point allocation)
- Document decisions for consistency in later steps

4. Implementation Framework

A. Cross-Functional Collaboration

- Involve key departments:
  - Marketing (perception data)
  - Operations (delivery capability)
  - Finance (profitability analysis)
- Conduct weighted voting to prioritize criteria

B. Evaluation Tools

1. **Segment Evaluation Matrix**

- Plot segments on attractiveness vs. company fit axes
- Visualize "sweet spot" segments (high on both dimensions)

2. **Early Alignment Process**

- Finalize criteria before data collection
- Create evaluation documentation for:

  - Current analysis
  - Future segmentation projects

5. McDonald's Case Application

Given the dataset limitations, focus on:

Primary Evaluation Metrics:

- *Perception:* "Like" score (-5 to +5 scale)
- *Behavior:* Self-reported visit frequency

Strategic Considerations:

- Balance between:
  - Capitalizing on existing strong segments (high liking/frequency)
  - Developing potential segments (modifiable negative perceptions)

Implementation Notes:

- Use knock-out criteria first to filter viable segments
- Then apply attractiveness weighting to prioritize
- Document all decisions for transparency and future reference

This structured approach ensures McDonald's selects segments that are both operationally feasible and strategically valuable, while maintaining flexibility for different market scenarios. The criteria developed here will directly inform subsequent steps in the segmentation process.

.

Step 3: Collecting Data

1. Overview

This chapter discusses the **data collection process** for market segmentation, emphasizing the importance of **data quality**, **variable selection**, and **sample size**. It compares **commonsense segmentation** (using a single variable, e.g., gender) with **data-driven segmentation** (using multiple variables, e.g., benefits sought).

2. Key Concepts

A. Segmentation Variables vs. Descriptor Variables

- **Segmentation Variables**: Used to split the market into segments (e.g., benefits sought, geographic location).
- **Descriptor Variables**: Used to profile segments (e.g., demographics, media habits).

B. Types of Segmentation Criteria

1. **Geographic**: Simple but limited (e.g., country, region).
2. **Socio-Demographic**: Easy to measure but often superficial (e.g., age, income).
3. **Psychographic**: Captures motivations/lifestyles but complex (e.g., travel motives).
4. **Behavioral**: Based on actual actions (e.g., purchase history) but may exclude non-customers.

C. Data Sources

1. **Surveys**: Common but prone to biases (e.g., social desirability, response styles).
2. **Internal Data**: Reliable (e.g., loyalty program data) but may lack non-customer insights.
3. **Experiments**: Controlled (e.g., conjoint analysis) but resource-intensive.

3. Best Practices for Data Collection

A. Survey Design

- **Minimize Noise**: Avoid redundant or irrelevant questions.

- **Scale Selection**: Prefer **binary** or **metric** scales over ordinal (e.g., Likert) for clearer analysis.
- **Avoid Biases**: Address response styles (e.g., acquiescence bias) through careful wording.

B. Sample Size

- **Rule of Thumb**: At least **100 respondents per segmentation variable** (Dolnicar et al., 2016).
- **Challenges**: Small samples or correlated variables reduce segmentation accuracy.

C. Data Quality

- Ensure variables are **necessary, uncorrelated, and unbiased**.
- Use **exploratory research** (e.g., interviews) to identify critical variables before surveys.

4. Key Takeaways

- **Segmentation success depends on high-quality data**.
- **Choose variables aligned with business goals** (e.g., behavioral data for customer retention).
- **Larger samples improve segment recovery**, especially with complex or overlapping segments.
- **Balance simplicity and depth**: Use the simplest criteria (e.g., geographic) if sufficient.

5. Step 3 Checklist

✔ Define **segmentation** and **descriptor variables**.
✔ Select **data source** (survey, internal, experimental).
✔ Design surveys to **minimize bias** and **maximize clarity**.
✔ Ensure **sample size** meets recommendations (100× variables).
✔ Validate data for **quality and relevance**.

Step 4: Exploring Data

1. **Data Inspection**
   - The McDonald's dataset (`mcdonalds`) contains **1,453 respondents** and **15 variables**, including perceptions (e.g., "yummy," "healthy") and demographics (e.g., "Age," "Gender").
   - The first **11 columns** are segmentation variables with **binary "Yes"/"No" responses**, which were converted to **numeric values (1 for "Yes," 0 for "No")** for analysis.
   - **Key insights from means**:
     - 91% find McDonald's **convenient**, but only 9% think it's **spicy**.
     - 55% say it's **yummy**, while 87% believe it's **fattening**.
2. **Principal Component Analysis (PCA)**
   - PCA was performed to reduce dimensionality and identify patterns.
   - The **first two principal components** explain **~50% of variance**.
   - **Key loadings**:
     - **Component 1**: Reflects **positive vs. negative perceptions** (e.g., "tasty," "yummy" vs. "disgusting," "greasy").
     - **Component 2**: Captures **price perception** ("cheap" vs. "expensive").
3. **Perceptual Map**
   - Visualized consumer perceptions in 2D space using PCA results.
   - **Key findings**:
     - **Price is independent**: "Cheap" and "expensive" form a distinct axis.
     - **Negative perceptions cluster**: "Fattening," "disgusting," and "greasy" align together.
     - **Positive perceptions cluster**: "Fast," "convenient," "healthy," "yummy," and "tasty" group oppositely.
   - Consumers **cluster into three groups**: those emphasizing **cheap**, **expensive**, or neutral price perceptions.

Conclusion

Exploratory analysis reveals **key dimensions** (price and positive/negative perceptions) that will inform segmentation. The perceptual map highlights relationships between attributes, suggesting natural groupings for further analysis.

Step 5: Segment Extraction Methods

This section explores three statistical approaches to divide McDonald's customers into meaningful segments based on their perceptions. Each method has unique strengths and provides different insights.

1. k-Means Clustering (Distance-Based Segmentation)

Objective: Group consumers so that those with similar perceptions are clustered together.

Process:

- Tested solutions from **2 to 8 segments** using 10 random starts (to avoid local optima).
- Evaluated solutions using:
  - **Scree plot:** Plots within-cluster distances. No sharp "elbow" made choosing segment count ambiguous.
  - **Stability analysis:** Measured consistency across repeated runs. The **4-segment solution** showed reasonable stability.
  - **Gorge plot:** Visualized segment separation. Segments overlapped moderately (similarity scores 0.3–0.7).
  - **Segment-level stability:** Some segments (e.g., Segment 3) were highly replicable, while others (e.g., Segment 1) varied across runs.

Key Insight:
While the 4-segment solution isn't perfectly distinct, it's the most stable and interpretable. For example:

- One segment might associate McDonald's with *convenience and speed*.
- Another might focus on *price and taste*.

2. Latent Class Analysis (Probabilistic Segmentation)

Objective: Identify segments using a statistical model that assumes data comes from a mix of underlying distributions.

Process:

- Fitted **mixture models** (2–8 segments) via the EM algorithm.
- Used **information criteria** (AIC, BIC, ICL) to select the best model:
  - All criteria dropped sharply up to 4 segments, then plateaued.
  - Though BIC/ICL suggested 7 segments, the **4-segment model** was chosen for practicality.
- Compared to k-means:
  - **High overlap** between solutions (e.g., k-means Segment 4 ≈ Mixture Component 2).
  - Initializing the mixture model with k-means results yielded nearly identical segments, reinforcing validity.

Key Insight:
Both methods agree on a core structure, but latent class analysis provides **probabilistic segment membership** (how likely a consumer belongs to each segment).

3. Mixture of Regressions (Predictive Segmentation)

Objective: Segment consumers based on **how their perceptions influence liking** (measured by the "Like" score).

Process:

- Modeled **"Like" as the outcome** predicted by 11 perception variables.
- Fitted a **2-component mixture** (more components caused estimation issues).
- Interpreted regression coefficients for each segment:
  - **Segment 1:**
    - *Liking driven by:* "Yummy," "Fast," "Cheap," "Tasty."
    - *Disliking driven by:* "Fattening," "Disgusting."
    - **Implication:** These customers prioritize *affordable, quick, tasty food* but dislike unhealthy aspects.
  - **Segment 2:**
    - *Liking driven by:* "Convenient," "Healthy."
    - *Disliking driven by:* "Greasy," "Disgusting."
    - **Implication:** These customers value *health and convenience* and avoid greasy food.

Key Insight:

Unlike clustering, this method reveals **what drives satisfaction** for each segment, guiding targeted marketing:

- **Segment 1:** Emphasize taste and value.
- **Segment 2:** Highlight healthier options and easy access.

Why These Results Matter

1. **Consistency Across Methods:**
   Both k-means and latent class analysis support a **4-segment structure**, increasing confidence in the solution.
2. **Actionable Segmentation:**
   - Clustering identifies *who is similar*.
   - Regression reveals *why they like/dislike McDonald's*.
3. **Marketing Applications:**
   - For **taste-driven segments**, promotions could focus on new burgers or value meals.
   - For **health-conscious segments**, salads or grilled options could be emphasized.