

## Unit- 2

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Page No. \_\_\_\_\_



### Argument:

It is an assertion that the given set of propositions  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  called premises yields another proposition  $Q$  called Conclusion and is denoted by  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n \vdash Q$



notation of argument

### VALID ARGUMENT

An argument  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  is said to be Valid if  $Q$  is true whenever all the premises  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  are all true. The argument is valid iff

$p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge p_3 \dots \wedge p_n \rightarrow Q$  is a Tautology

### FALLACY

It is an argument which is not valid.

Important Note:- Always write your premises in terms of  $p_1, p_2, p_3$  and conclusion is  $Q$  not small  $q$  because  $P \rightarrow$  antecedent,  $Q \rightarrow$  Consequent

## Statement

Ques 1

If I work hard, I shall get a job  
I got a job, therefore  
I worked hard

Solution

$P$ : I work hard

$Q$ : I get a job

Premises

$P_1$ :  $P \rightarrow Q$

according to first line

"

$P_2$ :  $Q$

" " Second line

$A$ :  $P$

$P \rightarrow Q, Q \vdash P$  notation of  
statement

now to check its validity we will  
write its ~~con~~ argument

$P \rightarrow Q \wedge Q \rightarrow P$  should be  
tautology

Q.2

find if the following argument is  
valid or not

If it rains, he will be sick

It did not rain

Conclusion He was not sick

$P$ : It rains,  $Q$ : He is sick

$$P_1 : P \rightarrow Q$$

$$P_2 : \sim P$$

$$Q : \sim Q$$

$P \rightarrow Q, \sim P \vdash \sim Q$  notation of Statement

$$P \rightarrow Q \wedge \sim P \rightarrow \sim Q$$

A					$P \rightarrow Q \wedge \sim P \rightarrow \sim Q$	
P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$	$\sim P$	$\sim Q$	$P \rightarrow Q \wedge \sim P$	$\rightarrow \sim Q$
T	T	T	F	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	T	F	T	<b>F</b>
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

Since it is not a tautology, hence it is not a valid argument

Q.3 If Ram works hard, he will get a job  
Ram works hard therefore he will get a job  
→ means Conclusion

Solution

P: Ram Works hard

Q: He Get a Job

$$P_1 : P \rightarrow Q$$

$$P_2 : P$$

$$Q : Q$$

$$P \rightarrow Q, P \vdash Q$$

Now will check its validity



## Validity

$$(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge P \rightarrow Q$$

		say A		
P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$	$P \rightarrow Q \wedge P$	$A \rightarrow Q$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	T
F	F	T	F	T

hence it is valid argument

## Important

Note kinds of Proposition

① Converse: The Converse of a given Conditional is a new Conditional formed by Interchanging the antecedent and consequent

e.g. if  $P \rightarrow Q$

then Converse  $Q \rightarrow P$

e.g. If 15 is an odd number then 3 is a factor of 15

Converse: If 3 is a factor of 15 then 15 is an odd number.

② Inverse

The inverse of the Given Conditional is a new Conditional

whose antecedent is the negation of the original antecedent & whose consequent is the negation of the original consequent

$$\text{If } P \rightarrow Q$$

$$\text{then } \sim P \rightarrow \sim Q$$

e.g. If it rains then I shall not go out

Inverse If it does not rain then I shall go out.

### Contra-positive

The contra positive of the given Conditional is a new Conditional whose antecedent is the negation of the original consequent & whose consequent is the negation of the original antecedent.

$$\text{e.g. } P \rightarrow Q$$

$$\text{Contra-positive } \sim Q \rightarrow \sim P$$

e.g. If it rains then I shall not go out.

Contra-positive - If I shall go out then it does not rain.