

RATING PREDICTION



Prepared by:

Ajitav Mangaraj

SME Name:

Mohd Kashif

1 | Page

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I Ajitav Mangaraj would like to convey my heartfelt gratitude to Flip Robo Technologies for providing me with this wonderful opportunity to work on a Machine Learning project using NLP "RATING PREDICTION PROJECT" and also want to thank my SME "Mohd Kashif" for providing the dataset and directions to complete this project. This project would not have been accomplished without their help and insights.

I would also like to thank my academic "Data Trained Education" and their team who has helped me to learn Machine Learning and NLP.

Working on this project was an incredible experience as I learnt more from this Project during completion.



1. Business Problem Framing

We have a client who has a website where people write different reviews for technical products. Now they are adding a new feature to their website i.e. The reviewer will have to add stars(rating) as well with the review. The rating is out 5 stars and it only has 5 options available 1 star, 2 stars, 3 stars, 4 stars, 5 stars. Now they want to predict ratings for the reviews which were written in the past and they don't have a rating So, we have to build an application which can predict the rating by seeing the review.

2. Conceptual Background of the Domain Problem

Ratings for the reviews which were written in the past and they don't have a rating. So, we have to build an application which can predict the rating by seeing the review.

3. Review of Literature

We have a client who has a website where people write different reviews for technical products. Now they are adding a new feature to their website i.e. The reviewer will have to add stars(rating) as well with the review. The rating is out 5 stars and it only has 5 options available: 1 star, 2 stars, 3 stars, 4 stars, 5 stars.

4. Motivation for the Problem Undertaken

To build an application which can predict the rating by seeing the review.



Analytical Problem Framing

1. Mathematical/Analytical Modelling of the Problem

- 1) Used web scraping to scrap data from different websites and
- 2) Used Panda's Library to save data into excel file
- 3) Cleaned Data by removing irrelevant features
- 4) Pre-processing of text using NLP processing
- 5) Used Lemmatization
- 6) Used Text Normalization Standardization
- 7) Used Word Counts
- 8) Used Character Counts
- 9) Removed Outliers
- **Used TF-IDF Vectorizer** 10)
- 11) Splitted data into train and test
- 12) **Built Model**
- 13) Hyper parameter tunning

2. Data Sources and their formats

There are two data-set in excel format: Rating Review.xlsx. Features of this dataset are:

- Unnamed: 0 contains serial no
- Review title-Title of Reviews on multiple shopping websites
- Review text- Comments Reviews from multiple shopping websites
- Ratings- Ratings of products from multiple shopping websites

3. Data Pre-processing:

a) Checked Top 5 Rows of Dataset



b) Checked Total Numbers of Rows and Column

```
rating.shape (53363, 4)
```

c) Checked All Column Name

```
rating.columns
Index(['Unnamed: 0', 'Review_title', 'Review_text', 'Ratings'], dtype='object')
```

d) Checked Data Type of All Data

```
rating.dtypes

Unnamed: 0 int64
Review_title object
Review_text object
Ratings object
dtype: object
```

e) Checked for Null Values

```
rating.isnull().sum()

Unnamed: 0 0

Review_title 3463

Review_text 3655

Ratings 3460

dtype: int64
```

There is null value in the dataset in all 3 columns except one.

f) Checking if "-" values present in dataset or not

```
(rating=='-').sum()

Unnamed: 0 0

Review_title 0

Review_text 0

Ratings 0

dtype: int64
```

g) Checked total number of unique values

```
rating.nunique()

Unnamed: 0 53363
Review_title 8655
Review_text 21097
Ratings 10
dtype: int64
```

h) Information about Data

```
rating.info()

<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 53363 entries, 0 to 53362
Data columns (total 4 columns):
# Column Non-Null Count Dtype

0 Unnamed: 0 53363 non-null int64
1 Review_title 49900 non-null object
2 Review_text 49708 non-null object
3 Ratings 49903 non-null object
dtypes: int64(1), object(3)
memory usage: 1.6+ MB
```

i) Data cleaning

☐ Dropped Column " Unnamed: 0 " as this column contains serial no.

```
#Dropping column 'Unnamed: 0' as it contains only serial no and it is not required rating.drop(columns=['Unnamed: 0'],inplace=True)
```

Handling Null Values

```
#Droping rows containing NULL Values
rating.dropna(inplace = True)

#reseting index no after droping rows
rating.reset_index(inplace=True, drop=True)
```

Checking all values in of column Rating

```
rating["Ratings"].value_counts()
5
                    22179
                     6787
5.0 out of 5 stars
                     3498
1
                     3099
1.0 out of 5 stars
                     3057
4.0 out of 5 stars
                     2908
3.0 out of 5 stars
                     2557
2.0 out of 5 stars
                     2376
3
                     2045
                      733
2
Name: Ratings, dtype: int64
Handling duplicate value of Column 'Ratings'
 #Column 'Ratings' contains 5.0 and 5 which means same and like this 4.0, 3.0, 2.0, 1.0
 rating["Ratings"]= rating["Ratings"].str.replace('5.0 out of 5 stars', '5')
 rating["Ratings"]= rating["Ratings"].str.replace('4.0 out of 5 stars', '4')
 rating["Ratings"] = rating["Ratings"].str.replace('3.0 out of 5 stars', '3')
 rating["Ratings"]= rating["Ratings"].str.replace('2.0 out of 5 stars', '2')
 rating["Ratings"] = rating["Ratings"].str.replace('1.0 out of 5 stars', '1')
 rating["Ratings"].value_counts()
5
   3498
1
    3057
4
    2908
    2557
3
    2376
Name: Ratings, dtype: int64
#checking again null values
rating.isnull().sum()
Review title
Review_text
                      0
                 34843
Ratings
dtype: int64
rating["Ratings"].unique()
array(['1', '5', '2', '3', '4', nan], dtype=object)
#checking repeated values in "Embarked" column through mode
print(rating["Ratings"].mode())
dtype: object
#Filling the Null Values with mode method
rating["Ratings"].fillna(rating["Ratings"].mode()[0], inplace=True)
rating["Ratings"].unique()
array(['1', '5', '2', '3', '4'], dtype=object)
```

```
#checking again total columns
rating.columns

Index(['Review_title', 'Review_text', 'Ratings', 'Review'], dtype='object')

#checking again total rows and columns
rating.shape

(49239, 4)

# Now combining the "Review_title" and "Review_text" columns into one single column called "Review"
rating['Review'] = rating['Review_title'].map(str)+' '+rating['Review_text']
rating
```

	Review_title	Review_text	Ratings	Review
0	After 6 monts used of zebronics Worst watch co	Don't waste your money, power button not worki	1	After 6 monts used of zebronics Worst watch co
1	Watch	Firstly thanks to Amazon for day Delivery. Thi	5	Watch Firstly thanks to Amazon for day Deliver
2	4	This watch is the best in this price segment a	5	This watch is the best in this price segmen
3	Nice and very sporty watch	Very good in hand and looks very cool to wear	5	Nice and very sporty watch Very good in hand a
4	Nice watch	I likes the fitting of this watch and it has I	5	Nice watch I likes the fitting of this watch a

4. Data Inputs-Logic-Output Relationships

I. Text Pre-Processing

Visualizing text in first three rows from the newly created "Review" column

```
rating['Review'][0]
```

"After 6 monts used of zebronics Worst watch condition Don't waste your money, power button not working after some days, touch not working then battery discharge in 6 to 7 hrs,bluethooth calling range only 2 to 3 meter. I wasted my money, don't waste yours."

```
rating['Review'][1]
```

'Watch Firstly thanks to Amazon for day Delivery. This watch comes with a heart rate meter, SPO2 meter, fitness goals, alarm, c all rejector, and many more. Battery life is good. This watch gives me battery backup for 6 days and Takes 1.5 hours to charge from 0-100%. Overall good smartwatch. Thanks to Zebronics♥'

```
rating['Review'][2]
```

'.. This watch is the best in this price segment as compared to other brands and the battery is awesome. The display is good ac cording to the price. The accuracy of heart rate, SpO2, and step counter is also good. There is no issue with connectivity. Wat ch Look is stylish. Go for its accuracy. This is the best watch in this price segment.'

Text Processing to remove unwanted punctuations and special characters

```
'''Here I am defining a function to replace some of the contracted words to their full form and removing urls and
some unwanted text'''

def decontracted(text):
    text = re.sub(r"won't", "will not", text)
    text = re.sub(r"don't", "do not", text)
    text = re.sub(r"can't", "can not", text)
    text = re.sub(r"im ", "i am", text)
    text = re.sub(r"yo ", "you ",text)
    text = re.sub(r"oesn't", "does not",text)
    text = re.sub(r"n't", "not", text)
    text = re.sub(r"\'re", " are", text)
    text = re.sub(r"\'re", " is", text)
    text = re.sub(r"\'d", " would", text)
    text = re.sub(r"\'11", " will", text)
    text = re.sub(r"\'t", " not", text)
    text = re.sub(r"\'re", " have", text)
    text = re.sub(r"\'re", " have", text)
    text = re.sub(r"\'re", " have", text)
    text = re.sub(r"\'re", " am", text)
    text = re.sub(r"\'re", " have", text)
    text = re.sub(r"\'re", " have"
```

```
# Lowercasing the alphabets
rating['Review'] = rating['Review'].apply(lambda x : x.lower())
rating['Review'] = rating['Review'].apply(lambda x : decontracted(x))

# Removing punctuations from the review
rating['Review'] = rating['Review'].str.replace('[^\w\s]','')
rating['Review'] = rating['Review'].str.replace('\n','')
# Removing all the stopwords
stop = stopwords.words('english')
rating['Review'] = rating['Review'].apply(lambda x: ''.join([word for word in x.split() if word not in (stop)]))
```

Lemmatization

```
lemmatizer = nltk.stem.WordNetLemmatizer()
# Defining functiom to convert nltk tag to wordnet tags
def nltk_tag_to_wordnet_tag(nltk_tag):
   if nltk_tag.startswith('J'):
       return wordnet.ADJ
   elif nltk_tag.startswith('V'):
       return wordnet.VERB
   elif nltk_tag.startswith('N'):
       return wordnet.NOUN
   elif nltk_tag.startswith('R'):
       return wordnet.ADV
   else:
       return None
# Defining function to Lemmatize our text
def lemmatize_sentence(sentence):
   # tokenize the sentence and find the pos_tag
   nltk_tagged = nltk.pos_tag(nltk.word_tokenize(sentence))
   # tuple of (token, wordnet_tag)
   wordnet_tagged = map(lambda x : (x[0], nltk_tag_to_wordnet_tag(x[1])), nltk_tagged)
   lemmatize_sentence = []
   for word, tag in wordnet_tagged:
       if tag is None:
           lemmatize_sentence.append(word)
        else:
           lemmatize sentence.append(lemmatizer.lemmatize(word,tag))
   return " ".join(lemmatize_sentence)
rating['Review'] = rating['Review'].apply(lambda x : lemmatize_sentence(x))
```

Text Normalization - Standardization

Word Counts

```
# Creating column for word counts in the review text
rating['Review_Count'] = rating['Review'].apply(lambda x: len(str(x).split(' ')))
rating[['Review_Count', 'Review']].head(10)
```

Re	eview_Count	Review
0	27	monts use zebronics bad watch condition waste
1	38	watch firstly thanks amazon day delivery watch
2	31	watch best price segment compare brand battery
3	17	nice sporty watch good hand look cool wear eve
4	16	nice watch like fit watch lot sport mode easy
5	19	vey good smart watch good fitting feel expensi
6	12	nice watch good fitting feel comfortable easy
7	16	smart watch cool watch easy use bright sunligh
8	8	hand smart watch extra backup look like best
9	9	quality assure best best price range absolutel

Character Counts

```
# Creating column for character counts in the review text
rating['Review_Char'] = rating['Review'].str.len()
rating[['Review_Char', 'Review']].head(10)
```

Re	view
monts use zebronics bad watch condition was	te
watch firstly thanks amazon day delivery wa	tch
watch best price segment compare brand batt	ery
nice sporty watch good hand look cool wear	eve
nice watch like fit watch lot sport mode ea	sy
vey good smart watch good fitting feel expe	nsi
nice watch good fitting feel comfortable ea	sy
smart watch cool watch easy use bright sunl	igh
hand smart watch extra backup look like	best
quality assure best best price range absolu	ıtel

II. Removing Outliers

```
# Applying zscore to remove outliers
 z_score = zscore(rating[['Review_Count']])
abs_z_score = np.abs(z_score)
filtering_entry = (abs_z_score < 3).all(axis = 1)</pre>
rating = rating[filtering entry]
print("We have {} Rows and {} Columns in our dataframe after removing outliers".format(rating.shape[0], rating.shape[1]))
We have 48799 Rows and 6 Columns in our dataframe after removing outliers
```

5. State the set of assumptions (if any) related to the problem under consideration

	It was observed that there is one column "Unnamed: 0" which is
	irrelevant column as it contains serial no, so, have to drop this
	column.
_	

- It was observed that in columns there are irrelevant values present in comment_text. So, we need to drop, replace and remove those values.
- ☐ Also have to convert text (reviews) into vectors using TF-IDF
- By looking into the Target Variable, it is assumed that it is a multiclass classification problem.

6. Hardware and Software Requirements and Tools Used

☐ Hardware used:
☐ Processor : 11th Gen Intel(R) Core (TM) i3-1125G4 @
2.00GHz 2.00 GHz
☐ System Type : 64-bit OS
☐ Software used:
☐ Anaconda for 64-bit OS
☐ Jupyter notebook

□ Tools, Libraries and Packages used:

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
%matplotlib inline
import seaborn as sns
from scipy.stats import zscore
from sklearn.model selection import train test split, GridSearchCV, cross val score
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy score, classification report, confusion matrix
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier, GradientBoostingClassifier
from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
from sklearn.svm import SVC
from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
from xgboost import XGBClassifier
from sklearn.ensemble import BaggingClassifier,AdaBoostClassifier
import nltk
import re
import string
from nltk.corpus import stopwords
from nltk.stem import PorterStemmer, WordNetLemmatizer
from nltk.corpus import wordnet
from nltk import FreqDist
import gensim
from gensim.models import Word2Vec
from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer
from nltk import FreqDist
from scipy import stats
from scipy.sparse import hstack
nltk.download('averaged_perceptron_tagger', quiet=True)
from wordcloud import WordCloud
import scikitplot as skplt
import lightgbm
from sklearn.svm import LinearSVC
from sklearn.naive bayes import MultinomialNB, GaussianNB, BernoulliNB
from lightgbm import LGBMClassifier
from sklearn.linear model import SGDClassifier
import pickle
import joblib
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')
```

Model/s Development and Evaluation

1. <u>Identification of possible problem-solving approaches</u> (methods)

In this project, we want to differentiate between comments and its categories and for this we have used these approaches:

- Checked Total Numbers of Rows and Column
- Checked All Column Name
- Checked Data Type of All Data
- Checked for Null Values
- Checked for special character present in dataset or not
- Checked total number of unique values
- Information about Data
- Dropped irrelevant Columns
- Replaced special characters and irrelevant data
- Checked all features through visualization.
- Removed unwanted punctuations and special characters
- · Converted all messages to lower case
- Removed punctuations
- Removed StopWords
- Used Lemmatization
- Used Text Normalization Standardization
- Used Word Counts
- Used Character Counts
- Removed Outliers
- Checked loud word using WordCloud
- Converted text into vectors using TF-IDF

2. Testing of Identified Approaches (Algorithms)

- 1. Logistic Regression
- 2. Linear Support Vector Classifier
- 3. Bernoulli NB
- 4. Multinomial NB
- 5. SGD Classifier
- 6. LGBM Classifier
- 7. XGB Classifier

3. Run and evaluate selected models

Splitting the data into train and test datasets

```
state = 42
x_train, x_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(train_features, y, test_size = 0.30, random_state = state)

# Lets check the shapes of training and test data
print("x_train", x_train.shape)
print("x_test", x_test.shape)
print("y_train", y_train.shape)
print("y_test", y_test.shape)

x_train (34159, 150000)
x_test (14640, 150000)
y_train (34159,)
y_test (14640,)
```

```
# Defining the Classification Machine Learning Algorithms
lr = LogisticRegression(solver='lbfgs')
svc = LinearSVC()
bnb = BernoulliNB()
mnb = MultinomialNB()
sgd = SGDClassifier()
lgb = LGBMClassifier()
xgb = XGBClassifier(verbosity=0)
# Creating a function to train and test the model with evaluation metrics
def BuiltModel(model):
    print('*'*30+model.__class__.__name__+'*'*30)
    model.fit(x_train, y_train)
    y_pred = model.predict(x_train)
    pred = model.predict(x test)
    accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test, pred)*100
    print(f"ACCURACY SCORE PERCENTAGE:", accuracy)
    # Confusion matrix and Classification report
    print(f"CLASSIFICATION REPORT: \n {classification_report(y_test, pred)}")
    print(f"CONFUSION MATRIX: \n {confusion_matrix(y_test, pred)}\n")
    print("-"*120)
    print("\n")
```

Training and testing of all the classification algorithms

```
for model in [lr,svc,bnb,mnb,sgd,lgb]:
    BuiltModel(model)
```

CLASSIFICATION REPORT:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
1	0.71	0.77	0.74	1791
2	0.66	0.47	0.55	865
3	0.69	0.53	0.60	1335
4	0.71	0.63	0.67	2885
5	0.83	0.91	0.87	7764
accuracy			0.78	14640
macro avg	0.72	0.66	0.68	14640
weighted avg	0.77	0.78	0.77	14640

CONFUSION MATRIX:

[[1375	85	57	66	208]
[202	404	90	70	99]
[113	59	706	173	284]
[72	35	87	1827	864]
[170	31	88	432	7043]]

ACCURACY SCORE PERCENTAGE: 79.43989071038251

CLASSIFICATION REPORT:

CTUDDITI TCUIT	LON MEL DIVI			
	precision	recall	f1-score	support
1	0.76	0.77	0.76	1791
2	0.63	0.56	0.59	865
3	0.70	0.59	0.64	1335
4	0.72	0.69	0.70	2885
5	0.86	0.90	0.88	7764
accuracy	,		0.79	14640
macro avg	0.73	0.70	0.72	14640
weighted ave	0.79	0.79	0.79	14640

CONFUSION MATRIX:

[[1371	103	50	69	198]
1	152	481	89	68	75]
1	85	76	794	165	215]
1	58	49	104	1988	686]
E	148	49	95	476	6996]]

CLASSIFICATION REPORT:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support	
1	0.65	0.73	0.69	1791	
2	0.47	0.37	0.42	865	
3	0.46	0.41	0.43	1335	
4	0.58	0.60	0.59	2885	
5	0.81	0.81	0.81	7764	
accuracy			0.70	14640	
macro avg	0.59	0.59	0.59	14640	
weighted avg	0.69	0.70	0.70	14640	

CONFUSION MATRIX:

[[1306	94	88	80	223]
1	226	324	122	89	104]
[158	89	542	225	321]
1	97	81	165	1745	797]
[228	99	268	868	6301]]

ACCURACY SCORE PERCENTAGE: 68.54508196721312

CLASSIFICATION REPORT:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
1	0.59	0.76	0.67	1791
2	0.78	0.05	0.09	865
3	0.79	0.14	0.24	1335
4	0.69	0.39	0.50	2885
5	0.70	0.94	0.80	7764
accuracy			0.69	14640
macro avg	0.71	0.46	0.46	14640
weighted avg	0.70	0.69	0.63	14640

CONFUSION MATRIX:

[[1367	3		5 50	366]
[388	42	20	75	340]
[235	3	189	156	752]
[107	1	9	1136	1632]
[215	5	16	227	7301]]

:	**	****	****	*****	******	***SGDC1	lassifier**	*********	**********
AC(CURAC	Y SCOR	RE PE	ERCENT	AGE: 7	77.15163	934426229		
CLA	ASSIF:	ICATI(ON RE	EPORT:					
			pı	recisi	on	recall	f1-score	support	
		1		0.6	9	0.79	0.74	1791	
		2		0.7	71	0.45	0.55	865	
		3 4		0.7	72	0.51	0.59	1335	
		4		0.7	71	0.61	0.65	2885	
		5		0.8	32	0.91	0.86	7764	
	acci	uracy					0.77	14640	
	macro	o avg		0.7	73	0.65	0.68	14640	
we:	ighte	d avg		0.7	77	0.77	0.76	14640	
COI	NFUSI	ON MA	TRIX						
[]	[1422	54	43	3 66	206]				
1	240	387	75	69	94]				
1	138	46	677	182	292]				
1	85	22	73	1751	954]				
Γ	186	36	77	407	7058]]				

ACCURACY SCORE PERCENTAGE: 78.0464480874317 CLASSIFICATION REPORT:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
1	0.73	0.77	0.75	1791
2	0.68	0.54	0.60	865
3	0.71	0.56	0.63	1335
4	0.71	0.64	0.67	2885
5	0.83	0.90	0.86	7764
accuracy			0.78	14640
macro avg	0.73	0.68	0.70	14640
weighted avg	0.77	0.78	0.77	14640

CONFUSION MATRIX:

	[1374	93	48	69	207]
1	161	464	81	60	99]
[102	54	748	167	264]
[79	29	85	1839	853]
1	177	43	94	449	7001]]

Cross validation score for best score models

```
def cross_val(model):
  print('*'*30+model.__class__.__name__+'*'*30)
  scores = cross_val_score(model,train_features,y, cv = 3).mean()*100
  print("Cross validation score:", scores)
  print("\n")
for model in [lr,svc,bnb,mnb,sgd,lgb]:
  cross_val(model)
Cross validation score: 77.24134218455275
Cross validation score: 78.73931874673873
Cross validation score: 68.6878910686223
Cross validation score: 67.35179882864419
Cross validation score: 76.77206871913532
Cross validation score: 77.45651052834704
```

HyperParameter Tuning

Linear SVC with GridSearchCV

```
# Final Model with the best chosen parameters list
best_model = LinearSVC(C= 1, loss= 'squared_hinge', penalty= '12')
best_model.fit(x_train,y_train) # fitting data to the best model
pred = best_model.predict(x_test)
accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test, pred)*100
# Printing the accuracy score
print("ACCURACY SCORE:", accuracy)
# Printing the classification report
print(f"\nCLASSIFICATION REPORT: \n {classification_report(y_test, pred)}")
# Printing the Confusion matrix
print(f"\nCONFUSION MATRIX: \n {confusion_matrix(y_test, pred)}")
```

ACCURACY SCORE: 79.43989071038251

CLASSIFICATION REPORT:


precision	recall	f1-score	support
0.76	0.77	0.76	1791
0.63	0.56	0.59	865
0.70	0.59	0.64	1335
0.72	0.69	0.70	2885
0.86	0.90	0.88	7764
		0.79	14640
0.73	0.70	0.72	14640
0.79	0.79	0.79	14640
	0.76 0.63 0.70 0.72 0.86	0.76 0.77 0.63 0.56 0.70 0.59 0.72 0.69 0.86 0.90	0.76 0.77 0.76 0.63 0.56 0.59 0.70 0.59 0.64 0.72 0.69 0.70 0.86 0.90 0.88 0.79 0.73 0.70 0.72

CONFUSION MATRIX:

[[1371	103	56	69	198]
1	152	481	89	68	75]
Ī	85	76	794	165	215]
1	58	49	104	1988	686]
1	148	49	95	476	6996]]

Creating a normalized confusion matrix here
skplt.metrics.plot_confusion_matrix(y_test, pred, normalize=True)

<AxesSubplot:title={'center':'Normalized Confusion Matrix'}, xlabel='Predicted label', ylabel='True label'>



☐ Saving The Predictive Model

```
joblib.dump(best_model, "Rating_Prediction_Model.pkl")
['Rating_Prediction_Model.pkl']
```

☐ Comparing Actual and Predicted

```
Model = joblib.load("Rating_Prediction_Model.pk1")

# Predicting test data using loaded model
prediction = Model.predict(x_test)

# Analysing Predicted vs Actual results
Rating_Prediction_Model = pd.DataFrame()
Rating_Prediction_Model['Predicted Ratings Review'] = prediction
Rating_Prediction_Model['Actual Ratings Review'] = y
Rating_Prediction_Model
```

	Predicted Ratings Review	Actual Ratings Review
0	5	1
1	4	4
2	5	5
3	1	4
4	5	5

☐ Saving the model in CSV format

```
# Converting the dataframe into CSV format and saving it
Rating_Prediction_Model.to_csv('Rating_Prediction_Model.csv', index=False)
```


4. Key Metrics for success in solving problem under consideration

☐ Accuracy Score, Precision Score, Recall Score, F1-Score and CV score are used for success. Also, confusion matrix is used for success.

5. Visualization

```
# Checking the ratings column details using count plot
x = 'Ratings'
fig, ax = plt.subplots(1,1,figsize=(10,8))
sns.countplot(x=x,data=rating,ax=ax)
p=0
for i in ax.patches:
    q = i.get_height()/2
    val = i.get_height()
    ratio = round(val*100/len(rating),2)
    prn = f"{val}\n({ratio}%)"
    ax.text(p,q,prn,ha="center",color="white",rotation=0,fontweight="bold",fontsize="13")
    p += 1


plt.title("Count Plot: rating")
plt.show()
```



Observation:

- . We can see that the highest number of customer rating received are for 5 stars then 4 star rating reviews present .
- . Then 1 star rating is highest compared to 2 and 3 star rating reviews


```
# Checking review word count distribution for each rating
ratings = np.sort(rating.Ratings.unique())
cols = 3
rows = len(ratings)//cols
if rows % cols != 0:
   rows += 1
fig = plt.figure(figsize=(20,10))
plt.subplots_adjust(hspace=0.3, wspace=0.2)
p = 1
colors = [(1,0,0,1),(0.6,0.2,0,1),(0.4,0.5,0,1),(0.2,0.7,0,1),(0,1,0.1,1)]
for i in ratings:
   axis = fig.add_subplot(rows,cols,p)
   sns.distplot(rating.Review_Count[rating.Ratings==i], ax=axis, label=f"For Rating: {i}")
   axis.set_xlabel(f"Review Word Count")
   axis.legend()
   p += 1
plt.show()
```



Observation:

The above word count histogram+distributions for each and every rating shows that when people are disappointed with a service they tend to mention a discriptive review as compared to when they are happy they use lesser words to express the joy of having got a great product.

```
# Checking review character count distribution for each rating
ratings = np.sort(rating.Ratings.unique())
cols = 3
rows = len(ratings)//cols
if rows % cols != 0:
   rows += 1
fig = plt.figure(figsize=(20,10))
plt.subplots_adjust(hspace=0.3, wspace=0.2)
p = 1
colors = [(1,0,0,1),(0.6,0.2,0,1),(0.4,0.5,0,1),(0.2,0.7,0,1),(0,1,0.1,1)]
for i in ratings:
   axis = fig.add_subplot(rows,cols,p)
   sns.distplot(rating.Review_Char[rating.Ratings==i], ax=axis, label=f"For Rating: {i}")
   axis.set_xlabel(f"Review Character Count")
   axis.legend()
   p += 1
plt.show()
```



Observation:

Just as in the case of word count histogram+distribution plots the pattern is quite evident that Rating 5 reviews have lesser character counts on their comments when compared to the lower rating details.

Displaying loud words with Word Cloud information



Observation:

For Rating: 1

It mostly consists of words like watch, use, bad product, waste, time, money, bad experience, issue etc

For Rating: 2

It mostly consists of words like good, phone, use, watch, poor, issue, waste money, quality good, bad, problem etc

For Rating: 3

It mostly consists of words like sound quality, good, use, time, camera quality, display, buy, build quality etc


For Rating: 4

It mostly consists of words like use, buy, phone, watch, good product, good quality, good choice, nice product etc

For Rating: 5

It mostly consists of words like price range, value money, good product, well, go, simply awesome, perfect product etc


```
# Checking the count of target column values
plt.figure(figsize=(15,7))
sns.countplot(rating['Ratings'])
print(rating.Ratings.value_counts())
plt.show()
5
    38232
1
     2961
      2812
4
3
      2487
      2307
2
Name: Ratings, dtype: int64
```



Observation:

- Looking at the above count plot for our target varible (Ratings) we can say that the data set is having most number of reviews rated as 5 star and very less number
 of reviews rated as 2 star.
- Which will cause the Imbalance problem for our Machine Learning model and make it bias.
- So I am selecting equal number of reviews of each rating as a input for our model to avoid any kind of biasness
- . For that first I will shuffle the dataset so that we can select data from both web-sites (Amazon and Flipkart)
- Then I will select equal number of data of every category and ensure that the rating values are balanced

Top 30 most frequently occuring words



Top 30 rarely occuring words

6. Interpretation of the Results

Through Pre-processing it is interpretated Converted all reviews to
lower case, removed money symbols and parenthesis, removed
Punctation, replaced extra space, removed stop-words, Calculated
length of sentence and decreased after pre-processing, converted
text into vectors using TF-IDF.

☐ There are 5 types of Ratings in the dataset, Rating: 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5.

☐ By creating/building model we get best model: Linear SVC.



1. Key Findings and Conclusions of the Study

In this project we have collected data of reviews and ratings for different products from amazon.in and flipkart.com. Then we have done different text processing for reviews column and chose equal number of texts from each rating class to eliminate problem of imbalance. By doing different EDA steps we have analyzed the text. We have checked frequently occurring words in our data as well as rarely occurring words. After all these steps we have built function to train and test different algorithms and using various evaluation metrics we have selected Linear-SVC for our final model. Finally, by doing hyperparameter tuning we got optimum parameters for our final model. And finally, we got improved accuracy score for our final model.

2. Learning Outcomes of the Study in respect of Data Science

This project has demonstrated the importance of NLP.
Through different powerful tools of visualization, we were able to
analyse and interpret the huge data and with the help of pie plot,
count plot & word cloud, I am able to see the distribution of
threat comments.
Through data cleaning we were able to remove unnecessary
columns, values, special characters, symbols, stop-words and

punctuation from our dataset due to which our model would have suffered from overfitting or underfitting.

The few challenges while working on this project were: -

To find punctuations & stop words, which took time to run using NLP.
The data set is huge it took time to run some algorithms & to check the
cross-validation score.

3. Limitations of this work and Scope for Future Work

As we know the content of text in reviews is totally depends on the reviewer and they may rate differently which is totally depends on that particular person. So, it is difficult to predict ratings based on the reviews with higher accuracies. Still, we can improve our accuracy by fetching more data and by doing extensive hyperparameter tuning.