

## Find Peak Element

A peak element is an element that is greater than its neighbors.

Given an input array where  $\text{num}[i] \neq \text{num}[i+1]$ , find a peak element and return its index.

The array may contain multiple peaks, in that case return the index to any one of the peaks is fine.

You may imagine that  $\text{num}[-1] = \text{num}[n] = -\infty$ .

For example, in array  $[1, 2, 3, 1]$ , 3 is a peak element and your function should return the index number 2.

[click to show spoilers.](#)

### **Note:**

Your solution should be in logarithmic complexity.

### **Credits:**

Special thanks to [@ts](#) for adding this problem and creating all test cases.

## Solution 1

Consider that each local maximum is one valid peak. My solution is to find one local maximum with binary search. Binary search satisfies the  $O(\log n)$  computational complexity.

Binary Search: recursion

```
class Solution {
public:

    int findPeakElement(const vector<int> &num) {
        return Helper(num, 0, num.size()-1);
    }
    int Helper(const vector<int> &num, int low, int high)
    {
        if(low == high)
            return low;
        else
        {
            int mid1 = (low+high)/2;
            int mid2 = mid1+1;
            if(num[mid1] > num[mid2])
                return Helper(num, low, mid1);
            else
                return Helper(num, mid2, high);
        }
    }
};
```

Binary Search: iteration

```
class Solution {
public:
    int findPeakElement(const vector<int> &num)
    {
        int low = 0;
        int high = num.size()-1;

        while(low < high)
        {
            int mid1 = (low+high)/2;
            int mid2 = mid1+1;
            if(num[mid1] < num[mid2])
                low = mid2;
            else
                high = mid1;
        }
        return low;
    }
};
```

Sequential Search:

```
class Solution {
public:
    int findPeakElement(const vector<int> &num) {
        for(int i = 1; i < num.size(); i++)
        {
            if(num[i] < num[i-1])
            {
                return i-1;
            }
        }
        return num.size()-1;
    }
};
```

written by [gangan](#) original link [here](#)

## Solution 2

This problem is similar to Local Minimum. And according to the given condition,  $\text{num}[i] \neq \text{num}[i+1]$ , there must exist a  $O(\log N)$  solution. So we use binary search for this problem.

- If  $\text{num}[i-1] < \text{num}[i] > \text{num}[i+1]$ , then  $\text{num}[i]$  is peak
- If  $\text{num}[i-1] < \text{num}[i] < \text{num}[i+1]$ , then  $\text{num}[i+1 \dots n-1]$  must contains a peak
- If  $\text{num}[i-1] > \text{num}[i] > \text{num}[i+1]$ , then  $\text{num}[0 \dots i-1]$  must contains a peak
- If  $\text{num}[i-1] > \text{num}[i] < \text{num}[i+1]$ , then both sides have peak (n is num.length)

Here is the code

```
public int findPeakElement(int[] num) {
    return helper(num, 0, num.length-1);
}

public int helper(int[] num, int start, int end){
    if(start == end){
        return start;
    }else if(start+1 == end){
        if(num[start] > num[end]) return start;
        return end;
    }else{
        int m = (start+end)/2;

        if(num[m] > num[m-1] && num[m] > num[m+1]){

            return m;

        }else if(num[m-1] > num[m] && num[m] > num[m+1]){

            return helper(num, start, m-1);

        }else{

            return helper(num, m+1, end);

        }

    }
}
```

written by [xctom](#) original link [here](#)

## Solution 3

I find it useful to reason about binary search problems using invariants. While there are many solutions posted here, neither of them provide (in my opinion) a good explanation about why they work. I just spent some time thinking about this and I thought it might be a good idea to share my thoughts.

Assume we initialize  $\text{left} = 0$ ,  $\text{right} = \text{nums.length} - 1$ . The invariant I'm using is the following:

**$\text{nums}[\text{left} - 1] < \text{nums}[\text{left}] \ \&\& \ \text{nums}[\text{right}] > \text{nums}[\text{right} + 1]$**

That basically means that in the current interval we're looking,  $[\text{left}, \text{right}]$  the function started increasing to left and will eventually decrease at right. The behavior between  $[\text{left}, \text{right}]$  falls into the following 3 categories:

- 1)  $\text{nums}[\text{left}] > \text{nums}[\text{left} + 1]$ . From the invariant,  $\text{nums}[\text{left} - 1] < \text{nums}[\text{left}] \Rightarrow$  left is a peak
- 2) The function is increasing from left to right i.e.  $\text{nums}[\text{left}] < \text{nums}[\text{left} + 1] < \dots < \text{nums}[\text{right} - 1] < \text{nums}[\text{right}]$ . From the invariant,  $\text{nums}[\text{right}] > \text{nums}[\text{right} + 1] \Rightarrow$  right is a peak
- 3) the function increases for a while and then decreases (in which case the point just before it starts decreasing is a peak) e.g. 2 5 6 3 (6 is the point in question)

As shown, if the invariant above holds, there is at least a peak between  $[\text{left}, \text{right}]$ . Now we need to show 2 things:

- I) the invariant is initially true. Since  $\text{left} = 0$  and  $\text{right} = \text{nums.length} - 1$  initially and we know that  $\text{nums}[-1] = \text{nums}[\text{nums.length}] = -\infty$ , this is obviously true
- II) At every step of the loop the invariant gets reestablished. If we consider the code in the loop, we have  $\text{mid} = (\text{left} + \text{right}) / 2$  and the following 2 cases:
  - a)  $\text{nums}[\text{mid}] < \text{nums}[\text{mid} + 1]$ . It turns out that the interval  $[\text{mid} + 1, \text{right}]$  respects the invariant ( $\text{nums}[\text{mid}] < \text{nums}[\text{mid} + 1] \rightarrow$  part of the cond +  $\text{nums}[\text{right}] > \text{nums}[\text{right} + 1] \rightarrow$  part of the invariant in the previous loop iteration)
  - b)  $\text{nums}[\text{mid}] > \text{nums}[\text{mid} + 1]$ . Similarly,  $[\text{left}, \text{mid}]$  respects the invariant ( $\text{nums}[\text{left} - 1] < \text{nums}[\text{left}] \rightarrow$  part of the invariant in the previous loop iteration and  $\text{nums}[\text{mid}] > \text{nums}[\text{mid} + 1] \rightarrow$  part of the cond)

As a result, the invariant gets reestablished and it will also hold when we exit the loop. In that case we have an interval of length 2 i.e.  $\text{right} = \text{left} + 1$ . If  $\text{nums}[\text{left}] > \text{nums}[\text{right}]$ , using the invariant ( $\text{nums}[\text{left} - 1] < \text{nums}[\text{left}]$ ), we get that left is a peak. Otherwise right is the peak ( $\text{nums}[\text{left}] < \text{nums}[\text{right}]$  and  $\text{nums}[\text{right}] < \text{nums}[\text{right} + 1]$  from the invariant).

```
public int findPeakElement(int[] nums) {  
    int N = nums.length;  
    if (N == 1) {  
        return 0;  
    }  
  
    int left = 0, right = N - 1;  
    while (right - left > 1) {  
        int mid = left + (right - left) / 2;  
        if (nums[mid] < nums[mid + 1]) {  
            left = mid + 1;  
        } else {  
            right = mid;  
        }  
    }  
  
    return (left == N - 1 || nums[left] > nums[left + 1]) ? left : right;  
}
```

I hope this makes things clear despite the long explanation.

written by [cosmin79](#) original link [here](#)

From [LeetCoder](#).