

Divide Two Integers

Divide two integers without using multiplication, division and mod operator.

If it is overflow, return MAX_INT.

Solution 1

In this problem, we are asked to divide two integers. However, we are not allowed to use division, multiplication and mod operations. So, what else can we use? Yeah, bit manipulations.

Let's do an example and see how bit manipulations work.

Suppose we want to divide 15 by 3, so 15 is `dividend` and 3 is `divisor`. Well, division simply requires us to find how many times we can subtract the `divisor` from the `dividend` without making the `dividend` negative.

Let's get started. We subtract 3 from 15 and we get 12, which is positive. Let's try to subtract more. Well, we **shift** 3 to the left by 1 bit and we get 6. Subtracting 6 from 15 still gives a positive result. Well, we shift again and get 12. We subtract 12 from 15 and it is still positive. We shift again, obtaining 24 and we know we can at most subtract 12. Well, since 12 is obtained by shifting 3 to left twice, we know it is 4 times of 3. How do we obtain this 4? Well, we start from 1 and shift it to left twice at the same time. We add 4 to an answer (initialized to be 0). In fact, the above process is like $15 = 3 * 4 + 3$. We now get part of the quotient (4), with a remainder 3.

Then we repeat the above process again. We subtract `divisor = 3` from the remaining `dividend = 3` and obtain 0. We know we are done. No shift happens, so we simply add $1 \ll 0$ to the answer.

Now we have the full algorithm to perform division.

According to the problem statement, we need to handle some exceptions, such as overflow.

Well, two cases may cause overflow:

1. `divisor = 0`;
2. `dividend = INT_MIN` and `divisor = -1` (because `abs(INT_MIN) = INT_MAX + 1`).

Of course, we also need to take the sign into considerations, which is relatively easy.

Putting all these together, we have the following code.

```
class Solution {
public:
    int divide(int dividend, int divisor) {
        if (!divisor || (dividend == INT_MIN && divisor == -1))
            return INT_MAX;
        int sign = ((dividend < 0) ^ (divisor < 0)) ? -1 : 1;
        long long dvd = labs(dividend);
        long long dvs = labs(divisor);
        int res = 0;
        while (dvd >= dvs) {
            long long temp = dvs, multiple = 1;
            while (dvd >= (temp << 1)) {
                temp <<= 1;
                multiple <<= 1;
            }
            dvd -= temp;
            res += multiple;
        }
        return sign == 1 ? res : -res;
    }
};
```

written by [jianchao.li.fighter](#) original link [here](#)

Solution 2

Long division in binary: The outer loop reduces n by at least half each iteration. So It has $O(\log N)$ iterations. The inner loop has at most $\log N$ iterations. So the overall complexity is $O((\log N)^2)$

```
typedef long long ll;

int divide(int n_, int d_) {
    ll ans=0;
    ll n=abs((ll)n_);
    ll d=abs((ll)d_);
    while(n>=d){
        ll a=d;
        ll m=1;
        while((a<<1) < n){a<<=1;m<<=1;}
        ans+=m;
        n-=a;
    }
    if((n_<0&&d_>=0)|| (n_>=0&&d_<0))
        return -ans;
    return ans;
}
```

written by [lucastan](#) original link [here](#)

Solution 3

```
class Solution:
    # @return an integer
    def divide(self, dividend, divisor):
        positive = (dividend < 0) is (divisor < 0)
        dividend, divisor = abs(dividend), abs(divisor)
        res = 0
        while dividend >= divisor:
            temp, i = divisor, 1
            while dividend >= temp:
                dividend -= temp
                res += i
                i <= 1
                temp <= 1
        if not positive:
            res = -res
        return min(max(-2147483648, res), 2147483647)
```

written by [tusizi](#) original link [here](#)

From [LeetCoder](#).