

---

## First Missing Positive

Given an unsorted integer array, find the first missing positive integer.

For example,

Given `[1, 2, 0]` return `3`,  
and `[3, 4, -1, 1]` return `2`.

Your algorithm should run in  $O(n)$  time and uses constant space.

## Solution 1

Put each number in its right place.

For example:

When we find 5, then swap it with A[4].

At last, the first place where its number is not right, return the place + 1.

```
class Solution
{
public:
    int firstMissingPositive(int A[], int n)
    {
        for(int i = 0; i < n; ++ i)
            while(A[i] > 0 && A[i] <= n && A[A[i] - 1] != A[i])
                swap(A[i], A[A[i] - 1]);

        for(int i = 0; i < n; ++ i)
            if(A[i] != i + 1)
                return i + 1;

        return n + 1;
    }
};
```

written by [makuiyu](#) original link [here](#)

## Solution 2

### Share my $O(n)/O(1)$ solution

---

The basic idea is **for any  $k$  positive numbers (duplicates allowed), the first missing positive number must be within  $[1, k+1]$** . The reason is like you put  $k$  balls into  $k+1$  bins, there must be a bin empty, the empty bin can be viewed as the missing number.

---

1. Unfortunately, there are 0 and negative numbers in the array, so firstly I think of using partition technique (used in quick sort) to put all positive numbers together in one side. This can be finished in  $O(n)$  time,  $O(1)$  space.
  2. After partition step, you get all the positive numbers lying within  $A[0, k-1]$ . Now, According to the basic idea, I infer the first missing number must be within  $[1, k+1]$ . I decide to use  $A[i]$  ( $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ ) to indicate whether the number  $(i+1)$  exists. But here I still have to main the original information  $A[i]$  holds. Fortunately,  $A[i]$  are all positive numbers, so I can set them to negative to indicate the existence of  $(i+1)$  and I can still use  $\text{abs}(A[i])$  to get the original information  $A[i]$  holds.
  3. After step 2, I can again scan all elements between  $A[0, k-1]$  to find the first positive element  $A[i]$ , that means  $(i+1)$  doesn't exist, which is what I want.
-

```

public int firstMissingPositive(int[] A) {
    int n=A.length;
    if(n==0)
        return 1;
    int k=partition(A)+1;
    int temp=0;
    int first_missing_Index=k;
    for(int i=0;i<k;i++){
        temp=Math.abs(A[i]);
        if(temp<=k)
            A[temp-1]=(A[temp-1]<0)?A[temp-1]:-A[temp-1];
    }
    for(int i=0;i<k;i++){
        if(A[i]>0){
            first_missing_Index=i;
            break;
        }
    }
    return first_missing_Index+1;
}

public int partition(int[] A){
    int n=A.length;
    int q=-1;
    for(int i=0;i<n;i++){
        if(A[i]>0){
            q++;
            swap(A,q,i);
        }
    }
    return q;
}

public void swap(int[] A, int i, int j){
    if(i!=j){
        A[i]^=A[j];
        A[j]^=A[i];
        A[i]^=A[j];
    }
}

```

written by [yuyibestman](#) original link [here](#)

## Solution 3

time complexity is  $O(N)$  and space complexity is  $O(1)$ .

Link: <http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1586858/find-the-smallest-integer-not-in-a-list>

Posted by Ants Aasma on Oct 20 '09.

The code is pasted here:

```
#Pass 1, move every value to the position of its value
for cursor in range(N):
    target = array[cursor]
    while target < N and target != array[target]:
        new_target = array[target]
        array[target] = target
        target = new_target

#Pass 2, find first location where the index doesn't match the value
for cursor in range(N):
    if array[cursor] != cursor:
        return cursor
return N
```

written by [yzhao](#) original link [here](#)

From [LeetCoder](#).