

Kth Smallest Element in a BST

Given a binary search tree, write a function `kthSmallest` to find the **k**th smallest element in it.

Note:

You may assume k is always valid, $1 \leq k \leq \text{BST's total elements}$.

Follow up:

What if the BST is modified (insert/delete operations) often and you need to find the **k**th smallest frequently? How would you optimize the `kthSmallest` routine?

1. Try to utilize the property of a BST.
2. What if you could modify the BST node's structure?
3. The optimal runtime complexity is $O(\text{height of BST})$.

Credits:

Special thanks to [@ts](#) for adding this problem and creating all test cases.

Solution 1

Binary Search (dfs): most preferable

```
public int kthSmallest(TreeNode root, int k) {
    int count = countNodes(root.left);
    if (k <= count) {
        return kthSmallest(root.left, k);
    } else if (k > count + 1) {
        return kthSmallest(root.right, k-1-count); // 1 is counted as current node
    }

    return root.val;
}

public int countNodes(TreeNode n) {
    if (n == null) return 0;

    return 1 + countNodes(n.left) + countNodes(n.right);
}
```

DFS in-order recursive:

```
// better keep these two variables in a wrapper class
private static int number = 0;
private static int count = 0;

public int kthSmallest(TreeNode root, int k) {
    count = k;
    helper(root);
    return number;
}

public void helper(TreeNode n) {
    if (n.left != null) helper(n.left);
    count--;
    if (count == 0) {
        number = n.val;
        return;
    }
    if (n.right != null) helper(n.right);
}
```

DFS in-order iterative:

```
public int kthSmallest(TreeNode root, int k) {
    Stack<TreeNode> st = new Stack<>();

    while (root != null) {
        st.push(root);
        root = root.left;
    }

    while (k != 0) {
        TreeNode n = st.pop();
        k--;
        if (k == 0) return n.val;
        TreeNode right = n.right;
        while (right != null) {
            st.push(right);
            right = right.left;
        }
    }

    return -1; // never hit if k is valid
}
```

written by [angelvivienne](#) original link [here](#)

Solution 2

If we could add a count field in the BST node class, it will take $O(n)$ time when we calculate the count value for the whole tree, but after that, it will take $O(\log n)$ time when insert/delete a node or calculate the kth smallest element.

```
public class Solution {
    public int kthSmallest(TreeNode root, int k) {
        TreeNodeWithCount rootWithCount = buildTreeWithCount(root);
        return kthSmallest(rootWithCount, k);
    }

    private TreeNodeWithCount buildTreeWithCount(TreeNode root) {
        if (root == null) return null;
        TreeNodeWithCount rootWithCount = new TreeNodeWithCount(root.val);
        rootWithCount.left = buildTreeWithCount(root.left);
        rootWithCount.right = buildTreeWithCount(root.right);
        if (rootWithCount.left != null) rootWithCount.count += rootWithCount.
left.count;
        if (rootWithCount.right != null) rootWithCount.count += rootWithCount
.right.count;
        return rootWithCount;
    }

    private int kthSmallest(TreeNodeWithCount rootWithCount, int k) {
        if (k <= 0 || k > rootWithCount.count) return -1;
        if (rootWithCount.left != null) {
            if (rootWithCount.left.count >= k) return kthSmallest(rootWithCou
nt.left, k);

            if (rootWithCount.left.count == k-1) return rootWithCount.val;
            return kthSmallest(rootWithCount.right, k-1-rootWithCount.left.co
unt);
        } else {
            if (k == 1) return rootWithCount.val;
            return kthSmallest(rootWithCount.right, k-1);
        }
    }

    class TreeNodeWithCount {
        int val;
        int count;
        TreeNodeWithCount left;
        TreeNodeWithCount right;
        TreeNodeWithCount(int x) {val = x; count = 1;};
    }
}
```

written by [WHJ425](#) original link [here](#)

Solution 3

Go inorder and decrease **k** at each node. Stop the whole search as soon as **k** is zero, and then the k-th element is immediately returned all the way to the recursion top and to the original caller.

Try the left subtree first. If that made **k** zero, then its answer is the overall answer and we return it right away. Otherwise, decrease **k** for the current node, and if that made **k** zero, then we return the current node's value right away. Otherwise try the right subtree and return whatever comes back from there.

```
int kthSmallest(TreeNode* root, int& k) {
    if (root) {
        int x = kthSmallest(root->left, k);
        return !k ? x : !--k ? root->val : kthSmallest(root->right, k);
    }
}
```

You might notice that I changed **k** from **int** to **int&** because I didn't feel like adding a helper just for that and the OJ doesn't mind. Oh well, here is that now:

```
int kthSmallest(TreeNode* root, int k) {
    return find(root, k);
}
int find(TreeNode* root, int& k) {
    if (root) {
        int x = find(root->left, k);
        return !k ? x : !--k ? root->val : find(root->right, k);
    }
}
```

written by [StefanPochmann](#) original link [here](#)

From [LeetCoder](#).