

Sum Product Theorems and Applications (Spring 2022, Weikun He)

Ajorda Jiao

Contents

1	Basic additive combinatorics	2
2	Sum-product theorem	4
3	More additive combinatorics	7

Theorem 0.1 (Erdős-Szemerédi Theorem)

There exists an absolute constant $c > 0$, such that

$$\max \{ \#(A + A), \#AA \} \geq c(\#A)^{1+c}.$$

§1 Basic additive combinatorics

$(E, +)$ abelian group. $A, B \subseteq E$.

Notation 1.1. $A + B := \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$.

Question 1.2 (Freiman). If $\#(A + A) \leq K\#A$, for some parameter K , what can we say about A ?

Observation 1.3. If A is a **arithmetic progression**, then $\#(A + A) \leq 2\#A$. If A is a **generalized A.P.** of **rank** r , i.e.

$$A = \{a_0 + t_1 d_1 + \cdots + t_r d_r : \forall i, 1 \leq t_i \leq N_i\},$$

then $\#(A + A) \leq 2^r \#A$.

Freiman Type Theorem If $\#(A + A) \leq K\#A$, then exists

- (i) $P \subseteq E$ is a generalized arithmetic progression of rank $O_K(1)$, $\#P = O_K(\#A)$.
- (ii) $X \subseteq E$ finite, $\#X = O_K(1)$.

Such that $A \subseteq P + X$.

Theorem 1.4 (Szemerédi)

$A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with positive upper density, then A contains arbitrarily long A.P.

Lemma 1.5 (Ruzsa Triangle Inequality)

$A, B, C \subseteq (E, +)$ finite, then

$$\#(A - C)\#B \leq \#(A - B)\#(B - C).$$

Proof. Construct a map $(A - C) \times B \rightarrow (A - B) \times (B - C)$, $(x, b) \mapsto (a_x - b, b - c_x)$, where $x = a_x - b_x$ is a typical decomposition, this map is an injective. \square

Definition 1.6. Define the **Ruzsa distance** between A, B by

$$d(A, B) = \log \frac{\#(A - B)}{(\#A)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\#B)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Lemma 1.7 (Ruzsa Covering Lemma)

$A, B \subseteq (E, +)$ finite, $K \geq 1$. If $\#(A + B) \leq K\#A$, then $\exists X \subseteq E, \#X \leq K$, such that $B \subset A - A + X$.

Proof. Let $X \subseteq B$ be the maximal set such that $(x + A)_{x \in X}$ is pointwise disjoint. \square

Notation 1.8. $\mathbb{O}(K)$ denotes some subset of cardinality $\leq K$.

Remark 1.9 — Ruzsa Covering Lemma $\iff B \subseteq A - A + \mathbb{O}\left(\frac{\#(A+B)}{\#A}\right)$.

Proposition 1.10 (Plünnecke-Ruzsa Inequality)

$A, B \subseteq E$ finite, $K \geq 1$. If $\#(A + B) \leq K\#A$, then $\forall k, l \geq 0$, we have

$$\#\left(\sum_k B - \sum_l B\right) \leq K^{k+l}\#A,$$

where $\sum_k B := \underbrace{B + B + \dots + B}_{k \text{ Bs}}$.

Lemma 1.11 (Petridis)

If $\#(A + B) \leq K\#A$, then $\exists A_0 \subseteq A$, such that for every $C \subset E$ finite,

$$\#(C + A_0 + B) \leq K\#(C + A_0).$$

Proof. Let $K_0 := \inf_{A' \subseteq A} \frac{\#(A' + B)}{\#A'} \leq K$ and $A_0 \subseteq A$ such that $K_0 = \frac{\#(A_0 + B)}{\#A_0}$. Applying induction to $\#C$, consider $C' = C \cup \{c\}$, where $c \notin C$. WLOG, assume $c = 0$. Then

$$\#(C' + A_0 + B) = \#(C + A_0 + B) + \#(A_0 + B) - \#((C + A_0 + B) \cap (A_0 + B)).$$

Observe that $((C + A_0) \cap A_0) + B \subseteq (C + A_0 + B) \cap (A_0 + B)$. By assumption,

$$(C + A_0) \cap A_0 \subseteq A \implies \#((C + A_0) \cap A_0) + B \geq K_0\#((C + A_0) \cap A_0).$$

Hence by inductive assumption,

$$\#(C' + A_0 + B) \leq K_0(\#(C + A_0) + \#A_0 - \#((C + A_0) \cap A_0)) = K_0\#(C' + A_0).$$

\square

Proof of Plünnecke-Ruzsa Inequality 1.10. Applying lemma, we have

$$\#(B + A_0) \leq K\#A_0, \quad \#(B + B + A_0) \leq K\#(B + A_0) \leq K^2\#A_0, \quad \dots$$

Hence, $\#(\sum_k B + A_0) \leq K^k\#A_0$. Finally, applying Ruzsa triangle inequality, we have

$$\#\left(\sum_k B - \sum_l B\right) \leq \frac{\#(\sum_k B + A_0) \#(\sum_l B + A_0)}{\#A_0} \leq K^{k+l}\#A_0 \leq K^{k+l}\#A.$$

\square

Question 1.12. If E is not an abelian group, does the arguments still hold?

Answer Ruzsa triangle inequality, Ruzsa covering lemma, Petridis lemma still hold, but Plünnecke-Ruzsa inequality **fails**. See the following examples.

Example 1.13

G non abelian group. Take $A = H \cup \{a\}$, where H is a subgroup of G and $a \notin H$. Then $AA = H \cup aH \cup Ha \cup \{a\}$. Assume $\#H = N$, then $\#(AA) \leq 3N + 1 \leq \#A$. Consider $AAA \supseteq HaH$, if $aHa^{-1} \cap H = \{1\}$, then $\#(HaH) = N^2$. Explicitly, we can choose $G = S_{N+1}$, $H = \langle (123 \cdots N) \rangle$ and $a = (N \ (N+1))$. Hence for any $N > 0$, there exists A such that $\#(AA) \leq 3\#A$ but $\#(AAA) \geq N\#A$.

§2 Sum-product theorem

Let $(E, 0, 1, +, \cdot)$ be a ring, $A \subseteq E$ finite set, $K \geq 1$ parameter. Let $E^\times = \{\text{invertible elements in } E\}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $R(A, K) := \{x \in E : \#(A + xA) \leq K\#A\}$.

The following lemma shows that $R(A, K)$ has an “almost” ring structure.

Lemma 2.2

The following holds:

1. If $x \in R(A, K) \cap E^\times$, then $x^{-1} \in R(A, K)$.
2. If $1, x, y \in R(A, K)$, then $x + y, x - y, xy \in R(A, K^{O(1)})$, where $O(1) = 8$ is enough.

Proof. 1. Trivial.

2. If $x, y \in R(A, K)$, by Ruzsa covering lemma, we have

$$xA \subseteq A - A + \mathcal{O}(K), \quad yA \subseteq A - A + \mathcal{O}(K).$$

then $A + (x + y)A \subseteq \sum_3 A - \sum_2 A + \mathcal{O}(K^2)$. Because $1 \in R(A, K)$, hence by P-R, we have $\#(\sum_3 A - \sum_2 A) \leq K^5 \#A$. Then $\#(A + (x + y)A) \leq K^7 \#A$. Similarly, we can prove $\#(A + xyA) \leq K^8 \#A$. □

Notation 2.3. For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\sum_{\leq s} A = \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq s} \sum_k A$, let $\prod_{\leq s} A = \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq s} \prod_k A$. Let

$$\langle A \rangle_s = \sum_{\leq s} \prod_{\leq s} A - \sum_{\leq s} \prod_{\leq s} A.$$

Notation 2.4. $O_s(1)$ denotes a constant which just depend on s .

Lemma 2.5 (Ring Version of P-R)

Assume $\sharp(A + AA) \leq K\sharp A$, then $\sharp\langle A \rangle_s \leq K^{O_s(1)}\sharp A$.

Remark 2.6 — $\sharp(A + A) \leq K\sharp A$ and $\sharp(AA) \leq K\sharp A$ do not imply $\sharp(A + AA) \leq K^{O(1)}\sharp A$. For a counter example, we consider $A = \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{F}_p \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p[\sqrt{-1}]$ for some $p = 4k + 3$ and $K = 1$, then $\sharp(A + AA) = p^2 = p\sharp A$.

Proof. By R-covering, we have $AA \subseteq A - A + \mathcal{O}(K)$. Let $X = \mathcal{O}(K)$, note that X could be chose in AA . Because $A \subseteq R(A, K)$ and $1 \in R(A, K^2)$ for $\sharp A \geq 2$, then $AA \subseteq R(A, K^{O(1)})$. Then

$$AAA \subseteq AA - AA + \bigcup_{x \in X} xA \subseteq \sum_2 A - \sum_2 A + \mathcal{O}(K^2) + \bigcup_{x \in X} (A - A + \mathcal{O}(K^{O(1)})),$$

hence $AAA \subseteq \sum_3 A - \sum_3 A + \mathcal{O}(K^{O(1)})$. By induction, we can prove the theorem. \square

As the consequence of this lemma, we have $\langle A \rangle_s \subseteq R(A, K^{O_s(1)})$ if $A \subseteq R(A, K)$.

From now on, let E be a field, $A \subset E$ finite, $K \geq 1$.

Notation 2.7. Denote $f \ll g$ if there is an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that $f \ll Cg$.

Theorem 2.8 (Sum-Product Theorem in Fields)

Assume $\sharp(A + AA) \leq K\sharp A$, then

- (1) either $\sharp A \ll K^{10000}$.
- (2) or \exists finite subfield F , such that $A \subseteq F$ and $\sharp F \ll K^{10000}\sharp A$.

Remark 2.9 — If $E = \mathbb{R}$, then for every $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $\sharp(A + AA) \geq (\sharp A)^{1 + \frac{1}{10000}}$.

Lemma 2.10

For any $x \in E$, if $\sharp(A + xA) < (\sharp A)^2$, then $x \in \frac{A-A}{(A-A) \setminus \{0\}}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.8. Let $F = \frac{A-A}{(A-A) \setminus \{0\}}$. Consider $K = (\sharp A)^{\frac{1}{10000}}$, the lemma shows that $R(A, K^{9999}) \subseteq F$. By assumption, $A \subseteq R(A, K)$, hence $A \subseteq R(A, K^2)$ by P-R if $\sharp A \geq 2$. By “almost” ring structure, we have $A - A \subseteq R(A, K^{20})$ and $((A - A) \setminus \{0\})^{-1} \subseteq R(A, K^{20})$, hence $F \subseteq R(A, K^{200})$. Furthermore, $F + F, FF \subseteq R(A, K^{2000}) \subseteq F$. Hence F is a finite field.

Now, we estimate $\sharp F$. There are two methods. One way is to consider a map

$$F \times (A \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow (AA - AA) \times (AA - AA), \quad (x, a) \mapsto (au_x, bv_x),$$

where $u_x, v_x \in A - A$ are typical of writing $x = \frac{u_x}{v_x}$. The map is injective, hence $(\sharp F)(\sharp A - 1) \leq (\sharp(AA - AA))^2 \leq K^4(\sharp A)^2$ by P-R.

Another way is to use energy argument, see definition 3.1. Consider

$$(\sharp A)^4 = \sum_{x \in F} \sharp \{a, b, a', b' \in A : ax + b = a'x + b'\} \geq \sum_{x \in F} \frac{(\sharp A)^4}{\sharp(A + xA)} \geq \sharp F \frac{(\sharp A)^3}{K^{200}}.$$

Hence $\sharp F \leq K^{200} \sharp A$. □

Corollary 2.11

If $\sharp(AA) \leq K \sharp A$, $\sharp(A + A) \leq K \sharp A$, then

- (1) either $\sharp A \ll K^{O(1)}$.
- (2) or \exists finite subfield F , $\exists a \in E$, such that $\sharp(A \cap aF) \gg \frac{\sharp A}{K^{O(1)}}$ and $\sharp F \ll K^{O(1)} \sharp A$.

Lemma 2.12 (Katz-Tao Lemma)

Assume $\sharp(A + A) \leq K \sharp A$, $\sharp(A + A) \leq K \sharp A$. Then $\exists A' \subseteq A$ such that

$$\sharp A' \gg \frac{1}{K^{O(1)}} \sharp A \quad \text{and} \quad \sharp(A'A' - A'A') \ll K^{O(1)} \sharp A'.$$

Proof of Corollary 2.11 assuming Lemma 2.12. Take such A' in lemma, we choose $a \in A' \setminus \{0\}$, let $B = a^{-1}A'$. Then $1 \in B$ and $B - BB \subseteq BB - BB$, hence $\sharp(B - BB) \leq K^{O(1)} \sharp B$. Then $\sharp(B + BB) \leq K^{O(1)} \sharp B$ by P-R and R-covering. Applying Theorem 2.8 to B , the corollary follows. □

Notation 2.13. Denote $f \lesssim g$ if $f \ll K^{O(1)} g$, denote $f \approx g$ if $f \lesssim g$ and $g \lesssim f$.

Proof of Katz-Tao Lemma 2.12. Consider the function $\varphi = \sum_{a \in A} \mathbb{1}_{aA}$ defined on AA . Endowing AA with counting measure, then

$$(\sharp A)^4 = \|\varphi\|_1^2 \leq \|\varphi\|_2^2 \|1\|_2^2 = \sharp(AA) \left\| \sum_{a, b \in A} \mathbb{1}_{aA \cap bA} \right\|_1 \leq K \sharp A \sum_{a, b \in A} \sharp(aA \cap bA).$$

Therefore, $\exists b \in A$ such that $\frac{1}{\sharp A} \sum_{a \in A} \sharp(aA \cap bA) \geq \frac{\sharp A}{K}$. Consider

$$A' := \left\{ a \in A : \sharp(aA \cap bA) \geq \frac{\sharp A}{2K} \right\},$$

then $\sharp A' \geq \frac{\sharp A}{2K}$. Hence for every $a \in A'$, by R-triangle,

$$\sharp(aA + bA) \leq \frac{\sharp(aA + aA \cap bA) \sharp(bA - aA \cap bA)}{\sharp(aA \cap bA)} \lesssim \frac{\sharp(A + A) \sharp(A - A)}{\sharp A} \lesssim \sharp A.$$

By R-covering, $aA \subseteq bA - bA + \mathcal{O}(K^{O(1)})$. Then for every $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in A$,

$$(a_1 a_2 - a_3 a_4)A \subseteq b^2 \left(\sum_4 A - \sum_4 A \right) + \mathcal{O}(K^{O(1)}).$$

Let $d = a_1 a_2 - a_3 a_4$, then $dA \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in X} (b^2 (\sum_4 A - \sum_4 A) + x)$ where $\#X \lesssim 1$. Then $\exists x$ such that $\#(dA \cap (b^2 (\sum_4 A - \sum_4 A) + x)) \gtrsim \#A$. Hence

$$\#\left\{u \in A - A : du \in b^2 \left(\sum_8 A - \sum_8 A \right)\right\} \gtrsim \#A.$$

Consider $F = b^2 \frac{\sum_8 A - \sum_8 B}{(A-A) \setminus \{0\}}$, then $\#F \leq \#(A - A) \#(\sum_8 A - \sum_8 A) \lesssim (\#A)^2$. On the other hand, $\#F \gtrsim \#A \#(A'A' - A'A')$ by the former deduction. Hence $\#(A'A' - A'A') \lesssim \#A$. \square

§3 More additive combinatorics

$(E, +)$ abelian group.

Definition 3.1. For $A, B \subseteq (E, +)$, define the **additive energy** between A, B

$$\mathcal{E}_+(A, B) := \#\{(a, b, a', b') \in A \times B \times A \times B : a + b = a' + b'\}.$$

The trivial bound of energy is

$$\#A \#B \leq \mathcal{E}_+(A, B) \leq (\#A)^{\frac{3}{2}} (\#B)^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Let $r = \mathbb{1}_A * \mathbb{1}_B$, then $r(y) = \#\{(a, b) \in A \times B : a + b = y\}$. Endowing E with the counting measure, then

$$\mathcal{E}_+(A, B) = \sum_{y \in A+B} r(y)^2 = \|\mathbb{1}_A * \mathbb{1}_B\|_2^2.$$

Note that $\|\mathbb{1}_A * \mathbb{1}_B\|_1 = \|\mathbb{1}_A\|_1 \|\mathbb{1}_B\|_1 = \#A \#B$. By Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\mathcal{E}_+(A, B) = \|\mathbb{1}_A * \mathbb{1}_B\|_2^2 \geq \frac{\|\mathbb{1}_A * \mathbb{1}_B\|_1^2}{\#\text{supp } \mathbb{1}_A * \mathbb{1}_B} = \frac{(\#A)^2 (\#B)^2}{\#(A+B)}.$$

This inequality shows that if A and B have a small sum set, then the additive energy between A, B is big.

Remark 3.2 — The converse is **not** true. See the following example.

Example 3.3

Let $A = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1\} \cup \{N, 2N, \dots, N^2\}$, then $\#A = 2N$. We have $\#(A+A) \asymp N^2$ and $\mathcal{E}_+(A, A) \geq \mathcal{E}_+(\{0, \dots, N-1\}, \{0, \dots, N-1\}) \geq \frac{N^2}{2N} \gg N^3$. They both attain the trivial upper bound up to a constant.

Theorem 3.4 (Balog-Szemerédi-Gowers)

The following are equivalent, the parameter $K_i > 0$ differs from each other by at most a polynomial dependence:

- (i) $\mathcal{E}_+(A, B) \geq \frac{1}{K_1} (\#A)^{\frac{3}{2}} (\#B)^{\frac{3}{2}}$.
- (ii) $\exists A' \subseteq A, B' \subseteq B$ with $\#A' \geq \frac{\#A}{K_2}, \#B' \geq \frac{\#B}{K_2}$, such that $\#(A'+B') \leq K_2 (\#A)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\#B)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
- (iii) $\exists G \subseteq A \times B$ with $\#G \geq \frac{1}{K_3} \#A \#B$ such that $\#(A+B)^G \leq K_3 (\#A)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\#B)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Where $A+B^G := \{a+b : (a, b) \in G\}$.

Proof. (ii) \implies (i): Trivial.

(i) \implies (iii): Let $Y = \left\{ y : r(y) \geq \frac{(\#A)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\#B)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2K_1} \right\}$, $G = \{(a, b) \in A \times B : a + b \in Y\}$, then $A \overset{G}{+} B = Y$. The bound of energy $\mathcal{E}_+(A, B) \geq \frac{1}{K_1}(\#A)^{\frac{3}{2}}(\#B)^{\frac{3}{2}}$ immediately gives that $\#G \geq \frac{1}{2K_1}\#A\#B$. Besides,

$$\#Y \frac{\#A\#B}{4K_1^2} \leq \sum_{y \in Y} r(y)^2 \leq (\#A)^{\frac{3}{2}}(\#B)^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

hence $\#Y \ll K_1^2(\#A)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\#B)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. □