





Report 8D

Generated By: Seb Houle Generated On: 27 May 2011

I. COMPLAINT INFORMATION

| Origination Date | 04 May 2011 | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| Sales Name | David Estes | Sales Office | Windsor | | | | |
| Telephone | | Fax Number | | | | | |
| Email | david.estes@scapa.com | | | | | | |
| Customer Complaint Ref | | | | | | | |
| Customer Name | Richmond Aerovac | | | | | | |
| SAP Customer Number | 123109 | Customer Order N° | | | | | |
| Customer Part Number | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1) Invoices And Items On Complaint | | | | | | | |
| (a) SAP Invoice Number | 9700036785 | Invoice Date | 23 Mar 2011 | | | | |
| - Material | 156756 | Batch | 0000556924 | | | | |
| | Material Description | | | | | | |
| | 815 GREEN 1 X 72 YDS Richmond Core | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 2) Problem Description | | | | | | | |
| telescoped rolls. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Actions Requested From The Customer | | | | | | | |
| Credit for the 19 rolls that are telescoped and unusable. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 3) Containment Actio | ns | | | | | | |

II. EVALUATION AND ACTION

| Sample/photo Received | Yes | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----|--|--|--|
| Date | 17 May 2011 | | | | | | | |
| Process Owner | Seb Houle | | | | | | | |
| Team Leader | Seb Houle | | | | | | | |
| Is Complaint Valid? | Yes | Return The Goods | TBD | Dispose The Goods | TBD | | | |
| Comments | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Analysis | | | | | | | | |
| Consulted with slitting supervisor and slitting operator. Indicated that material does not leave the facility in the telescoped | | | | | | | | |
| conditions displayed in the sample photos, however material may develop telescoping over time, if material is under tension. These rolls were produced 01.March.2011, and the complaint date was 04.May.2011, so not much time had elapsed between slitting | | | | | | | | |
| and the development of the | issue. | | | | | | | |
| Author | Seb Houle | | Date | 17 May 2011 | | | | |
| 2) Root Causes | | | | | | | | |
| The rolls in question were part of a production run of 540 rolls, with each set off the machine producing 54 rolls, therefore the production run consisted of 10 sets. Since the complaint was for 19 rolls, with varying degrees of telescoping, it appears possible that the tension at two points on the slitting machine was high, resulting in rolls that developed telescoping over time. | | | | | | | | |
| Author | Seb Houle | | Date | 17 May 2011 | | | | |
| 3) Possible Solutions | | | | | | | | |
| Add additional comments to work instructions, to draw attention to the tensioning issues on this product, as well, as discuss | | | | | | | | |
| the problem (and solution) with both the slitting supervisor and slitting operator. | | | | | | | | |
| Author | Seb Houle | | Date | 17 May 2011 | | | | |
| 4) Implemented Perm Corrective Actions | | | | | | | | |
| Updated Slitting Specifications (for 1", 2", & 4") Richmond material. Slitting specification includes: ">>Customer complaint for | | | | | | | | |
| telescoping rolls- Attributed to excessive tension during converting. Please watch tension across entire cross section during conversion." | | | | | | | | |
| Author | Seb Houle | | Date | 17 May 2011 | | | | |
| Estimated Date | | | Implementation Date | 17 May 2011 | | | | |
| Validation Date | | | | | | | | |

5) Corrective Actions Validation Author Date 6) Preventive Actions Author Date **Estimated Date** Implementation Date Validation Date 7) Review Of Documentation (a) MSR Reviewed? No Reference Date (b) Flow chart, control plan, work inspection instructions Reviewed? No Reference Date (c) FMEA Reviewed? No Reference Date (d) Customer specification Reviewed? No Reference Date

8) Congratulate The Team