



Report 8D

Generated By: Christine Hulme Generated On: 18 Aug 2011

I. COMPLAINT INFORMATION

Origination Date	03 Aug 2011		
Sales Name	Marcus Kennedy	Sales Office	Dunstable
Telephone	+44 (0) 7795 37 47 35	Fax Number	
Email	marcus.kennedy@scapa.com		
Customer Complaint Ref			
Customer Name	Innocoll Pharmaceuticals Ltd		
SAP Customer Number	135599	Customer Order N°	
Customer Part Number			

1) Invoices And Items On Complaint

2) Problem Description

o/no 547207 material code 165810

material arrived to customer in 2 cartons which were squashed & dirty. Material was intact on first inspection, but customer would like assurances this will be avoided for future deliveries.

Actions Requested From The Customer

3) Containment Actions

II. EVALUATION AND ACTION

Sample/photo Received	No						
Date							
Process Owner	Janine Hawke						
Team Leader	jhawke						
Is Complaint Valid?	Yes Return The Goods		Dispose The Goods				
Comments Report requested from forw	varder: Root Cause & Corrective Actions						
, ,							
1) Analysis							
3 of the outer cartons appe	ar to have been crushed in transit and picked	up some dust/dirt.					
Author	Janine Hawke	Date	04 Aug 2011				
2) Root Causes							
damage. The goods were unloaded at Rhenus Dietzenbach and there was no mention of damages on the out turn report upon unloading. On 3/8 the shipment was delivered and the usual check of the Scapa shipment list was carried out to ensure all Scapa shipments had been delivered ok. The shipment list showed on the system as "delivered clean POD" – nothing more was done about this shipment. We were advised by Scapa on 4/8 that the pallet had arrived dirty and crushed. After investigation and correspondence with Rhenus Dietzenbach it is evident that not enough care was taken with this pallet while unloading and re-loading. They have been advised that this is completely unacceptable and more care has to be taken with any shipments and particularly Scapa pallets. With respect to the non reporting of damaged packaging, they will not assume that because the shrink-wrapping was intact then they can sign for a clean POD.							
Author	Janine Hawke	Date	18 Aug 2011				
3) Possible Solutions							
Author		Date					
4) Implemented Pern	n Corrective Actions						
CORRECTIVE ACTION							
Once the n/c from Scapa was received an investigation into how this happened was instigated. What is obvious is that the out turn report should have noted the damage to the packaging. Fortunately the goods were not damaged but the preventative action we have put in place will ensure this does not happen again.							
PREVENTATIVE ACTION							
After correspondence with	Rhenus Dietzenbach we have advised them t	hat we expect the following:					

mount of traffic that of	have assured us that this is not a common oc goes through their depot. However, they are a Il out-turn reports to ensure that this does not	ware of their need to monitor ware	
Author	Janine Hawke	Date	18 Aug 2011
Estimated Date	18 Aug 2011	Implementation Date	18 Aug 2011
Validation Date			
5) Corrective Act	ions Validation		
Author		Date	
6) Preventive Ac	tions		
Author		Date	
Estimated Date		Implementation Date	
Validation Date			
7) Review Of Do	cumentation		
(a) MSR			
Reviewed?	No		
Reference		Date	
(b) Flow chart, contro	l plan, work inspection instructions		
Reviewed?	No		
Reference		Date	
(c) FMEA			
Reviewed?	No		
Reference		Date	
(d) Customer specific	ration		
Reviewed?	No		

 $\bullet \Box$ Any sign of damage to cartons/pallets MUST be included on the out turn report.

8) Congratulate The Team					