



## Report 8D

Generated By: Kerry Sykes Generated On: 28 Sep 2011

## I. COMPLAINT INFORMATION

3) Containment Actions

Origination Date	02 Sep 2011					
Sales Name	Andrew Sampson	Sales Office	Ashton			
Telephone	+44 (0)161 301 7400	Fax Number	+44 (0)161 301 7445			
Email	andrew.sampson@scapa.com					
Customer Complaint Ref						
Customer Name	Flowstrip Limited					
SAP Customer Number	100009	Customer Order N°				
Customer Part Number						
1) Invoices And Items On Complaint						
(a) SAP Invoice Number	9100246637	Invoice Date	03 Aug 2011			
- Material	114637	Batch				
	Material Description 3160 Black 1220mm x 1400m Plain					
2) Problem Description						
Jumbo contained a splice, yet no indication of a splice –why?						
Delamination, ripping out, differential tension, badly marked surface. Loss of yield 50m debit note 2687 raised.						
Actions Requested From The Customer						

#### II. EVALUATION AND ACTION

Sample/photo Received	No						
Date							
Process Owner	Kerry Sykes						
Team Leader	pbarrow						
		Return The Goods		Dianaga The Coada			
Is Complaint Valid?	Yes	Return The Goods		Dispose The Goods			
Comments							
1) Analysis							
Customer is complaining in	respect of Scapa 3	160 black 1400m jumbo r	oll (item 114637) due to a	n unmarked splice being within	а		
jumbo; the jumbo also had	delamination, ripping	g out, differential tension,	and badly marked surface	Э.			
				roduction run of SPL jumbo			
for a total of 50m due to los	•	• •	·	5139/20. The customer is claim v have experienced.	ling		
*****	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
Can Customer Care please	e arrange suitable cr	edit for the affected mater	rial, due to loss of yield as	experienced by the			
customer.							
Author	Philip Ward		Date	28 Sep 2011			
2) Root Causes							
The effects observed by the	e customer relate to	a 50m section of materia	I. It is presumed that the a	affected material was found			
in the vicinity of a splice contained within the affected jumbo.							
From the photos provided, it is supposed that insufficient material was pulled through, before making the splice within this							
jumbo. Therefore, a short patch of poor adhesive appears to have been incorporated in the vicinity of the splice on this occasion. The splice was unmarked purely due to Operator error, and will be brought to the attention of the crew concerned.							
		,	<del>-</del>				
Author	Philip Ward		Date	28 Sep 2011			
3) Possible Solutions							
1. Brief all of the Adhesive Coating Line crews for the concerns being experience by Flowstrip							
<ol> <li>Verbal instruction to be given to crews in respect of this issue &amp; splicing methodology, following receipt of this concern.</li> <li>Compile comprehensive action plan to address all concerns/problems being experienced by Flowstrip.</li> </ol>							
Compile comprehensive	e action plan to addre	ess all concerns/problems	s being experienced by Flo	owstrip.			
Author	Philip Ward		Date	28 Sep 2011			

# 4) Implemented Perm Corrective Actions

- 1. Brief all of the Adhesive Coating Line crews for the concerns being experience by Flowstrip. Done by site Quality Manager during week 38 & 39.
- 2. Verbal instruction to be given to crews in respect of this issue & splicing methodology, following receipt of this concern. Done by site Quality manager during week 38.
- 3. Compile comprehensive action plan to address all concerns/problems being experienced by Flowstrip. Action plan is currently being compiled.

Author	Philip Ward	Date	28 Sep 2011				
Estimated Date	28 Sep 2011	Implementation Date	28 Sep 2011				
Validation Date	28 Sep 2011						
5) Corrective Actions Validation							
This appears to be an isolated incident as splices are normally flagged; this incident has been brought to the attention of the relevant crew.							
Author	Philip Ward	Date	28 Sep 2011				
6) Preventive Actions							
Comprehensive action plan to be compiled to address all concerns/problems being experienced by Flowstrip with Cloth SPL jumbos; actions to be referenced in complaint C101323 when available.							
Author	Philip Ward	Date	28 Sep 2011				
Estimated Date	28 Sep 2011	Implementation Date	28 Sep 2011				
Validation Date	28 Sep 2011						
7) Review Of Documentation							
(a) MSR							
Reviewed?	No						
Reference		Date					
(b) Flow chart, control plan, work inspection instructions							
Reviewed?	No						
Reference		Date					
(c) FMEA							
Reviewed?	No						
Reference		Date					
(d) Customer specification							
Reviewed?	No						

Date

## 8) Congratulate The Team

Reference