

Rapport 8D

Généré Par: Sylviane Carras Généré Le: 20 Oct 2011

I. COMPLAINT INFORMATION / IDENTIFICATION DE LA RECLAMATION

Date De La Réclamation	04 Aug 2011		
Nom correspondant commercial	Paul Bican	Sales Office (Bureau Commercial)	Valence
Téléphone	+33 (0)4 66 20 00 26	No. Fax	-
Email	paul.bican@scapa.com		
Référence réclamation client			
Nom Du Client	Silec cable		
Numero De Client SAP	105194	N° Commande Client	
Rfrence Article Client			
1) Factures Et Article	es Sur La Réclamation		
(a) Numéro De Facture SAP	9200302461	Date De Facture	28 Jul 2011
- Matériel	139961	Lot	
	Description Produit		
	2525 Noir 19mm x 4.6m Haute Tension		
(b) Numéro De Facture SAP	9200302462	Date De Facture	28 Jul 2011
SAF			
- Matériel	139961	Lot	
	Description Produit		
	2525 Noir 19mm x 4.6m Haute Tension		
(c) Numéro De Facture SAP	9200302739	Date De Facture	02 Aug 2011
- Matériel	139961	Lot	
	Description Produit		
	2525 Noir 19mm x 4.6m Haute Tension		1

2) Description Du Problème Identifié Par Le Client

same problem as complaint 100819

The interleave liner is tearing and some pieces keeps on the roll

Actions demandées au client

3) Actions Conservatoires Sur Le Process

II. EVALUATION ET ACTIONS

Echantillons/Photos Reçus	No				
Date					
Responsable Processus	Sylviane Carras				
Responsable Action	pbarrow				
Réclamation justifiée?	Yes	Retour marchandise	Yes	Destruction marchandise	No
Commentaires					
1) Analyse					
	ng in respect of Scap	a 2525 19mm x 4.6m (item	n 139961) due to "The line	er is tearing when we remove it	t
(bad cut)". The material is subject to the subject to th	he same fault & probl	em as concern C100819			
The material was supplied	·				
The customer has rejected	this material.				
Samples were received at Scapa Ashton on 28/07/2011 for concern C100819. One intact coil, two part-coils and four strip samples were received. These were reviewed and checked. The coils were unwound and the liner was removed during the unwinding. The liner shows intermittent tearing and ripping-out at any point during the unwinding of the coil. This appears to be consistent with the returned strip samples, which show the same effect. There were no nicks or tears evident in the amalgamating tape.					
p p,	ich show the same ci	lect. There were no nicks	or tears evident in the am	algamating tape.	
=======			or tears evident in the am	algamating tape.	
	goods and suitable cr		or tears evident in the am		
=======			or tears evident in the am	algamating tape. 12 Sep 2011	
======= Can return of the affected of	goods and suitable cr				
======= Can return of the affected of Auteur	goods and suitable cr	redit be arranged.	Date	12 Sep 2011	
Can return of the affected of Auteur 2) Causes	goods and suitable cr Philip Ward sted by Scapa and fo	redit be arranged.	Date	12 Sep 2011	
Can return of the affected of Auteur 2) Causes The interleave has been te	poods and suitable cr Philip Ward sted by Scapa and forevious material.	redit be arranged.	Date ear strength in machine di	12 Sep 2011	
Can return of the affected of Auteur 2) Causes The interleave has been te is significantly lower than p	poods and suitable cr Philip Ward sted by Scapa and forevious material.	redit be arranged.	Date ear strength in machine di	12 Sep 2011	
Can return of the affected of Auteur 2) Causes The interleave has been te is significantly lower than possible supplier has provided.	poods and suitable cr Philip Ward sted by Scapa and for revious material. ded substandard mat Philip Ward	redit be arranged.	Date ear strength in machine die end customer an issue.	12 Sep 2011 rection of the interleave. It	
Can return of the affected of Auteur 2) Causes The interleave has been te is significantly lower than p Scapa's supplier has provide Auteur 3) Solutions Possible	poods and suitable cr Philip Ward sted by Scapa and for revious material. ded substandard material. Philip Ward Ssamples and conversion	edit be arranged. Sound to have a very poor to	Date ear strength in machine die end customer an issue. Date	12 Sep 2011 rection of the interleave. It	9
Can return of the affected of Auteur 2) Causes The interleave has been te is significantly lower than poscapa's supplier has provide Auteur 3) Solutions Possible Interim statement: The same	poods and suitable cr Philip Ward sted by Scapa and forevious material. ded substandard material. Philip Ward Shapples and conversion resolve the problem.	edit be arranged. bund to have a very poor te erial which has caused the process are being reviewe	Date ear strength in machine diese end customer an issue. Date ed to improve the cut on to	12 Sep 2011 rection of the interleave. It 12 Sep 2011 he interleave. Scapa is working	9

4) Mise En Place Actions Correctives Permanentes

	nples and conversion process are being revie	wed to improve the cut on the in	nterleave. Scapa is working	
with interleave supplier to resolve the problem.				
Scapa has placed the issues in their New Product Validation process. Currently Scapa are looking at improved interleaves, printing on existing interleaves and a new material type of interleave.				
It is recommended that the	clomplaint be closed and processed through	the new interleave validation pr	ocess.	
Auteur	Paul Barrow	Date	12 Sep 2011	
Date Estimée	20 Oct 2011	Date De Mise En Place	20 Oct 2011	
Date De Validation	20 Oct 2011			
5) Validation Des Act	ions Correctives			
Scapa has placed the issue	es in their New Product Validation process. Cu	urrently Scapa are looking at im	proved interleaves,	
printing on existing interlea	ves and a new material type of interleave.			
It is recommended that the clomplaint be closed and processed through the new interleave validation process.				
Auteur	Paul Barrow	Date	20 Oct 2011	
6) Actions Préventive	es			
Scapa has placed the issue	es in their New Product Validation process. Cu	urrently Scapa are looking at im	proved interleaves,	
printing on existing interlea	ves and a new material type of interleave.			
It is recommended that the	clomplaint be closed and processed through	the new interleave validation pr	ocess.	
Auteur	Paul Barrow	Date	12 Sep 2011	
Date Estimée	20 Oct 2011	Date De Mise En Place		
Date De Validation	20 Oct 2011			
7) Mise à Jour Docur	nentation			
(a) MSR				
Commenté?	No			
Référence		Date		
(b) Révision logigrammes/	plans de surveillance/instructions de fabrication	on et de contrôle		
Commenté?	No			
Référence		Date		
(c) Révision AMDEC				
Commenté?	No			

Référence		Date	
(d) Spécification du client			
Commenté?	No		
Référence		Date	

8) Félicitations à Toute L'équipe