DOCUMENTATION

PYTHON DEVELOPMENT

WEEK-3

PROJECT NAME: Real-Time FinTech Fraud Detection System (SentinelStream)

Week 3 – Intelligence Layer (Machine Learning & Rules)
Organization -Zaalima Development Pvt. Ltd.

PITCHE ESHWAR-DOCUMENTATION ,worked in creating the ml model and decision engine

AKMAL-Created celery workers for sending email alerts.

SIVANANDANA-Developed the rule engine and worked on the machine learning model.

1. Problem Statement:

As transaction volume increases, static validation alone is insufficient to accurately detect fraud. Modern fraud patterns are dynamic and evolve over time, requiring intelligent decision-making mechanisms that can identify both known and unknown anomalies in real time.

To address this, an intelligence layer combining rule-based logic and machine learning-based anomaly detection is required while still maintaining strict latency constraints (<200 ms).

2. Objective:

The objective of Week 3 is to implement the Intelligence Layer of SentinelStream by:

Integrating machine learning for anomaly detection

Implementing a dynamic rule engine

Combining rule results and ML scores for final fraud decisions

Enabling real-time alerts for high-risk transactions

Ensuring system latency consistently stays below 200 ms

3. Intelligence Layer Overview:

The Intelligence Layer is responsible for evaluating transaction risk after basic validation and caching.

It acts as the core fraud detection logic of the system.

- Key Components:
- Rule Engine
- Machine Learning Scoring Engine
- Decision Engine
- Alerting System

4. Machine Learning Integration:

4.1 Model Selection

- Integrated a pre-trained Isolation Forest model
- Used for anomaly detection in transaction patterns

4.2 Functionality

- The model analyzes transaction features such as:
  - Transaction amount
  - Frequency
  - Location deviation
- Produces an anomaly score indicating fraud likelihood

4.3 Implementation Details

- Model loaded using serialization (joblib / pickle)
- Inference performed in real time
- Optimized for low-latency scoring

5. Rule Engine Implementation:

5.1 Rule Engine Design

- Implemented a dynamic Rule Engine class
- Allows fraud rules to be defined without code changes
- Supports configurable conditions such as:
  - High transaction amount
  - Unusual location
  - Abnormal frequency

5.2 Rule Execution

- Rules are evaluated before ML scoring
- Immediate flagging of obvious fraud cases
- Reduces unnecessary ML computation

6. Decision Engine:

The Decision Engine combines:
Rule Engine output
Machine Learning risk score
Decision Outcomes:

- APPROVED – Low risk
- REVIEW – Medium risk
- REJECTED – High risk

This layered approach improves accuracy and reduces false positives.

7. Alerting Mechanism (Celery Workers):

7.1 Asynchronous Processing

- Implemented Celery workers for background tasks
- Prevents blocking the main transaction pipeline

7.2 Email Alerts

- Email alerts are triggered for high-risk transactions
- Alerts sent asynchronously to ensure system performance

8. Latency Validation:

Performance Requirement:

- End-to-end processing must remain below 200 ms

Validation:

- Latency measured across:
  - Rule evaluation
  - ML inference
  - Decision making
- System consistently met latency constraints

9. Technologies Used (Week 3):

- FastAPI – Backend framework
- Scikit-learn – Isolation Forest ML model
- Celery – Background task processing
- Redis / RabbitMQ – Message broker
- Python – Core implementation

10. Deliverables:

- Integrated Isolation Forest anomaly detection model
- Fully functional Rule Engine
- Combined decision logic
- Celery-based email alert system
- Latency-validated intelligence pipeline

11. Challenges & Mitigation:

Challenges

- Maintaining low latency with ML inference
- Balancing rule-based and ML-based decisions
- Avoiding blocking operations during alerts

Mitigation

- Lightweight ML model
- Rules executed before ML
- Asynchronous alert handling

12. Learning Outcomes:

By completing Week 3:

Gained practical experience in ML model integration

Understood real-time fraud detection strategies

Learned how to design rule-based systems

Implemented scalable background processing

Ensured performance under strict latency limits

13. Conclusion:

Week 3 successfully implemented the Intelligence Layer of SentinelStream. By combining rule-based validation with machine learning-driven anomaly detection, the system now performs accurate, real-time fraud assessment while maintaining production-level performance and reliability.

This prepares the system for final deployment, security hardening, and testing in the next phase.