

Weekly Challenge 13: Structural Induction

CS/MATH 113 Discrete Mathematics

Spring 2024

1. k -ary tree

Definition 5 in Section 5.3 of our textbook defines a *full binary tree*. We extend this definition to a *full k -ary tree* as follows.

Definition 1 (Full k -ary tree).

Basis Step There is a full k -ary tree consisting only of a single vertex r .

Recursive Step If $T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots, T_k$ are disjoint full k -ary trees, there is a full k -ary tree, denoted by $T_1 \cdot T_2 \cdot T_3 \cdot \dots \cdot T_k$, consisting of a root r together with edges connecting the root to each of the roots of $T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots, T_k$.

We also introduce the following definitions of nodes in a tree.

Definition 2 (Leaf node). A leaf node in a tree is a node that has no children.

Definition 3 (Internal node). An internal node in a tree is a node that is not a leaf node.

Use structural induction to prove the following claim.

Claim 1. The number of internal nodes in a full k -ary tree with n leaves is $\frac{n-1}{k-1}$.

Solution:

Proof. Let $I(n)$ be the number of internal nodes in a full k -ary tree with n leaves.

Base Case: When $n = 1$, the full k -ary tree consists of a single vertex r which is both the root and the leaf. The number of internal nodes is $\frac{1-1}{k-1} = 0$. This is clearly the case since there are no internal nodes in a single-vertex tree.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that the claim holds for all full k -ary trees till n leaves.

Inductive Step: We will show that the claim holds for a full k -ary tree with $n + 1$ leaves.

Let T_k be a full k -ary tree and the sum of the number of leaves in T_k be $n + 1$.

By the definition of a k -ary tree, T_k can be represented as $T_1 \cdot T_2 \cdot T_3 \cdot \dots \cdot T_{k-1}$

Then the internal nodes in T_k can be written as:

$$I(n+1) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{n_i-1}{k-1}, \text{ where } 1 \leq i \leq (k-1)$$

This is because the number of internal nodes in T_k is the sum of the number of internal nodes in each of the $k - 1$ subtrees.

Since $n_{k-1} \leq n$ we can apply the inductive hypothesis to each of the $k - 1$ subtrees.

Since, $I(n + 1) \equiv \sum_i^{k-1} n_i \equiv n + 1$, where $1 \leq i \leq (k - 1)$

Finally we get the equation: $\frac{n}{k-1}$

This matches the equation that we get when $n + 1$ is plugged into the formula for $I(n)$
i.e. $\frac{n}{k-1}$

Therefore, the claim holds for a full k -ary tree with $m + 1$ leaves.

By the principle of structural induction, the claim holds for all full k -ary trees with n leaves, where $n \geq 1$. \square