

Weekly Challenge 13: Structural Induction

CS/MATH 113 Discrete Mathematics

Spring 2024

1. k -ary tree

Definition 5 in Section 5.3 of our textbook defines a *full binary tree*. We extend this definition to a *full k -ary tree* as follows.

Definition 1 (Full k -ary tree).

Basis Step There is a full k -ary tree consisting only of a single vertex r .

Recursive Step If $T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots, T_k$ are disjoint full k -ary trees, there is a full k -ary tree, denoted by $T_1 \cdot T_2 \cdot T_3 \cdot \dots \cdot T_k$, consisting of a root r together with edges connecting the root to each of the roots of $T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots, T_k$.

We also introduce the following definitions of nodes in a tree.

Definition 2 (Leaf node). A leaf node in a tree is a node that has no children.

Definition 3 (Internal node). An internal node in a tree is a node that is not a leaf node.

Use structural induction to prove the following claim.

Claim 1. *The number of internal nodes in a full k -ary tree with n leaves is $\frac{n-1}{k-1}$.*

Solution:

Proof. We will prove the claim by structural induction on the number of leaves n in a full k -ary tree.

Base Case: When $n = 1$, the full k -ary tree consists of a single vertex r which is both the root and the leaf. The number of internal nodes is $\frac{1-1}{k-1} = 0$.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that the claim holds for all full k -ary trees with n leaves, where $1 \leq n \leq m$ for some $m \geq 1$.

Inductive Step: We will show that the claim holds for a full k -ary tree with $m+1$ leaves. Let T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k be disjoint full k -ary trees with n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k leaves, respectively, such that $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k = m+1$. By the inductive hypothesis, the number of internal

nodes in T_i is $\frac{n_i-1}{k-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. The total number of internal nodes in the full k -ary tree $T_1 \cdot T_2 \cdot \dots \cdot T_k$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{n_i-1}{k-1} &= \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^k n_i - \frac{k}{k-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{k-1} (m+1) - \frac{k}{k-1} \\ &= \frac{m+1}{k-1} - \frac{k}{k-1} \\ &= \frac{m+1-k}{k-1} \\ &= \frac{(m+1)-1}{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the claim holds for a full k -ary tree with $m+1$ leaves.

By the principle of structural induction, the claim holds for all full k -ary trees with n leaves, where $n \geq 1$. \square