

## Review

Both the questions are recursive.

1. Split a list into two parts; the length of the first part is given.

?- *split*([a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,i,k],3,L1,L2).

L1 = [a,b,c]

L2 = [d,e,f,g,h,i,k]

2. Insert an element at a given position into a list.

?- *insert\_at*(alfa,[a,b,c,d],2,L).

L = [a,alfa,b,c,d]

(Both the problems are taken from [p-99](#). The solutions are there too. Don't look for them)

## Search

We'll implement a breadth-first search on the Romania example. Download the lab2\_search.zip file and fill in the missing sections of code.

You will need to fill in 2 predicates.

1. *bfs*( *Fringe*, *Goal*, *SolutionPath* )
2. *bfs\_successor*( *Path*, *Successors* ).

The romania example has been translated to prolog in romania.prolog . We have defined a predicate *succ/3* as follows:

*succ*( *SourceNode*, *SuccNode*, \_ )

*SuccNode* is a node reachable from *SourceNode*. (The third argument is is the distance between *SourceNode* and *SuccNode*. Ignore it for BFS. We'll use this later).

We have left comments in the code to explain what each predicate and argument are supposed to do.

Here's the pseudocode for Tree-Search taken from the 'Problem Solving & Search' slides

```
function Tree-Search( problem, strategy) returns a solution, or failure
  initialize the search tree using the initial state of problem
  loop do
    if there are no candidates for expansion then return failure
    choose a leaf node for expansion according to strategy
    if the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solution
    else expand the node and add the resulting nodes to the search tree
  end
```

The difference between various search procedures lies in choosing the leaf node to expand. In our case, The strategy is BFS.

( If you're done early, Try to implement Uniform Cost Search. Or try out some p99 )