1 Methology

Algorithm 1 Study Cohort

- 1: Assemble multiple compendium datasets from TCGA-PAAD, ICGC-PaCa and GEO (GSE74071 and GSE 49149).
- 2: Acquire RNA sequence data and Methylation Array data from the Pancreatic Cancer Dataset of TCGA (TCGA-PAAD).
- 3: Acquire corresponding clinical information from TCGA.
- 4: Acquire methylation data of PC patients from the ICGC dataset.
- 5: Acquire GEO datasets specific for DNA methylation 450K data for validation purposes only. =0

Algorithm 2 Identification of Methylation-regulated Differentially Expressed Genes

- 0: $\mathbf{procedure}$ Identification(RNAseq, Methylation, clinical)
- 1: $DEGs \leftarrow Differentially$ expressed genes from RNAseq data
- 2: $DMPs \leftarrow$ Differentially methylated genes from Methylation data
- 3: $overlap \leftarrow Genes$ with presence in both datasets
- 4: $pvalues \leftarrow$ Multiple test corrections using Benjamini and Hochberg's method
- 5: $DEGs \leftarrow DEGs$ with FDR < 0.01 and $|log_2FC| > 2$
- 6: $MEDEGs \leftarrow$ Genes with significant negative correlation between methylation and expression
- 7: return MEDEGs
- 7: end procedure=0

Algorithm 3 Dimensional Reduction and Unsupervised Clustering

- 1: Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was implemented for dimensionality reduction by assigning correlation between multidimensional information sets.
- 2: PCA was also used to make the dataset easier to interpret by excluding parameter limitations.
- 3: PCA followed by K-means clustering was done to identify the clustering pattern of the 27 MEDEGs spread across 69 DMS (47 CpGs).
- 4: The following packages were used for K-means clustering: tidyverse (data manipulation), cluster (clustering algorithms), factoextra (clustering algorithms and visualization), and ggplot2. =0

Algorithm 4 Supervised Algorithm-based Machine Learning Models

- 1: Multiple predictive models such as the K-nearest neighbor (kNN) classifier were used. kNN is a non-parametric supervised machine learning algorithm that is distance-based and is classically suitable for smaller datasets like our 47 CpG probe dataset.
- 2: For handling bigger datasets and also in terms of enhanced non-parametric approach, a random forest-based (RF) classifier was built for prediction model development.
- 3: RF works on the Breiman and Cutler algorithm.
- 4: The following standard Python packages were used for RF classification: scikit-learn, pandas, numpy, matplotlib, and seaborn for visualization. =0

Algorithm 5 Clinical Relevance of Methylation-regulated Differentially Expressed Genes

- 1: Construct MEDEGs-convoluted prognostic signature
- 2: Perform univariate Cox regression analysis to identify associations between methylation level of each MEDEG and patient's overall survival (OS) in scaled cohort
- 3: Identify prognosis-related DMSs with P-values less than 0.05
- 4: Implement Adaptive LASSO Regression method to identify prognosis associated MEDEGs and obtain a prime model
- 5: Label MEDEGs with coefficient, C-index $\neq 0$ as significant variables
- 6: Establish risk scoring model using combination of weighted methylation values
- 7: Calculate risk scores using the following equation:

$$Risk\ Score = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i \times X_i$$

- 8: Use mean risk score value as cutoff score to split patients into low-risk (risk score below mean value) or high-risk (risk score above mean value) group
- 9: Construct clinical nomogram including risk score and clinicopathological parameters of TCGA-PAAD patients evaluated by multivariate Cox proportional-hazards regression
- 10: Use nomogram to evaluate independent prognostic value of signature after adjusting for age, sex, and stage alongside predicting overall survival (1-, 2-, and 3-years) (OS) in TCGA-PAAD cohort
- 11: Evaluate discriminatory ability of nomogram by calculating concordance index (C-index)
- 12: Plot calibration plots to compare observed and predicted probabilities for nomogram =0

Algorithm 6 Analysis of Methylation-regulated Differentially Expressed Genes

1: Tissue of Origin

- 2: Compare selected MEDEGs with normal pancreas tissue and other tissue types using GTEx V8 dataset
- 3: Develop PanCancer profile to highlight role of selected MEDEGs in pancreas tissue using GEPIA
- 4: Obtain protein level data from CPTAC database to highlight downstream protein level signature role in pancreas tissue using UALCAN
- 5: Compare profile of selected CpGs with profile obtained from two other PanCa databases taken from GEO database for global profiling purpose irrespective of demography and ethnicity

6: Functional Relevance

- 7: Obtain enriched gene ontology (GO) and associated pathways under biological processes (BP) ontology using DEGs in PanCa based on BP Ontology
- 8: Use MetaScape to obtain enrichment network with nodes colored based on p-value
- 9: Use ENRICHR to obtain functional characterization of MEDEGs in context of their role in other associated molecular processes and cellular functions (DEG_DMG_Nomo)

10: Immune and Cell Ecosystem Profile

- 11: Obtain immune and stromal cell infiltration data with selected MEDEGs using ESTIMATE and identify significance using Kruskal-Wallis
- 12: Obtain immune profile and associated ecosystem data using deconvolution-based immune classifiers such as CIBERSORT and EcoTyper
- 13: Use gene marker-associated immune classifier via xCell to infer 64 immune and stromal cell types

14: Pharmacogenomic Screening

- 15: Select top three genes for molecular dynamics lead simulation-based visualization of interaction among molecules
- 16: Evaluate interaction between genes and drug molecules specific for pancreatic cancer tissue for suitable drug target identification of genes of interaction
- 17: Consider drugs undergoing both clinical trial and in vitro development of small molecules =0