

Hypothesis Testing Assignment Questions

Answer = 1

To test whether the new customer feedback process has improved satisfaction, we can perform a **one-sample t-test**

STEP = 1

Null Hypothesis (H_0): 72

Alternative Hypothesis (H_1): Mean score > 72 (This is a one-tailed test.)

Given Data

- Population means before(mu): = 72
- Sample mean after{x} = 78
- Sample standard deviation: (std)= 10
- Sample size: (n) = 30
- Significance level: (alpha) = 0.05

STEP = 2

STANDAR ERROR:

$$\text{SE} = \text{Sigma/Sqrt}(n)$$

Sigma (std) =10

Sample size(n) = 30 sqrt (5.477)

$$10/5.477 = 1.82$$

STEP = 3

We use the t-statistic formula:

$$T \text{ CAL } (X\bar{B}AR - MU) / SE$$

Xbar = 78

MU = 72

SE = 1.82

$$(78-72)/1.82 = 3.29$$

STEP = 4

Critical Value

Sample size (n)

Degrees of freedom: df = 30 - 1 = 29

From the t-distribution table, the critical value for a one-tailed test at alpha = 0.05 and df = 29 is approximately **1.699**.

Decision

- Calculated t-value: 3.29
- Critical t-value: 1.699

Since **3.29 > 1.699**, we **reject the null hypothesis.**

Conclusion

There is **statistically significant evidence** at the 5% level to conclude that the new customer feedback process has **improved customer satisfaction**.

Answer = 2

To verify the school's claim, we'll perform a one-sample t-test to see if the sample mean is significantly greater than the national average.

STEP = 1

Null Hypothesis (H_0): 75

Alternative Hypothesis (H_1): $MU > 75$ (This is a one-tailed test.)

Given Data

- **National average: (MU) = 75**
- **Sample means: $\{x\bar{ }\}$ = 77**
- **Sample standard deviation: (std) = 8**
- **Sample size: (n) = 50**
- **Significance level: (alpha) = 0.01**

STEP = 2

STANDAR ERROR:

$$\text{SE} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Sigma (std) = 8

Sample size(n) = 50 sqrt (7.071)

$$8/\sqrt{50} = 1.13$$

STEP = 3

We use the t-statistic formula:

$$T \text{ CAL } (X\bar{B}AR - MU) / SE$$

Xbar = 77

MU = 75

SE = 1.13

$$77 - 75) / 1.13 = 1.77$$

STEP = 4

Critical Value

Sample size (n)

Degrees of freedom: df = 50-1 = 49

From the t-distribution table, the critical value for a **one-tailed test** at alpha = 0.01 and df = 49 is approximately **2.405**.

Decision

- Calculated t-value: **1.77**
- Critical t-value: **2.405**

Since $1.77 < 2.405$, we **fail to reject the null hypothesis**.

Conclusion

At the 0.01 significance level, there is **not enough statistical evidence** to support the school's claim that their students' average math score is higher than the national average.

Answer = 3

To determine whether the new sales strategy significantly increased average daily sales, we'll perform a **one-sample t-test**.

STEP = 1

Null Hypothesis (H_0): $10,000$

Alternative Hypothesis (H_1): $MU > 10,000$ (This is a one-tailed test.)

Given Data

- Population means: $(\mu) = 10,000$
- Sample mean: $\{\bar{x}\} = 11,200$
- Sample standard deviation: $(std) = 1,500$
- Sample size: $(n) = 15$
- Significance level: $(\alpha) = 0.05$

STEP = 2

STANDARD ERROR:

$$SE = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Sigma (std) = 1,500

Sample size(n) = 15 $\sqrt{15} = 3.873$

$$1500/3.873 = 387.29$$

STEP = 3

We use the t-statistic formula:

$$T \text{ CAL } (X\bar{B}AR - MU) / SE$$

Xbar = 11,200

MU = 10,000

SE = 387.29

$$(11,200 - 10,000) / 387.29 = 3.10$$

STEP = 4

Critical Value

Sample size (n)

Degrees of freedom: df = 15 - 1 = 14

From the t-distribution table, the critical value for a **one-tailed test** at alpha = 0.05 and df = 14 is approximately **1.761**.

Decision

- Calculated t-value: **3.10**
- Critical t-value: **1.761**

Since $3.10 > 1.761$, we **reject the null hypothesis**.

Conclusion

At the 5% significance level, there is **strong statistical evidence** that the new sales strategy has **increased average daily sales**.

Answer = 4

To test if the advertised lifespan of 1200 hours is accurate, we'll perform a **one-sample t-test** since the population standard deviation is unknown and the sample size is relatively small ($n = 40$).

STEP = 1

Null Hypothesis (H_0): 1200 hour

Alternative Hypothesis (H_1): !1200 hour (This is a two-tailed test.)

Given Data

- **Population means: (μ) = 1200 hour**
- **Sample mean { \bar{x} } = 1180 hours**
- **Sample standard deviation (std) = 50 hours**
- **Sample size (n) = 40**
- **Significance level (alpha) = 0.05**

STEP = 2

STANDARD ERROR:

$$\text{SE} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\sigma = 50$$

$$n = 40$$

$$\frac{50}{\sqrt{40}} = 7.91$$

STEP = 3

We use the t-statistic formula:

$$T \text{ CAL } (X\bar{B}AR - MU)/SE$$

XBar = 1180

MU = 1200

SE = 7.91

$$(1800 - 1200)/7.91 = \underline{-2.53}$$

STEP = 4

Critical Value

Sample size (n)

Degrees of freedom (df) = 40 - 1 = 39

From the t-distribution table, the critical t-value for a two-tailed test at $\alpha = 0.05$ and df = 39 is approximately: $t_{\{\text{critical}\}} \underline{2.022}$

Decision

- Calculated t-value: **-2.53**
- Critical t-value: **2.022**

Since $2.53 > 2.022$, we reject the null hypothesis.

Conclusion

At the 0.05 significance level, there is sufficient evidence to conclude that the actual mean lifespan of the bulbs differs from the advertised 1200 hours

Answer = 5

To test whether the average height of adult males in the city differs from the national average of 5.8 feet, we'll perform a **two-tailed one-sample t-test**.

STEP = 1

Null Hypothesis (H_0): 5.8

Alternative Hypothesis (H_1): $\neq 5.8$ (This is a two-tailed test.)

Given Data

- Population mean: $(\mu) = 5.8$ feet
- Sample mean $\{\bar{x}\} = 5.7$ feet
- Sample standard deviation (std) = 0.3feet
- Sample size (n) = 25
- Significance level (alpha) = 0.01

STEP = 2

STANDARD ERROR:

- $SE = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$
- Sigma (std) = 0.3
- Sample size(n) = 25 sqrt (5)

$$0.3/5 = 0.06$$

STEP = 3

We use the t-statistic formula:

$$T \text{ CAL } (X\bar{B}AR - MU) / SE$$

Xbar = 5.7

MU = 5.8

SE = 0.06

$$(5.7 - 5.8) / 0.06 = 1.67$$

STEP = 4

Critical Value

Sample size (n)

Degrees of freedom (df) = 25 - 1 = 24

From the t-distribution table, the critical t-value for a two-tailed test at $\alpha = 0.01$ and df = 24 t_{critical} 2.797

Decision

- Calculated t-value: -1.67
- Critical t-value: 2.797

Since $1.67 < 2.797$, we fail to reject the null hypothesis.

Conclusion

At the 1% significance level, there is not enough evidence to conclude that the average height of adult males in the city differs from the national average of 5.8 feet.