

MIXED STRATEGIES

We had seen games for which a PSNE may not exist.

Moreover, oux games have deterministic strategies, which is not always the case.

MIXED STRATEGIES

Each player has a probability distribution over available actions.

Notation

Given a set A,
$$\triangle A = \{ P \in [0,1]^{|A|} \mid \sum P_a = 1 \}$$

set of all probability distributions over elements of A

Ti is a mixed Strategy for player i IF τ∈ Δ(Si)

Note that players choose strategies independently as we deal with non-cooperative games

- ⇒ Toint prob. for 1 using S, and 2 using S2 = v,(S1) v2(S2)
- ⇒ Utility of 1 with strategy profile (vi, vi)

$$u_{:}(\sigma_{:}, \sigma_{:}) = \sum_{s_{i} \in S_{i}} \sum_{s_{i} \in S_{n}} \dots \sum_{s_{n} \in S_{n}} \sigma_{i}(s_{i}) \dots \sigma_{n}(s_{n}) u_{:}(s_{i}, \dots, s_{n})$$
Simply add up all case

Simply add up all cases' utilities
"Expected Utility"

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Similarly, $u_i(s_i, \sigma_i) = \sum_{s_i \in S_i} \sigma_i(s_i) u_i(s_i, s_i)$

MIXED STRATEGY NASH EQUILIBRIUM

Defn. A profile (vi, vi) is MSNE : #

Theorem

Proof

$$u: (\sigma_i', \sigma_i^*) \leq u: (\sigma_i^*, \sigma_i^*) \forall i \in N, \forall \sigma_i' \in \Delta(s_i)$$

A mixed strategy profile is MSNE IFF

Forward direction is trivial, and follows from definition.

Backward

Take substrary
$$\sigma_i \Rightarrow u_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_i^*) = \sum_{\Delta_i} \sigma_i(\Delta_i) u_i(\Delta_i, \sigma_{i}^*) \leq \sum_{\Delta_i} \sigma_i(\Delta_i) u_i(\sigma_i^*, \sigma_{i}^*) \leq u_i(\sigma_i^*, \sigma_{i}^*) \sum_{\Delta_i} \sigma_i(\Delta_i) \leq u_i(\sigma_i^*, \sigma_{i}^*) \sum_{\Delta_i} \sigma_i(\Delta_i) \leq u_i(\sigma_i^*, \sigma_{i}^*)$$

```
Support of a mixed strategy
```

For a mixed strategy σ_i , the subset of strategy space of i where σ_i has positive mass. $\delta(\sigma_i) = \left\{ b_i \in S_i \mid \sigma(b_i) > 0 \right\}$

Characterization Theorem

A strategy profile (v; , v;) is MSNE [FF] + ien

1) $u_i(b_i, \sigma_i^*)$ is asome for all $b_i \in \delta(\sigma_i^*)$

2) $u_i(\lambda_i, \sigma_i^*) \ge u_i(\lambda_i', \sigma_i^*) + \lambda_i \in S(\sigma_i^*), \lambda_i' \notin S(\sigma_i^*)$

This theorem is used to find MSNE

Proof

Observe that
$$\max_{\sigma_i \in \Delta(S_i)} u_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i}) = \max_{\sigma_i \in S_i} u_i(b_i, \sigma_{-i})$$

$$\sum_{\sigma_i(b_i)} u_i(b_i, \sigma_{-i}) \qquad \lim_{highest} utility!$$

Furthermore,
$$\max_{\sigma_i \in \Delta(S_i)} U_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i}) = \max_{\sigma_i \in S_i} u_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i}) = \max_{\sigma_i \in S_i} u_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i})$$

$$follows from Proof by prev Contradiction$$

Forward Direction, given (Fi, Fi) is MSNE

$$\Rightarrow u_i(\sigma_i^*, \sigma_i^*) = m_{\theta X}$$

Algorithmic Way to find MSNE

Given NFG $G = \langle N, (s_i)_{i \in N}, (u_i)_{i \in N} \rangle$, total combinations of support $K = (2^{|s_i|} - 1) \dots (2^{|S_n|} - 1)$ For every support profile, solve the following feasibility problem:

1)
$$\omega_i = \sum_{\substack{a_i \in S_i \\ i \neq i}} \left[\prod_{\substack{i \neq i \\ \sigma_{ii}(b_{ii}) \leq i}} \pi_i(a_i) \right] u_i(a_i, a_{ii}) ; \forall a_i \in X_i, \forall i \in N$$

2)
$$\omega_i \geq \sum_{\substack{k_i \in S_{-i} \\ i \neq i}} \left[\prod_{j \neq i} \sigma_j(k_j) \right] u_i(k_i, k_{-i}) ; \forall k_i \in S_i \setminus X_i, \forall i \in N$$

This is not a linear program unless n=2.

MSNE AND DOMINANCE

Theorem

If a pure strategy b_i is dominated by a mixed strategy $\sigma_i \Rightarrow \text{Remove } b_i \text{ WLOG}$ Then b_i is picked with probability zero.

Existance theorem - Every finite game has MSNE