CENG-322 TEAM PROJECT

Team Name: ezlock

Project Name: ezpark

Please negotiate, sign, scan and include as the first section in your Deliverable 1.

Please note that if cheating is discovered in a group assignment each member will be charged with a cheating offense regardless of their involvement in the offense. Each member will receive the appropriate sanction based on their individual academic honesty history.

Please ensure that you understand the importance of academic honesty. Each member of the group is responsible to ensure the academic integrity of all of the submitted work, not just their own part. Placing your name on a submission indicates that you take responsibility for its content.

Team Member Names (Please Print)	Signatures	Student ID
Project Leader: Enrique robles	Surjun	N01302490
Akashdeep Singh	Halkanhang	N01458137
Prashanna kc	Washeam Ke	N01467778

For further information read Academic Honesty Policy on https://humber.ca/legal-and-risk-management/policies/search-by-students.html.

By signing this contract, we acknowledge having read the Humber Academic Honesty Policy as per the link below.

https://academic-regulations.humber.ca/2018-2019/17.0-ACADEMIC-MISCONDUCT

Responsibilities of the Project Leader include:

- Assigning tasks to other team members, including self, in a fair and equitable manner.
- Ensuring work is completed with accuracy, completeness and timeliness.
- Planning for task completion to ensure timelines are met
- Any other duties as deemed necessary for project completion

What we will do if . . .

Scenario	Accepted initials	We agree to do the following
Team member does not deliver component on time due to severe illness or extreme personal problem	AS ER	a) Team absorbs workload temporarilyd) Other:
Team member cannot deliver component on time due to lack of ability	AS EL	a) Team reassigns componentb) Team helps member

Scenario	Accepted initials	We agree to do the following b) Team "fires" team member by not permitting his/her name on submission d) Other:
Team member does not deliver component on time due to lack of effort	AS PC SL	 a) Team absorbs workload b) Team "fires" team member by not permitting his/her name on submission c) Other:
Team member does not attend team meeting	AS PK SL	 a) Team proceeds without him/her and will assign work to the absent member b) Team doesn't proceed and records team member's absence c) Team proceeds for that meeting but "fires" member after 2 occurrences
An unforeseen constraint occurs after the deliverable	AS	a) Team meets and reschedules deliverable

Scenario	Accepted initials	We agree to do the following
has been allocated and scheduled (a surprise test or assignment)	PK	b) Team will cope with constraint c) Other:
Team cannot achieve consensus leaving one member feeling "railroaded", "ignored", or "frustrated" with a decision which affects all parties	K EK	 a) Team agrees to abide by majority vote b) Team flips coin c) Other:
Team members do not share expectations for grade desired	PK EK	 a) Team will elect one person as "standardsbearer" who has the right to ask that work be redone b) Team votes on each submission's quality c) Team will ask for individual marking and will identify sections by author d) Other:
Team member behaves in an unprofessional manner by being rude or uncooperative	AS PK EK	a) Team attempts to resolve the issue by airing the problem at team meeting b) Team ignores behaviour

Scenario	Accepted initials	We agree to do the following
		c) Team agrees to avoid use of all vocabulary inappropriate to the business setting
		d) Team fires the team member.
Team member assumes or requests that his/her name be signed to a submission but has not participated in production of the deliverable	PK EK	 a) Team agrees that this is cheating and is unethical b) Friends are friends and should help each other
		c) That person name will not be put on the submission.
There is a dominant team member who is content to make all decisions on the team's behalf leaving some team members feeling like	AS PK ER	a) Team will actively solicit consensus on all decisions which affect project direction by asking for each member's decision and vote
subordinates rather than equal members		b) Team will express subordination feelings and attempt to resolve issue
		c) Other:
Team has a member who refuses to participate in decision making but complains to others that s/he wasn't consulted	PC EF	a) Team forces decision sharing by routinely voting on all issues

Scenario	Accepted initials	We agree to do the following
		 b) Team routinely checks with each other about perceived roles c) Team discusses the matter at team meeting ✓