UNITED STATES OF AMERICA MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD WASHINGTON REGIONAL OFFICE

MARTIN AKERMAN,

DOCKET NUMBER

Appellant,

DC-0752-23-0457-I-1

v.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY,
Agency.

DATE: May 17, 2023

ORDER GRANTING THE APPELLANT'S REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

On May 16, 2023, I ordered the appellant to clarify his Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act (USERRA) claim, which he raised for the first time in his May 15, 2023 filing. See Appeal File (AF), Tabs 19 and 20. On the same date, the appellant filed his response wherein it appeared to me that he was raising a separate USERRA claim – separate and apart from his involuntary retirement claim. See AF, Tab 21 at 8. As a result, I decided to docket a new USERRA claim and informed the parties they would receive separate notice as to the new appeal. See AF, Tab 22. My decision did not inform the parties that the appellant's involuntary retirement claim had been fragmented, nor did it state that I was prohibiting the appellant from presenting a USERRA violation as part of his involuntary retirement claim. Id. Nevertheless, the appellant filed an objection and asked that I reconsider my decision to docket a new USERRA appeal. See AF, Tabs 23 and 24. Therein, he clarified that his USERRA and involuntary retirement claims are intertwined, and that he is not raising a separate USERRA claim. Id.

Based on the foregoing, I have reconsidered my decision to docket a new USERRA appeal and find the appellant did not raise a separate and distinct USERRA claim. Specifically, as noted by the appellant, his USERRA claim is related to his involuntary retirement claim. *See* AF, Tabs 23 and 24. Accordingly, the appellant's motion is **GRANTED**; thus, I will not docket a new USERRA appeal and the Board will delete the new docket it eAppeal and its case management system.

I will issue the parties a separate notice in regard to the appellant's USERRA and Voluntary Early Retirement Authority claims. *See Newton v. Department of Navy*, 2015WL 6922725 (MSPB Nov. 10, 2015) (nonprecedential) (In a Remand Order, the Board directed the administrative judge to provide specific notice to the appellant of his burdens of proof regarding each of his claims); *see also Burgess v. Merit Systems Protection Board*, 758 F.2d 641, 643-44 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *Rodriguez v. Department of Homeland Security*, 112 M.S.P.R. 446 ¶ 11 (2009).

FOR THE BOARD:	/S/
	Joshua Henline
	Administrative Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the attached Document(s) was (were) sent as indicated this day to each of the following:

Appellant

Electronic Mail Martin Akerman

2001 North Adams Street

Unit 440

Arlington, VA 22201

Agency Representative

Electronic Mail Jenny Lin Naylor

Department of the Army

111 S. George Mason Dr., Bldg 2

Arlington, VA 22204

May 17, 2023	_/s/
(Date)	Tonya Holman
	Paralegal Specialist