

MARTIN AKERMAN v. DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
Docket # DC-0752-23-0457-I-1
Request for Reconsideration and Corrections on the Record
Summary Page

Case Title : MARTIN AKERMAN v. DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Docket Number : DC-0752-23-0457-I-1

Pleading Title : Request for Reconsideration and Corrections on the Record

Filer's Name : Martin Akerman

Filer's Pleading Role : Appellant

Details about the supporting documentation

N/A

Table of Contents

Pleading Interview	3
Certificate of Service	5

MARTIN AKERMAN v. DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Docket # DC-0752-23-0457-I-1

Request for Reconsideration and Corrections on the Record

Online Interview

1. Would you like to enter the text online or upload a file containing the pleading?

Enter Online

2. Please enter text of your pleading.

Dear Administrative Judge Henline, As the appellant in this case, I am writing pro se to respectfully object to certain statements made in your order dated May 22, 2023, and to request reconsideration of my request for a special panel, along with corrections to the factual record. Motion for Special Panel: My request for a special panel was denied on the basis of lack of congressional authorization. However, I believe the spirit and intention behind the motion were not frivolous. My aim was to ensure impartiality and a broad perspective in reviewing my claims. Although unconventional, it was not intended to squander the Board's resources or time. Given the complexity of the matters at hand and the potential for significant ramifications, I respectfully request the Board to reconsider my request for a special panel or an equivalent measure. Pattern of Filing Frivolous Motions: The referenced instances of my so-called "frivolous" filings (AF, Tabs 7, 10, 27, 29, 31, 33, 35, 37, and 38) were not meritless. Each request was made in good faith and rooted in what I perceived to be valid legal grounds. I am prepared to provide additional clarification on each of these instances if required. Prior Warnings: The District Court's opinion in Akerman v. Austin, while duly noted, should be considered within its full context. I submit that these warnings reflect the complex nature of my case, rather than indicative of a predisposition towards frivolous filings. Compliance with Board Regulations and Orders: I have profound respect for the Board's regulations and your orders. I want to assure you that any perceived non-compliance on my part is not a reflection of negligence or bad faith, but rather a result of the intricacy of the case at hand. As I move forward, I remain dedicated to addressing the jurisdictional issues at hand. I will provide a supplementary jurisdictional response in line with your directive by June 8, 2023, and understand that the record on jurisdiction will close by June 20, 2023. I am hopeful that you will consider my objections and requests for reconsideration and correction. I greatly appreciate your attention to this matter and trust in the Board's commitment to ensuring a fair and just process. Sincerely, Martin Akerman (Appellant, Pro Se)

3. Does your pleading assert facts that you know from your personal knowledge?

Yes

4. Do you declare, under penalty of perjury, that the facts stated in this pleading are true and correct?

Yes

Certificate Of Service

e-Appeal has handled service of the assembled pleading to MSPB and all of the Parties.

Following is the list of the Parties in the case:

Name & Address	Documents	Method of Service
MSPB: Washington Regional Office	Request for Reconsideration and Corrections on the Record	e-Appeal / e-Mail
Jenny Lin Naylor Agency Representative	Request for Reconsideration and Corrections on the Record	e-Appeal / e-Mail