2006 Ariz. SB 1338

Enacted, April 26, 2006

Reporter

2006 Ariz. ALS 232; 2006 Ariz. Sess. Laws 232; 2006 Ariz. Ch. 232; 2006 Ariz. SB 1338

ARIZONA ADVANCE LEGISLATIVE SERVICE > ARIZONA 47TH LEGISLATURE - SECOND REGULAR **SESSION > CHAPTER 232 > SENATE BILL 1338**

Notice



[A> UPPERCASE TEXT WITHIN THESE SYMBOLS IS ADDED <A]

Synopsis

AN ACT Amending title 44, Arizona Revised Statutes, by adding chapter 32; providing for conditional repeal of title 44, chapter 32, Arizona Revised Statutes; relating to notification for compromised personal information.

Text

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Arizona:

Section 1. Title 44, Arizona Revised Statutes, is amended by adding chapter 32, to read:

[A> CHAPTER 32 <A]

[A> NOTIFICATION FOR COMPROMISED PERSONAL INFORMATION <A]

[A> ARTICLE 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS <A]

[A> 44-7501. DISCLOSURE; ENFORCEMENT; CIVIL PENALTY; EXCEPTIONS; DEFINITIONS <A]

[A> A. WHEN A PERSON THAT CONDUCTS BUSINESS IN THIS STATE AND THAT OWNS OR LICENSES UNENCRYPTED COMPUTERIZED DATA THAT INCLUDES PERSONAL INFORMATION BECOMES AWARE OF AN INCIDENT OF UNAUTHORIZED ACQUISITION AND ACCESS TO UNENCRYPTED OR UNREDACTED COMPUTERIZED DATA THAT INCLUDES AN INDIVIDUAL'S PERSONAL INFORMATION, THE PERSON SHALL CONDUCT A REASONABLE INVESTIGATION TO PROMPTLY DETERMINE IF THERE HAS BEEN A BREACH OF THE SECURITY SYSTEM. IF THE INVESTIGATION RESULTS IN A DETERMINATION THAT THERE HAS BEEN A BREACH IN THE SECURITY SYSTEM, THE PERSON SHALL NOTIFY THE INDIVIDUALS AFFECTED. THE NOTICE SHALL BE MADE IN THE MOST EXPEDIENT MANNER POSSIBLE AND WITHOUT UNREASONABLE DELAY SUBJECT TO THE NEEDS OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION C OF THIS SECTION AND ANY MEASURES NECESSARY TO DETERMINE THE NATURE AND SCOPE OF THE BREACH. TO IDENTIFY THE INDIVIDUALS AFFECTED OR TO RESTORE THE REASONABLE INTEGRITY OF THE DATA SYSTEM. <A]

[A> B. A PERSON THAT MAINTAINS UNENCRYPTED COMPUTERIZED DATA THAT INCLUDES PERSONAL INFORMATION THAT THE PERSON DOES NOT OWN SHALL NOTIFY AND COOPERATE WITH THE OWNER OR THE LICENSEE OF THE INFORMATION OF ANY BREACH OF THE SECURITY OF THE SYSTEM FOLLOWING DISCOVERY OF THE BREACH WITHOUT UNREASONABLE DELAY. COOPERATION SHALL INCLUDE SHARING INFORMATION RELEVANT TO THE BREACH OF THE SECURITY OF THE SYSTEM WITH THE OWNER OR LICENSEE. THE PERSON THAT OWNS OR LICENSES THE COMPUTERIZED DATA SHALL PROVIDE NOTICE TO THE INDIVIDUAL PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION. THE PERSON THAT MAINTAINED THE DATA UNDER AN AGREEMENT WITH THE OWNER OR LICENSEE IS NOT REQUIRED TO PROVIDE NOTICE TO THE INDIVIDUAL PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION UNLESS THE AGREEMENT STIPULATES OTHERWISE. <A1

[A> C. THE NOTIFICATION REQUIRED BY SUBSECTION A OF THIS SECTION MAY BE DELAYED IF A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY ADVISES THE PERSON THAT THE NOTIFICATION WILL IMPEDE A CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION. THE PERSON SHALL MAKE THE NOTIFICATION AFTER THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY DETERMINES THAT IT WILL NOT COMPROMISE THE INVESTIGATION. <A1

[A> D. THE DISCLOSURE REQUIRED BY SUBSECTION A OF THIS SECTION SHALL BE PROVIDED BY ONE OF THE FOLLOWING METHODS: <A]

[A> 1. WRITTEN NOTICE. <A]

[A> 2. ELECTRONIC NOTICE IF THE PERSON'S PRIMARY METHOD OF COMMUNICATION WITH THE INDIVIDUAL IS BY ELECTRONIC MEANS OR IS CONSISTENT WITH THE PROVISIONS REGARDING ELECTRONIC RECORDS AND SIGNATURES SET FORTH IN THE ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL AND NATIONAL COMMERCE ACT (*P.L. 106-229*; *114 STAT. 464*; 15 UNITED STATES CODE SECTION 7001). <A]

[A> 3. TELEPHONIC NOTICE. <A]

[A> 4. SUBSTITUTE NOTICE IF THE PERSON DEMONSTRATES THAT THE COST OF PROVIDING NOTICE PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH 1, 2 OR 3 OF THIS SUBSECTION WOULD EXCEED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS OR THAT THE AFFECTED CLASS OF SUBJECT INDIVIDUALS TO BE NOTIFIED EXCEEDS ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND PERSONS, OR THE PERSON DOES NOT HAVE SUFFICIENT CONTACT INFORMATION. SUBSTITUTE NOTICE SHALL CONSIST OF ALL OF THE FOLLOWING: <A1

[A> (A) ELECTRONIC MAIL NOTICE IF THE PERSON HAS ELECTRONIC MAIL ADDRESSES FOR THE INDIVIDUALS SUBJECT TO THE NOTICE. <A]

[A> (B) CONSPICUOUS POSTING OF THE NOTICE ON THE WEB SITE OF THE PERSON IF THE PERSON MAINTAINS ONE. <A]

[A> (C) NOTIFICATION TO MAJOR STATEWIDE MEDIA. <A]

[A> E. A PERSON WHO MAINTAINS THE PERSON'S OWN NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES AS PART OF AN INFORMATION SECURITY POLICY FOR THE TREATMENT OF PERSONAL INFORMATION AND IS OTHERWISE CONSISTENT WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SECTION SHALL BE DEEMED TO BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SECTION IF THE PERSON NOTIFIES SUBJECT INDIVIDUALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PERSON'S POLICIES IF A BREACH OF THE SECURITY SYSTEM OCCURS. <A]

[A> F. A PERSON THAT COMPLIES WITH THE NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS OR SECURITY BREACH PROCEDURES PURSUANT TO THE RULES, REGULATIONS, PROCEDURES, GUIDANCE OR GUIDELINES ESTABLISHED BY THE PERSON'S PRIMARY OR FUNCTIONAL FEDERAL REGULATOR IS DEEMED TO BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THIS SECTION. <A]

[A> G. A PERSON IS NOT REQUIRED TO DISCLOSE A BREACH OF THE SECURITY OF THE SYSTEM IF THE PERSON OR A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY, AFTER A REASONABLE INVESTIGATION, DETERMINES

THAT A BREACH OF THE SECURITY OF THE SYSTEM HAS NOT OCCURRED OR IS NOT REASONABLY LIKELY TO OCCUR. <A]

- [A> H. THIS SECTION MAY ONLY BE ENFORCED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL MAY BRING AN ACTION TO OBTAIN ACTUAL DAMAGES FOR A WILFUL AND KNOWING VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION AND A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS PER BREACH OF THE SECURITY OF THE SYSTEM OR SERIES OF BREACHES OF A SIMILAR NATURE THAT ARE DISCOVERED IN A SINGLE INVESTIGATION. <A1
- [A> I. THE STATE LEGISLATURE DETERMINES THAT SECURITY SYSTEM BREACH NOTIFICATION IS A MATTER OF STATEWIDE CONCERN. THE POWER TO REGULATE SECURITY BREACH NOTIFICATION IS PREEMPTED BY THIS STATE AND THIS SECTION SHALL SUPERSEDE AND PREEMPT ALL MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY LAWS, CHARTERS, ORDINANCES AND RULES RELATING TO ISSUES REGULATED BY THIS CHAPTER. <A]
- [A> J. THIS SECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO EITHER OF THE FOLLOWING: <A]
- [A> 1. A PERSON SUBJECT TO TITLE V OF THE GRAMM LEACH BLILEY ACT OF 1999 (P.L. 106-102; 113 STAT. 1338; 15 UNITED STATES CODE SECTIONS 6801 THROUGH 6809). <A]
- [A> 2. COVERED ENTITIES AS DEFINED UNDER REGULATIONS IMPLEMENTING THE HEALTH INSURANCE PORTABILITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY ACT, <u>45 CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS SECTION 160.103 (1996)</u>. <A]
- [A> K. A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY, A PROSECUTION AGENCY AND A COURT SHALL CREATE AND MAINTAIN AN INFORMATION SECURITY POLICY THAT INCLUDES NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES FOR A BREACH OF THE SECURITY SYSTEM OF THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY, THE PROSECUTING AGENCY OR THE COURT. <A]
- [A> L. FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION: <A]
- [A> 1. "BREACH", "BREACH OF THE SECURITY OF THE SYSTEM", "BREACH OF THE SECURITY SYSTEM" OR "SECURITY BREACH" MEANS AN UNAUTHORIZED ACQUISITION OF AND ACCESS TO UNENCRYPTED OR UNREDACTED COMPUTERIZED DATA THAT MATERIALLY COMPROMISES THE SECURITY OR CONFIDENTIALITY OF PERSONAL INFORMATION MAINTAINED BY A PERSON AS PART OF A DATABASE OF PERSONAL INFORMATION REGARDING MULTIPLE INDIVIDUALS AND THAT CAUSES OR IS REASONABLY LIKELY TO CAUSE SUBSTANTIAL ECONOMIC LOSS TO AN INDIVIDUAL. GOOD FAITH ACQUISITION OF PERSONAL INFORMATION BY AN EMPLOYEE OR AGENT OF THE PERSON FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE PERSON IS NOT A BREACH OF THE SECURITY SYSTEM IF THE PERSONAL INFORMATION IS NOT USED FOR A PURPOSE UNRELATED TO THE PERSON OR SUBJECT TO FURTHER WILFUL UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE. <A]
- [A> 2. "COURT" MEANS THE SUPREME COURT, COURT OF APPEALS, SUPERIOR COURT, COURTS INFERIOR TO THE SUPERIOR COURT AND JUSTICE COURTS. <A]
- [A> 3. "ENCRYPTED" MEANS USE OF AN ALGORITHMIC PROCESS TO TRANSFORM DATA INTO A FORM IN WHICH THE DATA IS RENDERED UNREADABLE OR UNUSABLE WITHOUT USE OF A CONFIDENTIAL PROCESS OR KEY. <A]
- [A> 4. "INDIVIDUAL" MEANS A PERSON THAT IS A RESIDENT OF THIS STATE AS DETERMINED BY A PRINCIPAL MAILING ADDRESS IN THIS STATE AS REFLECTED IN THE RECORDS OF THE PERSON CONDUCTING BUSINESS IN THIS STATE AT THE TIME OF THE BREACH. <A1
- [A> 5. "LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY" MEANS THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY, COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENTS OR MUNICIPAL POLICE DEPARTMENTS. <A]

- [A> 6. "PERSON" MEANS A NATURAL PERSON, CORPORATION, BUSINESS TRUST, ESTATE, TRUST, PARTNERSHIP, ASSOCIATION, JOINT VENTURE, GOVERNMENT, GOVERNMENTAL SUBDIVISION OR AGENCY OR ANY OTHER LEGAL OR COMMERCIAL ENTITY. PERSON DOES NOT INCLUDE A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY, A PROSECUTION AGENCY OR A COURT. <A]
- [A> 7. "PERSONAL INFORMATION": <A]
- [A> (A) MEANS AN INDIVIDUAL'S FIRST NAME OR FIRST INITIAL AND LAST NAME IN COMBINATION WITH ANY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING DATA ELEMENTS, WHEN THE DATA ELEMENT IS NOT ENCRYPTED, REDACTED OR SECURED BY ANY OTHER METHOD RENDERING THE ELEMENT UNREADABLE OR UNUSABLE: <A]
- [A> (I) THE INDIVIDUAL'S SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER. <A]
- [A> (II) THE INDIVIDUAL'S NUMBER ON A DRIVER LICENSE ISSUED PURSUANT TO <u>SECTION 28-3166</u> OR NUMBER ON A NONOPERATING IDENTIFICATION LICENSE ISSUED PURSUANT TO <u>SECTION 28-3165</u>. <A]
- [A> (III) THE INDIVIDUAL'S FINANCIAL ACCOUNT NUMBER OR CREDIT OR DEBIT CARD NUMBER IN COMBINATION WITH ANY REQUIRED SECURITY CODE, ACCESS CODE OR PASSWORD THAT WOULD PERMIT ACCESS TO THE INDIVIDUAL'S FINANCIAL ACCOUNT. <A]
- [A> (B) DOES NOT INCLUDE PUBLICLY AVAILABLE INFORMATION THAT IS LAWFULLY MADE AVAILABLE TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC FROM FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT RECORDS OR WIDELY DISTRIBUTED MEDIA. <A]
- [A> 8. "PROSECUTION AGENCY" MEANS THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, ANY COUNTY ATTORNEY OR ANY MUNICIPAL PROSECUTOR. <A]
- [A> 9. "REDACT" MEANS ALTER OR TRUNCATE DATA SUCH THAT NO MORE THAN THE LAST FOUR DIGITS OF A SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER, DRIVER LICENSE NUMBER, NONOPERATING IDENTIFICATION LICENSE NUMBER, FINANCIAL ACCOUNT NUMBER OR CREDIT OR DEBIT CARD NUMBER IS ACCESSIBLE AS PART OF THE PERSONAL INFORMATION. <A]

Sec. 2. Effective date

This act is effective from and after December 31, 2006.

Sec. 3. Conditional repeal; notice

- A. Title 44, chapter 32, Arizona Revised Statutes, as added by this act, is repealed one year after the effective date of the federal personal data privacy and security act.
- B. The attorney general shall notify in writing the director of the Arizona legislative council of this date.

History

Approved by the Governor on April 26, 2006

Sponsor

Huppenthal

ARIZONA ADVANCE LEGISLATIVE SERVICE Copyright © 2022 LexisNexis. All rights reserved.

End of Document