Homework-1

Question 1. MLP for Classification: In a multi-class classification problem, e.g. a problem with M classes, we use a model f_{θ} to produce the output \hat{y} . \hat{y} is a vector of dimension M with sum 1, whose components indicate the probability of x belong to each class.

In this section, you will use a simple model – the 3-layer MLP – to tackle this problem. Assume that we have K labeled data (x_k, y_k) with k = 1, 2, ..., K where $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $y_k \in \mathbb{R}^M$ is a M dimensional one-hot vector.

- a) Define the cross entropy loss for a multi-class classification problem.
- b) A 3-layer MLP consists of an input layer, a hidden layer, and an output layer with two learned parameter matrices W^1, W^2 between successive layers. Please note that there is generally a Softmax layer after the output layer to scale the output, which we omitted in this sub-question for simplicity. When given an input x, this model performs the following forward computations sequentially:

$$a_1 = W^1 x,$$

$$h = \sigma(a_1),$$

$$a_2 = W^2 h,$$

$$\hat{y} = \sigma(a_2).$$

where $W^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times N}, W^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times D}$ are parameter matrices and $\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$ is the element-wise Sigmoid function. Use the loss function you defined in sub-question a), apply an SGD update to the parameters W^1 and W^2 with learning rate η via backpropagation. Must identify the closed-form gradient of each parameter, which is $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial W^2(m,d)}$ and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial W^1(d,n)}$ in your derivation.

HINT: 1) For simplicity, you can assume that the SGD program uses only one training data per batch. 2) Use formula $\sigma'(z) = \sigma(z)(1 - \sigma(z))$ for a scalar z.

SOLUTION:

a) The cross-entropy loss for a multi-class classification problem is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^{B} y_b^T \log \hat{y}_b$$

where B stands for the batch-size of training data, and y_b is the M dimensional one-hot vector for the b-th training example, and \hat{y}_b is the output vector predicting the b-th example.

According to the **HINT**, we assume that the SGD program uses only one training data per batch. Then we have B = 1, and the loss is:

$$\mathcal{L} = y^T \log \hat{y}$$

b) To compute the gradients of the loss function with respect to W¹ and W² using back-propagation and SGD, we first need to compute the derivative of the loss function with respect to $\hat{y}(m)$, where $m \in \{1, 2, ..., M\}$ denotes the m-th neuron in the output layer. And it is given by:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{y}(m)} = -\frac{y(m)}{\hat{y}(m)}$$

Using the chain rule, we can compute the derivative of the loss function with respect to a_2 :

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a_2(m)} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{y}(m)} \frac{\partial \hat{y}(m)}{\partial a_2(m)} \\ &= -\frac{y(m)}{\hat{y}(m)} \sigma(a_2(m)) \left(1 - \sigma(a_2(m))\right) \\ &= y(m) \left(\hat{y}(m) - 1\right) \end{split}$$

Using the same chain rule, we can compute the derivative of the loss function with respect to a_1 :

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a_1(d)} = \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a_2(m)} \frac{\partial a_2(m)}{\partial h(d)} \frac{\partial h(d)}{\partial a_1(d)}$$

$$= -\sum_{m=1}^M \frac{y(m)}{\hat{y}(m)} \sigma(a_2(m)) \left(1 - \sigma(a_2(m))\right) \mathbf{W}^2(m, d) \sigma(a_1(d)) \left(1 - \sigma(a_1(d))\right)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^M y(m) \left(\hat{y}(m) - 1\right) \mathbf{W}^2(m, d) h(d) \left(1 - h(d)\right)$$

Finally, we can compute the gradients of the loss function with respect to the parameter matrices:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{W}^2(m,d)} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{y}(m)} \frac{\partial \hat{y}(m)}{\partial a_2(m)} \frac{\partial a_2(m)}{\partial \mathbf{W}^2(m,d)} \\ &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a_2(m)} \frac{\partial a_2(m)}{\partial \mathbf{W}^2(m,d)} \\ &= -\frac{y(m)}{\hat{y}(m)} \sigma(a_2(m))(1 - \sigma(a_2(m)))h(d) \\ &= y(m)(\hat{y}(m) - 1)h(d) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{W}^1(d,n)} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{y}(m)} \frac{\partial \hat{y}(m)}{\partial a_2(m)} \frac{\partial a_2(m)}{\partial h(d)} \frac{\partial h(d)}{\partial a_1(d)} \frac{\partial a_1(d)}{\partial \mathbf{W}^1(d,n)} \\ &= -\sum_{m=1}^M \frac{y(m)}{\hat{y}(m)} \sigma(a_2(m)) (1 - \sigma(a_2(m))) \mathbf{W}^2(m,d) \sigma(a_1(d)) (1 - \sigma(a_1(d))) x(n) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^M y(m) \left(\hat{y}(m) - 1 \right) \mathbf{W}^2(m,d) h(d) (1 - h(d)) x(n) \end{split}$$

Therefore, the update rule for the parameters \mathbf{W}^2 and \mathbf{W}^1 are:

$$W^{1}(d, n) \leftarrow W^{1}(d, n) - \eta \sum_{m=1}^{M} y(m) (\hat{y}(m) - 1) W^{2}(m, d) h(d) (1 - h(d)) x(n)$$

$$W^{2}(m, d) \leftarrow W^{2}(m, d) - \eta (\hat{y}(m) - 1) y(m) h(d)$$

where η is the learning rate.

Question 2. Dropout and Regularization

Dropout is a well-known way to prevent neural networks from overfitting. In this section, you will show this regularization explicitly for linear regression. Recall that linear regression optimizes $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ to minimize the following MSE objective:

$$\mathcal{L}(w) = \|y - Xw\|^2$$

where $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the response to the design matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$. One way to use dropout during training on the d-dimensional input features x_i involves keeping each feature at random with probability p (and zero out it if not kept).

a) Show that when we apply such dropout, the learning objective becomes

$$\mathcal{L}(w) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{M} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)} ||y - (\mathcal{M} \odot \mathcal{X})w||^2$$

where \odot denotes the element-wise product and $M \in \{0,1\}^{n \times d}$ is a random mask matrix whose element $m_{i,j}$ have i.i.d. Bernoulli distribution with success probability p.

b) Show that we can manipulate the dropout learning objective to an explicit regularized objective:

$$\mathcal{L}(w) = \|y - pXw\|^2 + p(1-p)\|\Gamma w\|^2$$

and define a suitable matrix Γ .

SOLUTION:

a) To incorporate dropout, we multiply the input features X element-wise with a mask matrix M which has values 1 with probability p and 0 with probability 1-p. This randomly masks out features during training. Now, let's compute the objective function:

$$\mathcal{L}(w) = \|y - (\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X})w\|^{2}$$

$$= (y - (\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X})w)^{T}(y - (\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X})w)$$

$$= y^{T}y - y^{T}(\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X})w - w^{T}(\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X})^{T}y + w^{T}(\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X})^{T}(\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X})w$$

$$= y^{T}y - 2w^{T}(\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X})^{T}y + w^{T}(\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X})^{T}(\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X})w$$

Now, we take the expectation over the mask matrix M drawn from the Bernoulli distribution:

$$\mathcal{L}(w) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M} \sim \mathrm{Bernoulli}(p)}[y^T y - 2w^T (\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X})^T y + w^T (\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X})^T (\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X}) w]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M} \sim \mathrm{Bernoulli}(p)}[y^T y] - 2w^T \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M} \sim \mathrm{Bernoulli}(p)}[(\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X})^T y] + w^T \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M} \sim \mathrm{Bernoulli}(p)}[(\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X})^T (\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X})] w$$

$$= \|y - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M} \sim \mathrm{Bernoulli}(p)}[(\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X}) w]\|^2$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M} \sim \mathrm{Bernoulli}(p)}\|y - (\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X}) w\|^2$$

Therefore, the learning objective with dropout becomes $\mathcal{L}(w) = \mathbb{E}_{M \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)} ||y - (M \odot X)w||^2$.

b) Acknowledgement: This answer refers to UC-Berkeley's CS182[1]. Starting with the dropout learning objective:

$$\mathcal{L}(w) = \mathbb{E}_{M \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)} \| y - (M \odot X) w \|^2$$

Let $P = M \odot X$ where \odot is the element-wise multiplication. Therefore, we have:

$$||y - Pw||_2^2 = y^T y - 2w^T P^T y + w^T P^T Pw$$

That is:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M} \sim \mathrm{Bernoulli}(p)} \left[\|y - \mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X} w\|^2 \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M}} \left[y^T y - 2 w^T \mathbf{P}^T y + w^T \mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{P} w \right]$$

Since the expected value of a matrix is the matrix of the expected value of its elements, we have that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M}}[\mathbf{P}]_{ij} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\left[(\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{X})_{ij} \right] = \mathbf{X}_{ij} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\left[\mathbf{M}_{ij} \right] = p \mathbf{X}_{ij}$$

It follows that:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M}} \left[2w^T \mathbf{P}^T y \right] = 2pw^T \mathbf{X}^T y$$

and:

$$\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\left[\left(\mathbf{P}^T\mathbf{P}\right)\right]\right)_{ij} = \Sigma_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\left[\mathbf{M}_{ki}\mathbf{M}_{kj}\mathbf{X}_{ki}\mathbf{X}_{kj}\right]$$

where:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\left[\left(\mathbf{P}^{T}\mathbf{P}\right)\right]_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\left[\mathbf{M}_{ki}\mathbf{M}_{kj}\mathbf{X}_{ki}\mathbf{X}_{kj}\right] = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\left[\mathbf{M}_{ki}\right]\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\left[\mathbf{M}_{kj}\right]\mathbf{X}_{ki}\mathbf{X}_{kj} = p^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X}\right)_{ij} & \text{if } i \neq j \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\left[\mathbf{M}_{ki}^{2}\mathbf{X}_{ki}\mathbf{X}_{kj}\right] = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\left[\mathbf{M}_{ki}^{2}\right]\mathbf{X}_{ki}\mathbf{X}_{kj} = p\left(\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X}\right)_{ij} & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

Finally, we note that:

$$\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{M}}\left[\left(\mathbf{P}^{T}\mathbf{P}\right)\right]\right)_{ij} - p^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X}\right)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ (p - p^{2})\left(\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X}\right)_{ij} & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

We now can put everything together as follow:

$$\mathcal{L}(w) = \mathbb{E}_{M} \left[\| y - M \odot Xw \|^{2} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{M} \left[y^{T}y - 2w^{T}P^{T}y + w^{T}P^{T}Pw \right]$$

$$= y^{T}y - 2pw^{T}X^{T}y + p^{2}w^{T}X^{T}Xw - p^{2}w^{T}X^{T}Xw + w^{T}\mathbb{E}_{M} \left[P^{T}P \right]w$$

$$= \| y - pXw \|^{2} + \left(w^{T}\mathbb{E}_{M} \left[P^{T}P \right]w - p^{2}w^{T}X^{T}Xw \right)$$

$$= \| y - pXw \|^{2} + w^{T} \left(\mathbb{E}_{M} \left[P^{T}P \right] - p^{2} \left(X^{T}X \right) \right)w$$

$$= \| y - pXw \|^{2} + \left(p^{2} - p \right)w^{T} \left(\text{diag} \left(X^{T}X \right) \right)w$$

$$= \| y - pXw \|^{2} + p(1 - p)w^{T} \left(\text{diag} \left(X^{T}X \right) \right)w$$

$$= \| y - pXw \|^{2} + p(1 - p)\| \Gamma w \|^{2}$$

where diag (X^TX) refers to the matrix where the non-diagonal elements of X^TX are set to 0, and $\Gamma = (\operatorname{diag}(X^TX))^{1/2}$, which exists as X^TX is positive-semidefinite(PSD) and therefore has non-negative diagonal elements.

References