

Date	15 March 2024
Team ID	738303
Project Title	Machine Learning Approach For Emoloyee Performance Prediction
Maximum Marks	10 Marks

Final Model Selection Justification (2 Marks):

Final Model	Reasoning
--------------------	------------------

Random Forest Regression	<p>Based on the provided metrics for the three models (Linear Regression, Random Forest Regression, and XGBoost Regression), we can make the following observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Linear Regression: Moderate Mean Squared Error (MSE) values for both training and testing data. Relatively low R-squared (R2) scores, indicating weaker fit to the data. Consistent Mean Absolute Error (MAE) values. 2) Random Forest Regression: Lowest Mean Squared Error (MSE) on testing data among the three models, indicating better prediction accuracy. High R-squared (R2) scores on both training and testing data, suggesting a good fit to the data and capturing more variance. Consistent Mean Absolute Error (MAE) values.
--------------------------	--

	<p>3) XGBoost Regression: Moderate Mean Squared Error (MSE) values on both training and testing data. Lower R-squared (R2) scores compared to Random Forest Regression, indicating slightly weaker performance in capturing variance. Consistent Mean Absolute Error (MAE) values.</p> <p>Conclusion: Based on the provided metrics, the Random Forest Regression appears to be the best-performing model. It demonstrates the lowest Mean Squared Error (MSE) on the testing data, indicating superior prediction accuracy. Additionally, it exhibits high R-squared (R2) scores on both training and testing data, suggesting a robust fit to the data and capturing more variance compared to the other models. Therefore, for this specific task, the Random Forest Regression is recommended for further exploration and deployment.</p>
--	--