Assignment 3 Colorado CSCI/LING 5832

Named Entity Recognition

Group 5

Hunar Jain huja4688@coloardo.edu Srinivas Akhil Mallela srma3452@colorado.edu

Aravind Bisegowda Srinivas arbi8560@colorado.edu

November 30, 2021

Introduction

In this assignment we attempt to identify references to all genes in a set of abstracts of biomedical journal articles. The training set provided consists of sentences with genes tagged with IOB tags. We have attempted to solve the problem using 2 supervised machine learning approaches, i.e, HMM-based approach and a feature based classification approach using Conditional Random Fields (CRFs).

Methods

HMM-based approach

The training data is processed and a *Dataset* class is created. The training data is randomly split into test and training sets with a 80:20 split. We use the *Pomegranate* library to build our HMM tagger.

The transitional probabilities are based on the bigram counts of the tags and the observation probabilities are based on the unigram counts of the tags. We use the Laplace smoothing technique to handle the cases when the observation probabilities are 0.

```
for tag, word_dict in count_tag_and_word.items():
    p_words_given_tag_state = defaultdict(float)

for word in word_dict.keys():
    p_words_given_tag_state[word] = (count_tag_and_word[tag][word] + 1) / (tag_unigrams represents P(word|tag))

emission = DiscreteDistribution(dict(p_words_given_tag_state))
```

We used unique word counts in the training set to establish observations probabilities for the test set.

The following results were observed for the HMM-based approach.

```
hmm score: 3242 entities in gold standard.
1531 total entities found.
913 of which were correct.
Precision: 0.5963422599608099
```

states[tag] = State(emission, name=tag)

Recall: 0.28161628624305984 F1-measure: 0.38256861512675466

CRF based approach

We process the data similar to the HMM based approach. We define a word2features function that takes a sentence as an input and extracts the features.

- Last 3 characters of a word
- Last 2 characters of a word
- Uppercase words
- Lowercase words
- CamelCase words
- Alpha-numeric words
- Stopwords
- Words ending with ASE
- Words ending with IN
- Words ending with ENE
- Word length
- Presence of digits in a word.

- BOS, if word is at the beginning of sentence.
- EOS, if word is at the end of sentence.
- True if unique in set I.
- True if unique in set O.
- True if unique in set B.

For the last 3 features stated above, we determine the unique word by keeping a track of all the unique words for each tag.

We also keep the following contexts of the previous and next word for every word in the sentence.

- Lowercase word.
- Title word.
- Uppercase word.

We make use of the sklearn_crfsuite library to train our CRF model. The training algorithm used is Gradient descent using the L-BFGS method with following training parameters.

```
crf = sklearn_crfsuite.CRF(
    algorithm='lbfgs',
    c1=0.1,
    c2=0.1,
    max_iterations=1000,
    all_possible_transitions=False
)
```

The following results were observed for the CRF based approach.

```
crf score: 3272 entities in gold standard. 3001 total entities found. 2204 of which were correct. Precision: 0.7344218593802065 Recall: 0.6735941320293398 F1-measure: 0.7026940857643871
```

c1 and c2 are the regularization parameters.

The top 20 features selected by the model and their associated weights and tags are listed below.

10.501352	В	BOS	
7.847831	О	word.lower():release	
7.548619	О	EOŠ	
5.790113	О	word.lower():increase	
5.284223	В	word[-2:]:1p	
5.171570	О	word.lower():phase	
5.077503	О	word.lower():contains	
5.009911	В	word.lower():histone	
4.874732	В	word.lower():fibrinogen	
4.863599	О	word.lower():disease	
4.683655	О	word.lower():min	
4.597040	О	-1:word.lower():cdc28	
4.577567	О	word.lower():within	
4.459559	О	word[-2:]:nd	
4.436698	I	word.lower():sites	
4.388552	О	word.lower():kinase	
4.324877	О	word.lower():decrease	
4.310799	В	word.lower():osteocalcin	
4.274574	О	word.lower():t1	
4.248954	В	word.lower():engrailed	
4.240304	ь	word.lower().engraned	

The normalized transition scores are as follows:-

	В	I	О
В	0	3.25	-7.69
I	0	4.81	-7.03
О	11.49	0	3.199

The token-level results for CRF based approach are listed below :-

	Precision	Recall	F1-Measure
В	0.82	0.75	0.78
I	0.81	0.75	0.78
О	0.98	0.98	0.98

Results

Running the evaluation script on the outputs of each of the approaches gave us the following results. We observed a better F1-measure with the CRF based approach on a 80:20 randomized test-train split.

	Precision	Recall	F1-Measure
HMM	0.59	0.28	0.38
CRF	0.73	0.67	0.70

Resources

https://towards datascience.com/named-entity-recognition-and-classification-with-scikit-learn-f05372f07ba2