Pseudo code/ Calculator Logic Flow (E2E)

- Request comes from cost api:
 - Read the cost api request fields
 - Pass the following to benefit api: benefitProductType, membershipID, planIdentifier, serviceInfo→{providerType code, placeOfService code, providerSpecialty code, code, type}
 - Additional fields to check: provider info array (for INN/OON check), provider tier (for tiered benefits)
 - Review response contract internally and externally
- Parallel calls:
 - Call the spanner db to retrieve provider rates-- ETL
 - Check the provider info array to check INN/ONN
 - Call the benefit api and accums api
 - Align on contract with benefit api and accums api-- since this is GET, what is the expected output? And what fields do we need to pass?

Run logic to retrieve the right benefit:

- Check how many benefit types were returned
- If more than one:
- FILTERS:
- Next, filter out all INN/OON benefits (based on whether it is INN or ONN- checked in previous step)
 - To do so, check INN or OON by looking up the provider info array in cost api request
 - To match with the appropriate benefits, check networkCategory value
- If there are more than 1 benefit types still remaining, then identify any filters in the input coming from cost api

Check provider designation:

- Currently, we can only check for PCPs:
 - Check providerSpecialty code in the cost api request. If it matches to the PCP specialty code table, provider is PCP (codes= 10101, 10201, 10301, 10401)

- Next, check if the benefit object is for PCP. To check this, look up serviceProvider" →
 "providerDesignation" field. If=PCP, then benefit object is for PCP
- Pick the benefits that match this condition and filter out all other benefits
- Error message: If specialty code=PCP, and no benefit objects match, throw an error and STOP

Conditions to skip logic:

- If provider specialty field is empty in the cost api request, then skip this step
- · Or if provider specialty is NOT PCP, then skip this step
- Provision for smart compare filter

Check benefit tiering

- Check the **provider tier** in cost api request
- Provider Tier (Coming from CostAPI Request) and BenefitTierName (Coming from Benefit Response) is same.
- Next, check the **benefitTierName** field in the benefit object to match with the appropriate provider tier
- The networkIndicatorCode field in the relatedAccumulator will have an character in the value, indicating the tier. Example, for non-tiered benefits, assume networkIndicatorCode ='I' (indicating INN). However, for a tiered benefit plan with benefitTierName=1, networkIndicatorCode ='II'
- Pick the benefits that match this condition and filter out all other benefits
- For tiered benefits, always check the 'IsInitialBenefit' field. If = Y, then continue. If =
 N, then stop (alternate benefit scenario)
 - If we get maxCoverageAmount then it is OUT OF SCOPE
- **Error message**: If provider tier is present, and no benefit objects match the tier, throw an error and STOP
- Conditions to skip Logic:
 - If provider tier field in the cost api request is missing, then skip this step

• If there are more than 1 benefit types still remaining:

Then run calculator service for all eligible benefits

Calculator Service:

- Parse the related accums and retrieve the corresponding accumulators from the accums api for calculator service
- Error message: If there is mismatch between the relatedAccumulator and Accumulator api response, STOP calculations and respond with an error
- Parse the benefit type objects for logging- check if these are minute clinics or PCPs
- Return the benefit with the highest cost share
- Respond to cost api based on the contract
- · Log the metadata of the benefit returned

Additional Validation:

- When both the provider tier (Cost API request) and benefit tier (Benefit API response) are available, and a specialty code (PCP) is provided, the benefit should be selected only if the benefit tier and PCP match.
- If only the benefit tier is provided, check using the benefit tier.
- If only the specialty code (PCP) is provided, check using the PCP.

Calculator flowchart:

No Lucidchart macro data was provided.