

# Project Demo Marking Scheme

|   |                                   |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| <b>Marked by Group:</b>                           | Team 15                           |
| <b>Group &amp; Project Name under evaluation:</b> | Team 10 – Toronto Parking Project |
| <b>Marks:</b>                                     | 50/50                             |

**Feedback:**

**-Great work, no complaints**

| <b>Project Demo Marking Scheme</b>  | <b>Max Mark</b> |
|---|-----------------|
| <b>Specification Negotiation</b>  | 10/10           |
| Dev team has organized meeting with PM to discuss SRS provided  | 5/5             |
| A finalized list of functionalities/use cases has been agreed by Dev and PM   | 5/5             |
| <b>Quality of Demo</b>  | 15/15           |
| Demo is well presented/organized  | 5/5             |
| Clear overview of the demo  | 5/5             |
| Demonstration involves reasonable size of data (e.g. > 100 example data instances)  | 5/5             |
| <b>Quality of the Developed Software</b>  | 15/15           |
| Each of the agreed functionalities/use cases has been presented/demonstrated in the demo (-1 for each missing functionality/use case)                 | 5/5             |
| Each of the implemented functionalities/use cases is consistent with the agreed SRS between Dev and PM (-1 for each incorrect functionality/use case) | 10/10           |
| <b>UI Design</b>  | 7/7             |
| The developed system has UIs  | 3/3             |
| The layouts are clear   | 2/2             |
| Has UIs for exception handling (e.g., prompting error messages if necessary)  | 2/2             |
| <b>Non-functional Requirement</b>   | 3/3             |
| Response time is reasonable (<2s)   | 3/3             |
| <b>Total</b>  | 50/50           |