

Reconstruction of one-punctured elliptic curves in positive characteristic by their geometric fundamental groups

Akira Sarashina

RIMS, Kyoto University

2019/03/12

Anabelian Geometry

k : a finitely generated extension field of prime fields

U : a scheme $/k$

U is “anabelian” \Rightarrow

the geometry of U can be recovered from $\pi_1(U)$

If U is a smooth geometrically connected curve $/k$,

U is “anabelian” $\stackrel{?}{\Leftrightarrow} U$ is hyperbolic $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} 2 - 2g - n < 0$

Grothendieck conjecture for (hyperbolic) curves

k : (finitely generated field $/\mathbb{Q}$, $g = 0$) \rightarrow OK (Nakamura)

k : (finite field, $n > 0$) or
(finitely generated field $/\mathbb{Q}$, $n > 0$) \rightarrow OK (Tamagawa)

k : (finite field) or
(sub- p -adic ($k \hookrightarrow \exists L$: fin. gen. $/\mathbb{Q}_p$)) \rightarrow OK (Mochizuki)

k : alg. cl. field of positive characteristic \rightarrow today

$(k : \text{alg. cl. field of characteristic } 0 \Rightarrow \pi_1(U) \simeq \Pi_{g,n})$

Main result

Theorem (Tamagawa)

p, p' : prime numbers

$U = (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S) / \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \#S > 0$

U' : a (smooth connected) curve / $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p'}$

Then,

$$\pi_1(U) \simeq \pi_1(U') \Rightarrow U \simeq_{sch} U'$$

Theorem (S.)

p : an odd prime number

p' : a prime number

$U = (E \setminus S) / \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \#S = 1 \ (\exists E : \text{an elliptic curve} / \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$

U' : a (smooth connected) curve / $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p'}$

Then,

$$\pi_1(U) \simeq \pi_1(U') \Rightarrow U \simeq_{sch} U'$$

[0]

- 1 Reconstruction of various invariants (Tamagawa)
- 2 Linear relations of the images in \mathbb{P}^1
- 3 Combination of two additive structures

[0]

- 1 Reconstruction of various invariants (Tamagawa)
- 2 Linear relations of the images in \mathbb{P}^1
- 3 Combination of two additive structures

Notation

k : an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic

p : the characteristic of k

U : a smooth connected curve $/k$

X : the smooth compactification of U

$g = g_U$: the genus of X

$S_U = X \setminus U$, $n = n_U = \#(S_U)$

$\pi_1(U)$: the étale fundamental group of U

$\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(U)$: the tame fundamental group of U

G^{ab} : the abelianization of a profinite group G

G^p : the maximal pro- p quotient of a profinite group G

$$(\lim_{H \triangleleft_{\text{op}} G, p \nmid [G:H]} G/H)$$

$G^{p'}$: the maximal prime-to- p quotient of a profinite group G

$$(\lim_{H \triangleleft_{\text{op}} G, p \nmid [G:H]} G/H)$$

$r = r_U$: the p -rank of the Jacobian variety of X

(hence $0 \leq r \leq g$)

$$\pi_1(U) \rightsquigarrow p \text{ (if } (g, n) \neq (0, 0))$$

$$\text{Let } \epsilon = \begin{cases} 0 & (n = 0) \\ 1 & (n > 0) \end{cases}$$

Theorem (Corollary of G.A.G.A. theorems)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1^{(-)}(U)^{ab} \\ \simeq \begin{cases} (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{p'})^{\oplus 2g+n-\epsilon} \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{\oplus r} & (n = 0 \text{ or } (-) = \textit{tame}) \\ (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{p'})^{\oplus 2g+n-\epsilon} \times \prod_{j \in J} \mathbb{Z}_p & (n > 0 \text{ and } (-) = \textit{unrestricted}) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

here, $\#J = \#k$

l : prime number

$p = l \Leftrightarrow \pi_1(U)^{ab, l'}$ is a free $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{l'}$ -module

$\therefore \pi_1(U) \rightsquigarrow p$

$$\pi_1(U) \rightsquigarrow \chi = 2 - 2g - n$$

$$\left(\pi_1(U)^{ab} \simeq \begin{cases} (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{p'})^{\oplus 2g} \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{\oplus r} & (n = 0) \\ (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{p'})^{\oplus 2g+n-1} \times \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_p, \#I = \#k & (n > 0) \end{cases} \right)$$

Then, $\epsilon = 0 \Leftrightarrow n = 0 \Leftrightarrow \pi_1(U)^{ab}$ is finitely generated $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ -module

$$\therefore \pi_1(U) \rightsquigarrow \epsilon$$

$$\chi = 2 - \epsilon - \text{rank}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{p'}}(\pi_1(U)^{ab, p'})$$

$$\therefore \pi_1(U) \rightsquigarrow \chi$$

$$\pi_1(U) \rightsquigarrow r$$

By Hurwitz's formula,

$$\ker(\pi_1(U) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(U)) \subset H \Leftrightarrow \chi_H = (\pi_1(U) : H)\chi$$

$$\therefore \pi_1(U) \rightsquigarrow \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(U)$$

$$r = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(U)^{ab,p})$$

$$\therefore \pi_1(U) \rightsquigarrow r$$

$$(\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(U)^{ab} \simeq (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{p'})^{\oplus 2g+n-\epsilon} \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{\oplus r})$$

$$\pi_1(U) \rightsquigarrow (g, n)$$

$$(\pi_1(U) \rightsquigarrow \epsilon)$$

$$\frac{n=0}{g}$$

$$g = \frac{1}{2}(2 - \chi)$$

$$\therefore \pi_1(U) \rightsquigarrow (g, n)$$

$$\pi_1(U) \rightsquigarrow (g, n)$$

$$n > 0$$

Theorem (Deuring-Shafarevich formula)

Let $H \triangleleft_{op} \pi_1(U)$ such that $[\pi_1(U) : H] = p^m$.

Then, $r_H - 1 + n_H = (\pi_1(U) : H)(r - 1 + n)$

Clearly, $n_H \geq n$ holds.

Thus, $n \geq \frac{1}{p-1} \max_{H \triangleleft_{op} \pi_1(U), [\pi_1(U) : H] = p} (r_H - 1 - p(r - 1))$ holds.

Using Riemann-Roch theorem, we can prove the existence of an étale covering $U_H \rightarrow U$ such that $n_H = n$.

Thus, $n = \frac{1}{p-1} \max_{H \triangleleft_{op} \pi_1(U), [\pi_1(U) : H] = p} (r_H - 1 - p(r - 1))$ holds.

$\therefore \pi_1(U) \rightsquigarrow (g, n)$

$$\pi_1(U) \rightsquigarrow \pi_1(X)$$

By Hurwitz's formula,

$$\ker(\pi_1(U) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)) \subset H \Leftrightarrow 2g_H - 2 = (\pi_1(U) : H)(2g - 2)$$

$$\therefore \pi_1(U) \rightsquigarrow \pi_1(X)$$

$\pi_1(U) \rightsquigarrow S_U$ (only construction)

K : the function field of U

\tilde{K} : the maximal Galois extension of K in K^{sep} that is unr. over U

\tilde{X} : the normalization of X in \tilde{K}

\tilde{S}_U : the inverse image of S_U under $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$

$Sub(G)$: the set of closed subgroups of G

$I_{\tilde{P}} \in Sub(\pi_1(U))$: the inertia subgroup associated to $\tilde{P} \in \tilde{S}_U$

By using the discussion of the tame case and representation theory of finite groups, we can prove that $\tilde{S}_U \rightarrow Sub(\pi_1(U))$ ($\tilde{P} \mapsto I_{\tilde{P}}$) is injective and $\pi_1(U) \rightsquigarrow Im(\tilde{S}_U \rightarrow Sub(\pi_1(U)))$.

We can identify S_U with $\tilde{S}_U/\pi_1(U)$.

Summary of this section

$$\pi_1(U) \rightsquigarrow p, g, n, \pi_1(X), S_U$$

In the situation of the main result, we see that U and U' are defined over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ and $(g_U, n_U) = (g_{U'}, n_{U'})$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xleftrightarrow{\sim} & H' \\ \downarrow op & & \downarrow op \\ \pi_1(U) & \xleftrightarrow{\sim} & \pi_1(U') \end{array} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{cc} U_H & U'_{H'} \\ \downarrow f \acute{e} t & \downarrow f \acute{e} t \\ U & U' \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow S_{U_H} \simeq S_{U'_{H'}}$$

[0]

- 1 Reconstruction of various invariants (Tamagawa)
- 2 Linear relations of the images in \mathbb{P}^1
- 3 Combination of two additive structures

Notation and assumptions

In this section, we assume that X is a hyperelliptic curve and $p \neq 2$.

$x : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$: a finite morphism of degree 2
 with ramified points $\lambda_0, \lambda_\infty, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2g}$

We also assume that $x^{-1}(x(S_U)) = S_U$, $\lambda_0, \lambda_\infty, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2g} \in S_U$
 and $\{\lambda_0, \lambda_\infty, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2g}\} \neq S_U$.

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi &: \pi_1(U) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus x(S_U)) \\ \psi &: \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus x(S_U)) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus x(S_U))^{ab, p'} \\ L_U &= \ker(\psi \circ \varphi)\end{aligned}$$

$$(\pi_1(U), L_U) \rightsquigarrow x(S_U)$$

For each $\mu \in S_U$ and $P \in x(S_U)$, we fix $\tilde{\mu} \in \tilde{S}_U$ above μ and $\tilde{P} \in \tilde{S}_U$ above P respectively.

(\tilde{X} = the normalization of \mathbb{P}^1 in \tilde{K})

By G.A.G.A. theorems, if $x(\mu) = P$,

$$(\psi \circ \varphi)(l_{\tilde{\mu}}) = \begin{cases} \psi(l_{\tilde{P}}) & (x \text{ is unramified at } \lambda) \\ 2\psi(l_{\tilde{P}}) & (x \text{ is ramified at } \lambda) \end{cases}$$

Thus, for any μ and $\nu \in S_U$,

$$\mu \sim \nu \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} x(\mu) = x(\nu) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$(l_{\tilde{\mu}} L_U) / L_U = (\psi \circ \varphi)(l_{\tilde{\mu}}) = (\psi \circ \varphi)(l_{\tilde{\nu}}) = (l_{\tilde{\nu}} L_U) / L_U$$

We can identify $x(S_U)$ with S_U / \sim .

$$\therefore (\pi_1(U), L_U) \rightsquigarrow x(S_U)$$

Additive structure on $\mathbb{P}^1(k) \setminus \{P_\infty\}$ ass. to P_0 and P_∞

Fix P_0 and $P_\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ s.t. $P_0 \neq P_\infty$. Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ be a k -isomorphism such that $\phi(P_0) = 0$ and $\phi(P_\infty) = \infty$.

Then the bijection $\mathbb{P}^1(k) \setminus \{P_\infty\} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1(k) \setminus \{\infty\} = k$ does not depend on the choice of ϕ up to scalar multiplication.

Then the additive str. on k induces one on $\mathbb{P}^1(k) \setminus \{P_\infty\}$

Thus, we can define a linear relation of $x(S_U) \setminus \{x(\lambda_\infty)\}$ ass. to $x(\lambda_0)$ and $x(\lambda_\infty)$

$$\sum_{P \in x(S_U) \setminus \{x(\lambda_\infty)\}, a_P \in \mathbb{F}_p} a_P P = 0$$

$$(\pi_1(U), L_U) \rightsquigarrow \sum_{P \in X(S_U) \setminus \{X(\lambda_\infty)\}, a_P \in \mathbb{F}_p} a_P P = 0 \text{ or not (sketch)}$$

Step 1(construct a suitable covering)

Let $\tilde{a}_P \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $\tilde{a}_P \bmod p = a_P$, $s = \sum_P \tilde{a}_P$
 and $H \triangleleft_{op} \pi_1(U)$ the open normal subgroup of $\pi_1(U)$

corresponding to the Kummer covering defined by

$$y^{p-1} = (x - P_0)^{s-1} \prod_{P \in X(S_U) \setminus \{P_0, P_\infty\}} (x - P)^{-\tilde{a}_P}$$

exponent of poly. \leftrightarrow ramification index \leftrightarrow index of inertia subgp.

$$\therefore (\pi_1(U), L_U) \rightsquigarrow H$$

$$(\pi_1(U), L_U) \rightsquigarrow \sum_{P \in X(S_U) \setminus \{x(\lambda_\infty)\}, a_P \in \mathbb{F}_p} a_P P = 0 \text{ or not (sketch)}$$

Step 2

By Artin-Schreier theory,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X_H)^{ab}/p, \mathbb{F}_p) &= \text{Hom}_{\text{conti}}(\pi_1(X_H), \mathbb{F}_p) = H_{\text{et}}^1(X_H, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ &= H^1(X_H, \mathcal{O}_{X_H})[F - 1] \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\pi_1(U), L_U) \rightsquigarrow (H^1(X_H, \mathcal{O}_{X_H})[F - 1] = 0 \text{ or not})$

By calculating the Frobenius map F and using the defining equation of X_H , we see that the vanishing of (a part of) $H^1(X_H, \mathcal{O}_{X_H})[F - 1]$ is equivalent to the linear relation.

$\therefore (\pi_1(U), L_U) \rightsquigarrow \sum_{P \in X(S_U) \setminus \{x(\lambda_\infty)\}, a_P \in \mathbb{F}_p} a_P P = 0 \text{ or not}$

Summary of this section

$$(\pi_1(U), L_U) \rightsquigarrow x(S_U), \quad \sum_{P \in x(S_U) \setminus \{x(\lambda_\infty)\}, a_P \in \mathbb{F}_p} a_P P = 0$$

If we have the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L_{U_H} & \xleftrightarrow{\sim} & L_{U'_{H'}} \\
 \downarrow \text{hook} & & \downarrow \text{hook} \\
 H & \xleftrightarrow{\sim} & H' \\
 \downarrow \text{op} & & \downarrow \text{op} \\
 \pi_1(U) & \xleftrightarrow{\sim} & \pi_1(U')
 \end{array}
 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{P}^1 & & \mathbb{P}^1 \\
 \nwarrow x & & \nwarrow x' \\
 U_H & & U'_{H'} \\
 \downarrow \text{fét} & & \downarrow \text{fét} \\
 U & & U'
 \end{array}$$

We obtain $\sigma : x(S_{U_H}) \simeq x'(S_{U'_{H'}})$ and see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{P \in x(S_U) \setminus \{x(\lambda_\infty)\}, a_P \in \mathbb{F}_p} a_P P = 0 \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \sum_{P \in x(S_U) \setminus \{x(\lambda_\infty)\}, a_P \in \mathbb{F}_p} a_P \sigma(P) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

[0]

- 1 Reconstruction of various invariants (Tamagawa)
- 2 Linear relations of the images in \mathbb{P}^1
- 3 Combination of two additive structures

Notation and assumptions

In this section, we assume that $k \simeq \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$, $g = 1$ and $\#(X \setminus U) = 1$.
Let $\{\mathcal{O}\} = X \setminus U$.

$$\pi_1(X \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}) \rightsquigarrow \pi_1(X \setminus X[m])$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(X \setminus X[m]) &\simeq \ker(\pi_1(X \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)/m) \\ \therefore \pi_1(X \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}) &\rightsquigarrow \pi_1(X \setminus X[m]) \end{aligned}$$

$\pi_1(X \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}) \rightsquigarrow X[m]$ with a group structure

We already know $\pi_1(X \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}) \rightsquigarrow \pi_1(X \setminus X[m]) \rightsquigarrow X[m]$

Fix $\mathcal{P} \in X[m]$

The action of $\pi_1(X \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}) / \pi_1(X \setminus X[m]) (\simeq X[m])$ on $X[m]$ defines the group structure on $X[m]$ with identity \mathcal{P}

$\therefore \pi_1(X \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}) \rightsquigarrow X[m]$ with a group structure

$$\pi_1(X \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}) \rightsquigarrow L_{X \setminus X[m]}$$

$$\pi_1(X \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}) \rightsquigarrow L_{X \setminus X[m]} \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\pi_1(X \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}) \rightsquigarrow M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ker(\pi_1(X \setminus X[m])^{ab, p'} \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus X(X[m]))^{ab, p'})$$

Let $W \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ the sum of all inertia subgroups in $\pi_1(X \setminus X[m])^{ab, p'}$

$$W^- \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} W \cap M$$

By observing the action of $X[m]$ on W and $\pi_1(X \setminus X[m])^{ab, p'}$, we can prove

$$\pi_1(X \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}) \rightsquigarrow (W^-, (\pi_1(X \setminus X[m])^{ab, p'})^{X[m]})$$

$$\pi_1(X \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}) \rightsquigarrow L_{X \setminus X[m]}$$

Fact

- $\pi_1(X \setminus X[m])^{ab, p'} / M$ is torsion free
- $(\pi_1(X \setminus X[m])^{ab, p'})^{X[m]} \subset M$
- $\#(M / (\pi_1(X \setminus X[m])^{ab, p'})^{X[m]} + W^-) < \infty$

$$\therefore \pi_1(X \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}) \rightsquigarrow L_{X \setminus X[m]}$$

Reconstruction of λ invariants

Assume X (resp. X') is defined by $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$
(resp. $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda')$), $\mathcal{O} = \infty$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}' = \infty$)
and $\pi_1(X \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}) \simeq \pi_1(X' \setminus \{\mathcal{O}'\})$.

Let f (resp. f') $\in \mathbb{F}_p[T]$ be the minimal polynomial of λ (resp. λ').

By taking suitable m , we can assume that

$$(1, *_{\lambda}), (\lambda, *_{\lambda}), (\lambda^2, *_{\lambda^2}), \dots, (\lambda^{\deg(f)}, *_{\lambda^{\deg(f)}}) \in X[m]$$

(here, $(*_\nu)^2 = \nu(\nu-1)(\nu-\lambda)$)

Reconstruction of λ invariants

$$\pi_1(X \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}) \rightsquigarrow \begin{cases} \sum_{P \in x(X[m]) \setminus \{x(\infty)\}, a_P \in \mathbb{F}_p} a_P P = 0 \\ \text{group structure of } X[m] \end{cases}$$

By the addition law of elliptic curves,

- $x((\lambda^i, *_{\lambda^i}) + (\lambda^i + 1, *_{\lambda^i+1})) + x((-\lambda^i, *_{-\lambda^i}) - \dots$
 $= -8\lambda^{2i+1} + 4\lambda^{2i} + 4\lambda$
- $x((\lambda^i, *_{\lambda^i}) + (\lambda^i + 1, *_{\lambda^i+1})) + x((\lambda^i, *_{\lambda^i}) + (\lambda^i - 1, *_{\lambda^i-1})) - \dots$
 $= 12\lambda^{2i} - 8\lambda^{i+1} - 8\lambda^i + 4\lambda$

$$X[m] \longleftrightarrow X'[m]$$

$$1 \longleftrightarrow 1$$

$$\lambda \longleftrightarrow \lambda'$$

$$\lambda^2 \longleftrightarrow \lambda'^2$$

$$\vdots$$

Reconstruction of λ invariants

We can regard $f(\lambda)$ as a linear relation of $1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^{\deg(f)} / \mathbb{F}_p$

$$\therefore f(\lambda) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f(\lambda') = 0$$

$$\therefore f = f'$$

There is an isom $\alpha : \overline{\mathbb{F}_p} \simeq \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ s.t. $\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda'$

$$\therefore X \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\} \simeq (X \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}) \times_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}, \alpha} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p} = X' \setminus \{\mathcal{O}'\}$$



Thank you for your attention!