

1) So, $\lambda: (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4$ & from table we get,

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 0 \dots (1)$$

$$x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 = 1 \dots (2)$$

$$x_3 + x_4 + x_5 + x_6 = 0 \dots (3)$$

$$x_4 + x_5 + x_6 + x_7 = 1 \dots (4)$$

\rightarrow Putting $x_4 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$ in eqⁿ 2, 3, & 4, we get

$$x_5 = x_1 + 1 \dots (5)$$

$$x_6 = x_2 + 1 \dots (6)$$

$$x_7 = x_3 + 1 \dots (7)$$

\therefore From eqⁿ (5), (6), (7) & (4) we get all pre-images
of $(0, 1, 0, 1)$ as

x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	x_7
0	0	0	0	1	1	1
0	0	1	1	1	1	0
0	1	0	1	1	0	1
0	1	1	0	1	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	1	1
1	0	1	0	0	1	0
1	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	0	0	0

2] $h: \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$

a) $n = m > 1$ & $h(x) = x^2 + ax + b \pmod{2}$

\rightarrow If $\exists g(x)$, such that $h(g(x)) = h(x)$ & $g(x) \neq x$,
then it is usually easy to solve second pre-image
while $g(x)$ is linear.

[Case 1]- If a ~~guess~~ is even, Assume $g(x) = x^{m-1}$
 $= x + 2^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \pmod{2^m}$

Now, $g(x) \neq x$ as $2^{n-1} \pmod{2^m} \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore h(g(x)) &= h(x^{m-1}) = (x + 2^{\frac{m-1}{2}})^2 + a(x + 2^{\frac{m-1}{2}}) \\ &= x^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2} + b \pmod{2^m} \\ &= x^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2} + ax + a2^{m-1} + b \pmod{2^m} \\ &= (x^2 + ax + b) \pmod{2^m} + (2^m x + 2^{m-2} + a2^{m-1}) \pmod{2^m}\end{aligned}$$

As $m > 1 \Rightarrow 2^{m-2} \pmod{2^m} = 0$

$$\therefore h(g(x)) = x^2 + ax + b, h(g(x)) = h(x) \text{ & } g(x) \text{ is linear.}$$

Case 2 - If a is odd, let $g(x) = x'$

$$\text{Let } x' = x \quad \therefore (-x-a) = x \pmod{2^m}$$

$\Rightarrow (2x+a) = 0 \pmod{2^m}$ is false as a is odd.

$$\therefore g(x) = x' \neq x$$

$$\therefore h(g(x)) = g(x)(g(x)+a) + b \pmod{2^m}$$

$$= (-x-a)(-x-a+a) + b \pmod{2^m}$$

$$= (-x-a)(-x) + b \pmod{2^m}$$

$$= x^2 + ax + b \pmod{2^m}$$

$\therefore h(g(x)) = h(x)$ & $g(x)$ is linear. Hence Proved.

b) $n > m$

$$h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n (a_i x) \pmod{2^m}$$

$$\text{Let } g(x) = x' = x + k2^m ; k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\therefore h(x) = [a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_n x^n] \pmod{2^m}$$

$$\therefore h(g(x)) = [a_0 + a_1 (x+k2^m) + a_2 (x+k2^m)^2 + \dots + a_n (x+k2^m)^n] \pmod{2^m}$$

→ Without loss of generality let take $k=1$

$$h(g(x)) = [a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_\ell x^{\frac{2^m}{2}}] \bmod 2^m$$

$$\begin{aligned} h(g(x)) &= [a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_\ell x^{\frac{2^m}{2}}] \bmod 2^m \\ &\quad + 2^m \{S\} \bmod 2^m \end{aligned}$$

Where S is series of remaining terms in eqⁿ,

$$\therefore h(g(x)) = h(x) \text{ . Hence Proved}$$

3] As $x = x' \parallel x''$ & $h(x) = f(x' \oplus x'')$

Now, let $x_1 \neq x'$; & So let compute $x_2 = x' \oplus x'' \oplus x_1$.

$$\therefore x_2 \oplus x_1 = x' \oplus x'' \text{ & So,}$$

$$f(x_2 \oplus x_1) = f(x' \oplus x'')$$

$\therefore \bar{x} = x_1 \parallel x_2$ is the second pre-image of
 $f(x' \oplus x'')$

→ Therefore, h is not second pre-image resistant

4)

a) Let there be a collision on h_2 :

i.e. $X \neq X'$ & $h_2(X) = h_2(X')$, where $X = X_1 || X_2$
& $X' = X'_1 || X'_2$.

$$h_2(X) = h_2(X') \Rightarrow h_1(h_1(X_1) || h_1(X_2)) = h_1(h_1(X'_1) || h_1(X'_2))$$

→ Now, Since h_1 is collision resistant...

$X_1 = X'_1$ & $X_2 = X'_2$ which contradicts assumption
of $X \neq X'$.

∴ h_2 is collision resistant.

b) Now, let us suppose a collision on h_i , that $X \neq X'$
& $h_i(X) = h_i(X')$ where $X = X_1 || X_2$ & $X' = X'_1 || X'_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore h_i(X) = h_i(X') &\Rightarrow h_1(h_{i-1}(X_1) || h_{i-1}(X_2)) \\ &= h_1(h_{i-1}(X'_1) || h_{i-1}(X'_2)) \end{aligned}$$

Since h_1 is collision resistant

$$\therefore h_{i-1}(X_1) = h_{i-1}(X'_1) \text{ & } h_{i-1}(X_2) = h_{i-1}(X'_2)$$

$$\therefore h_1(h_{i-2}(X_{11}) || h_{i-2}(X_{12})) = h_1(h_{i-2}(X'_{11}) || h_{i-2}(X'_{12}))$$

\rightarrow Similarly, as h_1 is collision resistant.

Finally, we have

$$\therefore h_1(X_{11\dots 1}) = h_1(X'_{11\dots 1}) ; h_1(X_{21\dots 22}) = h_1(X'_{21\dots 22})$$

\rightarrow For X & X' are the as $X = X_{11\dots 1} \parallel X_{11\dots 12} \parallel X_{21\dots 11}$
 $X' = X'_{11\dots 11} \parallel X'_{11\dots 12} \parallel X'_{21\dots 11} \parallel X'_{22\dots 2}$

\Rightarrow Since h_1 is collision resistant so $X = X'$, which
contradicts our assumption.