# Notes on Prof. Anthony Noerpel (AN) Lectures

Date : Sunday 17<sup>th</sup> March, 2024

# 1 Lecture 1

AN – through the first lecture – conveys the importance of adopting a scientific mindset, cultivating a critical eye that separates true from false, and an awareness to not get drawn in dogmas and false theories.

This message is conveyed along with a brief tour of several theories of Physics that govern our universe, and the Earth and its environment (e.g., the binding energy of the nucleus, the iron as the most stable element and why is the iron at the core of our Earth and also many stars).

- 1. Non-scientific theories are those that do not lend to self-correction through measurements and experimentation. They are often based on dogmas and religious beliefs.
  - → Many people all over the world believe that the Earth is young, less than 10000 years of age, and they deny the theory of evolution.
    - ▶ This belief often stems from the Biblical accounts that God created Earth in seven days (light on the first day, and the man and the woman on the sixth day). See Section 4.1.1.
    - ▶ The Christians are not the only ones who often¹ have very strong, but ultimately non-scientific, beliefs that originate from their religion. Hindus also often carry non-scientific beliefs.²
  - → AN calls neoliberal and neoclassical economics (see Section 4.1.2) also as "false" theories.
  - → Similarly, there are many people world over (often highly educated and intelligent) who deny the climate change or deny that the Earth's temperature is rising because of the GHGs (Greenhouse Gases).<sup>3</sup>
  - → There are many dangerous (see the red dots outside the green circle on page 4) or interesting (see a list on page 20) but ultimately non-scientific theories.
- 2. In contrast, science is inherently self-correcting there cannot be dogmas. One not only forms theories in the science, but has to have them verified through measurements and experiments. When the measurements and tests come out different from the theory, the theory has to be adjusted. A scientist is not someone who holds on to his/her theory without subjecting it to tests or when the test results don't agree with his/her theory.
  - $\rightarrow$  Prediction of CMB. See Section 4.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Obviously, not all Christians believe in this manner. Many do not hold such views.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>For example, a belief that some Hindus have is that the airplanes, e.g., Pushpak that carried Lord Ram and Sita Mata from Sri Lanka to Ayodhya after the victory of Ravana, were invented in the days of Ramayana. Another example is the scourge of "Untouchability" – a Hindu belief that a class of people is fundamentally inferior and that touching anyone from this class is committing a sin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>I mentioned this during one class session that an Industrialist once told me that the global warming is due to the EM emissions from the 5G cell towers and from geo-engineering.

- $\rightarrow$  The cosmological principle, the universe is isotropic and homogeneous at large scale.
- $\rightarrow$  Equivalence principle, inertial mass and gravitational mass of a body are the same.
  - ▶ How did Astronaut Dave Scott confirm the equivalence principle?
- → Thermodynamics (see Page 19 of Lecture 1 Slides): energy is expanded to do anything; expenditure of energy is irreversible; therefore, Entropy increases with time.
  - > Fundamentally, from the Physics theories, time should not hold a special status, any different from space. For example, it should be possible to go forward and backward in time just the same way that we can move forward and backward in the space. Newton and Einstein theories consider the time in this manner.
  - ▶ However, the reality is different. It is not possible to go in the past. For us, time and space are obviously not equivalent concepts. Some physicists say that this is not a fundamental reality and instead it may be just how our brains are wired. The human psychological perception of time (as flowing, in the manner of an arrow moving forward) may be due to this general increase in Entropy over time.
- $\rightarrow$  The binding energy of Nucleus (see Section 4.3).
- $\rightarrow$  The Iron the most stable element (see Section 4.4)
- 3. Fermi's Paradox see Section 4.5
- 4. Habitable zone of a planet see Section 4.6

# 2 Lecture 2

This is a fascinating lecture in which AN walks us through the history of the Earth. The geological time periods are unfathomable – we can barely imagine how the life on the Earth would have been 1000 years, or 2000 years or 4000 years ago, let alone 1000 million or 2000 million or 4000 million years ago!

However, this does not mean we cannot picturize the violent turbulent times in the Earth's early history (e.g., the late heavy bombardment at the boundary of Hadean and Archean eons), the "arrival" of the water on the Earth, the separation of the Moon from the Earth, and possibly one of the biggest of all questions – how did the life originate on the Earth. These events seem too remote in the past but they have to have occurred and these would all have been quite some scenes to behold had we lived<sup>4</sup> in those times.

- 1. Faint Young Sun Paradox see Section 4.7
- 2. Partial pressure of CO2 and its variation throughout the Earth's history see Page 8 of Lecture 2 slides and Section 4.8
- 3. Why it took 700 million years for Earth to become habitable see Section 4.9
- 4. Where did the Earth's water come from see Section 4.10
- 5. How do we know Earth is 4.5 billion years old see Page 13 of Lecture 2 slides
- 6. What is Late Heavy Bombardment see Section 4.11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>However, a bit of difficulty that we run into is that the life itself had not even originated yet!

- 7. How did the life originate on the Earth see Section 4.12
- 8. The photosynthesis and the transformation of Earth's atmosphere see Pages 18 and 19 of Lecture 2 slides.
  - Specifically, the O2 concentration in the Earth's atmosphere during Hadean and Archean was extremely low  $(10^{-6} \text{ relative to its present level})$ .
  - Cyanobacteria were the cause of the Great Oxidation Event (GOE) at the end of Archean and the beginning of Proterozoic.
  - The NOE (neoproterozoic oxidation event) at the beginning of the Phanerozoic may have caused the Cambrian Explosion (CE), which gave rise to the animal life on the Earth.
    - See Section 4.13 on the CE.
- 9. Snowball Earth see Page 20 of Lecture 2 slides
- 10. The Boring Billion see Section 4.14
- 11. The extinction events in Earth's history see Section 4.15
- 12. Where did the fossil fuels come from see Page 42 of Lecture 2 slides
  - → We are emitting carbon dioxide 10 times faster into the atmosphere than the most extreme event during the Phanerozoic, and the worst extinction event of all time.
  - $\rightarrow$  We are burning about one million years of sequestered carbon every year

# 3 Lecture 3

- 1. There has been a gradual decrease of the Earth surface temperature over last 50 million years. It used to be as high as 30° C about 50 million years ago and it has gradually declined to the current level of close to 14° C. See Page 5.
  - Look at a spike labeled as PETM around 55 MYA in this chart. See Section 4.15.5 for further description
- 2. Tipping the Earth's climate: like a bucket which can hold many drops of water until, finally, the water spills over, the manmade activities, little by little, may be pushing the Earth's environment to its tipping point.
  - Climate may be nonlinear and bi-stable. Think of a rubber band. When it is stretched not too much, it will retain its original shape. However, if it gets stretched beyond a limit, it may either break or at least may exhibit a major change in its shape. See Pages 12 to 14
  - Tipping points of Earth's climate see Pages 18 to 20
    - ▶ See Section 4.16 for a description of AMOC, which we will study in a bit more detail after the midterm 2
  - If the Earth's climate tips over and the temperature increase by 4° C or more, the agriculture may collapse, leading to possible extinction of the civilization (Page 39)

- 3. Anthropogenic (i.e., caused by the mankind) Stressors on Earth's Environment see Pages 28 to 31
- 4. Dry mass of manmade stuff exceeds the the mass of all the life on the Earth see Pages 35 to 37

# 4 Appendix

# 4.1 Theories that AN Calls False

# 4.1.1 Young Earth Theory

- → According to the Genesis account in the Bible, God created the earth on the first day of creation. Genesis 1:1-2 states: "In the beginning God created the heavens and the earth. Now the earth was formless and empty, darkness was over the surface of the deep, and the Spirit of God was hovering over the waters." This passage describes the initial act of creation where God brings the earth into existence. The subsequent verses in Genesis elaborate on the process of creation, including the formation of light, the separation of the waters, the creation of land, vegetation, celestial bodies, animals, and finally, humankind.
- → Some Christians interpret the creation story in Genesis as a literal account of a six-day creation period, which would suggest a relatively young age for the Earth, typically on the order of several thousand years. This perspective is often referred to as Young Earth Creationism. According to the Genesis account in the Bible, God created light on the first day of creation. Genesis 1:3-5 states: "And God said, 'Let there be light,' and there was light. God saw that the light was good, and he separated the light from the darkness. God called the light 'day,' and the darkness he called 'night.' And there was evening, and there was morning—the first day." This passage describes the initial act of creation where God brings light into existence and distinguishes between light and darkness. It marks the beginning of the creative process as described in the book of Genesis.
- → In Christian theology, the creation of man is typically understood to have occurred on the sixth day of creation, according to the Genesis account in the Bible. According to Genesis 1:26-31 (New International Version), God created man and woman on the sixth day, after creating the heavens and the earth, light, the sky, seas, land, vegetation, and animals: "Then God said, 'Let us make mankind in our image, in our likeness, so that they may rule over the fish in the sea and the birds in the sky, over the livestock and all the wild animals, and over all the creatures that move along the ground.' So God created mankind in his own image, in the image of God he created them; male and female he created them." On the seventh day, according to Genesis 2:1-3, God rested from His work of creation: "Thus the heavens and the earth were completed in all their vast array. By the seventh day God had finished the work he had been doing; so on the seventh day he rested from all his work. Then God blessed the seventh day and made it holy, because on it he rested from all the work of creating that he had done." Therefore, according to the Christian belief, man was created on the sixth day, and God rested on the seventh day.

#### 4.1.2 Economical Theories

→ Neoliberal economics is a school of economic thought that emerged in the mid-20th century. It emphasizes free-market principles, limited government intervention in the economy, dereg-

ulation, privatization, and reductions in government spending and taxation. Neoliberalism advocates for the promotion of free trade and globalization, believing that market competition leads to efficiency, innovation, and overall economic growth. This approach contrasts with more interventionist economic models, such as Keynesianism, which advocate for government intervention to stabilize the economy and reduce income inequality. Neoliberal policies have been influential in shaping economic policies in many countries since the 1970s.

→ Neoclassical economics is a dominant economic theory that emerged in the late 19th century, building upon the principles of classical economics. It focuses on the allocation of resources, particularly through the interactions of supply and demand in markets. Neoclassical economics emphasizes rational decision-making by individuals and firms to maximize their utility or profit, assuming that individuals have perfect information and act independently to achieve their goals.

Key features of neoclassical economics include:

- ▶ Marginal analysis: Neoclassical economists analyze decisions at the margin, considering the additional benefits and costs of small changes in behavior or resource allocation.
- ▶ Supply and demand: Neoclassical economics relies on the forces of supply and demand to determine prices and quantities in markets. It asserts that markets tend to reach equilibrium, where supply equals demand.
- ▶ Efficiency: Neoclassical economics argues that competitive markets lead to allocative efficiency, where resources are allocated to their most valued uses, maximizing overall welfare.
- ▶ Rationality: Neoclassical economics assumes that individuals and firms make rational decisions based on their preferences and available information.

While neoclassical economics has been highly influential in shaping economic thought and policy, it has also faced criticisms. Some critiques argue that its assumptions, such as perfect competition and rational behavior, do not accurately reflect real-world markets and human behavior. Additionally, neoclassical economics has been criticized for its limited consideration of factors such as income distribution, market failures, and the role of institutions in shaping economic outcomes.

# 4.2 Prediction of CMB

In 1948, Ralph Alpher and Robert Herman published a paper titled "Evolution of the Universe," where they proposed a theory about the early universe. They suggested that in the first few minutes after the Big Bang, when the universe was extremely hot and dense, nuclear reactions occurred that would have produced light elements like hydrogen and helium.

Their calculations also predicted that as the universe expanded and cooled, the radiation from these early nuclear reactions would have cooled to become a faint afterglow of radiation observable throughout the universe. This radiation would eventually be detected as the cosmic microwave background radiation, which was later discovered by Arno Penzias and Robert Wilson in 1965.

# 4.3 Nuclear Force and Binding Energy

The binding energy of a nucleus and the energy required to disassemble it are actually the same thing! Here's a breakdown:

- Binding Energy: This refers to the minimum amount of energy required to completely separate an atomic nucleus into its individual protons and neutrons, also known as nucleons. Imagine the protons and neutrons are tightly bound together by a force, and this energy is what you need to overcome that force and break them apart.
- Disassembling the Nucleus: This essentially means the same thing as breaking the strong nuclear force that holds the protons and neutrons together in the nucleus. To achieve this disassembly, you would need to supply the exact amount of energy that binds them the binding energy.

The binding energy is always a positive value. This means you need to put in energy to break apart the nucleus. On the flip side, when nucleons come together to form a nucleus, energy is released – the same amount of energy as the binding energy.

# 4.4 Iron – the Most Stable Element

Iron is one of the most stable nuclei because of its unique nuclear properties and its position in the curve of binding energy per nucleon.

- Binding Energy per Nucleon: Iron-56 has one of the highest binding energies per nucleon among all nuclides. Binding energy per nucleon refers to the energy required to separate a nucleus into its individual nucleons, divided by the number of nucleons in the nucleus. Iron-56 has a particularly high binding energy per nucleon, indicating that it is energetically favorable for nucleons to be bound together in an iron nucleus.
- Nuclear Stability: Iron-56 occupies a region of relative stability in the curve of binding energy per nucleon. Nuclei with lower or higher mass numbers than iron-56 tend to have lower binding energies per nucleon and are therefore less stable. As a result, nuclear reactions tend to produce elements that are closer in mass to iron-56.
- Nuclear Fusion and Fission: Iron-56 is also a point of diminishing returns for nuclear fusion reactions in stars. Fusion of lighter elements into iron releases energy, but fusion beyond iron absorbs energy. This is because fusion reactions leading up to iron release energy due to increasing binding energy per nucleon, while fusion beyond iron requires energy input to overcome the decreasing binding energy per nucleon.
- Nuclear Stability in Stellar Processes: During stellar nucleosynthesis, elements heavier than iron are typically formed through processes like neutron capture in supernovae rather than through fusion reactions in stellar cores. This further underscores the stability of iron nuclei in astrophysical contexts.

Basically, Fe-56 occupies a sweet spot in the battle between two forces within the nucleus:

- The Strong Nuclear Force: This attractive force holds protons and neutrons together within the nucleus. It's incredibly powerful but has a very short range, only effective at very close distances.
- Electrostatic Repulsion: Protons, being positively charged, repel each other. This force gets stronger as you add more protons to a nucleus.

Fe-56 achieves stability because it has a near-perfect balance between these forces:

- High Binding Energy per Nucleon: Fe-56 boasts a very high binding energy per nucleon (the energy required to separate each individual nucleon) compared to lighter or heavier elements. This indicates a strong attractive force holding the nucleons together. Optimal Proton-to-Neutron Ratio: Fe-56 has a close to 1:1 ratio of protons to neutrons (26 protons and 30 neutrons). This ratio helps to partially offset the repulsive force between protons, creating a more stable configuration. Here's a breakdown of why lighter and heavier elements are less stable:
- Lighter Elements: They have a lower binding energy per nucleon. The strong nuclear force might be sufficient to hold them together, but not as efficiently as in Fe-56. Fusing lighter nuclei releases energy, making them favorable for nuclear fusion reactions. Heavier Elements: As the number of protons increases, the repulsive force between them becomes more dominant. Heavier elements beyond Iron-56 require more neutrons to achieve stability, but eventually, even that's not enough. These elements are susceptible to fission (splitting) or radioactive decay to release energy and reach a more stable configuration.

# 4.5 Fermi's Paradox

Fermi's Paradox is the apparent contradiction between the high probability of the existence of extraterrestrial civilizations and the lack of evidence for, or contact with, such civilizations. It is named after the physicist Enrico Fermi, who famously posed the question during a discussion about the possibility of intelligent life beyond Earth.

The paradox arises from several observations and assumptions:

- Vastness of the Universe: The universe is immense, containing billions of galaxies, each with billions of stars and potentially even more planets. Given the sheer number of potentially habitable planets, many scientists argue that it is statistically likely that life exists elsewhere in the universe.
- Potential for Technological Advancement: Given the age of the universe (approximately 13.8 billion years), it is conceivable that intelligent civilizations could have arisen and developed advanced technology long before humanity existed. Some civilizations might have had a head start of millions or even billions of years.
- Interstellar Travel and Communication: While the vast distances between stars pose challenges to interstellar travel and communication, some theoretical concepts and technological proposals suggest that advanced civilizations might have developed methods to overcome these barriers, such as faster-than-light travel or communication using advanced forms of energy or information transfer.

Given these considerations, one might expect evidence of extraterrestrial civilizations to be abundant, yet we have not detected any such evidence. This apparent contradiction forms the basis of Fermi's Paradox.

Several proposed solutions or explanations have been put forward to address Fermi's Paradox, including:

• Rare Earth Hypothesis: This suggests that the conditions necessary for the evolution of complex life, such as Earth's geology, atmosphere, and stable climate, are rare in the universe.

- Great Filter Hypothesis: This proposes that there are significant barriers or obstacles (the "Great Filter") preventing the emergence or survival of intelligent civilizations, which could explain why we haven't detected any.
- Limits of Technology: It's possible that advanced civilizations exist but are simply beyond our current technological capabilities to detect or communicate with.

#### 4.6 Habitable Zone of a Star

The habitable zone, also sometimes called the "Goldilocks zone," is the region around a star where conditions might be just right for liquid water to exist on the surface of a planet orbiting within that zone. Liquid water is considered essential for life as we know it, so the habitable zone is a prime target in the search for exoplanets (planets outside our solar system) that could potentially support life. Thus, the habitable zone refers to the range of distances from a star within which a planet could potentially have surface temperatures suitable for supporting liquid water—an essential ingredient for life as we know it.

The habitable zone is defined based on the balance between various factors, including the star's luminosity (brightness), the planet's distance from the star, and the planet's atmospheric composition. If a planet is too close to its star, its surface may be too hot for liquid water to exist, leading to water loss through evaporation. Conversely, if a planet is too far from its star, its surface may be too cold, causing water to freeze.

In the habitable zone, the temperature is just right for water to exist in its liquid state, providing favorable conditions for the emergence and evolution of life. However, it's important to note that the habitable zone is just one factor to consider when assessing a planet's potential for habitability.

The reason for looking for signs of Water is that it is a crucial solvent for life on Earth. It plays an essential role in many biological processes and is a necessary component for most known life forms. Liquid water exists on Earth because of its position in relation to the Sun. The Sun provides enough energy to warm the Earth's surface but not so much that the water boils away.

The habitable zone is not a fixed distance from a star. It depends on the star's type and luminosity (brightness). Stars like our Sun have a habitable zone that's not too close and not too far away. Planets within this zone receive the right amount of radiant energy for liquid water to potentially exist on their surface. Cooler stars have much narrower habitable zones located very close to the star. Conversely, hotter stars have habitable zones much further out.

The existence of liquid water on a planet's surface depends on more than just distance from the star. Other factors include:

- Planetary Atmosphere: The atmosphere plays a crucial role in regulating a planet's temperature. A thick atmosphere with greenhouse gases can trap heat, influencing whether water stays liquid.
- Planetary Composition: The size and composition of a planet affect its ability to hold onto an atmosphere and generate internal heat.

Identifying the habitable zone around stars helps astronomers narrow down their search for potentially life-supporting exoplanets. By focusing on planets within the habitable zone of stars similar to our Sun, they can prioritize targets for further study with telescopes to search for biosignatures - potential signs of life.

# 4.7 Faint Young Sun Paradox

The faint young sun paradox is a fascinating puzzle in our understanding of Earth's early history. It highlights the apparent contradiction between two known facts:

- The Sun's Fainter Past: Astrophysical evidence suggests that the Sun was significantly fainter during Earth's early history, around 70 to 75 percent of its current luminosity.
- Early Earth's Warm Climate: Geological evidence, such as rock formations and fossils, indicates that Earth had liquid water and was likely habitable even during this early faint Sunperiod.

Here's the paradox:

- With a dimmer Sun, Earth's early surface temperature should have been much colder, potentially leading to a global freeze with all water locked up as ice.
- However, the evidence suggests otherwise liquid water existed, and life seems to have emerged during this time.

Several proposed solutions or explanations have been put forward to address the Faint Young Sun Paradox, including:

- Enhanced GHGs: Changes in the composition of Earth's early atmosphere, such as higher concentrations of greenhouse gases like carbon dioxide (CO2) and methane (CH4), could have provided additional warming and counteracted the cooling effects of the fainter Sun.
- Cloud Feedbacks: Changes in cloud cover or properties in Earth's early atmosphere could have influenced the planet's climate by altering the balance of incoming solar radiation and outgoing thermal radiation.
- Geological Feedbacks: The carbon cycle and other geological processes may have played a role in regulating the composition of Earth's atmosphere and temperature, helping to maintain a stable climate despite changes in solar radiation.
- Uncertainties in Solar Models: There may be uncertainties or inaccuracies in our understanding of stellar evolution and solar luminosity over geological timescales, which could affect estimates of the early Sun's brightness.

### 4.8 Partial Pressure of CO2

The partial pressure of CO2 refers to the pressure exerted by CO2 molecules in a mixture of gases, such as Earth's atmosphere. It represents the proportion of the total atmospheric pressure that is due to CO2.

Throughout Earth's history, the partial pressure of CO2 has varied significantly due to a combination of geological, biological, and climatological factors. These variations have had profound effects on the planet's climate, environment, and the evolution of life. Here's a brief overview of CO2 levels over geological time:

• Early Earth: During the planet's early history, Earth's atmosphere is thought to have been dominated by volcanic outgassing, which released large amounts of CO2 into the atmosphere. As a result, CO2 levels were likely much higher than they are today.

- Precambrian Era: Throughout much of the Precambrian era (the first 4 billion years of Earth's history), CO2 levels remained high, contributing to a greenhouse climate that supported the development of early life forms.
- Phanerozoic Eon: Over the past 541 million years, Earth has experienced fluctuations in CO2 levels, often correlated with changes in climate and geological events. For example, during periods of high CO2 levels, such as the Mesozoic era (the age of dinosaurs), Earth experienced warmer temperatures and higher sea levels.
- Cenozoic Era: Over the past 65 million years, CO2 levels have generally decreased due to a combination of factors, including the weathering of rocks, the burial of organic carbon, and the uptake of CO2 by photosynthetic organisms. However, there have been fluctuations in CO2 levels during this time, including significant increases during periods of volcanic activity and decreases during glaciation events.
- Anthropogenic Influence: In recent centuries, human activities, particularly the burning of fossil fuels and deforestation, have led to a rapid increase in CO2 levels in Earth's atmosphere. This increase is unprecedented in Earth's history and has contributed to global warming and climate change. Today, the partial pressure of CO2 in Earth's atmosphere is approximately 0.0415 percent by volume, equivalent to about 415 parts per million (ppm).

# 4.9 Earth's Long Road to Become a Habitable Planet

The transition of Earth into a habitable planet was a complex process that involved several key geological and environmental changes over hundreds of millions of years. While Earth formed approximately 4.5 billion years ago, it took a considerable amount of time for the planet to become conducive to life as we know it. Here are some factors that contributed to this lengthy process:

- Formation and Cooling: After its formation, Earth was initially a hot and molten world, with temperatures too high to support life. Over time, the planet gradually cooled down, allowing its surface to solidify and the formation of the early crust.
- Atmospheric Evolution: The early atmosphere of Earth likely consisted of gases released during volcanic activity, such as carbon dioxide (CO2), water vapor (H2O), methane (CH4), and ammonia (NH3). Over millions of years, processes like outgassing from volcanic activity, the release of gases from the interior, and chemical reactions led to changes in atmospheric composition.
- Formation of Oceans: Water vapor in the early atmosphere condensed and fell as rain, leading to the accumulation of water in low-lying areas and the formation of oceans. The presence of liquid water is crucial for the emergence and sustenance of life.
- Stabilization of Climate: As Earth's atmosphere evolved and its surface cooled, the planet's climate gradually stabilized. Greenhouse gases like CO2 played a role in regulating surface temperatures by trapping heat from the Sun, preventing the planet from freezing completely.
- Development of the Carbon Cycle: The establishment of the carbon cycle, driven by processes like photosynthesis, weathering of rocks, and the burial of organic carbon, helped regulate atmospheric CO2 levels and stabilize Earth's climate over geological time scales.

• Evolution of Life: The emergence of early life forms, such as simple microorganisms, played a role in shaping Earth's environment and atmosphere. Processes like photosynthesis, which converts CO2 into oxygen (O2), had a significant impact on atmospheric composition and the evolution of complex life.

# 4.10 Where Did the Earth's Water Come From?

The origin of Earth's water is a fascinating scientific question, and there are two main theories:

- Delivery by Comets and Asteroids: This theory suggests that most of Earth's water arrived later, after the planet's formation, through collisions with comets and asteroids. These icy bodies, containing significant amounts of frozen water, bombarded the early Earth and delivered water molecules. Over time, as the planet's surface cooled, this water vapor condensed and formed oceans.
- Incorporation During Formation: This theory proposes that Earth acquired some of its water during its formation, along with other building blocks like dust and gas. Water molecules might have been present in the protoplanetary disk that swirled around the young Sun, eventually incorporated into the forming Earth.

#### Evidence for Both Theories:

- Cometary Composition: Studies of comets reveal they contain water ice, supporting the idea of water delivery through impacts. Deuterium-to-Hydrogen Ratio: The ratio of Deuterium (a heavier isotope of Hydrogen) to Hydrogen in Earth's water is similar to that found in certain comets, suggesting a potential link.
- Volcanic Outgassing: Volcanic eruptions throughout Earth's history could have released water vapor trapped within the planet's interior, contributing to the overall water content.

# 4.11 Late Heavy Bombardment

The Late Heavy Bombardment (LHB) is a hypothesized period of intense asteroid and comet bombardment in the inner solar system, thought to have occurred approximately 4.1 to 3.8 billion years ago.

The LHB suggests a surge in the number of impacts on the terrestrial planets (Mercury, Venus, Earth, Mars) and their moons during a specific period. Evidence for this bombardment comes from heavily cratered surfaces on these celestial bodies, indicating a period of intense impacts.

The leading theory suggests the LHB might be linked to the migration of the giant planets (Jupiter and Saturn) in the outer solar system. Their gravitational interactions could have destabilized the orbits of smaller bodies in the asteroid belt, sending them on collision courses with the inner planets.

The LHB is thought to have been a violent period for Earth. Asteroid and comet impacts would have caused widespread cratering, molten rock formations, and potential atmospheric disruptions. However, some scientists believe these impacts might have played a positive role by delivering water and other essential elements necessary for life's emergence.

# 4.12 Origin of the Life on the Earth

Here are several existing "theories" about the possible ways that the life could have originated on the Earth. These, however, fall in the gray area between the science and non-scientific beliefs, until one of them gets verified experimentally and some scientist generates the life in his/her laboratory. That has not happened yet, possibly because it is not feasible to create in a Lab the large-scale turbulence in the environment of the young Earth.

# 1. Primordial Soup (or Salt Ponds) Hypothesis:

This classical theory, proposed by Alexander Oparin and JBS Haldane, suggests that early Earth's atmosphere contained simple organic molecules like methane, ammonia, and water vapor. Energy from sources like lightning or UV radiation triggered reactions between these molecules, leading to the formation of more complex organic compounds, like amino acids, the building blocks of proteins. Eventually, these complex molecules could have self-assembled into protobionts, membrane-bound structures considered precursors to cells.

#### 2. RNA World Hypothesis:

This theory focuses on the potential role of RNA (Ribonucleic Acid) in the origin of life. RNA molecules can act both as genetic material and enzymes (catalytic molecules) with the ability to facilitate chemical reactions. Proponents of this theory suggest RNA molecules might have formed early on and played a crucial role in self-replication and evolution of more complex biological systems.

### 3. Deep Sea Vent Hypothesis:

This theory proposes that life might have originated around deep-sea hydrothermal vents, which spew out hot, mineral-rich water. The chemical energy and dissolved compounds present in these vents could have provided an ideal environment for the formation of organic molecules and the initiation of prebiotic chemical reactions leading to life.

# 4. Panspermia Hypothesis:

This idea, proposed by Arrhenius, is the extra-terrestrial hypothesis. It says that microscopic organisms or spores from life that already exists elsewhere in the universe could be transported through space and land on other planets, seeding life there.

#### 5. Clay Hypothesis:

This theory highlights the potential role of clay minerals in the origin of life. Clay particles can act as templates or surfaces that facilitate chemical reactions and the organization of molecules important for life.

# 4.13 Cambrian Explosion

The Cambrian Explosion (CE) is a pivotal event in the history of life on Earth. It marked the transition from a world dominated by simple organisms to one teeming with the diversity of complex life forms we see today. The CE refers to a relatively short period in Earth's history, occurring approximately 541 to 485 million years ago during the Cambrian period, when there was a sudden and rapid diversification of multicellular life forms. It marks a significant episode in the history of life on Earth, characterized by the emergence of a diverse array of complex organisms, many of which possessed hard shells, skeletons, or other mineralized structures.

Key features of the Cambrian Explosion are:

• Rapid Diversification: Over a period of about 20-25 million years, a remarkable burst of evolutionary innovation led to the appearance of a wide variety of new body plans and ecological niches. This period saw the development of numerous animal types, including arthro-

pods (e.g., trilobites), mollusks (e.g., snails and clams), echinoderms (e.g., sea stars and sea urchins), and chordates (the group to which vertebrates belong).

- Fossil Record: The Cambrian Explosion is well-documented in the fossil record, with numerous sites around the world preserving abundant and diverse assemblages of Cambrian-age organisms. Prior to the Cambrian explosion, the fossil record is dominated by simpler life forms, mostly single-celled organisms. After the Cambrian explosion, life continued to diversify, but the fundamental body plans of most major animal groups were already established.
- Evolutionary Innovations: During the Cambrian Explosion, organisms evolved a wide range of novel anatomical features and adaptations, including eyes, appendages, exoskeletons, and burrowing behaviors. These innovations likely facilitated the exploitation of new ecological niches and contributed to the success and diversification of animal life on Earth.
- Environmental Context: The Cambrian Explosion occurred against a backdrop of significant environmental changes, including rising oxygen levels, changes in ocean chemistry, and the breakup of the supercontinent Rodinia. These environmental factors may have played a role in driving the evolutionary dynamics of the Cambrian Explosion, although the precise mechanisms remain a topic of ongoing research and debate.

# 4.14 The Boring Billion

The Boring Billion, also known as the Mid Proterozoic Era or Earth's Middle Ages, refers to a vast stretch of time in Earth's history spanning roughly from 1.8 billion years ago (Ga) to 0.8 billion years ago (Ga). It falls within the Proterozoic eon, specifically the Mesoproterozoic era. During this time, there was a relative geological and climatic stability. There wasn't a lot of significant plate tectonic movement or dramatic shifts in global temperatures compared to other eras. This contrasts with periods before and after, which saw more frequent tectonic activity and glaciation events. The fossil record suggests a period of slow evolutionary change during the Boring Billion. Complex life forms hadn't yet emerged, and the dominant life consisted of simpler organisms like bacteria and archaea. The chemical composition of the oceans during this time likely limited the availability of essential nutrients for complex life to evolve.

# 4.15 Major Extinction Events

#### 4.15.1 The GOE

The Great Oxidation Event (GOE), also referred to as the Oxygen Catastrophe, Oxygen Revolution, or Oxygen Crisis, was a period in Earth's history roughly 2.4 to 2.0 billion years ago when the atmosphere and oceans began to accumulate significant amounts of free oxygen.

Prior to the GOE, Earth's atmosphere contained very little oxygen. The rise of oxygen is believed to be a result of the emergence of cyanobacteria, photosynthetic bacteria that produce oxygen as a waste product. Over time, the oxygen produced by cyanobacteria began to accumulate in the atmosphere, eventually reaching the levels we see today.

The GOE had a profound impact on Earth's biosphere. The presence of free oxygen was toxic to many of the early life forms that had evolved in an oxygen-free environment. These organisms, known as anaerobes, were eventually outcompeted by organisms that could tolerate or even utilize oxygen, known as aerobes. The GOE is thought to have paved the way for the diversification of complex multicellular life that emerged hundreds of millions of years later.

# 4.15.2 The End-Ordovician extinction

The End-Ordovician extinction, also sometimes referred to as the Ordovician-Silurian extinction or the Late Ordovician extinction, is one of the five major extinction events in Earth's history.

This mass extinction event occurred roughly 445 million years ago (Ma), marking the boundary between the Ordovician and Silurian periods. It's considered one of the most severe extinction events, with estimates suggesting that 49 to 60 percent of marine genera (groups of organisms sharing a recent common ancestor) and nearly 85 percent of all marine species went extinct.

The exact cause of the End-Ordovician extinction is still being debated, but some of the leading hypotheses include:

- Glaciation: The expansion of glaciers over Gondwana (ancient supercontinent) might have triggered a global cooling event, disrupting ocean circulation patterns and reducing available habitats for marine life.
- Sea Level Fluctuations: Rapid drops in sea level due to glaciation could have exposed vast areas of continental shelves, leading to habitat loss for many shallow-water organisms.
- Anoxic Events: Glaciation and changes in ocean circulation might have resulted in areas of oxygen-depleted water (anoxic events), further contributing to extinctions.

The recovery from the End-Ordovician extinction was relatively slow, taking several million years for marine ecosystems to diversify again. However, this event also paved the way for the rise of new groups like jawed fish during the Silurian period.

The End-Ordovician extinction serves as a stark reminder of the catastrophic events that can shape the course of life on Earth. Studying this extinction event helps us understand the delicate balance of Earth's climate system and its profound impact on biodiversity.

#### 4.15.3 Devonian extinction

The Devonian extinction, approximately 359 million years ago, primarily affected marine life, particularly reef-building organisms such as corals and stromatoporoids, as well as some species of trilobites, brachiopods, and ammonites. It's believed that around 70 percent of marine species may have gone extinct during this event.

Several factors have been proposed as potential causes of the Devonian extinction, including:

- Climate change: Fluctuations in climate, possibly related to changes in atmospheric carbon dioxide levels or volcanic activity, may have disrupted marine ecosystems and contributed to the extinction of species.
- Oceanic anoxia: Periods of low oxygen levels in the oceans (anoxia) may have occurred, leading to the suffocation of marine organisms.
- Extensive glaciation: There is evidence to suggest that the Devonian Period experienced episodes of significant glaciation, which could have altered sea levels and marine habitats.
- Impact events: While evidence for impact events during the Devonian is limited, some researchers have proposed that asteroid or comet impacts may have contributed to the extinction event.

#### 4.15.4 Permian-Triassic extinction

The Permian-Triassic extinction event, also known as the Great Dying, was the most severe extinction event in Earth's history. It occurred roughly 252 million years ago, marking the boundary between the Permian and Triassic geologic periods.

This event is considered the deadliest of the "Big Five" mass extinctions of the Phanerozoic Eon. An estimated 57 percent of all biological families, 83 percent of genera, and 96 percent of marine species disappeared. Even on land, 70 percent of terrestrial vertebrate species were wiped out. It significantly reshaped life on Earth and opened the door for the rise of dinosaurs and other dominant species during the Triassic Period.

The exact cause of the extinction remains a subject of debate, but several potential culprits are (i) Massive volcanic eruptions in Siberia may have released greenhouse gasses that triggered runaway global warming and ocean acidification. (ii) A large asteroid impact could have caused widespread environmental disruptions, including dust storms and tsunamis. (iii) Depletion of oxygen in the oceans due to various factors could have suffocated marine life.

The Permian-Triassic extinction event's effects were long-lasting. It took millions of years for life on Earth to recover its diversity, making it a defining moment in our planet's history.

Refer to the table on Lecture 2 Slide 39 to see how the current (Holocene) extinction wrought about by the mankind compares with this deadliest of the prior extinction events.

#### 4.15.5 The PETM

The PETM stands for Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum. It refers to a period of rapid global warming that occurred roughly 55 million years ago, at the boundary between the Paleocene and Eocene epochs.

- PETM is characterized by a significant increase in global average temperature, estimated to be around 5-8°C (9-16°F). This is considered one of the most extreme and rapid warming events in Earth's history.
- While the most intense warming likely only lasted for about 100,000 years, the overall warm period associated with PETM is estimated to have persisted for around 200,000 years. Despite its relatively short duration, PETM had a significant impact on Earth's climate and ecosystems.
- The exact cause of PETM is still being debated. A leading theory suggests a massive release of carbon dioxide, possibly from volcanic eruptions, triggered the rapid warming.

# Effects of PETM:

- Ocean Acidification: The influx of carbon dioxide led to ocean acidification, harming marine organisms that relied on calcium carbonate shells and skeletons.
- Ocean Anoxia: Ocean warming and circulation changes likely caused oxygen depletion (anoxia) in some ocean regions, further stressing marine life.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Besides three of these five extinction events detailed in this section, the other two are Triassic-Jurassic Extinction that occurred 201 million years ago (which wiped out a lot of reptiles on the Earth and lead to the rise of dinosaurs) and Cretaceous-Paleogene Extinction (66 million years ago, likely due to impact of a large asteroid in the Yucatan Peninsula) that eliminated the dinosaurs from the Earth.

• Extinction and Evolution: PETM is believed to have contributed to extinction events among some marine and terrestrial species. However, it may have also created opportunities for new and more adaptable species to evolve.

Scientists study PETM to gain insights into the potential consequences of human-caused climate change. The rapid warming and its effects on the oceans during PETM offer a valuable case study for understanding how our planet's climate system might respond to ongoing global warming.

# 4.16 The AMOC

AMOC stands for the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation. It is a major component of the global ocean circulation system and plays a crucial role in regulating Earth's climate.

The AMOC is a large-scale ocean circulation pattern that transports warm, salty water from the tropics northward in the Atlantic Ocean and colder, fresher water from the North Atlantic southward. This circulation is driven by a combination of wind patterns, temperature gradients, and density differences in seawater.

In the North Atlantic, warm surface currents, such as the Gulf Stream, carry heat from the tropics towards higher latitudes, helping to warm regions such as Western Europe. As this warm water moves northward, it cools and becomes denser, eventually sinking to deeper layers of the ocean. This process is known as deep water formation. The cold, dense water then flows southward, completing the overturning circulation.

The AMOC plays a critical role in regulating climate by redistributing heat around the globe. It helps to moderate temperatures in regions influenced by its warm currents, such as Western Europe, and influences weather patterns across the North Atlantic region. Additionally, the AMOC plays a role in regulating the global carbon cycle and oceanic carbon storage.

Changes in the strength or stability of the AMOC can have significant impacts on regional and global climate patterns. There is concern among scientists that ongoing climate change could weaken or destabilize the AMOC, leading to potentially abrupt and disruptive changes in weather patterns and ocean circulation. Understanding the dynamics of the AMOC and its response to climate change is an active area of research in climate science.