

Bing

Here are the answers to your questions based on the web page context:

- The natural gas currently provides **22 percent** of global primary energy consumption[1].
- The source of fuel that will remain crucial to the world's energy mix through 2050 is **natural gas**, as it provides baseload grid power needed to complement renewable electricity, and it's generally cleaner than coal[2].
- Natural gas is **not** a green source of energy, as it is a fossil fuel and, as such, a source of carbon dioxide emissions. However, it is still preferred because it is **more efficient and less polluting** than coal, and it can help **reduce dependence** on other countries that use energy as a geopolitical weapon .
- The part of this article that is identical to Ms. Rice's argument is the one that says: "You cannot change demand for energy by destroying supply: If the United States did indeed curtail LNG exports, it would just drive customers into the arms of competitors such as Australia, Qatar, Algeria and, yes, Russia." [3] This is similar to Ms. Rice's claim that the United States should not limit its own energy production and consumption, as it would only benefit its rivals and harm its allies.