# Imperial College London

### MENG INDIVIDUAL PROJECT

#### IMPERIAL COLLEGE LONDON

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTING

### TBD

Author: Akshat Tripathi  $Supervisor: \\ Prof. Andrew Davision$ 

Second Marker: Unclear

January 17, 2023



Your abstract goes here



### Contents

1	Intr	roduction	5	
	1.1	Objectives	5	
	1.2	Challenges	5	
	1.3	Contributions	5	
2	Background			
	2.1	Multi-Robot Systems	6	
		2.1.1 Competitive vs Collaborative Behavior	6	
		2.1.2 Static vs Dynamic Coordination	6	
		2.1.3 Explicit vs Implicit Communication	6	
		2.1.4 Homogeneous vs Heterogeneous Robots	6	
		2.1.5 Centralised vs Decentralised Decision Making	7	
	2.2	Robot Web	7	
		2.2.1 Factor Graphs	7	
		2.2.2 Gaussian Belief Propagation	7	
		2.2.3 Lie Theory	7	
		2.2.4 Putting it all together	7	
	2.3	Security Issues	7	
		2.3.1 Byzantine fault tolerance	7	
		2.3.2 Masquerade Attacks	7	
		2.3.3 Sybil Attacks	7	
3	Related Work 8			
	3.1	Wifi Scattering	8	
		3.1.1 Paper 1 - Gil et al	8	
		3.1.2 Paper 2 - Huang et al	8	
	3.2	Proof of Work	8	
		3.2.1 Paper series 1 - Gupta et al	8	
		3.2.2 Paper series 2 - Strobel et al	8	
	3.3	ARP poisoning defenses	8	
	3.4	DDoS Attacks	8	
4	PR	OJECT X	9	
5	Eva	aluation	10	
6	Cor	nclusion	11	
A	Firs	st Appendix	12	
Bi	Bibliography			

# List of Figures

## List of Tables

## Introduction

- 1.1 Objectives
- 1.2 Challenges
- 1.3 Contributions

### Background

This chapter will provide the theoretical background for this thesis. First, we will discuss the field of multi-robot systems, providing the reader with an understanding of how the field has evolved and how it may further evolve. Next, we examine RobotWeb [1], the research that this thesis seeks to build upon. Finally, we discuss various security issues present in the field to arrive at the research question for this thesis.

#### 2.1 Multi-Robot Systems

The study of multi-robot systems concerns itself with studying how to allow multiple robots to operate in the same environment [2]. Multi-robot systems have several advantages over single-robot systems; they are more effective, efficient, flexible, and resilient [3]. These robots can behave competitively or collaboratively, coordinate statically or dynamically, communicate explicitly or implicitly, consist of homogeneous or heterogeneous robots, and make decisions centrally or decentrally [4].

#### 2.1.1 Competitive vs Collaborative Behavior

Multiple robots which share a common goal are considered to be behaving collaboratively, whereas if each robot aimed to complete its own goal at the expense of all others, it would be said to be behaving competitively [4]. Examples of collaboration range from teams of robots constructing a lunar habitat [5] to exploring unknown environments [6].

#### 2.1.2 Static vs Dynamic Coordination

If a multi-robot system operates using a set of predetermined rules, then it can be said to be coordinating itself statically [4]. A possible set of rules would be that each robot must maintain a certain distance between it and all others [4]. Dynamically coordinated multi-robot systems would instead make decisions whilst performing the task and may communicate to do so [4].

#### 2.1.3 Explicit vs Implicit Communication

Most multi-robot systems communicate explicitly by sending messages to each other via a hardware communication interface, for example, a wifi module [4]. However, there is still a sizeable minority of approaches that send messages through their environment (implicit communication) and rely on others to sense these messages to receive them. An example of implicit communication is found in [7], where the authors use it to allow a team of robots to play a game of football for the RoboCup Simulation League [8].

#### 2.1.4 Homogeneous vs Heterogeneous Robots

Multi-robot systems can either contain robots with identical hardware, which are known as homogeneous systems, or individual robots may have different hardware, making them heterogeneous

systems. Heterogeneous systems allow a greater degree of specialisation within a multi-robot system but also add additional decision-making complexity.

#### 2.1.5 Centralised vs Decentralised Decision Making

A multi-robot system is said to have centralised decision-making if all robots communicate with a central agent, which may or may not be a robot itself, to receive instructions. Centralised schemes perform better with smaller groups of robots and in static environments, they also introduce a single point of failure in the central agent [4]. Decentralised schemes, however, avoid vesting authority into a central agent and instead treat each agent as an equal part of the system, which allows them to avoid the problems associated with centralised schemes. However, decentralised schemes lose the guarantee that they will converge to an optimal solution, as decisions are made with incomplete information. In addition to centralised and decentralised schemes, multi-robot systems may also be organised in a hierarchical manner, where some robots would be chosen as local leaders, but no global leader would exist.

- 2.2 Robot Web
- 2.2.1 Factor Graphs
- 2.2.2 Gaussian Belief Propagation
- 2.2.3 Lie Theory
- 2.2.4 Putting it all together
- 2.3 Security Issues
- 2.3.1 Byzantine fault tolerance
- 2.3.2 Masquerade Attacks
- 2.3.3 Sybil Attacks

### Related Work

- 3.1 Wifi Scattering
- 3.1.1 Paper 1 Gil et al.
- 3.1.2 Paper 2 Huang et al.
- 3.2 Proof of Work
- 3.2.1 Paper series 1 Gupta et al.
- 3.2.2 Paper series 2 Strobel et al.
- 3.3 ARP poisoning defenses
- 3.4 DDoS Attacks

## PROJECT X

## Evaluation

## Conclusion

## Appendix A

# First Appendix

### **Bibliography**

- [1] Murai R, Ortiz J, Saeedi S, Kelly PHJ, Davison AJ. A Robot Web for Distributed Many-Device Localisation. CoRR. 2022;abs/2202.03314. Available from: https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.03314.
- [2] Farinelli A, Iocchi L, Nardi D. Multirobot Systems: A Classification Focused on Coordination. IEEE transactions on systems, man, and cybernetics Part B, Cybernetics: a publication of the IEEE Systems, Man, and Cybernetics Society. 2004 11;34:2015-28.
- [3] Roldán-Gómez JJ, Barrientos A. Special Issue on Multi-Robot Systems: Challenges, Trends, and Applications. Applied Sciences. 2021;11(24). Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/11/24/11861.
- [4] Yan Z, Jouandeau N, Ali A. A Survey and Analysis of Multi-Robot Coordination. International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems. 2013 12;10:1.
- [5] Stroupe A, Huntsberger T, Okon A, Aghazarian H, Robinson M. Behavior-based multi-robot collaboration for autonomous construction tasks. In: 2005 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems; 2005. p. 1495-500.
- [6] Burgard W, Moors M, Fox D, Simmons R, Thrun S. Collaborative multi-robot exploration. In: Proceedings 2000 ICRA. Millennium Conference. IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation. Symposia Proceedings (Cat. No.00CH37065). vol. 1; 2000. p. 476-81 vol.1.
- [7] Pagello E, D'Angelo A, Montesello F, Garelli F, Ferrari C. Cooperative behaviors in multi-robot systems through implicit communication. Robotics and Autonomous Systems. 1999;29(1):65-77. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921889099000391.
- [8] Kitano H, Asada M, Kuniyoshi Y, Noda I, Osawa E. RoboCup: The Robot World Cup Initiative. In: Proceedings of the First International Conference on Autonomous Agents. AGENTS '97. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery; 1997. p. 340–347. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1145/267658.267738.