

Linked List & Integer Problems Documentation

1. Reverse Integer (LeetCode #7)

Problem Statement

Given a signed 32-bit integer x , return x with its digits reversed. If reversing x causes the value to go outside the signed 32-bit integer range $[-2^{31}, 2^{31} - 1]$, then return 0. Assume the environment does not allow storing 64-bit integers.

Examples:

Input: $x = 123 \rightarrow$ Output: 321

Input: $x = -123 \rightarrow$ Output: -321

Input: $x = 120 \rightarrow$ Output: 21

Explanation with Cases

Case 1: Positive numbers

$123 \rightarrow 321$

Digits extracted: 3, 2, 1

Case 2: Negative numbers

$-123 \rightarrow -321$

Digits extracted: -3, -2, -1 (handled by modulo in programming)

Case 3: Numbers ending with zero

$120 \rightarrow 21$

Leading zeros in reversed form are dropped

Case 4: Overflow scenarios

$1534236469 \rightarrow 0$ (reverses to $9646324351 > \text{INT_MAX}$)

$-2147483648 \rightarrow 0$ (special edge case)

Case 5: Single digit numbers

$5 \rightarrow 5$

$-7 \rightarrow -7$

Approaches

Approach 1: Mathematical reversal with overflow checking

- Extract digits using modulo/division
- Check overflow before multiplication/addition
- Handle negative numbers carefully

Time Complexity: $O(\log_{10}|x|)$

Space Complexity: $O(1)$

Key Challenge: Handling overflow without 64-bit integers

Solution Code

```
int reverse(int x) {
    int rev = 0;
    while (x != 0) {
        int pop = x % 10;
        x /= 10;

        // Check overflow before actually doing rev * 10 + pop
        if (rev > INT_MAX/10 || (rev == INT_MAX/10 && pop > 7)) return 0;
        if (rev < INT_MIN/10 || (rev == INT_MIN/10 && pop < -8)) return 0;

        rev = rev * 10 + pop;
    }
    return rev;
}
```

Key Points:

- `INT_MAX = 2147483647` (last digit 7)
- `INT_MIN = -2147483648` (last digit -8)
- Overflow check happens BEFORE the actual operation

2. Merge Two Sorted Lists (LeetCode #21)

Problem Statement

Merge two sorted linked lists into one sorted list by splicing together nodes from the first two lists.
Return the head of the merged linked list.

Examples:

```
List1: 1→2→4, List2: 1→3→4 → Output: 1→1→2→3→4→4
List1: [], List2: [] → Output: []
List1: [], List2: [0] → Output: [0]
```

Explanation with Cases

Case 1: Normal merge

```
List1: 1→2→4  
List2: 1→3→4  
Result: 1→1→2→3→4→4
```

Case 2: Empty lists

```
[] + [] = []
```

Case 3: One empty list

```
[] + [0] = [0]  
[5→6] + [] = [5→6]
```

Case 4: Non-overlapping ranges

```
[1→2→3] + [4→5→6] = [1→2→3→4→5→6]
```

Case 5: Duplicate values

Handled naturally in merge logic

Approaches

Approach 1: Iterative with dummy node (Optimal)

- Create dummy node to simplify edge cases
- Use tail pointer to build result
- Compare heads, attach smaller node
- Attach remaining list at end

Time Complexity: $O(n + m)$

Space Complexity: $O(1)$

Approach 2: Recursive

- Base cases: if either list empty
- Compare heads, recursively merge rest
- Clean but uses $O(n+m)$ stack space

Solution Code

```
ListNode* mergeTwoLists(ListNode* l1, ListNode* l2) {  
    ListNode dummy(0);  
    ListNode* tail = &dummy;  
  
    while (l1 && l2) {  
        if (l1→val <= l2→val) {  
            tail→next = l1;  
            l1 = l1→next;  
        } else {  
            tail→next = l2;  
            l2 = l2→next;  
        }  
        tail = tail→next;  
    }  
    tail→next = l1 ? l1 : l2;  
    return dummy→next;
```

```

    } else {
        tail->next = l2;
        l2 = l2->next;
    }
    tail = tail->next;
}

tail->next = l1 ? l1 : l2;
return dummy.next;
}

```

Why dummy node?

- Avoids special case for initial head
- Simplifies code significantly

3. Sort List (LeetCode #148)

Problem Statement

Given the head of a linked list, sort it in ascending order with $O(n \log n)$ time complexity and $O(1)$ memory (constant space).

Examples:

```

[4→2→1→3] → [1→2→3→4]
[-1→5→3→4→0] → [-1→0→3→4→5]
[] → []

```

Explanation with Cases

Case 1: Random order

```
4→2→1→3 → 1→2→3→4
```

Case 2: Already sorted

```
1→2→3 → 1→2→3
```

Case 3: Reverse sorted

```
3→2→1 → 1→2→3
```

Case 4: Single element

```
[5] → [5]
```

Case 5: Duplicates

$3 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 3$

Approaches

Approach 1: Recursive Merge Sort ($O(\log n)$ stack space)

- Split list into halves using slow/fast pointer
- Recursively sort halves
- Merge sorted halves

Approach 2: Bottom-Up Merge Sort ($O(1)$ space)

- Start with sublists of size 1
- Repeatedly merge pairs, doubling sublist size each pass
- Achieves $O(1)$ space (no recursion stack)

Bottom-Up Merge Sort Solution

```
class Solution {  
public:  
    ListNode* sortList(ListNode* head) {  
        if (!head || !head->next) return head;  
  
        // Get length  
        int len = 0;  
        ListNode* cur = head;  
        while (cur) {  
            len++;  
            cur = cur->next;  
        }  
  
        ListNode dummy(0);  
        dummy.next = head;  
  
        // Bottom-up merge sort  
        for (int step = 1; step < len; step <= 1) {  
            ListNode* tail = &dummy;  
            cur = dummy.next;  
  
            while (cur) {  
                ListNode* left = cur;  
                ListNode* right = split(left, step);  
                cur = split(right, step);  
  
                tail->next = merge(left, right);  
                while (tail->next) tail = tail->next;  
            }  
        }  
    }  
};
```

```

    }
    return dummy.next;
}

private:
    ListNode* split(ListNode* head, int n) {
        for (int i = 1; head && i < n; i++) {
            head = head->next;
        }
        if (!head) return nullptr;
        ListNode* second = head->next;
        head->next = nullptr;
        return second;
    }

    ListNode* merge(ListNode* l1, ListNode* l2) {
        ListNode dummy(0);
        ListNode* tail = &dummy;
        while (l1 && l2) {
            if (l1->val <= l2->val) {
                tail->next = l1;
                l1 = l1->next;
            } else {
                tail->next = l2;
                l2 = l2->next;
            }
            tail = tail->next;
        }
        tail->next = l1 ? l1 : l2;
        return dummy.next;
    }
};

```

Summary Table

Problem	Time Complexity	Space Complexity	Key Technique
Reverse Integer	$O(\log_{10})$	x)
Merge Two Sorted Lists	$O(n+m)$	$O(1)$	Dummy node & pointer manipulation
Sort List	$O(n \log n)$	$O(1)$	Bottom-up merge sort

Common Patterns Across Problems

- Pointer Manipulation:** Crucial for linked list problems
- Divide and Conquer:** Merge sort for $O(n \log n)$ sorting
- Edge Case Handling:** Empty lists, single nodes, overflow

4. **Dummy Nodes:** Simplify head pointer changes
 5. **In-place Operations:** Modifying structure without extra space
-

Practice Recommendations

1. **Start with:** Merge Two Sorted Lists (simplest linked list manipulation)
2. **Progress to:** Sort List (combines merging + divide-and-conquer)
3. **Master:** Reverse Integer (mathematical thinking with constraints)

Each problem builds skills for the next, particularly in handling pointers and constraints.