Semantic Section Ranking Methodology

Overview

This solution implements a semantic similarity-based approach to automatically identify and rank the most relevant sections from PDF documents based on a user's persona and specific job-to-be-done. The system leverages sentence transformer models to understand contextual relevance rather than keyword matching.

Core Methodology

1. Document Processing Pipeline

PDF Collection → Text Extraction → Semantic Encoding → Similarity Scoring → Ranking

Input Structure

- Collection-based organization with standardized folder structure
- Job specification via JSON configuration files
- Multiple PDF documents per collection
- Persona-driven query formulation

2. Text Extraction Strategy

Block-Level Text Processing

```
python
# Extraction focuses on meaningful text blocks
font_size >= 12 and len(text) > 5
```

Extraction Criteria

- Font Size Threshold: ≥12pt (filters out footnotes, headers, page numbers)
- **Content Length**: >5 characters (excludes noise and artifacts)
- Block Type: Text blocks only (excludes images, tables)
- Page Attribution: Maintains source page reference for traceability

Text Consolidation

- Spans within lines are concatenated with spaces
- Line-level text extraction preserves formatting context
- Whitespace normalization via (.strip())

3. Semantic Similarity Framework

Model Architecture

- Base Model: (all-MiniLM-L6-v2) (Sentence Transformers)
- **Embedding Dimension**: 384-dimensional dense vectors
- Local Deployment: Model cached on disk for offline processing
- Inference Method: CPU-based encoding with tensor optimization

Query Formulation Strategy

```
python
```

query = f"(persona) needs to: {job_to_be_done}"

Query Construction Logic

- Combines user persona with specific task requirements
- Creates contextually rich search intent
- Enables role-specific relevance scoring

Example Query Patterns

- "Software Engineer needs to: understand API documentation"
- "Project Manager needs to: identify project milestones"
- "Data Scientist needs to: find methodology sections"

4. Similarity Scoring & Ranking

Cosine Similarity Computation

python

score = util.pytorch_cos_sim(query_embedding, section_embedding)

Scoring Methodology

- Metric: Cosine similarity between query and section embeddings
- **Range**: 0.0 to 1.0 (higher indicates better relevance)
- **Comparison**: Dense vector space similarity in 384 dimensions
- Ranking: Descending order by similarity score

Semantic Understanding Advantages

- Context Awareness: Understands synonyms and related concepts
- Intent Matching: Matches user goals beyond keyword overlap
- **Domain Adaptation**: Pre-trained model handles technical terminology
- Multilingual Capability: Model supports multiple languages

5. Result Compilation & Output

Structured Output Schema

```
json
 "metadata": {
  "input_documents": ["doc1.pdf", "doc2.pdf"],
  "persona": "Software Engineer",
  "job_to_be_done": "understand API documentation",
  "processing_timestamp": "2024-01-01T12:00:00"
 },
 "extracted_sections": [
   "document": "api_guide.pdf",
   "section_title": "REST API Endpoints",
   "importance_rank": 1,
   "page_number": 15
 "subsection_analysis": [
   "document": "api_guide.pdf",
   "refined_text": "REST API Endpoints",
   "page_number": 15
```

Ranking Configuration

- **Default Top-K**: 5 most relevant sections
- Importance Ranking: 1-based ordinal ranking
- **Document Traceability**: Source file and page number preserved
- Timestamp: ISO format processing timestamp

6. Collection Processing Architecture

Batch Processing Strategy

Collections → Individual Processing → Aggregated Results

Directory Structure Requirements

- (collection*/) folders for organized processing
- (challenge1b_input.json) for job specifications
- (PDFs/) subfolder containing source documents
- (challenge1b_output.json) for results output

Input Parsing & Validation

Persona Extraction

```
python

persona = job_data.get("persona")

if isinstance(persona, dict):

persona = persona.get("role", "Generic User")
```

Job Extraction

```
python

job = job_data.get("job_to_be_done")

if isinstance(job, dict):
    job = job.get("task", "Understand document")
```

Flexible Input Handling

- Supports both string and object formats for persona/job
- Graceful fallback to default values
- Error-tolerant collection processing

Technical Implementation

Dependencies & Architecture

```
python
```

- # Core Libraries
- fitz (PyMuPDF): PDF text extraction with formatting
- SentenceTransformers: Semantic embedding generation
- torch/pytorch: Tensor operations and similarity computation
- json: Configuration and output handling

Local Model Deployment

- Offline-capable processing (no API dependencies)
- Consistent model versioning across runs
- Reduced latency for batch processing

Performance Characteristics

Computational Complexity

- Text Extraction: O(n×p) where n=documents, p=pages
- **Embedding Generation**: O(s×d) where s=sections, d=embedding_dim
- **Similarity Scoring**: O(s) for each query-section comparison
- **Memory Usage**: Linear with document collection size

Scalability Considerations

- **CPU-Based Processing**: No GPU requirements
- Batch Optimization: Collection-level processing
- Memory Management: Sequential document processing
- I/O Efficiency: Local file system operations

Quality Assurance Features

Error Handling & Robustness

- Missing File Handling: Graceful collection skipping
- PDF Corruption: Individual document error isolation
- **Empty Collections**: Safe processing with empty results
- **Encoding Issues**: UTF-8 encoding specification

Output Validation

- Structured JSON: Consistent schema enforcement
- Metadata Preservation: Complete audit trail
- Page References: Source traceability maintained
- **Timestamp Tracking**: Processing time documentation

Use Cases & Applications

Optimal Scenarios

• **Document Triage**: Quickly identify relevant sections in large collections

- Role-Based Filtering: Persona-specific content prioritization
- **Research Assistance**: Academic paper section identification
- Technical Documentation: API/manual section discovery

Domain Applications

- **Software Engineering**: Code documentation, API guides
- **Project Management**: Requirements, specifications, reports
- **Research & Academia**: Literature review, methodology extraction
- Compliance & Legal: Policy documents, regulatory guidance

Advantages Over Keyword Search

- Semantic Understanding: Grasps context and intent
- Synonym Recognition: Finds related concepts without exact matches
- Query Flexibility: Natural language job descriptions
- Noise Reduction: Focuses on meaningful content sections

Limitations & Considerations

Current Limitations

- Section Granularity: Line-level extraction may miss paragraph context
- Font-Based Filtering: May exclude relevant small-font content
- Model Constraints: Limited to MiniLM's training domain knowledge
- Language Dependency: Optimized for English text processing

Potential Improvements

- Chunk-Based Extraction: Paragraph or section-aware text grouping
- Multi-Model Ensemble: Combining multiple embedding models
- Dynamic Thresholding: Adaptive font size filtering
- Contextual Expansion: Include surrounding text for better context

Performance Tuning

- Top-K Configuration: Adjustable result count based on use case
- **Similarity Thresholds**: Minimum relevance score filtering
- Batch Size Optimization: Memory-efficient processing for large collections
- Model Selection: Alternative sentence transformer models for domain-specific tasks