

# CMPE 257 Machine Learning Spring 2019

## HW#2

Name: Akshata Deo

Sjsu id: 012565761

---

1. In equation,

$$E_{\text{out}}(g) \leq E_{\text{in}}(g) + ((1/2N) \ln(2M/d))^{1/2}$$

given,  $d=0.03$

$$\text{and } e = ((1/2N) \ln(2M/d))^{1/2}$$

we can find out N with the following equation-

$$N \geq (1/(2*(\text{pow}(e,2)))) * \text{math.log}((2*M)/0.03))$$

(a). For  $M=1$ , and  $e \leq 0.05$

$$N \geq 839.9$$

(b). For  $M=100$ , and  $e \leq 0.05$

$$N \geq 1760.98$$

(c). For  $M=10000$ , and  $e \leq 0.05$

$$N \geq 2682.01$$

---

2.

(a).  $h(x)$  belongs to  $H$ .

First,  $h_1(x) = +1$  if  $x \geq a$  and  $h(x) = -1$  if  $x < a$ .

Second,  $h_2(x) = +1$  if  $x \leq a$  and  $h(x) = -1$  if  $x > a$ .

$$m_H(N) = 2N$$

$$d_{VC} = 2$$

**Explanation:** This can be proved by induction-

- When  $N=1$ ,  $h(x)$  would be either-  
 $h_1(x) = +1$  or  $-1$   
 $h_2(x) = -1$  or  $+1$   
So, there are 2 dichotomies possible in this case.  
So,  $m_H(1) = 2$
- When  $N=2$ ,  $h(x)$  would be-  
 $h_1(x) = \{-1, -1\}, \{-1, +1\}, \{+1, +1\}$   
 $h_2(x) = \{+1, +1\}, \{+1, -1\}, \{-1, -1\}$   
So, there are 4 dichotomies possible in this case.  
So,  $m_H(2) = 4$
- When  $N=3$ ,  $h(x)$  would be-  
 $h_1(x) = \{-1, -1, -1\}, \{-1, -1, +1\}, \{-1, +1, +1\}, \{+1, +1, +1\}$   
 $h_2(x) = \{+1, +1, +1\}, \{+1, +1, -1\}, \{+1, -1, -1\}, \{-1, -1, -1\}$   
So, there are only 6 dichotomies possible in this case.  
So,  $m_H(3) = 6$

Here we can observe that  $d_{VC}=2$  and  $k=3$  and  $m_H(N) = 2N$

(b).  $h(x)$  belongs to  $H$ .

First,  $h(x) = +1$  if  $a \leq x \leq b$  and  $h(x) = -1$  if  $x < a$  or  $x > b$

Second,  $h(x) = -1$  if  $a \leq x \leq b$  and  $h(x) = +1$  if  $x < a$  or  $x > b$

$$m_H(N) = N^2 - N + 2$$

$$d_{VC} = 3$$

**Explanation:** This can be proved by induction-

- When  $N=1$ ,  $h(x)$  would be either-  
 $h_1(x) = +1$  or  $-1$   
 $h_2(x) = -1$  or  $+1$   
 So, there are 2 dichotomies possible in this case.  
 So,  $m_H(1) = 2$
- When  $N=2$ ,  $h(x)$  would be-  
 $h_1(x) = \{-1, -1\}, \{-1, +1\}, \{+1, +1\}, \{+1, -1\}$   
 $h_2(x) = \{+1, +1\}, \{+1, -1\}, \{-1, -1\}, \{-1, +1\}$   
 So, there are 4 dichotomies possible in this case.  
 So,  $m_H(2) = 4$
- When  $N=3$ ,  $h(x)$  would be-  
 $h_1(x) = \{-1, -1, -1\}, \{-1, -1, +1\}, \{-1, +1, +1\}, \{+1, +1, +1\}, \{+1, +1, -1\}, \{+1, -1, -1\}, \{-1, -1, -1\}$   
 $h_2(x) = \{+1, +1, +1\}, \{+1, +1, -1\}, \{+1, -1, -1\}, \{-1, -1, -1\}, \{-1, -1, +1\}, \{-1, -1, +1\}, \{-1, +1, +1\}$   
 So, there are only 8 dichotomies possible in this case.  
 So,  $m_H(3) = 8$
- When  $N=4$ ,  $h(x)$  would be-  
 $h_1(x) = \{-1, -1, -1, -1\}, \{-1, -1, -1, +1\}, \{-1, -1, +1, +1\}, \{-1, +1, +1, +1\}, \{+1, +1, +1, +1\}, \{+1, +1, +1, -1\}, \{+1, +1, -1, -1\}, \{+1, -1, -1, -1\}, \{-1, -1, -1, -1\}, \{-1, -1, +1, -1\}, \{-1, -1, +1, -1\}, \{-1, +1, +1, -1\}, \{-1, +1, +1, -1\}, \{-1, +1, -1, -1\}, \{-1, +1, -1, -1\}, \{-1, -1, -1, +1\}, \{-1, -1, -1, +1\}, \{-1, -1, +1, +1\}, \{-1, -1, +1, +1\}, \{-1, +1, +1, +1\}, \{-1, +1, +1, +1\}, \{-1, +1, -1, +1\}, \{-1, +1, -1, +1\}, \{+1, +1, -1, +1\}, \{+1, +1, -1, +1\}$   
 $h_2(x) = \{+1, +1, +1, +1\}, \{+1, +1, +1, -1\}, \{+1, +1, -1, -1\}, \{+1, -1, -1, -1\}, \{-1, -1, -1, -1\}, \{-1, -1, -1, +1\}, \{-1, -1, -1, +1\}, \{-1, -1, +1, +1\}, \{-1, -1, +1, +1\}, \{-1, +1, +1, +1\}, \{-1, +1, +1, +1\}, \{-1, +1, -1, +1\}, \{-1, +1, -1, +1\}, \{+1, +1, -1, +1\}, \{+1, +1, -1, +1\}$   
 So, there are only 14 dichotomies possible in this case.  
 So,  $m_H(4) = 14$

Here we can observe that  $d_{VC}=3$  and  $k=4$  and  $m_H(N) = N^2 - N + 2$

(c).  $h(x)$  belongs to  $H$ .

$$m_H(N) = (N^2 + N + 2)/2$$

$$d_{VC} = 2$$

**Explanation:** This problem will reduce to Example 2.2 Question 2 [Positive Intervals] if we consider a line with region  $a \leq x \leq b$  as  $+1$  and all other regions as  $-1$  on the line.

Here we can observe that  $d_{VC}=2$  and  $k=3$  and  $m_H(N) = (N^2 + N + 2)/2$

3. Given,  $d_{VC}=10$ ,  $e=0.05$

we need 95% confidence i.e.  $d=0.05$

putting all the values in equation-

$$N \geq ((8/\text{pow}(0.05, 2)) * \text{math.log}(((4 * \text{pow}((2 * N), 10)) + 4) / 0.05)))$$

$$N \geq 452957$$

4. The hypothesis  $h$  that maximizes the likelihood  $\prod_{n=1}^N P(y_n|x_n)$  is –

Now we know, if  $P$  is the probability of some event of happening, then  $(1-P)$  is the probability of some event not happening. With this concept, we can equivalently minimize a more convenient quantity.

We also put  $\ln$  because minimizing a function and minimizing its log is same.

$$-1/N * \ln(\prod_{n=1}^N P(y_n|x_n)) = 1/N * \sum_{n=1}^N \ln(1/P(y_n|x_n)) \text{ ----- eq 1}$$

Clearly, LHS is a natural decreasing function. And we know that-

$$P(y|x) = \Theta(yw^T x)$$

Putting above value in the RHS of eq 1, we will get-

$$= 1/N * \sum_{n=1 \text{ to } N} \ln(1/(\Theta(y_n w^T x_n))) \text{ ----- eq 2}$$

What we are trying to do with above equation is, minimizing it. So, it can be treated as measure error. Hence, above equation can be converted into in-sample error measure for logistic regression by putting some standard values. Now, we know that-

$$\Theta(s) = e^s / (1 + e^s)$$

Substituting above value in eq 2 we will get-

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ein}(w) &= 1/N * \sum_{n=1 \text{ to } N} \ln(1/(e^{y_n w^T x_n} / (1 + e^{y_n w^T x_n}))) \\ &= 1/N * \sum_{n=1 \text{ to } N} \ln((1 + e^{y_n w^T x_n}) / (e^{y_n w^T x_n})) \\ &= 1/N * \sum_{n=1 \text{ to } N} \ln(1 + e^{-y_n w^T x_n}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence Proved...

## 5.

$$\Delta \text{Ein}(w) = \sum_{n=1 \text{ to } N} \ln(1 - e^{-y_n w^T x_n})$$

$$d/dw_i \text{ of } (\text{Ein}(w)) = d/dw_i \text{ of } \sum_{n=1 \text{ to } N} \ln(1 - e^{-y_n w^T x_n})$$

on differentiating above equation

$$\Delta \text{Ein}(w) = -1/N \sum_{n=1 \text{ to } N} y_n x_n \Theta(-y_n w^T x_n)$$

## 6.

Given

$$\Phi_2(x) = (1, x_1, x_2, (x_1)^2, x_1 x_2, (x_1)^2)$$

a.  $(x_1 - 3)^2 + x_2 = 1$

$$= (x_1)^2 - 6x_1 + 9 + x_2$$

$$= 8 - 6x_1 + x_2 + (x_1)^2$$

$$[8, -6, 1, 1, 0, 0]^T$$

b.  $(x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 4)^2 = 1$

$$= (x_1)^2 - 6x_1 + 9 + (x_2)^2 - 8x_2 + 16 - 1$$

$$= 24 - 6x_1 - 8x_2 + (x_1)^2 + (x_2)^2$$

$$[24, -6, -8, 1, 0, 1]^T$$

c.  $2(x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 4)^2 = 1$

$$= 2(x_1)^2 - 12x_1 + 18 + (x_2)^2 - 8x_2 + 16 - 1$$

$$= 33 - 12x_1 - 8x_2 + 2(x_1)^2 + (x_2)^2$$

$$[33, -12, -8, 2, 0, 1]^T$$

## 7. Attached ipynb file

Linear regression provides more realistic separation function.

---

**8.** Attached Q8.ipynb file

The number of iterations drops drastically as sep approaches 1 and then remain low, which means that when  $\text{sep} \geq 1.0$ , PLA converges very quickly.

---

**9.**

a. It will not converge

b. Pocket algorithm is taking too long.

Attached Q9.ipynb file

---

**10. (a).** Cost of acceptance =  $P[y = +1|x] * 0 + P[y = -1|x] * C_a$   
 $= (1-g(x))*C_a$

Cost of rejection =  $P[y = +1|x] * C_r + P[y = -1|x] * 0$   
 $= g(x)*C_r$

**(b).**  $(1-g(x))*C_a \leq g(x)*C_r$

$C_a \leq g(x) * (C_a + C_r)$

$g(x) \geq C_a / (C_a + C_r)$

**(c).**  $k = 1 / 11$  for supermarket

and,  $k = 1000/1001$  for CIA

---

## Sources

- Learning from data.pdf
- <http://book.caltech.edu/bookforum/>