Report on Assignment 4

1] Independent Bayesian networks:

The test set log-likelihood of the Bayesian network with no edges for the 10 datasets is given below

| Datasets | Log-Likelihood | | |
|-----------|----------------|--|--|
| accidents | -65.728 | | |
| baudio | -71.178 | | |
| benetflix | -93.142 | | |
| dna | -144.826 | | |
| jester | -92.163 | | |
| kdd | -3.528 | | |
| msnbc | -9.767 | | |
| nltcs | -13.321 | | |
| plants | -45.107 | | |
| r25 | -162.484 | | |

Table 1: Independent Bayesian networks (Log-Likelihood)

2] Tree Bayesian networks:

The test set log-likelihood of the tree Bayesian networks by using Chow-Liu algorithm for the 10 datasets is given below:

| Datasets | Log-Likelihood | | | |
|-----------|----------------|--|--|--|
| accidents | -47.834 | | | |
| baudio | -64.021 | | | |
| benetflix | -86.884 | | | |
| dna | -126.039 | | | |
| jester | -83.944 | | | |
| kdd | -3.313 | | | |
| msnbc | -9.544 | | | |
| nltcs | -9.747 | | | |
| plants | -23.816 | | | |
| r25 | -139.857 | | | |

Table 2: Tree Bayesian networks (Log-Likelihood)

3] Mixtures of Tree Bayesian networks using EM:

The test-set log-likelihood of the mixtures if trees using EM algorithm for the given 10 datasets is given below.

| Datasets | K-value Mean | | Standard Deviation |
|-----------|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| accidents | 20 | -44.322 | 0.103 |
| baudio | 15 | -58.455 | 0.027 |
| benetflix | 20 | -82.015 | 0.101 |
| dna | 15 | -119.325 | 0.121 |
| jester | 15 | -75.869 | 0.012 |
| kdd | 5 | -3.305 | 0.014 |
| msnbc | 15 | -9.112 | 0.006 |
| nltcs | 20 | -8.634 | 0.003 |
| plants | 15 | -19.095 | 0.056 |
| r25 | 20 | -125.835 | 0.199 |

Table 3: Mixtures of Tree Bayesian networks using EM (Log-Likelihood)

Here the mean and standard deviation is calculated by running the algorithm for 10 times on each dataset and the values of K is decided by using the validation set of the respective datasets.

4] Mixture of Tree Bayesian networks using random forest:

The test-set log-likelihood of the mixture of tree Bayesian network using random forest for the given 10 datasets is given below.

| Datasets | K - values | r - values | Mean | Standard Deviation |
|-----------|------------|------------|----------|--------------------|
| accidents | 20 | 40 | -47.831 | 0.002 |
| baudio | 15 | 35 | -64.062 | 0.014 |
| benetflix | 15 | 45 | -86.899 | 0.0005 |
| dna | 10 | 50 | -126.726 | 0.009 |
| jester | 15 | 35 | -84.269 | 7.02e-05 |
| kdd | 10 | 30 | -3.314 | 0.001 |
| msnbc | 10 | 5 | -9.399 | 0.0001 |
| nltcs | 20 | 5 | -9.712 | 0.002 |
| plants | 20 | 30 | -23.798 | 0.001 |
| r25 | 15 | 50 | -139.886 | 0.004 |

Table 4: Mixture of Tree Bayesian networks using random forest (Log-Likelihood)

Here the values of K and r is selected by experimenting the data with its validation set, also the mean and standard deviations is calculated by running the algorithm for 10 times in their respective test sets.

From above experiment it seems that mixture of tree Bayesian networks using EM algorithm performed well, because it uses concept of chow-liu with soft clustering. The chow-liu method and the random forest technique provides nearly same results. But the independence Bayesian networks performed worst from all other parts in this experiment.