# MATH-505A: Homework # 2

Due on Friday, September 5, 2014

Saket Choudhary 2170058637

# Contents

xercise # 1.5	3
(1)	3
$(2) \ldots \ldots$	3
$(3) \ldots \ldots$	4
$(4) \ldots \ldots$	4
$(5) \ldots \ldots$	5
(7)	6

## Exercise # 1.5

(1)

**Given:** A, B are independent

To Prove:  $(A^C, B)$ ;  $(A^C, B^C)$  are independent

Since A, B are independent:

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A)(B) \tag{1}$$

Thus,

$$P(A \cap B) = (1 - P(A^C))P(B) = P(B) - P(B)P(A^C)$$
(2)

Rearranging 2:

$$P(B)P(A^C) = P(B) - P(A \cap B)$$
(3)

 $P(B) - P(A \cap B)$  signifies 'in B but not in A AND B'. Thus, it should belong to  $A^C$  AND B

$$P(B) - P(A \cap B) = P(A^C \cap B) = P(A^C)P(B)$$

$$\tag{4}$$

From 3 and  $4: A^C.B$  are independent.

Similarly to prove  $A^C, B^C$  are independent, we perform substitute  $B^C$  in  $P(B \cap A^C)$ , since 4 is true to obtain:

$$P(B^C \cap A^C) = P(A^C)P(B^C) \tag{5}$$

(2)

 $A_{ij} = i^{th}$  and  $j^{th}$  rolls produce the same number.

For any  $i \neq j$ , total outcome are 6\*6=36 and number of favourable outcomes are  $\binom{6}{1}*1=6$ , thus  $p(A_{ij}) = \frac{6}{36} = \frac{1}{6}$ 

Consider  $P(A_{ij} \cap A_{kj})$ , such that  $i \neq j \neq k$ , then:

 $P(A_{ij} \cap A_{jk})$  refers to the probability when  $i^{th}, j^{th}$  and  $k^{th}$  rolls show the same number, which can be calculated as:  $P(A_{ij} \cap A_{kj}) = \frac{\binom{6}{1}*1*1}{6*6*6} = \frac{1}{36} = P(A_{ij})P(A_{jk})$ Thus,  $A_{ij}$  are pairwise independent as it is true for any choice of i, j, k as long as  $i \neq j \neq k$ 

Consider:

$$\begin{split} P(A_{ij} \cap A_{jk} \cap A_{kl}) &= \frac{\binom{6}{1}*1*1*1}{6*6*6} = \frac{1}{36} \neq P(A_{ij})P(A_{jk})P(A_{kl}) \\ \text{Since } P(A_{ij} \cap A_{jk} \cap A_{kl}) \neq P(A_{ij})P(A_{jk})P(A_{kl}), \text{ it will not be true in general.} \end{split}$$

And since the independence criterion is not satisfied for the above case, it will not be true for the case when  $A_{ij}$  are considered together for all values of i, j.

(3)

#### To Prove:

- (a) outcomes of coin tosses are independent
- (b) Given a sequence of length m of heads and tails the chance of it occurring in first m tosses i s  $2^{-}m$ .

In order to prove (a) and (b) are equivalent it is sufficient to prove that if  $a \implies b$  and  $b \implies a$ .

If the outcomes are independent, probability of a head or tail in a sequence is  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Consider m tosses, since they are independent the probability of seeing any string of H and T is given by  $\frac{1}{2} * \frac{1}{2} * ... * (m) times = \frac{1}{2^m} = 2^{-m}$ . Hence  $\implies b$ .

Now consider if b is true, then:  $P(m) = 2^{-m} \implies P(m+1) = 2^{-(m+1)}$ , . The P(m+1) case is similar to P(m) with an extra toss.  $P(m+1) = 2^{-m} * \frac{1}{2}$ . The extra half factor is accounted by the extra toss that is performed which must be independent with respect to the m tosses for yielding such a relation for  $P(m+1) \implies a$  is true and this is true for any m. This inductive hypothesis proves that the tosses must be independent  $P(1) = \frac{1}{2}$  is trivially true)

and hence  $a \iff b$  and  $a \implies b$  so a and b are equivalent statements.

**(4)** 

Given:  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, ...p\}$  where p is prime. F is set of all subsets of  $\omega$ ;  $P(A) = \frac{|A|}{p}$  To Prove: A 'or' B is a null set or is the set Omega

$$P(A) = \frac{|A|}{n}$$

$$P(A) = \frac{P(A)}{p}$$

$$P(B) = \frac{|B|}{p}$$

Now, from the definition of P(A):

$$P(A \cap B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{n} \tag{6}$$

Since A, B are independent:

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{p} = \frac{|A|}{p} \frac{|B|}{p} \tag{7}$$

Thus:

$$p * |A \cap B| = |A||B| \implies |A||B| \mod p = 0 \tag{8}$$

where mod operator gives the remainder.

and

$$0 \le |A|, |B| \le p \implies (|A| \ or |B| = p) \ OR \ (|A| \ OR \ |B| = 0) \implies A,B$$
 are either null or complete sets(with  $|A|, |B| = |\Omega|$ ).

(5)

Given:

$$P(A, B|C) = P(A|C)P(B|C)$$
(9)

for all A,B.

If A, B are independent:

$$P(A|B) = P(A) \tag{10}$$

$$P(A, B|C) = \frac{P(A, B, C)}{P(C)} = P(A|B, C) * \frac{P(B, C)}{P(C)} = P(A|B, C) * P(B|C)$$
(11)

Hence the conditional independence of A and B given C is dependent on conditional independence of A given B and C and B given C and neither implies P(A|B) = P(A) nor is implied by this.

### Part2:

For which event C, are A and B(for all A,B) independent **iff** they are conditionally independent given C: ? If  $P(A,B|C) = P(A|C) * P(B|C) \implies P(A|B) = P(A)$  then what is C?. This relation can be set to true for all values of A,B if P(C) is set to 1. because that would automatically imply P(A,B) = P(A)P(B)

Thus 
$$P(C) = 1$$

(7)

 $A = \{$ all children of same sex  $\}$ 

 $B = \{ \text{ there is at most one boy } \}$ 

 $C = \{$  one boy and one girl included  $\}$ 

$$P(A) = P(\text{ all boys }) + P(\text{all girls}) = (\frac{1}{2} * \frac{1}{2} * \frac{1}{2}) * 2 = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P(B) = P(0 \text{ boys }) + P(1boy) = (\frac{1}{8}) + 3 * (\frac{1}{8}) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$P(C) = P(1 \text{ boy} + 1 \text{ girl }) = 2 * (\frac{1}{2} * \frac{1}{2} * \frac{1}{2}) * 3 = \frac{3}{4}$$

Part a): A is independent of B and B is independent of C

 $P(A \cap B) = \text{all children are of same sex AND there is at most one boy} \implies \text{all children are boys} \implies P(A \cap B) = \frac{1}{2} * \frac{1}{2} * \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{8} = P(A) * P(B).$ 

Hence A,B are independent

 $P(B \cap C)$  = there is at most one boy AND there is one boy and a girl  $\implies$  there is one boy and two girls.

$$P(B \cap C) = \frac{1}{2} * \frac{1}{2} * \frac{1}{2} * 3 = \frac{3}{8} = P(B) * P(C)$$

Hence B,C are independent

Part b): Is A independednt of C?

 $P(A \cap C)$  = the family includes boy and girl AND all children are of same sex

Clearky  $P(A \cap B) = \phi$  and hence A,B are NOT necessarily independent!(null intersection does not imply independence)

Part c): Do the results hold if boys and girls are not equally likely?

NO. if the girls and boys are not likely these events will have different probabilitie

Part d): Do these results hold if there are 4 children?

No, the results might not necessarily be valid for 4 children as the number of permutations would be the