# CSCI-567: Assignment #3

Due on Friday, October 16, 2015

Saket Choudhary 2170058637

# Contents

Problem 4																			:
Problem 4:	(a)						 												
Problem 4:	(b)						 												9
Problem 4:	(c)						 												4
Problem 4:	(d)						 												4
Problem 4:																			
Problem 1																			Ę
Problem 1:	(a)						 												Ę
Problem 1:	(b)						 												6
Problem 1:	(c)						 												6
Problem 1:																			
Problem 3																			6
Problem 3:	(a)						 												7
Problem 3:	(b)						 												7
Problem 3:																			
Problem 2																			8
Problem 2:	(a)						 												8
Problem 2:	(b)						 												8
Problem 2:																			
Problem 2	(d)																		(

#### Problem 4

Given:  $k_1(.,.)$  and  $k_2(.,.)$  are kernel function. Thus, for any vector  $y \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $y^T K y \ge 0$  where  $K_{ij} = k(x_i, x_j)$  Mercer's theorem requires K to be positive semi-definite.

#### Problem 4: (a)

 $k_3(x, x') = a_1 k_1(x, x') + a_2 k_2(x, x')$  where  $a_1, a_2 \ge 0$ Since  $k_1(x, x')$  is positive definite,  $\forall y \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$y^T K^{(1)} y \ge 0,$$
 where

$$K_{ij}^{(1)} = k_1(x_i, x_j')$$

Similarly,

$$y^T K^{(2)} y \ge 0,$$
 where (4a.2)

$$K_{ij}^{(2)} = k_2(x_i, x_j')$$

Thus, from (4a.1) and (4a.2), we get

$$y^{T}(K^{(1)} + K^{(2)})y \ge 0 \ \forall y \in \mathbf{R} \implies$$
$$y^{T}K^{(3)}y \ge 0 \ \forall y \in \mathbf{R}$$
where
$$K_{ij}^{(3)} = k_{3}(x_{i}, x_{j}')$$

#### Problem 4: (b)

 $k_4(x, x') = f(x)f(x')$  Let  $K_{ij}^{(4)} = k_4(x_i, x_j) = f(x_i)f(x'_j)$ Since f(x) is a real valued function, consider  $K^{(4)}$ 

$$K^{(4)} = \begin{bmatrix} f(x_1)f(x_1') & f(x_1)f(x_2') & \cdots & f(x_1)f(x_n') \\ \vdots & & & & \\ f(x_n)f(x_1') & f(x_n)f(x_2') & \cdots & f(x_n)f(x_n') \end{bmatrix}$$

$$K^{(4)} = \vec{F(x)}_{n \times 1} \vec{F(x)}_{1 \times n}^T$$
where

$$F(x)_{1\times n}^{T} = \begin{pmatrix} f(x_1) \\ f(x_2) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

Now consider  $y^T K^{(4)} y = y^T F(x) F(x)^T y = y^T F(x) (y^T F(x))^T = ||y^T F(x)||_2^2 \ge 0$ Thus,  $k_2(.,.)$  is a valid kernel function!.

#### Problem 4: (c)

 $k_5(x,x') = g(k_1(x,x'))$  where g is a polynomial with positive coefficients.

Since g has positive coefficients,  $g(x) \ge 0 \forall x \ge 0$ 

Now consider,

$$y^{T}K^{(5)}y = (y_1 \ y_2 \cdots y_n) \times \begin{bmatrix} g(k_1(x_1, x_1')) & g(k_1(x_1, x_2')) & \cdots & g(k_1(x_1, x_n')) \\ \vdots & & & & \\ g(k_1(x_n, x_1')) & g(k_1(x_n, x_2')) & \cdots & g(k_1(x_n, x_n')) \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$y^{T}K^{(5)}y = y_{1}g(k_{1}(x_{1}, x_{1}'))y_{1} + y_{2}g(k_{1}(x_{1}, x_{2}'))y_{2} + \cdots + y_{n}g(k_{1}(x_{n}, x_{n}'))y_{n}$$

CSCI-567 : Assignment #3

Since  $g(k_1(x_i, x_i)) \ge 0$ 

$$y^T K^{(5)} y > 0 \ \forall \ y \in \mathbf{R}$$

Thus  $k_5$  is a kernel

#### Problem 4: (d)

 $k_6(x, x') = k_1(x, x')k_2(x, x')$ 

Thus, in terms of our earlier defined matrix notation,  $K^{(6)} = K^{(1)} \circ K^{(2)}$  where  $\circ$  denotes element wise multiplication (also known as the Hadamard product).

Since,  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are valid kernel function  $\exists v_i w_j$  the eigen vectors of matrix  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  defines such that:  $K^{(1)} = \sum_i \lambda_i v_i v_i^T$  and  $K^{(2)} = \sum_j \mu_j w_j w_j^T$ 

Now.

$$K^{(6)} = K^{(1)} \circ K^{(2)}$$

$$= \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} v_{i} v_{i}^{T} \circ \sum_{j} \mu_{j} w_{j} w_{j}^{T}$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{i} \mu_{j} (v_{i} v_{i}^{T}) \circ w_{j} w_{j}^{T}$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{i} \mu_{j} (v_{i} \circ w_{j}) (v_{j} \circ w_{j})^{T}$$

$$> 0$$

Because  $(v_i \circ w_j)(v_j \circ w_j)^T = ||v_i w_j||_2^2 \ge 0$ 

# Problem 4: (e)

 $k_7(x, x') = exp(k_1(x, x'))$ 

Just like subpart (c), here g(x) = exp(x) (it's not a polynomial, though that does not affect the derivation we came up with in part (c)). So this is immediate from part (c).

#### Problem 1

#### Problem 1: (a)

Let  $\sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}}$  and

$$P(Y = 1|X = x) = \sigma(b + w^T x)P(Y = 0|X = x) = 1 - \sigma(b + w^T x)$$

Observe that Y = 1 when  $b + w^T x \ge 0$  and Y = 0 when  $b + w^T x < 0$ . Thus,

$$P(Y = y|X = x) = \sigma(b + w^{T})^{y}(1 - \sigma(b + w^{T}x))^{(1 - y)}$$

$$\log(P(Y = y|X = x)) = y\log(\sigma(b + w^{T}x))^{y} + (1 - y)\log(1 - \sigma(b + w^{T}x))$$

$$= y\log(\frac{\sigma(b + w^{T})}{1 - \sigma(b + w^{T}x)}) + \log(1 - \sigma(b + w^{T}x))$$

$$= y(b + w^{T}x) + \log(\frac{e^{-(b + w^{T}x)}}{1 + e^{-(b + w^{T}x)}})$$

$$= y(b + w^{T}x) + \log(\frac{1}{1 + e^{(b + w^{T}x)}})$$

$$= y(b + w^{T}x) - \log(1 + e^{(b + w^{T}x)})$$
([1.1])

$$\mathcal{L}(w) = -\log(\prod_{i=1}^{n} P(Y = y_i | X = x_i))$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(P(Y = y_i | X = x_i))$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i (b + w^T x_i) - \log(1 + e^{(b + w^T x_i)}))$$

Consider  $(L)(w) = y(b + w^T x) - \log(1 + e^{(b+w^T x_i)})$ 

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(w)}{\partial w} = -(xy^T) + \frac{e^{(b+w^Tx)}x}{1 + e^{(b+w^Tx)}}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}(w)}{\partial w^2} = 0 + \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \left(x - \frac{x}{1 + e^{(b+w^Tx)}}\right)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}(w)}{\partial w^2} = \frac{x(e^{(b+w^Tx)})x^T}{(1 + e^{(b+w^Tx)})^2} \ge 0 \ \forall \ x \in \mathbf{R}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}(w)}{\partial w^2} = x^T \sigma(b + w^Tx)(1 - \sigma(b + w^Tx))x \ge 0$$
(1.2)

From (1.2)  $\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}(w)}{\partial w^2} \ge 0$  and hence, from the definition of convex functions,  $\mathcal{L}(w)$  is indeed a convex function.

#### Problem 1: (b)

When the data is perfectly linearly separable, (assume first n/2 of the n training points belong to class 0 and the remaining to class 1), thus our regression model should assign the first n/2 points to class with cent percent certainity or with probability 1 and the remaining n/2 to class 0 with probability 1. For this to happen,  $P(Y = 1|X = X_1) = 1$  and  $P(Y = 0|X = X_0) = 1$  where  $X_1$  is the set of points belonging to class 1 and  $X_0$  is the set of points belonging to class 0.

Clearly this scenario is possible when  $||w|| \longrightarrow \infty$ 

#### Problem 1: (c)

A simple example with two points would be (0,0), (1,1). Intuitively the step function's step branches (the horizontals of a sigmooid function) will be located at infinity. Also the line separating the points (0,0) and (1,1) can be anywhere in between 0 and 1, thus there will be multiple solutions.

#### Problem 1: (d)

$$\mathcal{L}(w) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( -y_j(b + w^T x_j) + \log(1 + e^{(b + w^T x_j)}) \right) + \lambda ||w||_2^2$$

$$\frac{\partial(\mathcal{L})(w)}{\partial w_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( -y_j(x_{ji}) + \frac{x_{ji}e^{(b + w^T x_j)x_{ij}}}{1 + e^{(b + w^T x_j)}} \right) + 2\lambda w_i = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^2(\mathcal{L})(w)}{\partial w_i^2} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{x_{ji}^2e^{(b + w^T x_j)x_{ij}}}{(1 + e^{(b + w^T x_j)})^2} \right) + 2\lambda > 0$$

where the last inequality holds since  $\lambda > 0$  Consider  $f(w_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( -y_j(x_{ji}) + \frac{x_{ji}e^{(b+w^Tx_j)x_{ij}}}{1+e^{(b+w^Tx_j)}} \right) + 0$ 

 $2\lambda w_i = 0$ 

And u, v are the two solutions of  $f(w_i) = 0$ , i.e. f(u) = f(v) = 0 (Without loss of generality, assume u < v)

By Rolle's theorem, If f(u) = f(v) = 0 then there exists a point in [u, v] say c such that f'(c) = 0 for  $c \in [u, v]$ 

But,  $f'(w_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{x_{ji}^2 e^{(b+w^T x_j) x_{ij}}}{(1+e^{(b+w^T x_j)})^2} \right) + 2\lambda > 0$  and hence there exists no such c.

and hence the function is convex, thus the solution to the partial differential  $\frac{\partial(\mathcal{L})(w)}{\partial w_i}$  is unique.

#### Problem 3

Problem 2

#### Problem 3: (a)

Consider  $||w||_0 = \#i : w_i \neq 0$  for a 1D case. Where,  $x_1 = (0)$  and  $x_2 = (\epsilon)$  where  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  $f(w) = \sum_{i} I\{w_i \neq 0\}$ 

Since we are in 1D:
$$f(w) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w=0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$f(0) = 0$$

$$f(\epsilon) = 1$$

$$f(\lambda \times 0 + (1 - \lambda) \times \epsilon) = 1 \forall 0 < \lambda < 1$$

$$\lambda f(0) + (1 - \lambda) f(\epsilon) = 1 - \lambda 0 < 1 - \lambda$$

$$(2a.1)$$

$$(2a.2)$$

From (2a.1), (2a.2) we see:

 $f(\lambda \times 0 + (1 - \lambda) \times \epsilon) > \lambda f(0) + (1 - \lambda) f(\epsilon)$ 

Thus,  $||w||_0$  is not a convex function!

## Problem 3: (b)

 $||w||_1 = \sum_i |w_i|$ 

Consider two vectors  $u, v(\text{same dimension say in } \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{D}})$ 

Assume:  $0\lambda < 1$ 

$$||\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v|| = \sum_{i=1}^{D} |\lambda u_i + (1 - \lambda)v_i|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{D} (|\lambda u_i| + |(1 - \lambda)v|) \text{ (since } |a + b| \leq |a| + |b| \forall \ a, b \in R)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{D} |\lambda||u_i| + \sum_{i=1}^{D} |1 - \lambda||v_i|$$

$$= \lambda||u||_1 + (1 - \lambda)||v||_1 \text{ since}(0\lambda < 1)$$
(2a.1)

From (2b.1), we see.  $||\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v||_1 \le \lambda ||u||_1 + (1 - \lambda)||v||_1$ 

And hence,  $||w||_1$  is a convex function.

# Problem 3: (c)

The idea of regularisation here is to bound the hyperparameters  $w_i$  so that they are less prone to overfitting. One simple QP equaivalent is this:

 $min_w \frac{1}{2} (y_1 - w^T x_1, y_2 - w^T x_2 \cdots y_n - w^T x_n)^T I(y_1 - w^T x_1, y_2 - w^T x_2 \cdots y_n - w^T x_n)$  where I is the  $n \times n$ identity matrix and the constraint is:

 $||w||_1 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$ 

#### Problem 2

#### Problem 2: (a)

 $\overline{min_w(\sum_i (y_i - w^T x_i)^2 + \lambda ||w||_2^2)}$ In more compact matrix notation, let:

$$y_{n\times 1} = (y_1 \ y_2 \ \cdots \ y_n)^T$$
$$X_{n\times D} = (x_1^T \ x_2^T \ \cdots \ x_n^T)^T$$

This notation, reduces the above function to:  $min_w(||y - w^T X||_2^2 + \lambda ||w||_2^2)$ 

$$\begin{split} f(w) &= \min_{w} (||y - Xw||_{2}^{2} + \lambda ||w||_{2}^{2}) \\ &= (y - Xw)^{T} (y - Xw) + \lambda w^{T} w \\ &= (y^{T} - w^{T} X^{T}) (y - Xw) + \lambda w^{T} w \\ &= y^{T} y - y^{T} Xw - w^{T} X^{T} y + w^{T} X^{T} Xw + \lambda w^{T} w \\ &= y^{T} y - (X^{T} y)^{T} w - w^{T} X^{T} y + w^{T} X^{T} Xw + \lambda w^{T} w \\ &\frac{\partial f(w)}{\partial w} = -X^{T} y - X^{T} y + 2\lambda w + (X^{T} Xw + (XX^{T} w)) = 0 \\ &= 2\lambda w + 2X^{T} Xw - 2X^{T} y = 0 \\ \mathbf{w}(\lambda I_{D} + X^{T} w) = X^{T} y \\ &\mathbf{w} = (X^{T} Xw + \lambda I_{D})^{-1} X^{T} y \end{split}$$

## Problem 2: (b)

 $\min_w(||y-w^T\Phi||_2^2+\lambda||w||_2^2)$  From the previous part, the solution should be of similar form:  $\mathbf{w} = (\Phi^T\Phi + \lambda I_D)^{-1}\Phi^Ty$  Using the identity:  $(P^{-1} + B^TR^{-1}B)^{-1}B^TR^{-1} = PB^T(BPB^T + R)^{-1}$  Thus,  $\big((\lambda I_D + \Phi^T\Phi)^{-1}\big)\Phi^Ty = \Phi^T\big(\Phi\Phi^T + \lambda I_N\big)^{-1}y$   $w^* = \Phi^T(\Phi\Phi^T + \lambda I_N)^{-1}y$ 

# Problem 2: (c)

$$\hat{y} = w^{*T}\Phi(x)$$

$$\hat{y} = \left(\Phi^{T}(\Phi\Phi^{T} + \lambda I_{N})^{-1}y\right)^{T}\Phi(x) = y^{T}\left((\Phi\Phi^{T} + \lambda I_{N})^{-1}\right)^{T}\Phi^{T}\Phi(x)$$
Now using the property,  $(A^{-1})^{T} = (A^{T})^{-1}$ 

$$\hat{y} == y^{T}\left((\Phi\Phi^{T} + \lambda I_{N})^{-1}\right)^{T}\Phi^{T}\Phi(x)$$

$$= y^{T}\left((\Phi\Phi^{T} + \lambda I_{N})^{T}\right)^{-1}\Phi^{T}\Phi(x)$$

$$= y^{T}\left((\Phi^{T} + \lambda I_{N})^{T}\right)^{-1}\Phi^{T}\Phi(x)$$

$$= y^{T}\left((\Phi^{T} + \lambda I_{N})^{T}\right)^{-1}\Phi^{T}\Phi(x)$$

# Problem 2: (d)