Day56 Decision Tree Classifier

August 2, 2025

1 Decision Tree Theory & Example

Decision Tree is a supervised machine learning algorithm used for both classification and regression. It works by splitting the dataset into smaller subsets based on the most significant attributes (features), forming a tree structure.

Each internal node tests a feature, each branch is the result of a test, and each leaf node is a class label (output).

Why Decision Tree?

- Easy to understand and visualize.
- Works for both numerical and categorical data.

Key Concepts:

Entropy:

Entropy is a measure of impurity or randomness in data. It answers: "How mixed are the values in our target column?"

- If all values are same entropy = 0 (pure)
- If values are mixed equally entropy = 1 (maximum impurity)

Formula:

$$\mathrm{Entropy}(S) = -p_+ \log_2(p_+) - p_- \log_2(p_-)$$

Where:

- p+ = proportion of positive class (e.g., 'up' in below example)
- p- = proportion of negative class (e.g., 'down')

Information Gain (IG):

Information Gain is the reduction in entropy after splitting on a feature.

The feature with the highest IG becomes the root node.

Pruning:

Once a tree is built, **pruning** removes overfitted branches to improve generalization and avoid noise.

Gini Index:

Alternative to Entropy. It is faster to compute. Formula:

$$\mathrm{Gini} = 1 - \sum p_j^2$$

Sklearn uses **Gini** by default because it is faster (no log function).

In ML, we build a tree using data and math (Entropy, Information Gain, Gini).

Important Question: How do we decide what becomes the root node?

Steps:

- 1. Identify the Dependent Variable (Target) the output you want to predict (e.g., Profit).
- 2. Compute Entropy and Information Gain (IG) for all Independent Variables.
- 3. The feature with the highest Information Gain becomes the root node.

Root node = Feature with highest IG

Pruning: A technique used to reduce overfitting by cutting unnecessary branches. Similar to feature elimination.

Gini vs Entropy:

- Gini is default in sklearn because it's faster (no log).
- Entropy gives more information but is computationally heavier.

2 Manual Calculation

We are using a small dataset to manually understand how entropy and IG work. This dataset has four columns:

- Age: old, mid, new
- Competition: yes, no
- Type: software, hardware
- Profit (Target): up or down

Goal:

- 1. Calculate total entropy of the target column (Profit)
- 2. Calculate entropy for each feature (Age, Competition, Type)
- 3. Calculate Information Gain (IG) for each feature
- 4. Select the feature with highest $IG \rightarrow it$ becomes the root node

```
 'Competition': ['yes', 'no', 'yes', 'yes', 'no', 'no', 'yes', 'no',
    'no'],
    'Type': ['s_w', 's_w', 'h_w', 's_w', 'h_w', 's_w', 's_w', 'h_w',
    's_w'],
    'Profit': ['down', 'down', 'down', 'down', 'up', 'up', 'up', 'up', 'up',
    'up']
})
print("\n Dataset:")
print(data)
```

Dataset:

```
Age Competition Type Profit
0 old
              yes s_w
                        down
1 old
              no s_w
                        down
2 old
                        down
              no h_w
3 mid
              yes s_w
                        down
4 mid
              yes h_w
                        down
5 mid
              no h_w
                          up
6 mid
              no s_w
                          up
7 mid
              yes s_w
                          up
8 new
              no h_w
                          up
9 new
              no s w
                          up
```

2.1 Total Entropy of 'Profit'

Count how many 'up' and 'down' values in the target column (Profit)

```
[2]: up = len(data[data['Profit'] == 'up']) # 5
    down = len(data[data['Profit'] == 'down']) # 5
    total = up + down
    p_up = up / total
    p_down = down / total
    entropy_total = - (p_up * math.log2(p_up) + p_down * math.log2(p_down))
    print("\n Entropy(Profit):", round(entropy_total, 3))
```

Entropy(Profit): 1.0

2.2 Entropy(Age)

- Age=old \rightarrow 3 down, 0 up Entropy = 0
- Age=mid \rightarrow 2 down, 2 up Entropy = 1
- Age=new $\rightarrow 0$ down, 2 up Entropy = 0

```
[3]: entropy_age = (3/10)*0 + (4/10)*1 + (2/10)*0

IG_age = entropy_total - entropy_age

print("Entropy(Age):", round(entropy_age, 3), "→ IG(Age):", round(IG_age, 3))
```

```
Entropy(Age): 0.4 \rightarrow IG(Age): 0.6
```

2.3 Entropy(Competition)

Competition=yes $\rightarrow 4$ samples $\rightarrow 3$ down, 1 up

```
[4]: py_down = 3/4
py_up = 1/4
entropy_yes = - (py_down * math.log2(py_down) + py_up * math.log2(py_up))
```

Competition=no \rightarrow 6 samples \rightarrow 2 down, 4 up

```
[5]: pn_down = 2/6
    pn_up = 4/6
    entropy_no = - (pn_down * math.log2(pn_down) + pn_up * math.log2(pn_up))
```

Entropy(Competition): 0.875 → IG(Competition): 0.125

3 Entropy(Type)

- s_w \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 3 up, 3 down \rightarrow entropy = 1
- h w \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 up, 2 down \rightarrow entropy = 1

```
[7]: entropy_type = (6/10)*1 + (4/10)*1

IG_type = entropy_total - entropy_type

print("Entropy(Type):", round(entropy_type, 3), "→ IG(Type):", round(IG_type, □

→3))
```

Entropy(Type): $1.0 \rightarrow IG(Type): 0.0$

3.1 Summary

```
[8]: print("\n Information Gain Summary:")
    print("IG(Age):", round(IG_age, 3))
    print("IG(Competition):", round(IG_comp, 3))
    print("IG(Type):", round(IG_type, 3))
```

Information Gain Summary:
IG(Age): 0.6
IG(Competition): 0.125
IG(Type): 0.0

3.2 Visual Tree Explanation

```
[9]: print("""
     Final Tree Split:
     Root Node: Age (Highest IG = 0.6)
     Age = old → Profit = down (pure)
     Age = new → Profit = up (pure)
     Age = mid → Need further split (best next: Competition)
     Final Tree Split:
    Root Node: Age (Highest IG = 0.6)
    Age = old → Profit = down (pure)
    Age = new → Profit = up (pure)
    Age = mid → Need further split (best next: Competition)
    Tree:
            Age
          / | \
       Old Mid New
            1
     Down Comp
                 Uр
```

```
↓ ↓ ↓
Down Comp Up

/ \
Y N
↓ ↓
Down Up

Gain(Age) = 0.6
Gain(Competition) = 0.124
Gain(Type) = 0
→ Age becomes the root node
```

3.3 Gini vs Entropy Markdown

from IPython.display import Markdown as md

Gini Index vs Entropy

Criteria	Formula	Explanation
Entropy Gini Index	$\frac{(-\sum p_j \log_2(p_j))}{(1-\sum p_j^2)}$	Measures disorder, uses log Measures impurity, faster

• Sklearn uses **Gini** by default.

• Use criterion='entropy' for entropy-based trees.

4 Practical Code

4.1 Imported Libraries

```
[10]: from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, confusion_matrix import warnings warnings('ignore')
```

4.2 Load Dataset

```
[11]: print("\n Loaded Dataset:")
  dataset = pd.read_csv(r"C:\Users\Lenovo\Downloads\logit classification.csv")
  print(dataset.head())
```

Loaded Dataset:

	User ID	Gender	Age	EstimatedSalary	Purchased
0	15624510	Male	19	19000	0
1	15810944	Male	35	20000	0
2	15668575	Female	26	43000	0
3	15603246	Female	27	57000	0
4	15804002	Male	19	76000	0

4.3 Select Features and Target

```
[12]: X = dataset[["Age", "EstimatedSalary"]].values
y = dataset["Purchased"].values
```

4.4 Train-Test Split

4.5 Without Scaling

```
[14]: tree_model_plain = DecisionTreeClassifier()
tree_model_plain.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

[14]: DecisionTreeClassifier()

```
[15]: y_pred_plain = tree_model_plain.predict(X_test)
print("\n Decision Tree WITHOUT SCALING")
print("Accuracy:", accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_plain))
```

```
print("Confusion Matrix:\n", confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred_plain))
      Decision Tree WITHOUT SCALING
     Accuracy: 0.91
     Confusion Matrix:
      [[62 6]
      [ 3 29]]
     4.6 With Scaling
[16]: scaler = StandardScaler()
      X_train_scaled = scaler.fit_transform(X_train)
      X_test_scaled = scaler.transform(X_test)
[17]: tree_model_scaled = DecisionTreeClassifier()
      tree_model_scaled.fit(X_train_scaled, y_train)
[17]: DecisionTreeClassifier()
[18]: y_pred_scaled = tree_model_scaled.predict(X_test_scaled)
      print("\n Decision Tree WITH SCALING")
      print("Accuracy:", accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_scaled))
      print("Confusion Matrix:\n", confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred_scaled))
      Decision Tree WITH SCALING
     Accuracy: 0.9
     Confusion Matrix:
      [[62 6]
      [ 4 28]]
     4.7 With max_depth (1, 2, 3) Without Scaling
[19]: for depth in [1, 2, 3]:
          model = DecisionTreeClassifier(max_depth=depth)
          model.fit(X_train, y_train)
[20]: y_pred = model.predict(X_test)
      print(f"\n Decision Tree (max_depth={depth}) WITHOUT SCALING")
      print("Accuracy:", accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred))
      print("Confusion Matrix:\n", confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred))
      Decision Tree (max_depth=3) WITHOUT SCALING
     Accuracy: 0.94
     Confusion Matrix:
      [[64 4]
      [ 2 30]]
```

4.8 With max_depth (1, 2, 3) With Scaling

5 Functional Version (LOOPED) Advanced

```
[23]: def train_and_evaluate_decision_tree(X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test,__

¬scaled=False):
          results = []
          for depth in [0, 1, 2, 3]:
              if depth == 0:
                  clf = DecisionTreeClassifier()
              else:
                  clf = DecisionTreeClassifier(max_depth=depth)
              clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
              y_pred = clf.predict(X_test)
              acc = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred)
              cm = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred)
              results.append({
                  'Scaled': scaled,
                  'max_depth': depth,
                  'Accuracy': acc,
                  'Confusion_Matrix': cm
              })
          return results
```

5.1 Run both scaled and unscaled

```
[24]: results_unscaled = train_and_evaluate_decision_tree(X_train, X_test, y_train, \( \to y_test, \) scaled=False)
results_scaled = train_and_evaluate_decision_tree(X_train_scaled, \( \to X_test_scaled, y_train, y_test, scaled=True)
```

5.2 Combine and Display Results

```
[25]: final_results = pd.DataFrame(results_unscaled + results_scaled)
print("\n Final Comparison Table:")
print(final_results)
```

Final Comparison Table:

```
Scaled max_depth
                      Accuracy
                                   Confusion_Matrix
                                 [[62, 6], [3, 29]]
0
   False
                   0
                           0.91
1
    False
                   1
                           0.89
                                 [[66, 2], [9, 23]]
2
    False
                   2
                           0.94 [[64, 4], [2, 30]]
    False
                           0.94 [[64, 4], [2, 30]]
3
                   3
4
                           0.90 [[62, 6], [4, 28]]
     True
                   0
5
     True
                    1
                           0.89 [[66, 2], [9, 23]]
6
                    2
                           0.94 [[64, 4], [2, 30]]
     True
7
                           0.94 [[64, 4], [2, 30]]
     True
                    3
```

5.3 Save to CSV

```
[26]: final_results.to_csv("decision_tree_comparison.csv", index=False)
print("\n Results saved to 'decision_tree_comparison.csv'")
```

Results saved to 'decision_tree_comparison.csv'

Analysis:

- All models with max depth=2 or 3 gave the highest accuracy: 94%.
- Models with depth=0 (no depth limit) performed similarly to max_depth=2 and 3.
- Scaling had **no impact** on decision tree accuracy here performance was consistent with and without scaling.
- Models with max_depth=1 slightly underperformed (accuracy 89%), suggesting insufficient depth to capture splits.

Best Model:

- Any model with max_depth=2 or 3, whether scaled or not.
- Confusion Matrix: $[[64, 4], [2, 30]] \rightarrow \text{Very few misclassifications.}$
- Balanced performance across both classes (Purchased = 0 or 1).