

# Probability and Statistics

## 3 – Discrete Random Variables

Stefan Heiss

Technische Hochschule Ostwestfalen-Lippe  
Dep. of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

November 3, 2023

# Independent Random Variables

## Definition (3.26)

Let  $X, Y$  be discrete random variables with respect to the same probability measure  $\Pr$ , i.e. with respect to the same triple  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \Pr)$ . Then  $X$  and  $Y$  are called independent random variables if for all subsets  $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  the events  $X^{-1}(S)$  and  $Y^{-1}(T)$  are independent, i.e.:

$$\Pr(X^{-1}(S) \cap Y^{-1}(T)) = \Pr(X \in S, Y \in T) = \Pr(X \in S) \cdot \Pr(Y \in T) = \Pr(X^{-1}(S)) \cdot \Pr(Y^{-1}(T))$$

More generally, any finite number of random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are *independent*, if

$$\Pr\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{X_i \in S_i\}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n \Pr(X_i \in S_i)$$

$X_i^{-1}(S_i)$

for all subsets  $S_1, \dots, S_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

# Independent Random Variables



## Lemma (3.27)

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be independent random variables and  $h, k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then also  $h(X)$  and  $k(Y)$  are independent random variables.

$$\text{Let } S, T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_r(h(X) \in S, k(Y) \in T) &= \mathbb{P}_r((h \circ X)^{-1}(S) \cap (k \circ Y)^{-1}(T)) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_r(X^{-1}(\underline{h^{-1}(S)}) \cap Y^{-1}(\underline{k^{-1}(T)})) = \mathbb{P}_r(X^{-1}(\underline{h^{-1}(S)})) \cdot \mathbb{P}_r(Y^{-1}(\underline{k^{-1}(T)})) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_r((h(X))^{-1}(S)) \cdot \mathbb{P}_r((k(Y))^{-1}(T)) = \mathbb{P}_r(h(X) \in S) \cdot \mathbb{P}_r(k(Y) \in T) \end{aligned}$$

□

# Independent Random Variables

## Lemma (3.28)

Let  $X, Y$  be discrete random variables with respect to the same probability measure  $\Pr$ , i.e. with respect to the same triple  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \Pr)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent

$$\Pr(X \in S, Y \in T) = \Pr(X \in S) \cdot \Pr(Y \in T) \quad \text{f.o. } S, T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Pr(X=x, Y=y) = \Pr(X \in \{x\}, Y \in \{y\}) = \Pr(X \in \{x\}) \cdot \Pr(Y \in \{y\}) = p_X(x) \cdot p_Y(y)$$

(ii)  $p_{XY}(x, y) = p_X(x) \cdot p_Y(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$

(iii)  $E(h(X) \cdot k(Y)) = E(h(X)) \cdot E(k(Y))$  for all functions  $h, k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

## Proof of (3.28)

In order to prove the lemma, it suffices to show:

$$(i) \implies (ii) \implies (iii) \implies (i)$$

(i)  $\implies$  (ii): Trivial, as (ii) simply states the independence of events  $X^{-1}(S)$  and  $Y^{-1}(T)$ , where  $S$  and  $T$  just consist of single elements:  $S = \{x\}$ ,  $T = \{y\}$ .

see last slide !

## Proof of (3.28)

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii):

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(h(X) \cdot k(Y)) &= \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2} \underline{h(x) k(y)} \cdot p_{XY}(x, y) && \text{by (3.24)(i) with } g: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g: (x,y) \mapsto h(x) \cdot k(y) \\
 &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{R}} h(x) k(y) \cdot p_X(x) p_Y(y) && \text{(by (ii))} \\
 &= \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} h(x) p_X(x) \right) \left( \sum_{y \in \mathbb{R}} k(y) p_Y(y) \right) \\
 &= E(h(X)) \cdot E(k(Y))
 \end{aligned}$$

## Proof of (3.28)

(iii)  $\implies$  (i): Let  $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Consider the indicator functions  $I_S : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and  $I_T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  defined by:

$$I_S(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in S \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin S \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad I_T(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in T \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin T \end{cases}$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(X \in S) \cdot \Pr(Y \in T) &= \left( \sum_{x \in S} p_X(x) \right) \left( \sum_{y \in T} p_Y(y) \right) \\ &= \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} I_S(x) p_X(x) \right) \left( \sum_{y \in \mathbb{R}} I_T(y) p_Y(y) \right) \end{aligned}$$

## Proof of (3.28)

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} I_S(x) p_X(x) \right) \left( \sum_{y \in \mathbb{R}} I_T(y) p_Y(y) \right) &= E(I_S(X)) \cdot E(I_T(Y)) \\
&= E(I_S(X) \cdot I_T(Y)) && \text{(by (iii))} \\
&= \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2} I_S(x) \cdot I_T(y) \cdot p_{XY}(x,y) && \text{(3.24) (i)} \\
&= \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{y \in T} p_{XY}(x,y) \\
&= \Pr(X \in S, Y \in T)
\end{aligned}$$



# Independent Random Variables

## Corollary (3.29)

(i) Let  $X, Y$  be independent random variables. Then:

$$E(XY) = E(X) \cdot E(Y)$$

(3.28) (iii) with  
 $h = h \circ \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$

(ii) Let  $X, Y$  be independent random variables with moment generating functions  $\phi_X(t)$  and  $\phi_Y(t)$ . Then:

$$\phi_{X+Y}(t) = \phi_X(t) \cdot \phi_Y(t)$$

$h = h \circ \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}: x \mapsto e^{x \cdot t}$

(iii) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent random variables having all the same distribution. Then:

$$\phi_{X_1 + \dots + X_n}(t) = (\phi_{X_1}(t))^n$$

# Correlation

## Definition (3.30)

The *correlation* between two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  is defined to be  $E(XY)$ .

# Cauchy–Schwarz Inequality

## Lemma (3.31)

Let  $X, Y$  be random variables. Then:

$$|E(XY)| \leq \sqrt{E(X^2) \cdot E(Y^2)}$$

If  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ , then equality holds if and only if there exists some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  with:

$$X(\omega) = \lambda \cdot Y(\omega) \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \Omega^* := \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \Pr(\{\omega\}) > 0\}$$

(In this case:  $\lambda = \frac{E(XY)}{E(Y^2)}$ )

## Proof of (3.31)

Put  $\lambda := \frac{E(XY)}{E(Y^2)}$ . Then:

$$0 \leq E((X - \lambda Y)^2) = E(X^2 - 2\lambda XY + \lambda^2 Y^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq E(X^2) - 2\lambda E(XY) + \lambda^2 E(Y^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq E(X^2) - 2 \frac{(E(XY))^2}{E(Y^2)} + \frac{(E(XY))^2}{(E(Y^2))^2} \cancel{E(Y^2)}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq E(X^2) - \frac{(E(XY))^2}{E(Y^2)}$$

$$\Rightarrow (E(XY))^2 \leq E(X^2) E(Y^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow |E(XY)| \leq \sqrt{E(X^2) \cdot E(Y^2)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |E(XY)| &= \sqrt{E(X^2) \cdot E(Y^2)} \\ \Rightarrow 0 &= E((X - \lambda Y)^2) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(X - \lambda Y)^2] = 0 \Rightarrow X - \lambda Y = 0 \end{aligned}$$

# Covariance

## Definition (3.32)

Let  $X, Y$  be random variables and  $m_X = E(X)$ ,  $\sigma_X^2 = \text{Var}(X)$ ,  $m_Y = E(Y)$ ,  $\sigma_Y^2 = \text{Var}(Y)$ . The *covariance* between  $X$  and  $Y$  is defined by:

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) := E((X - m_X)(Y - m_Y)) \stackrel{=}{=} E(XY - m_Y X - m_X Y + m_X m_Y) \\ = \underline{E(XY)} - \underline{m_X m_Y}$$

$X$  and  $Y$  are said to be uncorrelated if  $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$ .

$X, Y$  independent  $\implies X, Y$  uncorrelated

Remark (3.33)

Let  $X, Y$  be random variables. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, then  $X$  and  $Y$  are also uncorrelated.

*pf.: see (3.23) (i)*

# Normalized Random Variable

## Definition (3.34)

Let  $X$  be a random variable whose expectation  $m = E(X)$  and standard deviation  $\sigma_X$  exist and are finite. Then, the *normalized random variable* defined by  $X$  is:

$$X^\circ = \frac{X - m}{\sigma_X}$$

Note:  $E(X^\circ) = 0$  and  $\sigma_{X^\circ} = 1$ .

← Exc. : Check it!

# Correlation Coefficient

## Definition (3.35)

The *correlation coefficient* of  $X$  and  $Y$  is defined to be the correlation between the normalized random variables defined by  $X$  and  $Y$ :

$$\rho_{XY} := E \left( \left( \frac{X - m_X}{\sigma_X} \right) \left( \frac{Y - m_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right) \right) = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}$$

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = E((X - m_X)(Y - m_Y))$$



# Correlation Coefficient

## Lemma (3.36)

Let  $X, Y$  be random variables. Then:

$$|\rho_{XY}| \leq 1$$

If  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ , then equality holds if and only if  $X|_{\Omega^*}$  and  $Y|_{\Omega^*}$  are related by a linear function plus a constant, where  $\Omega^* := \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \Pr(\{\omega\}) > 0\}$ .

## Proof of (3.36)

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\rho_{XY}| &= \left| E \left( \left( \frac{X - m_X}{\sigma_X} \right) \left( \frac{Y - m_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right) \right) \right| \\
 &\stackrel{(3.31)}{\leq} \sqrt{E \left( \left( \frac{X - m_X}{\sigma_X} \right)^2 \right) E \left( \left( \frac{Y - m_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right)^2 \right)} = 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,  $|\rho_{XY}| = 1$ , if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{X|_{\Omega^*} - m_X}{\sigma_X} &= \lambda \cdot \frac{Y|_{\Omega^*} - m_Y}{\sigma_Y} \quad (\lambda = \rho_{XY} \in \{1, -1\}) \\
 \iff X|_{\Omega^*} &= \rho_{XY} \cdot \frac{\sigma_X}{\sigma_Y} \cdot Y|_{\Omega^*} + \left( m_X - \rho_{XY} \cdot \frac{\sigma_X}{\sigma_Y} m_Y \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Var}(X_1 + \cdots + X_n)$$

### Theorem (3.37)

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be random variables. Then:

$$\text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i) + 2 \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)$$