Name: Akshay Peshave

```
Q1. Checking validity
>>> tt_true(Expr('|', P, expr('~P')))
True
>>>
>>> tt_true(Expr('>>', P, P))
True
>>>
>>> tt_true(Expr('>>', P, expr('P | Q')))
True
>>>
>>> tt_true(Expr('>>', expr('P | Q'), P))
False
>>> tt_true(Expr('<=>', Expr('>>', expr('A & B'), expr('C')), Expr('>>', A, expr('B >> C'))))
True
>>>
>>> tt_true(Expr('>>', Expr('>>', expr('A >> B'), A), A))
True
Q2. Satisfiability
>>> dpll_satisfiable(Expr('>>', 'Alive', NaryExpr('&', expr('~Dead'), expr('~Alive'), expr('~Dead'))))
{Alive: False}
>>>
>>> dpll_satisfiable(Expr('>>', P, Expr('|', expr('~P'), P)))
{P: True}
>>>
>>> dpll_satisfiable(Expr('~', Expr('|', P, expr('~P'))))
False
Q3. Propositional Consequence
    2. p \models p \land q: False
    3. p \models p \lor q: True
    4. p ⊨¬¬p: True
```

5. $p \rightarrow q \models \neg p \rightarrow \neg q$: False

- 6. $\neg p \models p \rightarrow q$: True
- 7. $\neg q \models p \rightarrow q$: False
- 8. $p, p \rightarrow q \models q$: True
- 9. $\neg p, q \rightarrow p \models \neg q$: True

Q4. English to FOL

2. Everything is either dead or alive.

3. Dead things are not animate.

4. Zombies are not alive but they are animate.

5. Good food is not cheap and cheap food is not good.

A x food(x)
$$^$$
 (good(x) <=> $^$ cheap(x))

6. John has exactly two brothers.

E x, y brother(John, x)
$$^{\land}$$
 brother(John, y) $^{\land}$ $^{\leftarrow}$ (x=y) $^{\land}$ $^{\leftarrow}$ (E z brother(John, z) $^{\land}$ $^{\leftarrow}$ (z=x) $^{\land}$ $^{\leftarrow}$ (z=y))

7. No person can have two mothers.

$$Ax$$
, y , z person(x) \wedge person(y) \wedge person(z) \wedge mother(x , z) \wedge mother(y , z) \wedge (y = z)

8. If John has a sister, she is smart.

9. Every person is either male or female and no person can be both male and female.

$$\mathbf{A} \times \operatorname{person}(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{male}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{v} \operatorname{female}(\mathbf{x}) \wedge \operatorname{male}(\mathbf{x}) \wedge \operatorname{female}(\mathbf{x})$$

10. The enemy of your enemy is your friend.

A x, y (enemy(you, x)
$$^{\circ}$$
 enemy(y, x)) => friend(you, y)

11. An ancestor of your ancestor is your ancestor.

A x, y (ancestor(you, x) $^{\land}$ ancestor(x, y)) => ancestor(you, y)

Q5. CNF and Horn Clauses

2. $\forall x \ \forall y \ \text{married}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{loves}(x, y) \ v \ \text{hates}(x, y)$

Everyone either loves or hates those they have been married to.

It cannot be expressed as a set of horn clause.

CNF: $(loves(x, y) | \sim married(x, y) | hates(x, y))$

3. $\forall x \ \forall y \ loves(x, y) \leftrightarrow loves(y, x)$

Everyone loves all those who love them.

It can be expressed as a set of horn clauses.

CNF:
$$((loves(x, y) | \sim loves(y, x)) & (loves(y, x) | \sim loves(x, y)))$$

Horn Clause : $\{(loves(x, y) | \sim loves(y, x)), (loves(y, x) | \sim loves(x, y))\}$

4. $\forall x \ \forall y \ \text{dating}(x, y) \ v \ \text{engaged}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{knows}(x, y) \land \text{likes}(x, y)$

Everyone knows and likes those they are dating or are engaged to.

It can be expressed as a set of horn clauses.

CNF :
$$((\sim dating(x, y) \mid knows(x, y)) & (\sim engaged(x, y) \mid knows(x, y)) & (\sim dating(x, y) \mid likes(x, y)) & (\sim engaged(x, y) \mid likes(x, y)))$$

$$Horn\ Clause: \{(\sim\!dating(x,y) \mid knows(x,y))\ , (\sim\!engaged(x,y) \mid knows(x,y))\ , (\sim\!dating(x,y) \mid likes(x,y))\ , (\sim\!engaged(x,y) \mid likes(x,y))\}$$

5. $\forall x \forall y \text{ loves}(x, y) \rightarrow \neg \text{ hates}(x, y)$

No one hates those they love.

It can be expressed as a set of horn clauses.

$$(\sim hates(x, y) \mid \sim loves(x, y))$$

Horn Clause : $\{(\sim hates(x, y) \mid \sim loves(x, y))\}$

6. $\forall x \forall y \neg knows(x, y) \rightarrow \neg likes(x, y)$

No one likes those they don't know.

It can be expressed as a set of horn clauses.

 $(\sim likes(x, y) \mid knows(x, y))$

Horn Clause : $\{(\sim likes(x, y) | knows(x, y))\}$

7. $\forall x \exists y \text{ knows}(x, y) \land \text{hates}(x, y)$

Everyone hates someone they know.

It can be expressed as a set of horn clauses.

CNF: knows(x, F(x)) \land hates(x, F(x))

Horn Clause : $\{knows(x, F(x)), hates(x, F(x))\}$

skolem functions : $\{F(x)\}$

8. $\exists y \forall x \text{ knows}(x, y) \land \text{hates}(x, y)$

Some are hated by all those who know them.

It can be expressed as a set of horn clauses.

CNF : $knows(x, A) \land hates(x, A)$

Horn Clause : $\{knows(x, A), hates(x, A)\}$

skolem constants : $\{A\}$

9. $\neg (\forall x \text{ loves}(x, x))$

There are some who don't love themselves.

It can be expressed as a set of horn clauses.

```
CNF : \sim loves(A,A)
```

Horn Clause : ${\sim}loves(A,A)$

skolem constants : {A}

10.
$$\neg (\exists x \forall y \text{ knows}(x, y))$$

None knows everyone.

It can be expressed as a set of horn clauses.

```
CNF : \sim knows(x,F(x))
```

Horn Clause : $\{\sim knows(x,F(x))\}$

skolem functions : $\{F(x)\}$