# **FOXP2 Syndrome**

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK368474/

SummaryClinical characteristics.FOXP2-related speech and language disorder (FOXP2-SLD) is caused by heterozygous FOXP2 pathogenic variants (including whole- or partial-gene deletions). The core phenotype of FOXP2-SLD is childhood apraxia of speech (CAS), a disorder of speech motor programming or planning that affects the production, sequencing, timing, and stress of sounds, and the accurate sequencing of speech sounds into syllables and syllables into words. CAS also interferes nonselectively with multiple other aspects of language, including phonology, grammar, and literacy. Additional findings in FOXP2-SLD can include oral-motor dyspraxia (difficulty planning or programming oral movements on command); dysarthria; moderate-to-severe receptive and expressive language disorder; reading and spelling impairments; and fine motor difficulties. Nonverbal (performance) IQ is typically relatively preserved compared to verbal IQ; gross motor skills are normal. Autistic features or a diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder have been reported in some individuals. Diagnosis/testing. The diagnosis of FOXP2-SLD is established in a proband with suggestive findings and a heterozygous pathogenic (or likely pathogenic) variant in FOXP2 identified by molecular genetic testing. Management. Treatment of manifestations: There is no cure for FOXP2-SLD. Supportive care to improve quality of life, maximize function, and reduce complications is recommended. This ideally involves multidisciplinary care by speech-language pathologists (to individualize care, which may include use of nonverbal support or alternative means of communication), developmental pediatricians (to help guide parents through appropriate behavior management strategies and individualized education plans), occupational therapists (to address fine motor impairments), and mental health specialists (to address issues such as anxiety and depression, which can occur). Surveillance: To monitor existing manifestations, the individual's response to supportive care, and the emergence of new manifestations, the following evaluations are recommended: follow-up evaluations with standardized tests by a speech-language pathologist; review of educational progress/needs; review of mental health if anxiety and/or depression have

been issues or have emerged as issues. Genetic counseling. FOXP2-SLD is inherited in an autosomal dominant manner. About half of individuals diagnosed with FOXP2-SLD have the disorder as the result of a de novo pathogenic variant. If a parent of the proband has the FOXP2 pathogenic variant identified in the proband, the risk to sibs of inheriting the pathogenic variant is 50%. Once the FOXP2 pathogenic variant has been identified in an affected family member, prenatal and preimplantation genetic testing are possible.

Clinical characteristics.FOXP2-related speech and language disorder (FOXP2-SLD) is caused by heterozygous FOXP2 pathogenic variants (including whole- or partial-gene deletions). The core phenotype of FOXP2-SLD is childhood apraxia of speech (CAS), a disorder of speech motor programming or planning that affects the production, sequencing, timing, and stress of sounds, and the accurate sequencing of speech sounds into syllables and syllables into words. CAS also interferes nonselectively with multiple other aspects of language, including phonology, grammar, and literacy. Additional findings in FOXP2-SLD can include oral-motor dyspraxia (difficulty planning or programming oral movements on command); dysarthria; moderate-to-severe receptive and expressive language disorder; reading and spelling impairments; and fine motor difficulties.

Nonverbal (performance) IQ is typically relatively preserved compared to verbal IQ; gross motor skills are normal. Autistic features or a diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder have been reported in some individuals.

Diagnosis/testing. The diagnosis of FOXP2-SLD is established in a proband with suggestive findings and a heterozygous pathogenic (or likely pathogenic) variant in FOXP2 identified by molecular genetic testing.

Management. Treatment of manifestations: There is no cure for FOXP2-SLD. Supportive care to improve quality of life, maximize function, and reduce complications is recommended. This ideally involves multidisciplinary care by speech-language pathologists (to individualize care, which may

include use of nonverbal support or alternative means of communication), developmental pediatricians (to help guide parents through appropriate behavior management strategies and individualized education plans), occupational therapists (to address fine motor impairments), and mental health specialists (to address issues such as anxiety and depression, which can occur). Surveillance: To monitor existing manifestations, the individual's response to supportive care, and the emergence of new manifestations, the following evaluations are recommended: follow-up evaluations with standardized tests by a speech-language pathologist; review of educational progress/needs; review of mental health if anxiety and/or depression have been issues or have emerged as issues.

Genetic counseling.FOXP2-SLD is inherited in an autosomal dominant manner. About half of individuals diagnosed with FOXP2-SLD have the disorder as the result of a de novo pathogenic variant. If a parent of the proband has the FOXP2 pathogenic variant identified in the proband, the risk to sibs of inheriting the pathogenic variant is 50%. Once the FOXP2 pathogenic variant has been identified in an affected family member, prenatal and preimplantation genetic testing are possible.

GeneReview ScopeThis chapter addresses the core phenotype (speech and language disorder) and additional (variable) findings associated with intragenic FOXP2 pathogenic variants. The generally more severe phenotype associated with large copy number variants (i.e., contiguous gene deletions), structural variants (i.e., translocations or inversions), or maternal uniparental disomy of chromosome 7 involving FOXP2 and additional adjacent genes – referred to in this GeneReview as FOXP2-plus-related disorder – is outside the scope of this chapter. (See also Genetically Related Disorders.)

DiagnosisNo consensus clinical diagnostic criteria for FOXP2-related speech and language disorder (FOXP2-SLD) have been published. Suggestive FindingsFOXP2-SLD should be suspected in a child

with the following clinical findings and family history. Clinical Findings Childhood apraxia of speech (CAS) [American Speech-Language-Hearing Association 2007] (also known as developmental verbal dyspraxia, verbal dyspraxia, or speech dyspraxia)Children with CAS have difficulties in automatically and accurately sequencing speech sounds into words with the correct prosody. The diagnosis of CAS is made by assessment by a speech-language pathologist (also known as a speech and language therapist in the UK or speech pathologist in Australia). CAS is challenging to diagnose in a child younger than age three years; speech development is delayed in these children, and thus key manifestations are typically not seen or able to be elicited until the child has acquired sufficient speech to complete the verbal assessment tasks. Additional Clinical Findings Delayed speech developmentPoor oral-motor function (e.g., excessive drooling, early feeding difficulties)Oral-motor difficulties and/or oral-motor dyspraxiaDysarthriaReceptive and expressive language impairmentLow average IQ, typically with poorer verbal IQ compared to nonverbal IQ (and average nonverbal IQ reported in some)Reading and spelling impairmentFine and gross motor impairmentFamily HistoryFamily history is consistent with autosomal dominant inheritance (e.g., affected males and females in multiple generations). Absence of a known family history does not preclude the diagnosis. Establishing the Diagnosis The diagnosis of FOXP2-SLD is established in a proband with suggestive findings and a heterozygous pathogenic (or likely pathogenic) variant in FOXP2 identified by molecular genetic testing (see Table 1). Note: (1) Per ACMG/AMP variant interpretation guidelines, the terms "pathogenic variants" and "likely pathogenic variants" are synonymous in a clinical setting, meaning that both are considered diagnostic and both can be used for clinical decision making [Richards et al 2015]. Reference to "pathogenic variants" in this section is understood to include any likely pathogenic variants. (2) Identification of a heterozygous FOXP2 variant of uncertain significance does not establish or rule out the diagnosis. Molecular genetic testing approaches can include a combination of gene-targeted testing (multigene panel, single-gene testing) and comprehensive genomic testing (exome sequencing, genome sequencing). Gene-targeted testing requires the clinician to determine which gene(s) are likely involved (see Option 1), whereas genomic testing

may not (see Option 2). Option 1Single-gene testing. Sequence analysis of FOXP2 is performed first to detect small intragenic deletions/insertions and missense, nonsense, and splice site variants. Note: Depending on the sequencing method used, single-exon, multiexon, or whole-gene deletions/duplications may not be detected. If no variant is detected by the sequencing method used, the next step is to perform gene-targeted deletion/duplication analysis to detect exon and whole-gene deletions or duplications. An inherited disorders of speech delay and developmental delay / intellectual disability multigene panel that includes FOXP2 and other genes of interest (see Differential Diagnosis) is most likely to identify the genetic cause of the condition while limiting identification of variants of uncertain significance and pathogenic variants in genes that do not explain the underlying phenotype. Note: (1) The genes included in the panel and the diagnostic sensitivity of the testing used for each gene vary by laboratory and are likely to change over time. (2) Some multigene panels may include genes not associated with the condition discussed in this GeneReview. (3) In some laboratories, panel options may include a custom laboratory-designed panel and/or custom phenotype-focused exome analysis that includes genes specified by the clinician. (4) Methods used in a panel may include sequence analysis, deletion/duplication analysis, and/or other non-sequencing-based tests. For an introduction to multigene panels click here. More detailed information for clinicians ordering genetic tests can be found here. Option 2Exome sequencing is most commonly used; genome sequencing is also possible. For an introduction to comprehensive genomic testing click here. More detailed information for clinicians ordering genomic testing can be found here. Table 1. Molecular Genetic Testing Used in FOXP2-Related Speech and Language DisorderView in own windowGene&#160:1MethodProportion of Probands with a Pathogenic Variant 2 Detectable by Method

#### FOXP2

Sequence analysis 3~70% 4Gene-targeted deletion/duplication analysis 5~30% 4, 51. See Table A. Genes and Databases for chromosome locus and protein.2. See Molecular Genetics for information on variants detected in this gene.3. Sequence analysis detects variants that are benign, likely benign, of uncertain significance, likely

pathogenic, or pathogenic. Variants may include small intragenic deletions/insertions and missense, nonsense, and splice site variants; typically, exon or whole-gene deletions/duplications are not detected. For issues to consider in interpretation of sequence analysis results, click here.4. Data derived from the subscription-based professional view of Human Gene Mutation Database [Stenson et al 2020]5. Gene-targeted deletion/duplication analysis detects intragenic deletions or duplications. Methods used may include a range of techniques such as quantitative PCR, long-range PCR, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA), and a gene-targeted microarray designed to detect single-exon deletions or duplications. This percentage is an estimate derived from data regarding intragenic deletion and duplications as well as whole gene deletions from the Human Gene Mutation Database [Stenson et al 2020]. This may not necessarily distinguish between large intragenic deletions and contiguous gene deletions involving FOXP2 (FOXP2-plus-related disorder). Thus, if the individual's phenotype suggests FOXP2-plus-related disorder, a chromosomal microarray is recommended.

Suggestive FindingsFOXP2-SLD should be suspected in a child with the following clinical findings and family history. Clinical FindingsChildhood apraxia of speech (CAS) [American Speech-Language-Hearing Association 2007] (also known as developmental verbal dyspraxia, verbal dyspraxia, or speech dyspraxia) Children with CAS have difficulties in automatically and accurately sequencing speech sounds into words with the correct prosody. The diagnosis of CAS is made by assessment by a speech-language pathologist (also known as a speech and language therapist in the UK or speech pathologist in Australia). CAS is challenging to diagnose in a child younger than age three years; speech development is delayed in these children, and thus key manifestations are typically not seen or able to be elicited until the child has acquired sufficient speech to complete the verbal assessment tasks. Additional Clinical FindingsDelayed speech developmentPoor oral-motor function (e.g., excessive drooling, early feeding difficulties)Oral-motor difficulties and/or oral-motor dyspraxiaDysarthriaReceptive and expressive language impairmentLow average IQ, typically with poorer verbal IQ compared to nonverbal IQ (and average nonverbal IQ

reported in some)Reading and spelling impairmentFine and gross motor impairmentFamily


HistoryFamily history is consistent with autosomal dominant inheritance (e.g., affected males and females in multiple generations). Absence of a known family history does not preclude the diagnosis.

Clinical FindingsChildhood apraxia of speech (CAS) [American Speech-Language-Hearing Association 2007] (also known as developmental verbal dyspraxia, verbal dyspraxia, or speech dyspraxia)Children with CAS have difficulties in automatically and accurately sequencing speech sounds into words with the correct prosody. The diagnosis of CAS is made by assessment by a speech-language pathologist (also known as a speech and language therapist in the UK or speech pathologist in Australia). CAS is challenging to diagnose in a child younger than age three years; speech development is delayed in these children, and thus key manifestations are typically not seen or able to be elicited until the child has acquired sufficient speech to complete the verbal assessment tasks.

Children with CAS have difficulties in automatically and accurately sequencing speech sounds into words with the correct prosody.

The diagnosis of CAS is made by assessment by a speech-language pathologist (also known as a speech and language therapist in the UK or speech pathologist in Australia). CAS is challenging to diagnose in a child younger than age three years; speech development is delayed in these children, and thus key manifestations are typically not seen or able to be elicited until the child has acquired sufficient speech to complete the verbal assessment tasks.

Additional Clinical FindingsDelayed speech developmentPoor oral-motor function (e.g., excessive drooling, early feeding difficulties)Oral-motor difficulties and/or oral-motor dyspraxiaDysarthriaReceptive and expressive language impairmentLow average IQ, typically with



the terms "pathogenic variants" and "likely pathogenic variants" are synonymous in a clinical setting, meaning that both are considered diagnostic and both can be used for clinical decision making [Richards et al 2015]. Reference to "pathogenic variants" in this section is understood to include any likely pathogenic variants. (2) Identification of a heterozygous FOXP2 variant of uncertain significance does not establish or rule out the diagnosis. Molecular genetic testing approaches can include a combination of gene-targeted testing (multigene panel, single-gene testing) and comprehensive

genomic testing (exome sequencing, genome sequencing). Gene-targeted testing requires the clinician to determine which gene(s) are likely involved (see Option 1), whereas genomic testing may not (see Option 2). Option 1 Single-gene testing. Sequence analysis of FOXP2 is performed first to detect small intragenic deletions/insertions and missense, nonsense, and splice site variants. Note: Depending on the sequencing method used, single-exon, multiexon, or whole-gene deletions/duplications may not be detected. If no variant is detected by the sequencing method used, the next step is to perform gene-targeted deletion/duplication analysis to detect exon and whole-gene deletions or duplications. An inherited disorders of speech delay and developmental delay / intellectual disability multigene panel that includes FOXP2 and other genes of interest (see Differential Diagnosis) is most likely to identify the genetic cause of the condition while limiting identification of variants of uncertain significance and pathogenic variants in genes that do not explain the underlying phenotype. Note: (1) The genes included in the panel and the diagnostic sensitivity of the testing used for each gene vary by laboratory and are likely to change over time. (2) Some multigene panels may include genes not associated with the condition discussed in this GeneReview. (3) In some laboratories, panel options may include a custom laboratory-designed panel and/or custom phenotype-focused exome analysis that includes genes specified by the clinician. (4) Methods used in a panel may include sequence analysis, deletion/duplication analysis, and/or other non-sequencing-based tests. For an introduction to multigene panels click here. More detailed information for clinicians ordering genetic tests can be found here. Option 2Exome sequencing is most commonly used; genome sequencing is also possible. For an introduction to

comprehensive genomic testing click here. More detailed information for clinicians ordering genomic testing can be found here. Table 1. Molecular Genetic Testing Used in FOXP2-Related Speech and Language DisorderView in own windowGene 1MethodProportion of Probands with a Pathogenic Variant 2 Detectable by Method

## FOXP2

Sequence analysis 3~70% 4Gene-targeted deletion/duplication analysis 5~30% 4, 51. See Table A. Genes and Databases for chromosome locus and protein.2. See Molecular Genetics for information on variants detected in this gene.3. Sequence analysis detects variants that are benign, likely benign, of uncertain significance, likely pathogenic, or pathogenic. Variants may include small intragenic deletions/insertions and missense, nonsense, and splice site variants; typically, exon or whole-gene deletions/duplications are not detected. For issues to consider in interpretation of sequence analysis results, click here.4. Data derived from the subscription-based professional view of Human Gene Mutation Database [Stenson et al 2020]5. Gene-targeted deletion/duplication analysis detects intragenic deletions or duplications. Methods used may include a range of techniques such as quantitative PCR, long-range PCR, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA), and a gene-targeted microarray designed to detect single-exon deletions or duplications. This percentage is an estimate derived from data regarding intragenic deletion and duplications as well as whole gene deletions from the Human Gene Mutation Database [Stenson et al 2020]. This may not necessarily distinguish between large intragenic deletions and contiguous gene deletions involving FOXP2 (FOXP2-plus-related disorder). Thus, if the individual's phenotype suggests FOXP2-plus-related disorder, a chromosomal microarray is recommended.

Option 1Single-gene testing. Sequence analysis of FOXP2 is performed first to detect small intragenic deletions/insertions and missense, nonsense, and splice site variants. Note: Depending on the sequencing method used, single-exon, multiexon, or whole-gene deletions/duplications may not be detected. If no variant is detected by the sequencing method used, the next step is to perform

gene-targeted deletion/duplication analysis to detect exon and whole-gene deletions or duplications. An inherited disorders of speech delay and developmental delay / intellectual disability multigene panel that includes FOXP2 and other genes of interest (see Differential Diagnosis) is most likely to identify the genetic cause of the condition while limiting identification of variants of uncertain significance and pathogenic variants in genes that do not explain the underlying phenotype. Note: (1) The genes included in the panel and the diagnostic sensitivity of the testing used for each gene vary by laboratory and are likely to change over time. (2) Some multigene panels may include genes not associated with the condition discussed in this GeneReview. (3) In some laboratories, panel options may include a custom laboratory-designed panel and/or custom phenotype-focused exome analysis that includes genes specified by the clinician. (4) Methods used in a panel may include sequence analysis, deletion/duplication analysis, and/or other non-sequencing-based tests. For an introduction to multigene panels click here. More detailed information for clinicians ordering genetic tests can be found here.

Option 2Exome sequencing is most commonly used; genome sequencing is also possible. For an introduction to comprehensive genomic testing click here. More detailed information for clinicians ordering genomic testing can be found here. Table 1. Molecular Genetic Testing Used in FOXP2-Related Speech and Language DisorderView in own windowGene 1MethodProportion of Probands with a Pathogenic Variant 2 Detectable by Method

#### FOXP2

Sequence analysis 3~70% 4Gene-targeted deletion/duplication analysis 5~30% 4, 51. See Table A. Genes and Databases for chromosome locus and protein.2. See Molecular Genetics for information on variants detected in this gene.3. Sequence analysis detects variants that are benign, likely benign, of uncertain significance, likely pathogenic, or pathogenic. Variants may include small intragenic deletions/insertions and missense, nonsense, and splice site variants; typically, exon or whole-gene deletions/duplications are not

detected. For issues to consider in interpretation of sequence analysis results, click here.4. Data derived from the subscription-based professional view of Human Gene Mutation Database [Stenson et al 2020]5. Gene-targeted deletion/duplication analysis detects intragenic deletions or duplications. Methods used may include a range of techniques such as quantitative PCR, long-range PCR, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA), and a gene-targeted microarray designed to detect single-exon deletions or duplications. This percentage is an estimate derived from data regarding intragenic deletion and duplications as well as whole gene deletions from the Human Gene Mutation Database [Stenson et al 2020]. This may not necessarily distinguish between large intragenic deletions and contiguous gene deletions involving FOXP2 (FOXP2-plus-related disorder). Thus, if the individual's phenotype suggests FOXP2-plus-related disorder, a chromosomal microarray is recommended.

Table 1. Molecular Genetic Testing Used in FOXP2-Related Speech and Language DisorderView in own windowGene 1MethodProportion of Probands with a Pathogenic Variant 2

Detectable by Method

# FOXP2

Sequence analysis 3~70% 4Gene-targeted deletion/duplication
analysis 5~30% 4, 51. See Table A. Genes and Databases for chromosome
locus and protein.2. See Molecular Genetics for information on variants detected in this gene.3.

Sequence analysis detects variants that are benign, likely benign, of uncertain significance, likely
pathogenic, or pathogenic. Variants may include small intragenic deletions/insertions and missense,
nonsense, and splice site variants; typically, exon or whole-gene deletions/duplications are not
detected. For issues to consider in interpretation of sequence analysis results, click here.4. Data
derived from the subscription-based professional view of Human Gene Mutation Database [Stenson
et al 2020]5. Gene-targeted deletion/duplication analysis detects intragenic deletions or duplications.

Methods used may include a range of techniques such as quantitative PCR, long-range PCR,
multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA), and a gene-targeted microarray designed

to detect single-exon deletions or duplications. This percentage is an estimate derived from data regarding intragenic deletion and duplications as well as whole gene deletions from the Human Gene Mutation Database [Stenson et al 2020]. This may not necessarily distinguish between large intragenic deletions and contiguous gene deletions involving FOXP2 (FOXP2-plus-related disorder). Thus, if the individual's phenotype suggests FOXP2-plus-related disorder, a chromosomal microarray is recommended.

Molecular Genetic Testing Used in FOXP2-Related Speech and Language Disorder

Gene 1MethodProportion of Probands with a Pathogenic Variant 2 Detectable by Method

FOXP2

Sequence analysis 3~70% 4Gene-targeted deletion/duplication analysis 5~30% 4, 5

1. See Table A. Genes and Databases for chromosome locus and protein.2. See Molecular Genetics for information on variants detected in this gene.3. Sequence analysis detects variants that are benign, likely benign, of uncertain significance, likely pathogenic, or pathogenic. Variants may include small intragenic deletions/insertions and missense, nonsense, and splice site variants; typically, exon or whole-gene deletions/duplications are not detected. For issues to consider in interpretation of sequence analysis results, click here.4. Data derived from the subscription-based professional view of Human Gene Mutation Database [Stenson et al 2020]5. Gene-targeted deletion/duplication analysis detects intragenic deletions or duplications. Methods used may include a range of techniques such as quantitative PCR, long-range PCR, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA), and a gene-targeted microarray designed to detect single-exon deletions or duplications. This percentage is an estimate derived from data regarding intragenic deletion and duplications as well as whole gene deletions from the Human Gene Mutation Database

[Stenson et al 2020]. This may not necessarily distinguish between large intragenic deletions and contiguous gene deletions involving FOXP2 (FOXP2-plus-related disorder). Thus, if the individual's phenotype suggests FOXP2-plus-related disorder, a chromosomal microarray is recommended.

1. See Table A. Genes and Databases for chromosome locus and protein.2. See Molecular Genetics for information on variants detected in this gene.3. Sequence analysis detects variants that are benign, likely benign, of uncertain significance, likely pathogenic, or pathogenic. Variants may include small intragenic deletions/insertions and missense, nonsense, and splice site variants; typically, exon or whole-gene deletions/duplications are not detected. For issues to consider in interpretation of sequence analysis results, click here.4. Data derived from the subscription-based professional view of Human Gene Mutation Database [Stenson et al 2020]5. Gene-targeted deletion/duplication analysis detects intragenic deletions or duplications. Methods used may include a range of techniques such as quantitative PCR, long-range PCR, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA), and a gene-targeted microarray designed to detect single-exon deletions or duplications. This percentage is an estimate derived from data regarding intragenic deletion and duplications as well as whole gene deletions from the Human Gene Mutation Database [Stenson et al 2020]. This may not necessarily distinguish between large intragenic deletions and contiguous gene deletions involving FOXP2 (FOXP2-plus-related disorder). Thus, if the individual's phenotype suggests FOXP2-plus-related disorder, a chromosomal microarray is recommended.

See Table A. Genes and Databases for chromosome locus and protein.

See Molecular Genetics for information on variants detected in this gene.

Sequence analysis detects variants that are benign, likely benign, of uncertain significance, likely pathogenic, or pathogenic. Variants may include small intragenic deletions/insertions and missense, nonsense, and splice site variants; typically, exon or whole-gene deletions/duplications are not

detected. For issues to consider in interpretation of sequence analysis results, click here.

Data derived from the subscription-based professional view of Human Gene Mutation Database [Stenson et al 2020]

Gene-targeted deletion/duplication analysis detects intragenic deletions or duplications. Methods used may include a range of techniques such as quantitative PCR, long-range PCR, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA), and a gene-targeted microarray designed to detect single-exon deletions or duplications. This percentage is an estimate derived from data regarding intragenic deletion and duplications as well as whole gene deletions from the Human Gene Mutation Database [Stenson et al 2020]. This may not necessarily distinguish between large intragenic deletions and contiguous gene deletions involving FOXP2 (FOXP2-plus-related disorder). Thus, if the individual's phenotype suggests FOXP2-plus-related disorder, a chromosomal microarray is recommended.

Clinical CharacteristicsClinical DescriptionAvailable evidence to date suggests that FOXP2-related speech and language disorder (FOXP2-SLD) is caused by heterozygous FOXP2 pathogenic variants (including whole- or partial-gene deletions). FOXP2-SLD has a core phenotype: childhood apraxia of speech (CAS), a disorder of speech motor programming or planning that affects the production, sequencing, timing, and stress of sounds, and the accurate sequencing of speech sounds into syllables and syllables into words. In addition, CAS interferes nonselectively with multiple other aspects of language, including phonology, grammar, and literacy. The interactions between these communication disorder subtypes are not well understood. Language and literacy difficulties may be influenced by or even result from CAS, or these phenotypes may actually be features of the same broad communication disorder. Additional findings in FOXP2-SLD can include oral-motor dyspraxia (difficulty planning or programming oral movements on command); dysarthria (a neuromuscular-based speech disorder that may affect nasal resonance, voice quality, prosody,

and breath support for speech); moderate-to-severe receptive and expressive language disorder: reading and spelling impairments; and fine motor difficulties. "Autistic features" or a diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder have been reported in a quarter of affected individuals. Typically mild dysmorphology has been reported in a few individuals. In FOXP2-SLD nonverbal IQ (performance) is typically relatively preserved compared to verbal IQ; gross motor skills are delayed or impaired in the early years of development. Sleep issues are present in some. To date, FOXP2-SLD has been described in approximately 30 families (see Supplementary Table 1 in Morison et al [2022] for a summary of all reported families). The following description is based on the findings in these families. Childhood apraxia of speech. First words typically appear between ages 18 months and seven years in children with FOXP2-SLD [Vargha-Khadem et al 1995, MacDermot et al 2005, Laffin et al 2012, Reuter et al 2017, Morison et al 2022]. FOXP2-SLD is typically diagnosed around age three to four years, but may be considered earlier when the family history is positive. In the first decade of life, speech is highly unintelligible, even to close friends and family. Although speech development and intelligibility improve over time, speech never develops to the same level as age-matched peers, and intelligibility may remain reduced in adulthood [Morison et al 2022]. In contrast, for typically developing children, speech sound acquisition is mastered by around age eight years [Dodd et al 2003], with intelligibility as high as 97% as early as age three years [Flipsen 2006]. Although CAS comprises certain core features, it is important to note that the severity and features of CAS change across the life span [Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists 2011], and while referred to as "core" features, they are not necessarily present in all individuals with CAS [American Speech-Language-Hearing Association 2007]. Core features, agreed upon by a consensus panel, include the following:Inconsistent speech errors (e.g., producing the same syllable or word differently across repetitions of the same word, such as "ubella," "umbrella," and "umbarella" for umbrella)Lengthened and disrupted coarticulatory transitions (e.g., oral groping behaviors during speech; difficulty sequencing phonemes and syllables; difficulty maintaining syllable integrity; hypernasality, thought to be due to incoordination of the velum for denoting oral-nasal contrasts; slowed and disrupted diadochokinetic sequences, e.g., when asked to repeat

"pa-ta-ka")Inappropriate prosody (e.g., lexical stress errors, equal stress across words giving a robotic-sounding presentation) In addition, children with CAS tend to lag behind their peers in acquiring the sounds of their language system; hence, their phonetic inventory may be reduced for the child's age. Children with CAS may use a more restricted range of consonants and vowels than age-matched peers. For example, they will simplify syllable shapes, reducing a consonant-consonant-vowel (CCV) shape (e.g., "sta") or a consonant-consonant-consonant-vowel (CCCV) shape ("stra") to a consonant-vowel (CV) shape ("sa"). Although CAS is distinct from other speech disorders (e.g., stuttering, phonologic disorder) and language disorders (e.g., developmental language disorder), these additional diagnoses can co-occur with CAS [Morison et al 2022]. Additional common speech- and language-related comorbidities in FOXP2-SLD can include the following, irrespective of underlying genetic alteration:Oral-motor dyspraxia, an inability or difficulty in planning or programming of oral movements on command, including single movements in isolation (e.g., commands such as "blow," "bite," "stick out your tongue") or sequences of oral movements (e.g., commands such as "bite and blow," "touch your bottom lip with your tongue and then blow a kiss"). Both oral dyspraxia [Vargha-Khadem et al 1998, Alcock et al 2000, Lai et al 2000, MacDermot et al 2005, Turner et al 2013] and more general oral-motor deficits (e.g., difficulty performing isolated tongue movements) have been reported in FOXP2-SLD [Morison et al 2022]. Dysarthria is infrequent relative to CAS and phonologic errors [Morison et al 2022]. Typical dysarthric features include hypernasality, impaired laryngeal quality, and difficulties modulating pitch and loudness [Turner et al 2013, Morison et al 2022]. Moderate-to-severe receptive and expressive language disorder [Vargha-Khadem et al 1995, Morison et al 2022]. Expressive language is usually poorer than receptive language, with expressive language likely confounded by the presence of CAS. Impaired performance across both semantic and syntactic language domains has been reported in FOXP2-SLD [Watkins et al 2002, Vargha-Khadem et al 2005, Turner et al 2013, Morison et al 2022]. Affected semantic domains include naming accuracy and lexical decision making; affected syntactic domains include past tense production for regular and irregular verbs. Reading and spelling impairments are typically evident once literacy develops [Vargha-Khadem et al 2005].

Difficulties with real word and nonword reading, spelling, and phonologic awareness skills are common in FOXP2-SLD [Watkins et al 2002, Turner et al 2013, Morison et al 2022].

Other features of FOXP2-SLD

IQ. Generally stronger nonverbal (performance) IQ compared to verbal IQ [Vargha-Khadem et al. 1995, Watkins et al 2002, Turner et al 2013, Reuter et al 2017, Morison et al 2022], although both verbal and nonverbal (performance) IQ may be impaired [Watkins et al 2002, Reuter et al 2017, Morison et al 2022]. Fine or gross motor impairments are highly prevalent in the early years of life but typically improve and even resolve with physiotherapy and occupational therapy input. These motor impairments are relatively mild compared to the marked speech production deficits [Lai et al 2000, Morison et al 2022]. Autism spectrum disorder or features of autism have been observed in about 25% of affected individuals [Reuter et al 2017, Morison et al 2022]. Mental health. Some individuals report anxiety and depression, but it is not clear whether this is part of FOXP2-SLD or occurs secondary to the other communication and developmental challenges [Morison et al 2022]. Mild physical features or dysmorphology have been reported in a small number of individuals, although no clear pattern has been identified [Morison et al 2022]. Physical features have included: high-arched palate; horizontal eyebrows; ear features (i.e., simply folded ears, prominent ears, anteverted ears); periorbital fullness; nasal features (i.e., upturned nose, prominent nose, hypoplastic alae nasi, high nasal root, rounded, fleshy, or prominent nasal tip); short/flat philtrum; prominent eyes; retrognathia; full lips or thin upper lip [Reuter et al 2017, Morison et al 2022]. In individual instances, mild finger pads, tapering fingers, single palmar crease, and clinodactyly were also noted [Morison et al 2022]. Submucous cleft palate was reported in one individual [Liégeois et al 2016]. Neuroimaging. Routine clinical brain MRIs of individuals with FOXP2-SLD typically appear normal on visual inspection [Vargha-Khadem et al 1998]. Genotype-Phenotype CorrelationsThe specific genetic alteration responsible for FOXP2-SLD does not predict clinical severity. Of note, large copy number abnormalities and more complex variants (including deletions, translocations, and inversions) affecting one allele also lead to speech and language issues as well as other features similar to FOXP2-plus-related disorder (see Genetically Related

Disorders). Penetrance The penetrance for FOXP2-SLD is high – close to 100% – based on the findings in individuals reported to date [Morison et al 2022]. Nomenclature Prior to the discovery of causative pathogenic variants in FOXP2, the locus "speech language disorder-1 (SPCH1)" was assigned to the chromosome region linked to the CAS phenotype [Fisher et al. 1998].FOXP2-SLD may also be referred to as "FOXP2-only speech and language disorder" to distinguish the condition from FOXP2-plus-related disorder (a generally more severe phenotype associated with large copy number variants, structural variants, or maternal uniparental disomy of chromosome 7 involving FOXP2 and additional adjacent genes; see Genetically Related Disorders). "Speech and language impairment" is a synonymous term for "speech and language disorder." The term "speech and language delay" should be avoided unless there is a clear clinical justification for use of this term, which implies a child will "catch up" to peers. Prevalence The population prevalence of CAS has not been determined by any epidemiologic study. The most commonly referenced estimate of prevalence is 1-2:1,000 [Shriberg et al 1997]. In a cohort with a severe speech disorder, one of 49 individuals had a confirmed FOXP2 pathogenic variant [MacDermot et al 2005]. Three recent studies performed molecular genetic testing on probands clinically diagnosed with CAS [Eising et al 2019, Hildebrand et al 2020, Kaspi et al 2022]. Within these three cohorts (total: 121 individuals), none of the probands had a pathogenic FOXP2 variant. Hence, pathogenic FOXP2 variants are rare, even in cohorts selected for CAS.

Clinical DescriptionAvailable evidence to date suggests that FOXP2-related speech and language disorder (FOXP2-SLD) is caused by heterozygous FOXP2 pathogenic variants (including whole- or partial-gene deletions). FOXP2-SLD has a core phenotype: childhood apraxia of speech (CAS), a disorder of speech motor programming or planning that affects the production, sequencing, timing, and stress of sounds, and the accurate sequencing of speech sounds into syllables and syllables into words. In addition, CAS interferes nonselectively with multiple other aspects of language, including phonology, grammar, and literacy. The interactions between these communication disorder subtypes are not well understood. Language and literacy difficulties may be influenced by or even

result from CAS, or these phenotypes may actually be features of the same broad communication disorder. Additional findings in FOXP2-SLD can include oral-motor dyspraxia (difficulty planning or programming oral movements on command); dysarthria (a neuromuscular-based speech disorder that may affect nasal resonance, voice quality, prosody, and breath support for speech); moderate-to-severe receptive and expressive language disorder; reading and spelling impairments; and fine motor difficulties. "Autistic features" or a diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder have been reported in a quarter of affected individuals. Typically mild dysmorphology has been reported in a few individuals. In FOXP2-SLD nonverbal IQ (performance) is typically relatively preserved compared to verbal IQ; gross motor skills are delayed or impaired in the early years of development. Sleep issues are present in some. To date, FOXP2-SLD has been described in approximately 30 families (see Supplementary Table 1 in Morison et al [2022] for a summary of all reported families). The following description is based on the findings in these families. Childhood apraxia of speech. First words typically appear between ages 18 months and seven years in children with FOXP2-SLD [Vargha-Khadem et al 1995, MacDermot et al 2005, Laffin et al 2012, Reuter et al 2017, Morison et al 2022]. FOXP2-SLD is typically diagnosed around age three to four years, but may be considered earlier when the family history is positive. In the first decade of life, speech is highly unintelligible, even to close friends and family. Although speech development and intelligibility improve over time, speech never develops to the same level as age-matched peers, and intelligibility may remain reduced in adulthood [Morison et al 2022]. In contrast, for typically developing children, speech sound acquisition is mastered by around age eight years [Dodd et al 2003], with intelligibility as high as 97% as early as age three years [Flipsen 2006]. Although CAS comprises certain core features, it is important to note that the severity and features of CAS change across the life span [Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists 2011], and while referred to as "core" features, they are not necessarily present in all individuals with CAS [American Speech-Language-Hearing Association 2007]. Core features, agreed upon by a consensus panel, include the following: Inconsistent speech errors (e.g., producing the same syllable or word differently across repetitions of the same word, such as "ubella," "umbrella," and "umbarella" for

umbrella)Lengthened and disrupted coarticulatory transitions (e.g., oral groping behaviors during speech; difficulty sequencing phonemes and syllables; difficulty maintaining syllable integrity; hypernasality, thought to be due to incoordination of the velum for denoting oral-nasal contrasts; slowed and disrupted diadochokinetic sequences, e.g., when asked to repeat "pa-ta-ka")Inappropriate prosody (e.g., lexical stress errors, equal stress across words giving a robotic-sounding presentation) In addition, children with CAS tend to lag behind their peers in acquiring the sounds of their language system; hence, their phonetic inventory may be reduced for the child's age. Children with CAS may use a more restricted range of consonants and vowels than age-matched peers. For example, they will simplify syllable shapes, reducing a consonant-consonant-vowel (CCV) shape (e.g., "sta") or a consonant-consonant-consonant-vowel (CCCV) shape ("stra") to a consonant-vowel (CV) shape ("sa"). Although CAS is distinct from other speech disorders (e.g., stuttering, phonologic disorder) and language disorders (e.g., developmental language disorder), these additional diagnoses can co-occur with CAS [Morison et al 2022]. Additional common speech- and language-related comorbidities in FOXP2-SLD can include the following, irrespective of underlying genetic alteration:Oral-motor dyspraxia, an inability or difficulty in planning or programming of oral movements on command, including single movements in isolation (e.g., commands such as "blow," "bite," "stick out your tongue") or sequences of oral movements (e.g., commands such as "bite and blow," "touch your bottom lip with your tonque and then blow a kiss"). Both oral dyspraxia [Vargha-Khadem et al 1998, Alcock et al 2000, Lai et al 2000, MacDermot et al 2005, Turner et al 2013] and more general oral-motor deficits (e.g., difficulty performing isolated tongue movements) have been reported in FOXP2-SLD [Morison et al. 2022]. Dysarthria is infrequent relative to CAS and phonologic errors [Morison et al 2022]. Typical dysarthric features include hypernasality, impaired larvngeal quality, and difficulties modulating pitch and loudness [Turner et al 2013, Morison et al 2022]. Moderate-to-severe receptive and expressive language disorder [Vargha-Khadem et al 1995, Morison et al 2022]. Expressive language is usually poorer than receptive language, with expressive language likely confounded by the presence of CAS. Impaired performance across both semantic and syntactic language domains has been

reported in FOXP2-SLD [Watkins et al 2002, Vargha-Khadem et al 2005, Turner et al 2013, Morison et al 2022]. Affected semantic domains include naming accuracy and lexical decision making; affected syntactic domains include past tense production for regular and irregular verbs.Reading and spelling impairments are typically evident once literacy develops [Vargha-Khadem et al 2005]. Difficulties with real word and nonword reading, spelling, and phonologic awareness skills are common in FOXP2-SLD [Watkins et al 2002, Turner et al 2013, Morison et al 2022].

Other features of FOXP2-SLD

IQ. Generally stronger nonverbal (performance) IQ compared to verbal IQ [Vargha-Khadem et al. 1995, Watkins et al 2002, Turner et al 2013, Reuter et al 2017, Morison et al 2022], although both verbal and nonverbal (performance) IQ may be impaired [Watkins et al 2002, Reuter et al 2017, Morison et al 2022]. Fine or gross motor impairments are highly prevalent in the early years of life but typically improve and even resolve with physiotherapy and occupational therapy input. These motor impairments are relatively mild compared to the marked speech production deficits [Lai et al 2000. Morison et al 2022]. Autism spectrum disorder or features of autism have been observed in about 25% of affected individuals [Reuter et al 2017, Morison et al 2022]. Mental health. Some individuals report anxiety and depression, but it is not clear whether this is part of FOXP2-SLD or occurs secondary to the other communication and developmental challenges [Morison et al 2022]. Mild physical features or dysmorphology have been reported in a small number of individuals, although no clear pattern has been identified [Morison et al 2022]. Physical features have included: high-arched palate; horizontal eyebrows; ear features (i.e., simply folded ears, prominent ears, anteverted ears); periorbital fullness; nasal features (i.e., upturned nose, prominent nose, hypoplastic alae nasi, high nasal root, rounded, fleshy, or prominent nasal tip); short/flat philtrum; prominent eyes; retrognathia; full lips or thin upper lip [Reuter et al 2017, Morison et al 2022]. In individual instances, mild finger pads, tapering fingers, single palmar crease, and clinodactyly were also noted [Morison et al 2022]. Submucous cleft palate was reported in one individual [Liégeois et al 2016]. Neuroimaging. Routine clinical brain MRIs of individuals with FOXP2-SLD typically appear normal on visual inspection [Vargha-Khadem et al 1998].

Inconsistent speech errors (e.g., producing the same syllable or word differently across repetitions of the same word, such as "ubella," "umbrella," and "umbarella" for umbrella)

Lengthened and disrupted coarticulatory transitions (e.g., oral groping behaviors during speech; difficulty sequencing phonemes and syllables; difficulty maintaining syllable integrity; hypernasality, thought to be due to incoordination of the velum for denoting oral-nasal contrasts; slowed and disrupted diadochokinetic sequences, e.g., when asked to repeat "pa-ta-ka")

Inappropriate prosody (e.g., lexical stress errors, equal stress across words giving a robotic-sounding presentation)

Oral-motor dyspraxia, an inability or difficulty in planning or programming of oral movements on command, including single movements in isolation (e.g., commands such as "blow," "bite," "stick out your tongue") or sequences of oral movements (e.g., commands such as "bite and blow," "touch your bottom lip with your tongue and then blow a kiss"). Both oral dyspraxia [Vargha-Khadem et al 1998, Alcock et al 2000, Lai et al 2000, MacDermot et al 2005, Turner et al 2013] and more general oral-motor deficits (e.g., difficulty performing isolated tongue movements) have been reported in FOXP2-SLD [Morison et al 2022].

Dysarthria is infrequent relative to CAS and phonologic errors [Morison et al 2022]. Typical dysarthric features include hypernasality, impaired laryngeal quality, and difficulties modulating pitch and loudness [Turner et al 2013, Morison et al 2022].

Moderate-to-severe receptive and expressive language disorder [Vargha-Khadem et al 1995, Morison et al 2022]. Expressive language is usually poorer than receptive language, with expressive language likely confounded by the presence of CAS. Impaired performance across both semantic

and syntactic language domains has been reported in FOXP2-SLD [Watkins et al 2002, Vargha-Khadem et al 2005, Turner et al 2013, Morison et al 2022]. Affected semantic domains include naming accuracy and lexical decision making; affected syntactic domains include past tense production for regular and irregular verbs.

Reading and spelling impairments are typically evident once literacy develops [Vargha-Khadem et al 2005]. Difficulties with real word and nonword reading, spelling, and phonologic awareness skills are common in FOXP2-SLD [Watkins et al 2002, Turner et al 2013, Morison et al 2022].

IQ. Generally stronger nonverbal (performance) IQ compared to verbal IQ [Vargha-Khadem et al 1995, Watkins et al 2002, Turner et al 2013, Reuter et al 2017, Morison et al 2022], although both verbal and nonverbal (performance) IQ may be impaired [Watkins et al 2002, Reuter et al 2017, Morison et al 2022].

Fine or gross motor impairments are highly prevalent in the early years of life but typically improve and even resolve with physiotherapy and occupational therapy input. These motor impairments are relatively mild compared to the marked speech production deficits [Lai et al 2000, Morison et al 2022].

Autism spectrum disorder or features of autism have been observed in about 25% of affected individuals [Reuter et al 2017, Morison et al 2022].

Mental health. Some individuals report anxiety and depression, but it is not clear whether this is part of FOXP2-SLD or occurs secondary to the other communication and developmental challenges [Morison et al 2022].

Mild physical features or dysmorphology have been reported in a small number of individuals,

although no clear pattern has been identified [Morison et al 2022]. Physical features have included: high-arched palate; horizontal eyebrows; ear features (i.e., simply folded ears, prominent ears, anteverted ears); periorbital fullness; nasal features (i.e., upturned nose, prominent nose, hypoplastic alae nasi, high nasal root, rounded, fleshy, or prominent nasal tip); short/flat philtrum; prominent eyes; retrognathia; full lips or thin upper lip [Reuter et al 2017, Morison et al 2022]. In individual instances, mild finger pads, tapering fingers, single palmar crease, and clinodactyly were also noted [Morison et al 2022]. Submucous cleft palate was reported in one individual [Liégeois et al 2016].

Genotype-Phenotype CorrelationsThe specific genetic alteration responsible for FOXP2-SLD does not predict clinical severity. Of note, large copy number abnormalities and more complex variants (including deletions, translocations, and inversions) affecting one allele also lead to speech and language issues as well as other features similar to FOXP2-plus-related disorder (see Genetically Related Disorders).

PenetranceThe penetrance for FOXP2-SLD is high – close to 100% – based on the findings in individuals reported to date [Morison et al 2022].

NomenclaturePrior to the discovery of causative pathogenic variants in FOXP2, the locus "speech language disorder-1 (SPCH1)" was assigned to the chromosome region linked to the CAS phenotype [Fisher et al 1998].FOXP2-SLD may also be referred to as "FOXP2-only speech and language disorder" to distinguish the condition from FOXP2-plus-related disorder (a generally more severe phenotype associated with large copy number variants, structural variants, or maternal uniparental disomy of chromosome 7 involving FOXP2 and additional adjacent genes; see Genetically Related Disorders). "Speech and language impairment" is a synonymous term for "speech and language disorder." The term "speech and language delay" should be avoided unless there is a clear clinical justification for use of this term, which implies a child will "catch up" to peers.

PrevalenceThe population prevalence of CAS has not been determined by any epidemiologic study. The most commonly referenced estimate of prevalence is 1-2:1,000 [Shriberg et al 1997]. In a cohort with a severe speech disorder, one of 49 individuals had a confirmed FOXP2 pathogenic variant [MacDermot et al 2005]. Three recent studies performed molecular genetic testing on probands clinically diagnosed with CAS [Eising et al 2019, Hildebrand et al 2020, Kaspi et al 2022]. Within these three cohorts (total: 121 individuals), none of the probands had a pathogenic FOXP2 variant. Hence, pathogenic FOXP2 variants are rare, even in cohorts selected for CAS.

Genetically Related (Allelic) DisordersNo phenotypes other than those discussed in this GeneReview are known to be associated with germline intragenic FOXP2 pathogenic variants.Large copy number variants (i.e., contiguous gene deletions), structural variants (i.e., translocations or inversions), or maternal uniparental disomy of chromosome 7 involving FOXP2 and additional adjacent genes are associated with a generally more severe phenotype referred to in this GeneReview as FOXP2-plus-related disorder. Like children with FOXP2-related speech and language disorder (FOXP2-SLD), children with FOXP2-plus-related disorder have childhood apraxia of speech (CAS), and their first words are reported to appear between ages 18 months and seven years [Feuk et al 2006, Zeesman et al 2006, Lennon et al 2007, Rice et al 2012, Zilina et al 2012]. No data are available to determine what proportion of CAS is caused by disruption of FOXP2 only (FOXP2-SLD) or large copy number variants or structural variants involving FOXP2 (FOXP2-plus-related disorder). In addition to CAS, clinical features reported in FOXP2-plus-related disorder include:Oral-motor deficits (commonly reported) [Lennon et al 2007, Laffin et al 2012, Zilina et al 2012] "Global" developmental delay (presumably involving speech, cognitive, gross, and fine motor abilities) [Feuk et al 2006] Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) [Feuk et al 2006, Zilina et al 2012] or presence of features of autism such as repetitive behaviors and unusual interests [Zeesman et al 2006]. Of note, many individuals with FOXP2-SLD are explicitly stated not to meet diagnostic criteria for ASD [Feuk et al 2006, Lennon et al 2007, Rice et al 2012], suggesting that these features may

relate to disruption of neighboring genes on chromosome 7.Facial dysmorphology [Zeesman et al 2006, Lennon et al 2007, Zilina et al 2012, Reuter et al 2017]. Oral structures are typically intact in the absence of a cleft lip or palate, but a high-arched palate has been reported in one individual [Palka et al 2012]. Sporadic tumors occurring as single tumors in the absence of any other findings of FOXP2-SLD frequently harbor a somatic pathogenic variant in FOXP2 that is not present in the germline. In these circumstances predisposition to these tumors is not heritable.

Oral-motor deficits (commonly reported) [Lennon et al 2007, Laffin et al 2012, Zilina et al 2012]

"Global" developmental delay (presumably involving speech, cognitive, gross, and fine motor abilities) [Feuk et al 2006]

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) [Feuk et al 2006, Zilina et al 2012] or presence of features of autism such as repetitive behaviors and unusual interests [Zeesman et al 2006]. Of note, many individuals with FOXP2-SLD are explicitly stated not to meet diagnostic criteria for ASD [Feuk et al 2006, Lennon et al 2007, Rice et al 2012], suggesting that these features may relate to disruption of neighboring genes on chromosome 7.

Facial dysmorphology [Zeesman et al 2006, Lennon et al 2007, Zilina et al 2012, Reuter et al 2017]. Oral structures are typically intact in the absence of a cleft lip or palate, but a high-arched palate has been reported in one individual [Palka et al 2012].

Differential DiagnosisThe prelinguistic developmental history of children with childhood apraxia of speech (CAS) (e.g., restricted babbling or feeding difficulties) is very similar to that seen in other neurodevelopmental speech or language conditions (e.g., developmental language disorder, phonologic disorder) or even other neurodevelopmental disorders in which language impairment may occur such as autism spectrum disorder. Hence, early signs are not usually sufficiently

discriminating to enable a differential diagnosis prior to a child gaining some speech production abilities. While CAS is rare, it may also be observed in a range of other conditions. The following chromosomal and single-gene disorders may be considered in the differential diagnosis. Chromosomal disorders associated with CAS include: 16p11.2 recurrent deletion. The 16p11.2 recurrent deletion phenotype is characterized by motor speech disorder, language disorder (broadly impaired receptive, expressive, and pragmatic domains), motor coordination difficulties, psychiatric conditions, and autistic features. While most, if not all, individuals with the 16p11.2 recurrent deletion experience some degree of developmental delay, the severity varies significantly. The majority of children (~80%) with the 16p11.2 recurrent deletion present with a motor speech disorder, such as CAS and dysarthria. CAS is particularly prevalent (found in 77% of affected children) and often co-occurs with other speech sound disorders, such as articulation and phonologic disorders. Additional features include epilepsy or recurrent seizures and dysmorphic features (e.g., low-set ears, partial syndactyly).7q11.23 duplication syndrome is characterized by delayed motor, speech, and social skills in early childhood; neurologic abnormalities (hypotonia, adventitious movements, and abnormal gait and station); speech sound disorders including motor speech disorders (CAS and/or dysarthria) and phonologic disorders; behavior issues (especially social anxiety disorder / social phobia); autism spectrum disorder; and, in some individuals, intellectual disability. Distinctive craniofacial features (macrocephaly, brachycephaly, broad forehead, straight eyebrows, deep-set eyes, long eyelashes, broad nasal tip, low insertion of the columella, short philtrum, thin vermilion of the upper lip, high-arched palate, and minor ear anomalies) are common. Koolen-de Vries syndrome (KdVS) is characterized by developmental delay / intellectual disability, neonatal/childhood hypotonia, dysmorphisms, congenital malformations, and behavioral features. Psychomotor developmental delay is noted in all individuals from an early age. Communication disorder is a core feature of KdVS, with a common speech and language phenotype seen. This includes an overriding "double hit" of oral hypotonia and apraxia in infancy and preschool, associated with severely delayed speech development. CAS is common in the preschool years. KdVS is caused by either a heterozygous 500- to 650-kb deletion at

chromosome 17q21.31 that includes KANSL1 (~95% of affected individuals) or a heterozygous intragenic pathogenic variant in KANSL1. Note: The 17q21.31 deletion cannot be identified by analysis of G-banded chromosomes or other cytogenetic banding techniques. Single-gene disorders with robust evidence of CAS involvement are summarized in Table 2. Table 2. Single-Gene Disorders with Childhood Apraxia of Speech in the Differential Diagnosis of FOXP2-Related Speech and Language DisorderView in own windowGeneDisease NameMOISpeech & Language PhenotypeOther Features

CDK13

## CDK13-related disorder

ADNearly all persons age >1 yr display impaired verbal language skills (either absent or restricted speech).CAS seen in more than half of persons w/verbal impairment.

DD/IDOther common findings are recognizable facial features in some persons, behavioral findings, feeding difficulties in infancy, structural cardiac defects, & seizures.

## CHD3

Snijders Blok-Campeau syndrome (OMIM 618205)ADDelayed speech acquisitionExpressive language deficits w/CAS & dysarthria

DD/IDMacrocephaly, characteristic facial features (prominent forehead, hypertelorism, hypotonia, joint laxity)Severity of neurologic deficits & presence of nonneurologic features are variable. 1

DDX3X

DDX3X-related neurodevelopmental disorder

XLSpeech disorder incl CAS 2Mild to severely affected receptive & expressive language~50% of affected females remain nonverbal after age 5 yrs (DDX3X-NDD typically occurs in females & very rarely in males).

DD/IDHypotonia that can be assoc w/feeding difficulty in infancyBehavioral issues (e.g., ASD, ADHD, self-injurious behavior, poor impulse control, & aggression)

**GALT** 

Classic galactosemia

ARCAS & dysarthria (Prevalence of motor speech disorder is high; in 1 study ~25% of children had CAS. 3)Expressive/receptive language impairment

Life-threatening complications in untreated infantsIf lactose-restricted diet is provided during 1st 10 days of life, neonatal signs usually quickly resolve & neonatal death is prevented; however, despite adequate treatment from an early age, affected children are at ↑ risk for DD & abnormalities of motor function.

GRIN2A

GRIN2A-related speech disorders & epilepsy

ADSevere speech disorders incl dysarthria & speech dyspraxia, & both receptive & expressive language delay/regression. More mildly affected persons may display subtly impaired intelligibility of conversational speech.

Epilepsy (present in ~90% of affected persons)KANSL1 4

Koolen-de Vries syndrome

ADSee Chromosomal disorders. See Chromosomal disorders.

KAT6A

KAT6A syndrome 5ADMajority of affected persons are minimally verbal & rely on alternate communication methods. Verbal persons have speech disorder incl CAS. Severe expressive & receptive language impairment

Moderate-to-severe ID, vision, GI function, sleep issuesASD present in 30% of affected

personsMainly truncating variants reported 5

POGZ

White-Sutton syndrome (POGZ-related disorder)ADSevere speech & language acquisition delays or difficulties incl CASNDD w/wide spectrum of cognitive dysfunction, DD, hypotonia, ASD, & behavioral issues

**PURA** 

PURA syndrome (See PURA-Related Neurodevelopmental Disorders.)ADDelayed speech & languageExpressive language is more severely affected than receptive language.Most affected persons are unable to speak.CAS 2

ID, delayed motor skills (severely delayed walking; some persons never walk), hypotonia, feeding difficulties, dysphagiaInfants: hypersomnolence, low body temperature, apnea, slow breathingRecurrent seizures, heart abnormalities, urogenital, GI, & skeletal anomalies, hormone disorders

SETBP1

SETBP1 haploinsufficiency disorder

ADDelayed speech in early yearsCAS, receptive & expressive language impairments across domains of function

Mild motor DD & hypotonia, IDADHDRefractive errors & strabismus

SETD1B

SETD1B-related neurodevelopmental disorder

ADSpeech & language delayCAS 2

DD (precedes seizure onset), ID, ASD, variable epilepsy phenotypesMales are

overrepresented. Behavioral issues are more common in males.

#### SHANK3

SHANK3-related absent or severely delayed speech (See Phelan-McDermid syndrome.) ADAbsent to severely delayed speech CAS 2

22q13.3 deletions involving SHANK3 & SHANK3 pathogenic variants are known to be assoc w/Phelan-McDermid syndrome. In the authors' experience, children w/intragenic SHANK3 pathogenic variants are much more mildly affected than children w/22q13.3 deletions. 6 SRCAP

# Floating-Harbor syndrome

ADDysarthria & verbal dyspraxia w/phoneme imprecisionHypernasality, high-pitched voiceSevere receptive & expressive language impairment across all domains of function

Craniofacial featuresLow birth weight, normal head circumference, & short statureBone age delay that normalizes between ages 6-12 yrsSkeletal anomaliesID that is typically mild to moderate

# TNRC6B

TNRC6B-related syndrome 7ADDelayed speechCAS ID/DD, fine & gross motor delayASD, ADHD, or other behavioral findingsMusculoskeletal findings 7

# **ZNF142**

ZNF142-related NDD w/impaired speech & hyperkinetic movements (OMIM 618425)ARSpeech impairment ranging from severely affected (minimally verbal) to verbal (w/CAS)IDVariable manifestation of seizures, tremor, dystonia24 families reported 8

AD = autosomal dominant; ADHD = attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; AR = autosomal recessive; ASD = autism spectrum disorder; CAS = childhood apraxia of speech; DD =

developmental delay; ID = intellectual disability; MOI = mode of inheritance; NDD = neurodevelopmental disorder1. Snijders Blok et al [2018], Eising et al [2019]2. Kaspi et al [2022]

3.

Shriberg et al [2011]

- 4. A heterozygous intragenic pathogenic variant in KANSL1 is identified in ~5% of affected individuals. Most individuals with Koolen-de Vries syndrome have the disorder as the result of a heterozygous deletion at chromosome 17q21.31 that includes KANSL1 [St John et al 2022b].5. St John et al [2022a]
- 6. Author, personal observation; Brignell et al [2021]7. Eising et al [2019], Granadillo et al [2020]8. Khan et al [2019], Christensen et al [2022], Kamal et al [2022]

16p11.2 recurrent deletion. The 16p11.2 recurrent deletion phenotype is characterized by motor speech disorder, language disorder (broadly impaired receptive, expressive, and pragmatic domains), motor coordination difficulties, psychiatric conditions, and autistic features. While most, if not all, individuals with the 16p11.2 recurrent deletion experience some degree of developmental delay, the severity varies significantly. The majority of children (~80%) with the 16p11.2 recurrent deletion present with a motor speech disorder, such as CAS and dysarthria. CAS is particularly prevalent (found in 77% of affected children) and often co-occurs with other speech sound disorders, such as articulation and phonologic disorders. Additional features include epilepsy or recurrent seizures and dysmorphic features (e.g., low-set ears, partial syndactyly).

7q11.23 duplication syndrome is characterized by delayed motor, speech, and social skills in early childhood; neurologic abnormalities (hypotonia, adventitious movements, and abnormal gait and station); speech sound disorders including motor speech disorders (CAS and/or dysarthria) and phonologic disorders; behavior issues (especially social anxiety disorder / social phobia); autism spectrum disorder; and, in some individuals, intellectual disability. Distinctive craniofacial

features (macrocephaly, brachycephaly, broad forehead, straight eyebrows, deep-set eyes, long eyelashes, broad nasal tip, low insertion of the columella, short philtrum, thin vermilion of the upper lip, high-arched palate, and minor ear anomalies) are common.

Koolen-de Vries syndrome (KdVS) is characterized by developmental delay / intellectual disability, neonatal/childhood hypotonia, dysmorphisms, congenital malformations, and behavioral features. Psychomotor developmental delay is noted in all individuals from an early age.

Communication disorder is a core feature of KdVS, with a common speech and language phenotype seen. This includes an overriding "double hit" of oral hypotonia and apraxia in infancy and preschool, associated with severely delayed speech development. CAS is common in the preschool years. KdVS is caused by either a heterozygous 500- to 650-kb deletion at chromosome 17q21.31 that includes KANSL1 (~95% of affected individuals) or a heterozygous intragenic pathogenic variant in KANSL1. Note: The 17q21.31 deletion cannot be identified by analysis of G-banded chromosomes or other cytogenetic banding techniques.

Table 2. Single-Gene Disorders with Childhood Apraxia of Speech in the Differential Diagnosis of FOXP2-Related Speech and Language DisorderView in own windowGeneDisease

NameMOISpeech & Language PhenotypeOther Features

CDK13

#### CDK13-related disorder

ADNearly all persons age >1 yr display impaired verbal language skills (either absent or restricted speech).CAS seen in more than half of persons w/verbal impairment.

DD/IDOther common findings are recognizable facial features in some persons, behavioral findings, feeding difficulties in infancy, structural cardiac defects, & seizures.

Snijders Blok-Campeau syndrome (OMIM 618205)ADDelayed speech acquisitionExpressive language deficits w/CAS & dysarthria

DD/IDMacrocephaly, characteristic facial features (prominent forehead, hypertelorism, hypotonia, joint laxity)Severity of neurologic deficits & presence of nonneurologic features are variable. 1

DDX3X

DDX3X-related neurodevelopmental disorder

XLSpeech disorder incl CAS 2Mild to severely affected receptive & expressive language~50% of affected females remain nonverbal after age 5 yrs (DDX3X-NDD typically occurs in females & very rarely in males).

DD/IDHypotonia that can be assoc w/feeding difficulty in infancyBehavioral issues (e.g., ASD, ADHD, self-injurious behavior, poor impulse control, & aggression)

**GALT** 

Classic galactosemia

ARCAS & dysarthria (Prevalence of motor speech disorder is high; in 1 study ~25% of children had CAS. 3)Expressive/receptive language impairment

Life-threatening complications in untreated infantsIf lactose-restricted diet is provided during 1st 10 days of life, neonatal signs usually quickly resolve & neonatal death is prevented; however, despite adequate treatment from an early age, affected children are at ↑ risk for DD & abnormalities of motor function.

GRIN2A

GRIN2A-related speech disorders & epilepsy

ADSevere speech disorders incl dysarthria & speech dyspraxia, & both receptive & expressive language delay/regression. More mildly affected persons may display subtly impaired intelligibility of conversational speech.

Epilepsy (present in ~90% of affected persons)KANSL1 4

Koolen-de Vries syndrome

ADSee Chromosomal disorders. See Chromosomal disorders.

KAT6A

KAT6A syndrome 5ADMajority of affected persons are minimally verbal & rely on alternate communication methods. Verbal persons have speech disorder incl CAS. Severe expressive & receptive language impairment

Moderate-to-severe ID, vision, GI function, sleep issuesASD present in 30% of affected personsMainly truncating variants reported 5

## POGZ

White-Sutton syndrome (POGZ-related disorder)ADSevere speech & language acquisition delays or difficulties incl CASNDD w/wide spectrum of cognitive dysfunction, DD, hypotonia, ASD, & behavioral issues

**PURA** 

PURA syndrome (See PURA-Related Neurodevelopmental Disorders.)ADDelayed speech & languageExpressive language is more severely affected than receptive language.Most affected persons are unable to speak.CAS&#160:2

ID, delayed motor skills (severely delayed walking; some persons never walk), hypotonia, feeding difficulties, dysphagiaInfants: hypersomnolence, low body temperature, apnea, slow breathingRecurrent seizures, heart abnormalities, urogenital, GI, & skeletal anomalies, hormone disorders

## SETBP1

SETBP1 haploinsufficiency disorder

ADDelayed speech in early yearsCAS, receptive & expressive language impairments across domains of function

Mild motor DD & hypotonia, IDADHDRefractive errors & strabismus

SETD1B

SETD1B-related neurodevelopmental disorder

ADSpeech & language delayCAS 2

DD (precedes seizure onset), ID, ASD, variable epilepsy phenotypesMales are overrepresented.Behavioral issues are more common in males.

## SHANK3

SHANK3-related absent or severely delayed speech (See Phelan-McDermid syndrome.) ADAbsent to severely delayed speech CAS 2

22q13.3 deletions involving SHANK3 & SHANK3 pathogenic variants are known to be assoc w/Phelan-McDermid syndrome. In the authors' experience, children w/intragenic SHANK3 pathogenic variants are much more mildly affected than children w/22q13.3 deletions. 6 SRCAP

# Floating-Harbor syndrome

ADDysarthria & verbal dyspraxia w/phoneme imprecisionHypernasality, high-pitched voiceSevere receptive & expressive language impairment across all domains of function

Craniofacial featuresLow birth weight, normal head circumference, & short statureBone age delay that normalizes between ages 6-12 yrsSkeletal anomaliesID that is typically mild to moderate

TNRC6B

TNRC6B-related syndrome 7ADDelayed speechCAS

ID/DD, fine & gross motor delayASD, ADHD, or other behavioral findingsMusculoskeletal findings 7

**ZNF142** 

ZNF142-related NDD w/impaired speech & hyperkinetic movements (OMIM 618425)ARSpeech impairment ranging from severely affected (minimally verbal) to verbal (w/CAS)IDVariable manifestation of seizures, tremor, dystonia24 families reported 8 AD = autosomal dominant; ADHD = attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; AR = autosomal recessive; ASD = autism spectrum disorder; CAS = childhood apraxia of speech; DD = developmental delay; ID = intellectual disability; MOI = mode of inheritance; NDD = neurodevelopmental disorder1. Snijders Blok et al [2018], Eising et al [2019]2. Kaspi et al [2022]

3.

Shriberg et al [2011]

- 4. A heterozygous intragenic pathogenic variant in KANSL1 is identified in ~5% of affected individuals. Most individuals with Koolen-de Vries syndrome have the disorder as the result of a heterozygous deletion at chromosome 17g21.31 that includes KANSL1 [St John et al 2022b].5. St John et al [2022a]
- 6. Author, personal observation; Brignell et al [2021]7. Eising et al [2019], Granadillo et al [2020]8. Khan et al [2019], Christensen et al [2022], Kamal et al [2022]

Single-Gene Disorders with Childhood Apraxia of Speech in the Differential Diagnosis of FOXP2-Related Speech and Language Disorder

GeneDisease NameMOISpeech & Language PhenotypeOther Features

CDK13

CDK13-related disorder

ADNearly all persons age >1 yr display impaired verbal language skills (either absent or restricted speech).CAS seen in more than half of persons w/verbal impairment.

DD/IDOther common findings are recognizable facial features in some persons, behavioral findings, feeding difficulties in infancy, structural cardiac defects, & seizures.

## CHD3

Snijders Blok-Campeau syndrome (OMIM 618205)ADDelayed speech acquisitionExpressive language deficits w/CAS & dysarthria

DD/IDMacrocephaly, characteristic facial features (prominent forehead, hypertelorism, hypotonia, joint laxity)Severity of neurologic deficits & presence of nonneurologic features are variable. 1

## DDX3X

DDX3X-related neurodevelopmental disorder

XLSpeech disorder incl CAS 2Mild to severely affected receptive & expressive language~50% of affected females remain nonverbal after age 5 yrs (DDX3X-NDD typically occurs in females & very rarely in males).

DD/IDHypotonia that can be assoc w/feeding difficulty in infancyBehavioral issues (e.g., ASD, ADHD, self-injurious behavior, poor impulse control, & aggression)

## **GALT**

## Classic galactosemia

ARCAS & dysarthria (Prevalence of motor speech disorder is high; in 1 study ~25% of children had

CAS. 3)Expressive/receptive language impairment

Life-threatening complications in untreated infantsIf lactose-restricted diet is provided during 1st 10 days of life, neonatal signs usually quickly resolve & neonatal death is prevented; however, despite adequate treatment from an early age, affected children are at ↑ risk for DD & abnormalities of motor function.

# **GRIN2A**

GRIN2A-related speech disorders & epilepsy

ADSevere speech disorders incl dysarthria & speech dyspraxia, & both receptive & expressive language delay/regression. More mildly affected persons may display subtly impaired intelligibility of conversational speech.

Epilepsy (present in ~90% of affected persons)KANSL1 4

Koolen-de Vries syndrome

ADSee Chromosomal disorders. See Chromosomal disorders.

## KAT6A

KAT6A syndrome 5ADMajority of affected persons are minimally verbal & rely on alternate communication methods. Verbal persons have speech disorder incl CAS. Severe expressive & receptive language impairment

Moderate-to-severe ID, vision, GI function, sleep issuesASD present in 30% of affected personsMainly truncating variants reported 5

## POGZ

White-Sutton syndrome (POGZ-related disorder)ADSevere speech & language acquisition delays or difficulties incl CASNDD w/wide spectrum of cognitive dysfunction, DD, hypotonia, ASD, & behavioral issues

## **PURA**

PURA syndrome (See PURA-Related Neurodevelopmental Disorders.)ADDelayed speech & languageExpressive language is more severely affected than receptive language.Most affected persons are unable to speak.CAS 2

ID, delayed motor skills (severely delayed walking; some persons never walk), hypotonia, feeding difficulties, dysphagiaInfants: hypersomnolence, low body temperature, apnea, slow breathingRecurrent seizures, heart abnormalities, urogenital, GI, & skeletal anomalies, hormone disorders

SETBP1

SETBP1 haploinsufficiency disorder

ADDelayed speech in early yearsCAS, receptive & expressive language impairments across domains of function

Mild motor DD & hypotonia, IDADHDRefractive errors & strabismus

SETD1B

SETD1B-related neurodevelopmental disorder

ADSpeech & language delayCAS 2

DD (precedes seizure onset), ID, ASD, variable epilepsy phenotypesMales are overrepresented.Behavioral issues are more common in males.

## SHANK3

SHANK3-related absent or severely delayed speech (See Phelan-McDermid syndrome.) ADAbsent to severely delayed speech CAS 2

22q13.3 deletions involving SHANK3 & SHANK3 pathogenic variants are known to be assoc w/Phelan-McDermid syndrome. In the authors' experience, children w/intragenic SHANK3

pathogenic variants are much more mildly affected than children w/22q13.3 deletions. 6 SRCAP

Floating-Harbor syndrome

ADDysarthria & verbal dyspraxia w/phoneme imprecisionHypernasality, high-pitched voiceSevere receptive & expressive language impairment across all domains of function

Craniofacial featuresLow birth weight, normal head circumference, & short statureBone age delay that normalizes between ages 6-12 yrsSkeletal anomaliesID that is typically mild to moderate

**TNRC6B** 

TNRC6B-related syndrome 7ADDelayed speechCAS

ID/DD, fine & gross motor delayASD, ADHD, or other behavioral findingsMusculoskeletal findings 7

**ZNF142** 


ZNF142-related NDD w/impaired speech & hyperkinetic movements (OMIM 618425)ARSpeech impairment ranging from severely affected (minimally verbal) to verbal (w/CAS)IDVariable manifestation of seizures, tremor, dystonia24 families reported 8

Nearly all persons age >1 yr display impaired verbal language skills (either absent or restricted speech).

CAS seen in more than half of persons w/verbal impairment.

DD/ID

Other common findings are recognizable facial features in some persons, behavioral findings,



CAS. 3)

Expressive/receptive language impairment

Life-threatening complications in untreated infants

If lactose-restricted diet is provided during 1st 10 days of life, neonatal signs usually quickly resolve & neonatal death is prevented; however, despite adequate treatment from an early age, affected children are at ↑ risk for DD & abnormalities of motor function.

Severe speech disorders incl dysarthria & speech dyspraxia, & both receptive & expressive language delay/regression.

More mildly affected persons may display subtly impaired intelligibility of conversational speech.

Majority of affected persons are minimally verbal & rely on alternate communication methods.


Verbal persons have speech disorder incl CAS.

Severe expressive & receptive language impairment

Moderate-to-severe ID, vision, GI function, sleep issues

ASD present in 30% of affected persons


Mainly truncating variants reported 5



DD (precedes seizure onset), ID, ASD, variable epilepsy phenotypes
Males are overrepresented.
Behavioral issues are more common in males.
Absent to severely delayed speech
CAS 2
Dysarthria & verbal dyspraxia w/phoneme imprecision
Hypernasality, high-pitched voice
Severe receptive & expressive language impairment across all domains of function
Craniofacial features
Low birth weight, normal head circumference, & short stature
Bone age delay that normalizes between ages 6-12 yrs
Skeletal anomalies

ID that is typically mild to moderate

CAS 2



St John et al [2022a]

6. Author, personal observation; Brignell et al [2021]7. Eising et al [2019], Granadillo et al [2020]8. Khan et al [2019], Christensen et al [2022], Kamal et al [2022]

AD = autosomal dominant; ADHD = attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; AR = autosomal recessive; ASD = autism spectrum disorder; CAS = childhood apraxia of speech; DD = developmental delay; ID = intellectual disability; MOI = mode of inheritance; NDD = neurodevelopmental disorder1. Snijders Blok et al [2018], Eising et al [2019]2. Kaspi et al [2022]

3.

Shriberg et al [2011]

- 4. A heterozygous intragenic pathogenic variant in KANSL1 is identified in ~5% of affected individuals. Most individuals with Koolen-de Vries syndrome have the disorder as the result of a heterozygous deletion at chromosome 17q21.31 that includes KANSL1 [St John et al 2022b].5. St John et al [2022a]
- 6. Author, personal observation; Brignell et al [2021]7. Eising et al [2019], Granadillo et al [2020]8. Khan et al [2019], Christensen et al [2022], Kamal et al [2022]

AD = autosomal dominant; ADHD = attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; AR = autosomal recessive; ASD = autism spectrum disorder; CAS = childhood apraxia of speech; DD = developmental delay; ID = intellectual disability; MOI = mode of inheritance; NDD = neurodevelopmental disorder

Snijders Blok et al [2018], Eising et al [2019]

Kaspi et al [2022]

Shriberg et al [2011]

A heterozygous intragenic pathogenic variant in KANSL1 is identified in ~5% of affected individuals. Most individuals with Koolen-de Vries syndrome have the disorder as the result of a heterozygous deletion at chromosome 17q21.31 that includes KANSL1 [St John et al 2022b].

St John et al [2022a]

Author, personal observation; Brignell et al [2021]

Eising et al [2019], Granadillo et al [2020]

Khan et al [2019], Christensen et al [2022], Kamal et al [2022]

ManagementNo clinical practice guidelines for FOXP2-related speech and language disorder (FOXP2-SLD) have been published. Evaluations Following Initial DiagnosisTo establish the extent of disease and management needs for an individual with FOXP2-SLD, the following evaluations conducted by a trained and specialized speech-language pathologist are recommended: Detailed developmental history including early oral-motor and feeding abilities, speech sound development, motor milestones, and cognitive developmentFamily history of speech disorderOral-facial structural examination to determine if any structural abnormalities are presentSpeech sound assessment including a test of single words, sounds in isolation, and connected speech to determine the child's phonetic inventory (i.e., has the child acquired age-appropriate speech sounds) and to determine if the child has phonologic errors, apraxic errors, dysfluency (stuttering), dysarthric errors, or a combination of these speech disorder diagnoses. The presence of resonance or nasality deficits signals the need to consider whether structurally based velopharyngeal port incompetence is present by referral to an ear, nose, and throat specialist and possibly

videopalatography. Assessment of oral-motor function including: Examination of facial asymmetry. reduced or increased oral-facial tone, and/or poor coordination of neuromuscular oral movements (e.g., "try to lick your nose with your tongue," "move your tongue quickly side to side," "blow a kiss" [lip protrusion], "smile" [lip retraction], etc.) Examination for evidence of oral-motor dyspraxia (i.e., can the individual perform oral movements on command in isolation [e.g., "bite" or "blow"] or in sequence [e.g., "kiss and blow"; "kiss, blow, and bite"])Language assessment to determine the presence of receptive and/or expressive language impairments across the domains of semantics, syntax, and morphologyLiteracy assessment or preliteracy (phonologic awareness) for evidence of reading and spelling difficulties so that appropriate support can be arrangedAdditional evaluations include the following: Referral to a neuropsychologist or clinical psychologist to determine the extent of any coexisting cognitive and learning impairments and to assess for the presence of behaviors associated with autism spectrum disorder, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, anxiety, or depressionReferral to a physical therapist if gross motor movement difficulties are reported and to an occupational therapist if fine motor movement difficulties are observedConsultation with a medical geneticist, certified genetic counselor, or certified advanced genetic nurse to inform affected individuals and their families about the nature, mode of inheritance, and implications of FOXP2-SLD to facilitate medical and personal decision makingAssessment of the need for family support (see Resources and Parent to Parent)Treatment of ManifestationsThere is no cure for FOXP2-SLD. Supportive care to improve quality of life, maximize function, and reduce complications is recommended. This ideally involves multidisciplinary care by specialists in relevant fields. Speech and language disorder. A speech-language pathologist will utilize treatments targeted to the specific findings in an affected individual; thus, a thorough initial assessment to establish the extent of the condition and management needs for an individual is important. No single recommended treatment exists. The optimal approach should be determined based on the individual's presentation, but guidance on CAS therapies is as follows [American Speech-Language-Hearing Association 2007, Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists 2011, Murray et al 2014, Murray et al 2015, Morgan et al 2018]. Consider evaluation for nonverbal support or alternative means of

communication (e.g., augmentative and alternative communication [AAC]) for individuals with severe speech and expressive language difficulties. An AAC evaluation can be completed by a speech-language pathologist who has expertise in the area. The evaluation will consider cognitive abilities and sensory impairments to determine the most appropriate form of communication.AAC devices can range from low-tech, such as picture exchange communication, to high-tech, such as voice-generating devices. Contrary to popular belief, AAC devices do not hinder verbal development of speech, but rather support optimal speech and language development. In terms of verbal development, difficulties with motor planning (apraxia) are severe in the early years of life, and intensive evidence-based motor speech therapies should be applied [Morgan et al 2018]. Early phonologic awareness tasks should be implemented to support speech and later literacy development. Therapies addressing both receptive and expressive semantics and grammar are also recommended. The optimal intervention will be tailored to the child's specific profile as it changes during development. Developmental Delay / Intellectual Disability Management Issues The following information represents typical management recommendations for individuals with developmental delay / intellectual disability in the United States; standard recommendations may vary from country to country. Ages 0-3 years. Referral to an early intervention program is recommended for access to occupational, physical, speech-language, and feeding therapy as well as infant mental health services, special educators, and sensory impairment specialists. In the US, early intervention is a federally funded program available in all states that provides in-home services to target individual therapy needs. Ages 3-5 years. In the US, developmental preschool through the local public school district is recommended and results from referral to Child Find programs. Before placement, an evaluation is made to determine needed services and therapies and an individualized education plan (IEP) is developed for those who qualify based on established motor, language. social, or cognitive delay. The early intervention program typically assists with this transition. Developmental preschool is center based; for children too medically unstable to attend, home-based services are provided. All ages. Consultation with a developmental pediatrician is recommended to ensure the involvement of appropriate community, state, and educational agencies (US) and to

support parents in maximizing quality of life. Some issues to consider: IEP services: An IEP provides specially designed instruction and related services to children who qualify. IEP services will be reviewed annually to determine whether any changes are needed. Special education law requires that children participating in an IEP be in the least restrictive environment feasible at school and included in general education as much as possible, when and where appropriate.PT, OT, and speech services will be provided in the IEP to the extent that the need affects the child's access to academic material. Beyond that, private supportive therapies based on the affected individual's needs may be considered. Specific recommendations regarding type of therapy can be made by a developmental pediatrician. As a child enters the teen years, a transition plan should be discussed and incorporated in the IEP. For those receiving IEP services, the public school district is required to provide services until age 21. Vocational opportunities and programming including vocational rehabilitation should be considered early with a focus on achievement of meaningful employmentA 504 plan (Section 504: a US federal statute that prohibits discrimination based on disability) can be considered for those who require accommodations or modifications such as front-of-class seating, assistive technology devices, classroom scribes, extra time between classes, modified assignments, and enlarged text. Developmental Disabilities Administration (DDA) enrollment is recommended. DDA is a US public agency that provides services and support to qualified individuals. Eligibility differs by state but is typically determined by diagnosis and/or associated cognitive/adaptive disabilities. Families with limited income and resources may also qualify for supplemental security income (SSI) for their child with a disability. Fine motor dysfunction. Occupational therapy is recommended for difficulty with fine motor skills that affect adaptive function such as self-feeding. grooming, dressing, and writing. Oral motor dysfunction. Feeding therapy (typically from a speech-language pathologist or occupational therapist) is recommended to help improve coordination of oral movement skills for feeding or sensory-related feeding issues using relevant approaches including postural modification and altering the consistency of food and fluid [Morgan et al 2012]. Mothers may need support from a breastfeeding or lactation consultant in the early weeks or months of life. Gross motor dysfunction. Physical therapy may be recommended for difficulty with

crawling, walking, running, and building strength resulting from hypotonia. Social/Behavioral ConcernsChildren may qualify for and benefit from interventions used in treatment of autism spectrum disorder, including applied behavior analysis (ABA). ABA therapy is targeted to the individual child's behavioral, social, and adaptive strengths and weaknesses and typically performed one on one with a board-certified behavior analyst. Consultation with a developmental pediatrician may be helpful in guiding parents through appropriate behavior management strategies or providing prescription medications, such as medication used to treat attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, when necessary. Concerns about anxiety can be addressed by a developmental specialist or psychiatrist. Surveillance To monitor existing manifestations, the individual's response to supportive care, and the emergence of new manifestations, the following evaluations are recommended:Routine care by a general pediatricianFollow-up evaluations with standardized tests by a speech-language pathologistReview educational progress/needsReview mental health if anxiety and/or depression have been issues or have emerged as issuesEvaluation of Relatives at RiskIt is appropriate to clarify the genetic status of apparently asymptomatic sibs of an affected individual by molecular genetic testing for the FOXP2 pathogenic variant in the family to identify as early as possible those who would benefit from prompt evaluation by a speech-language pathologist and initiation of treatment. See Genetic Counseling for issues related to testing of at-risk relatives for genetic counseling purposes. Therapies Under Investigation Search Clinical Trials, gov in the US and EU Clinical Trials Register in Europe for access to information on clinical studies for a wide range of diseases and conditions. Note: There may not be clinical trials for this disorder.

Evaluations Following Initial DiagnosisTo establish the extent of disease and management needs for an individual with FOXP2-SLD, the following evaluations conducted by a trained and specialized speech-language pathologist are recommended:Detailed developmental history including early oral-motor and feeding abilities, speech sound development, motor milestones, and cognitive developmentFamily history of speech disorderOral-facial structural examination to determine if any structural abnormalities are presentSpeech sound assessment including a test of single words,

sounds in isolation, and connected speech to determine the child's phonetic inventory (i.e., has the child acquired age-appropriate speech sounds) and to determine if the child has phonologic errors, apraxic errors, dysfluency (stuttering), dysarthric errors, or a combination of these speech disorder diagnoses. The presence of resonance or nasality deficits signals the need to consider whether structurally based velopharyngeal port incompetence is present by referral to an ear, nose, and throat specialist and possibly videopalatography. Assessment of oral-motor function including:Examination of facial asymmetry, reduced or increased oral-facial tone, and/or poor coordination of neuromuscular oral movements (e.g., "try to lick your nose with your tongue," "move your tongue guickly side to side," "blow a kiss" [lip protrusion], "smile" [lip retraction], etc.) Examination for evidence of oral-motor dyspraxia (i.e., can the individual perform oral movements on command in isolation [e.g., "bite" or "blow"] or in sequence [e.g., "kiss and blow"; "kiss, blow, and bite"])Language assessment to determine the presence of receptive and/or expressive language impairments across the domains of semantics, syntax, and morphologyLiteracy assessment or preliteracy (phonologic awareness) for evidence of reading and spelling difficulties so that appropriate support can be arrangedAdditional evaluations include the following:Referral to a neuropsychologist or clinical psychologist to determine the extent of any coexisting cognitive and learning impairments and to assess for the presence of behaviors associated with autism spectrum disorder, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, anxiety, or depressionReferral to a physical therapist if gross motor movement difficulties are reported and to an occupational therapist if fine motor movement difficulties are observedConsultation with a medical geneticist, certified genetic counselor, or certified advanced genetic nurse to inform affected individuals and their families about the nature, mode of inheritance, and implications of FOXP2-SLD to facilitate medical and personal decision making Assessment of the need for family support (see Resources and Parent to Parent)

Detailed developmental history including early oral-motor and feeding abilities, speech sound development, motor milestones, and cognitive development

Family history of speech disorder

Oral-facial structural examination to determine if any structural abnormalities are present

Speech sound assessment including a test of single words, sounds in isolation, and connected speech to determine the child's phonetic inventory (i.e., has the child acquired age-appropriate speech sounds) and to determine if the child has phonologic errors, apraxic errors, dysfluency (stuttering), dysarthric errors, or a combination of these speech disorder diagnoses. The presence of resonance or nasality deficits signals the need to consider whether structurally based velopharyngeal port incompetence is present by referral to an ear, nose, and throat specialist and possibly videopalatography.

Assessment of oral-motor function including:

Examination of facial asymmetry, reduced or increased oral-facial tone, and/or poor coordination of neuromuscular oral movements (e.g., "try to lick your nose with your tongue," "move your tongue quickly side to side," "blow a kiss" [lip protrusion], "smile" [lip retraction], etc.)

Examination for evidence of oral-motor dyspraxia (i.e., can the individual perform oral movements on command in isolation [e.g., "bite" or "blow"] or in sequence [e.g., "kiss and blow"; "kiss, blow, and bite"])

Language assessment to determine the presence of receptive and/or expressive language impairments across the domains of semantics, syntax, and morphology

Literacy assessment or preliteracy (phonologic awareness) for evidence of reading and spelling difficulties so that appropriate support can be arranged

Referral to a neuropsychologist or clinical psychologist to determine the extent of any coexisting cognitive and learning impairments and to assess for the presence of behaviors associated with autism spectrum disorder, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, anxiety, or depression

Referral to a physical therapist if gross motor movement difficulties are reported and to an occupational therapist if fine motor movement difficulties are observed

Consultation with a medical geneticist, certified genetic counselor, or certified advanced genetic nurse to inform affected individuals and their families about the nature, mode of inheritance, and implications of FOXP2-SLD to facilitate medical and personal decision making

Assessment of the need for family support (see Resources and Parent to Parent)

Treatment of ManifestationsThere is no cure for FOXP2-SLD.Supportive care to improve quality of life, maximize function, and reduce complications is recommended. This ideally involves multidisciplinary care by specialists in relevant fields.Speech and language disorder. A speech-language pathologist will utilize treatments targeted to the specific findings in an affected individual; thus, a thorough initial assessment to establish the extent of the condition and management needs for an individual is important.No single recommended treatment exists. The optimal approach should be determined based on the individual's presentation, but guidance on CAS therapies is as follows [American Speech-Language-Hearing Association 2007, Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists 2011, Murray et al 2014, Murray et al 2015, Morgan et al 2018].Consider evaluation for nonverbal support or alternative means of communication (e.g., augmentative and alternative communication [AAC]) for individuals with severe speech and expressive language difficulties. An AAC evaluation can be completed by a speech-language pathologist who has expertise in the area. The evaluation will consider cognitive abilities and

sensory impairments to determine the most appropriate form of communication. AAC devices can range from low-tech, such as picture exchange communication, to high-tech, such as voice-generating devices. Contrary to popular belief, AAC devices do not hinder verbal development of speech, but rather support optimal speech and language development. In terms of verbal development, difficulties with motor planning (apraxia) are severe in the early years of life, and intensive evidence-based motor speech therapies should be applied [Morgan et al 2018]. Early phonologic awareness tasks should be implemented to support speech and later literacy development. Therapies addressing both receptive and expressive semantics and grammar are also recommended. The optimal intervention will be tailored to the child's specific profile as it changes during development. Developmental Delay / Intellectual Disability Management Issues The following information represents typical management recommendations for individuals with developmental delay / intellectual disability in the United States; standard recommendations may vary from country to country. Ages 0-3 years. Referral to an early intervention program is recommended for access to occupational, physical, speech-language, and feeding therapy as well as infant mental health services, special educators, and sensory impairment specialists. In the US, early intervention is a federally funded program available in all states that provides in-home services to target individual therapy needs. Ages 3-5 years. In the US, developmental preschool through the local public school district is recommended and results from referral to Child Find programs. Before placement, an evaluation is made to determine needed services and therapies and an individualized education plan (IEP) is developed for those who qualify based on established motor, language, social, or cognitive delay. The early intervention program typically assists with this transition. Developmental preschool is center based; for children too medically unstable to attend, home-based services are provided. All ages. Consultation with a developmental pediatrician is recommended to ensure the involvement of appropriate community, state, and educational agencies (US) and to support parents in maximizing quality of life. Some issues to consider:IEP services:An IEP provides specially designed instruction and related services to children who qualify. IEP services will be reviewed annually to determine whether any changes are needed. Special education law requires

that children participating in an IEP be in the least restrictive environment feasible at school and included in general education as much as possible, when and where appropriate.PT, OT, and speech services will be provided in the IEP to the extent that the need affects the child's access to academic material. Beyond that, private supportive therapies based on the affected individual's needs may be considered. Specific recommendations regarding type of therapy can be made by a developmental pediatrician. As a child enters the teen years, a transition plan should be discussed and incorporated in the IEP. For those receiving IEP services, the public school district is required to provide services until age 21. Vocational opportunities and programming including vocational rehabilitation should be considered early with a focus on achievement of meaningful employmentA 504 plan (Section 504: a US federal statute that prohibits discrimination based on disability) can be considered for those who require accommodations or modifications such as front-of-class seating. assistive technology devices, classroom scribes, extra time between classes, modified assignments, and enlarged text. Developmental Disabilities Administration (DDA) enrollment is recommended. DDA is a US public agency that provides services and support to qualified individuals. Eligibility differs by state but is typically determined by diagnosis and/or associated cognitive/adaptive disabilities. Families with limited income and resources may also qualify for supplemental security income (SSI) for their child with a disability. Fine motor dysfunction. Occupational therapy is recommended for difficulty with fine motor skills that affect adaptive function such as self-feeding. grooming, dressing, and writing. Oral motor dysfunction. Feeding therapy (typically from a speech-language pathologist or occupational therapist) is recommended to help improve coordination of oral movement skills for feeding or sensory-related feeding issues using relevant approaches including postural modification and altering the consistency of food and fluid [Morgan et al 2012]. Mothers may need support from a breastfeeding or lactation consultant in the early weeks or months of life. Gross motor dysfunction. Physical therapy may be recommended for difficulty with crawling, walking, running, and building strength resulting from hypotonia. Social/Behavioral ConcernsChildren may qualify for and benefit from interventions used in treatment of autism spectrum disorder, including applied behavior analysis (ABA). ABA therapy is targeted to the

individual child's behavioral, social, and adaptive strengths and weaknesses and typically performed one on one with a board-certified behavior analyst. Consultation with a developmental pediatrician may be helpful in guiding parents through appropriate behavior management strategies or providing prescription medications, such as medication used to treat attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, when necessary. Concerns about anxiety can be addressed by a developmental specialist or psychiatrist.

Consider evaluation for nonverbal support or alternative means of communication (e.g., augmentative and alternative communication [AAC]) for individuals with severe speech and expressive language difficulties. An AAC evaluation can be completed by a speech-language pathologist who has expertise in the area. The evaluation will consider cognitive abilities and sensory impairments to determine the most appropriate form of communication.

AAC devices can range from low-tech, such as picture exchange communication, to high-tech, such as voice-generating devices. Contrary to popular belief, AAC devices do not hinder verbal development of speech, but rather support optimal speech and language development.

In terms of verbal development, difficulties with motor planning (apraxia) are severe in the early years of life, and intensive evidence-based motor speech therapies should be applied [Morgan et al 2018]. Early phonologic awareness tasks should be implemented to support speech and later literacy development. Therapies addressing both receptive and expressive semantics and grammar are also recommended. The optimal intervention will be tailored to the child's specific profile as it changes during development.

Developmental Delay / Intellectual Disability Management IssuesThe following information represents typical management recommendations for individuals with developmental delay / intellectual disability in the United States; standard recommendations may vary from country to

country. Ages 0-3 years. Referral to an early intervention program is recommended for access to occupational, physical, speech-language, and feeding therapy as well as infant mental health services, special educators, and sensory impairment specialists. In the US, early intervention is a federally funded program available in all states that provides in-home services to target individual therapy needs. Ages 3-5 years. In the US, developmental preschool through the local public school district is recommended and results from referral to Child Find programs. Before placement, an evaluation is made to determine needed services and therapies and an individualized education plan (IEP) is developed for those who qualify based on established motor, language, social, or cognitive delay. The early intervention program typically assists with this transition. Developmental preschool is center based; for children too medically unstable to attend, home-based services are provided. All ages. Consultation with a developmental pediatrician is recommended to ensure the involvement of appropriate community, state, and educational agencies (US) and to support parents in maximizing quality of life. Some issues to consider:IEP services:An IEP provides specially designed instruction and related services to children who qualify. IEP services will be reviewed annually to determine whether any changes are needed. Special education law requires that children participating in an IEP be in the least restrictive environment feasible at school and included in general education as much as possible, when and where appropriate.PT, OT, and speech services will be provided in the IEP to the extent that the need affects the child's access to academic material. Beyond that, private supportive therapies based on the affected individual's needs may be considered. Specific recommendations regarding type of therapy can be made by a developmental pediatrician. As a child enters the teen years, a transition plan should be discussed and incorporated in the IEP. For those receiving IEP services, the public school district is required to provide services until age 21. Vocational opportunities and programming including vocational rehabilitation should be considered early with a focus on achievement of meaningful employmentA 504 plan (Section 504: a US federal statute that prohibits discrimination based on disability) can be considered for those who require accommodations or modifications such as front-of-class seating, assistive technology devices, classroom scribes, extra time between classes, modified assignments, and enlarged

text.Developmental Disabilities Administration (DDA) enrollment is recommended. DDA is a US public agency that provides services and support to qualified individuals. Eligibility differs by state but is typically determined by diagnosis and/or associated cognitive/adaptive disabilities. Families with limited income and resources may also qualify for supplemental security income (SSI) for their child with a disability. Fine motor dysfunction. Occupational therapy is recommended for difficulty with fine motor skills that affect adaptive function such as self-feeding, grooming, dressing, and writing. Oral motor dysfunction. Feeding therapy (typically from a speech-language pathologist or occupational therapist) is recommended to help improve coordination of oral movement skills for feeding or sensory-related feeding issues using relevant approaches including postural modification and altering the consistency of food and fluid [Morgan et al 2012]. Mothers may need support from a breastfeeding or lactation consultant in the early weeks or months of life. Gross motor dysfunction. Physical therapy may be recommended for difficulty with crawling, walking, running, and building strength resulting from hypotonia.

IEP services:

An IEP provides specially designed instruction and related services to children who qualify.

IEP services will be reviewed annually to determine whether any changes are needed.

Special education law requires that children participating in an IEP be in the least restrictive environment feasible at school and included in general education as much as possible, when and where appropriate.

PT, OT, and speech services will be provided in the IEP to the extent that the need affects the child's access to academic material. Beyond that, private supportive therapies based on the affected individual's needs may be considered. Specific recommendations regarding type of therapy can be

made by a developmental pediatrician.

As a child enters the teen years, a transition plan should be discussed and incorporated in the IEP. For those receiving IEP services, the public school district is required to provide services until age 21.

Vocational opportunities and programming including vocational rehabilitation should be considered early with a focus on achievement of meaningful employment

A 504 plan (Section 504: a US federal statute that prohibits discrimination based on disability) can be considered for those who require accommodations or modifications such as front-of-class seating, assistive technology devices, classroom scribes, extra time between classes, modified assignments, and enlarged text.

Developmental Disabilities Administration (DDA) enrollment is recommended. DDA is a US public agency that provides services and support to qualified individuals. Eligibility differs by state but is typically determined by diagnosis and/or associated cognitive/adaptive disabilities.

Families with limited income and resources may also qualify for supplemental security income (SSI) for their child with a disability.

Social/Behavioral ConcernsChildren may qualify for and benefit from interventions used in treatment of autism spectrum disorder, including applied behavior analysis (ABA). ABA therapy is targeted to the individual child's behavioral, social, and adaptive strengths and weaknesses and typically performed one on one with a board-certified behavior analyst. Consultation with a developmental pediatrician may be helpful in guiding parents through appropriate behavior management strategies or providing prescription medications, such as medication used to treat attention-deficit/hyperactivity

disorder, when necessary. Concerns about anxiety can be addressed by a developmental specialist or psychiatrist.

SurveillanceTo monitor existing manifestations, the individual's response to supportive care, and the emergence of new manifestations, the following evaluations are recommended:Routine care by a general pediatricianFollow-up evaluations with standardized tests by a speech-language pathologistReview educational progress/needsReview mental health if anxiety and/or depression have been issues or have emerged as issues

Routine care by a general pediatrician

Follow-up evaluations with standardized tests by a speech-language pathologist

Review educational progress/needs

Review mental health if anxiety and/or depression have been issues or have emerged as issues

Evaluation of Relatives at Risklt is appropriate to clarify the genetic status of apparently asymptomatic sibs of an affected individual by molecular genetic testing for the FOXP2 pathogenic variant in the family to identify as early as possible those who would benefit from prompt evaluation by a speech-language pathologist and initiation of treatment. See Genetic Counseling for issues related to testing of at-risk relatives for genetic counseling purposes.

Therapies Under InvestigationSearch ClinicalTrials.gov in the US and EU Clinical Trials Register in Europe for access to information on clinical studies for a wide range of diseases and conditions.

Note: There may not be clinical trials for this disorder.

## Genetic Counseling

Genetic counseling is the process of providing individuals and families with information on the nature, mode(s) of inheritance, and implications of genetic disorders to help them make informed medical and personal decisions. The following section deals with genetic risk assessment and the use of family history and genetic testing to clarify genetic status for family members; it is not meant to address all personal, cultural, or ethical issues that may arise or to substitute for consultation with a genetics professional. —ED.Mode of InheritanceFOXP2-related speech and language disorder (FOXP2-SLD) is caused by a heterozygous pathogenic variant in FOXP2 and inherited in an autosomal dominant manner.Risk to Family Members

## Parents of a proband

About half of individuals diagnosed with FOXP2-SLD have the disorder as the result of a de novo pathogenic variant. In a cohort of 17 families with FOXP2-SLD, eight individuals represented simplex cases (i.e., the only affected family member) and had the disorder as the result of a de novo pathogenic variant [Morison et al 2022]. About half of individuals diagnosed with FOXP2-SLD have an affected parent [Morison et al 2022]. If the proband appears to be the only affected family member, molecular genetic testing is recommended for the parents of the proband to confirm their genetic status and to allow reliable recurrence risk counseling. If the pathogenic variant identified in the proband is not identified in either parent and parental identity testing has confirmed biological maternity and paternity, the following possibilities should be considered: The proband has a de novo pathogenic variant. The proband inherited a pathogenic variant from a parent with germline (or somatic and germline) mosaicism. Note: Testing of parental leukocyte DNA may not detect all instances of somatic mosaicism and will not detect a pathogenic variant that is present in the germ cells only. Sibs of a proband. The risk to the sibs of a proband depends on the genetic status of the proband's parents: If a parent of the proband has the FOXP2 pathogenic variant identified in the proband, the risk to the sibs of inheriting the pathogenic variant is 50%. The penetrance of FOXP2-SLD in heterozygous family members approaches 100% [Morison et al 2022]. Although

interfamilial variability is observed in FOXP2-SLD, minimal clinical variability is observed among affected family members [Morison et al 2022]. If the FOXP2 pathogenic variant found in the proband cannot be detected in the leukocyte DNA of either parent, the recurrence risk to sibs is slightly greater than that of the general population because of the possibility of parental mosaicism [Morison et al 2022]. Offspring of a proband. Each child of an individual with FOXP2-SLD has a 50% chance of inheriting the FOXP2 pathogenic variant. Related Genetic Counseling IssuesSee Management, Evaluation of Relatives at Risk for information on evaluating at-risk relatives for the purpose of early diagnosis and treatment.

## Family planning

The optimal time for determination of genetic risk and discussion of the availability of prenatal/preimplantation genetic testing is before pregnancy. It is appropriate to offer genetic counseling (including discussion of potential risks to offspring and reproductive options) to young adults who are affected or at risk of having a child with FOXP2-SLD. Prenatal Testing and Preimplantation Genetic TestingOnce the FOXP2 pathogenic variant has been identified in an affected family member, prenatal and preimplantation genetic testing are possible. Differences in perspective may exist among medical professionals and within families regarding the use of prenatal testing. While most centers would consider use of prenatal testing to be a personal decision, discussion of these issues may be helpful.

Mode of InheritanceFOXP2-related speech and language disorder (FOXP2-SLD) is caused by a heterozygous pathogenic variant in FOXP2 and inherited in an autosomal dominant manner.

# Risk to Family Members

# Parents of a proband

About half of individuals diagnosed with FOXP2-SLD have the disorder as the result of a de novo pathogenic variant. In a cohort of 17 families with FOXP2-SLD, eight individuals represented simplex cases (i.e., the only affected family member) and had the disorder as the result of a de novo

pathogenic variant [Morison et al 2022]. About half of individuals diagnosed with FOXP2-SLD have an affected parent [Morison et al 2022]. If the proband appears to be the only affected family member, molecular genetic testing is recommended for the parents of the proband to confirm their genetic status and to allow reliable recurrence risk counseling. If the pathogenic variant identified in the proband is not identified in either parent and parental identity testing has confirmed biological maternity and paternity, the following possibilities should be considered: The proband has a de novo pathogenic variant. The proband inherited a pathogenic variant from a parent with germline (or somatic and germline) mosaicism. Note: Testing of parental leukocyte DNA may not detect all instances of somatic mosaicism and will not detect a pathogenic variant that is present in the germ cells only. Sibs of a proband. The risk to the sibs of a proband depends on the genetic status of the proband's parents: If a parent of the proband has the FOXP2 pathogenic variant identified in the proband, the risk to the sibs of inheriting the pathogenic variant is 50%. The penetrance of FOXP2-SLD in heterozygous family members approaches 100% [Morison et al 2022]. Although interfamilial variability is observed in FOXP2-SLD, minimal clinical variability is observed among affected family members [Morison et al 2022]. If the FOXP2 pathogenic variant found in the proband cannot be detected in the leukocyte DNA of either parent, the recurrence risk to sibs is slightly greater than that of the general population because of the possibility of parental mosaicism [Morison et al 2022]. Offspring of a proband. Each child of an individual with FOXP2-SLD has a 50% chance of inheriting the FOXP2 pathogenic variant.

About half of individuals diagnosed with FOXP2-SLD have the disorder as the result of a de novo pathogenic variant. In a cohort of 17 families with FOXP2-SLD, eight individuals represented simplex cases (i.e., the only affected family member) and had the disorder as the result of a de novo pathogenic variant [Morison et al 2022].

About half of individuals diagnosed with FOXP2-SLD have an affected parent [Morison et al 2022].

If the proband appears to be the only affected family member, molecular genetic testing is recommended for the parents of the proband to confirm their genetic status and to allow reliable recurrence risk counseling.

If the pathogenic variant identified in the proband is not identified in either parent and parental identity testing has confirmed biological maternity and paternity, the following possibilities should be considered:

The proband has a de novo pathogenic variant.

The proband inherited a pathogenic variant from a parent with germline (or somatic and germline) mosaicism.

Note: Testing of parental leukocyte DNA may not detect all instances of somatic mosaicism and will not detect a pathogenic variant that is present in the germ cells only.

If a parent of the proband has the FOXP2 pathogenic variant identified in the proband, the risk to the sibs of inheriting the pathogenic variant is 50%.

The penetrance of FOXP2-SLD in heterozygous family members approaches 100% [Morison et al 2022]. Although interfamilial variability is observed in FOXP2-SLD, minimal clinical variability is observed among affected family members [Morison et al 2022].

If the FOXP2 pathogenic variant found in the proband cannot be detected in the leukocyte DNA of either parent, the recurrence risk to sibs is slightly greater than that of the general population because of the possibility of parental mosaicism [Morison et al 2022].

Related Genetic Counseling IssuesSee Management, Evaluation of Relatives at Risk for information on evaluating at-risk relatives for the purpose of early diagnosis and treatment.

Family planning

The optimal time for determination of genetic risk and discussion of the availability of prenatal/preimplantation genetic testing is before pregnancy. It is appropriate to offer genetic counseling (including discussion of potential risks to offspring and reproductive options) to young adults who are affected or at risk of having a child with FOXP2-SLD.

The optimal time for determination of genetic risk and discussion of the availability of prenatal/preimplantation genetic testing is before pregnancy.

It is appropriate to offer genetic counseling (including discussion of potential risks to offspring and reproductive options) to young adults who are affected or at risk of having a child with FOXP2-SLD.

Prenatal Testing and Preimplantation Genetic TestingOnce the FOXP2 pathogenic variant has been identified in an affected family member, prenatal and preimplantation genetic testing are possible. Differences in perspective may exist among medical professionals and within families regarding the use of prenatal testing. While most centers would consider use of prenatal testing to be a personal decision, discussion of these issues may be helpful.

## Resources

GeneReviews staff has selected the following disease-specific and/or umbrella support organizations and/or registries for the benefit of individuals with this disorder and their families. GeneReviews is not responsible for the information provided by other organizations. For information on selection criteria, click here.

## Apraxia Kids

Phone: 412-785-7072Email: info@apraxia-kids.org

apraxia-kids.org

Dyspraxia Foundation

United KingdomPhone: 01462 454986; 01462 454986

www.dyspraxiafoundation.org.uk

Apraxia Kids

Phone: 412-785-7072

Email: info@apraxia-kids.org

apraxia-kids.org


Dyspraxia Foundation

United Kingdom

Phone: 01462 454986; 01462 454986

www.dyspraxiafoundation.org.uk

Molecular GeneticsInformation in the Molecular Genetics and OMIM tables may differ from that elsewhere in the GeneReview: tables may contain more recent information. —ED.Table A.FOXP2-Related Speech and Language Disorder: Genes and DatabasesView in own windowGeneChromosome LocusProteinLocus-Specific DatabasesHGMDClinVar



which will be critical for development of speech and language. FOXP2 pathogenic missense variants, including those that disrupt the DNA-binding domain (e.g., p.Arg553His [Vernes et al 2006]), produce abnormal gene products that cannot bind DNA targets properly, as shown by functional studies of some variants that cause FOXP2-related speech and language disorder [Deriziotis et al 2014, Estruch et al 2018, Hickey et al 2019, den Hoed et al 2021]. Other pathogenic missense variants may be associated with dominant-negative effects, which to date have not been demonstrated in published reports. Mechanism of disease causation. Loss of function, haploinsufficiency FOXP2-specific laboratory technical considerations. Missense variants that directly affect the DNA-binding domain are likely to be pathogenic, while missense variants outside this domain are unlikely to be pathogenic.

Table A.FOXP2-Related Speech and Language Disorder: Genes and DatabasesView in own windowGeneChromosome LocusProteinLocus-Specific DatabasesHGMDClinVar

FOXP2

7q31​.1


Forkhead box protein P2

FOXP2 database

FOXP2

FOXP2

Data are compiled from the following standard references: gene from



OMIM;
protein from UniProt.
For a description of databases (Locus Specific, HGMD, ClinVar) to which links are provided, click
here.
Data are compiled from the following standard references: gene from
HGNC;
chromosome locus from
OMIM;
protein from UniProt.
For a description of databases (Locus Specific, HGMD, ClinVar) to which links are provided, click
here.
Data are compiled from the following standard references: gene from
HGNC;
chromosome locus from
OMIM;
protein from UniProt.
For a description of databases (Locus Specific, HGMD, ClinVar) to which links are provided, click
here.
Table B.OMIM Entries for FOXP2-Related Speech and Language Disorder (View All in OMIM) View
in own window
602081SPEECH-LANGUAGE DISORDER 1; SPCH1
605317FORKHEAD BOX P2; FOXP2

OMIM Entries for FOXP2-Related Speech and Language Disorder (View All in OMIM)

602081SPEECH-LANGUAGE DISORDER 1; SPCH1

605317FORKHEAD BOX P2; FOXP2

Molecular PathogenesisFOXP2 encodes the Forkhead box protein P2, a transcription factor with zinc finger (residues 346 to 371) and DNA-binding (residues 504 to 594) functional domains. FOXP2 is thought to form homodimers (and heterodimers with FOXP1 or FOXP4) via a protein region that includes a leucine zipper and zinc finger. Forkhead box proteins are transcription factors that likely regulate hundreds of downstream target genes, some of which will be critical for development of speech and language. FOXP2 pathogenic missense variants, including those that disrupt the DNA-binding domain (e.g., p.Arg553His [Vernes et al 2006]), produce abnormal gene products that cannot bind DNA targets properly, as shown by functional studies of some variants that cause FOXP2-related speech and language disorder [Deriziotis et al 2014, Estruch et al 2018, Hickey et al 2019, den Hoed et al 2021]. Other pathogenic missense variants may be associated with dominant-negative effects, which to date have not been demonstrated in published reports. Mechanism of disease causation. Loss of function, haploinsufficiencyFOXP2-specific laboratory technical considerations. Missense variants that directly affect the DNA-binding domain are likely to be pathogenic, while missense variants outside this domain are unlikely to be pathogenic.

Chapter NotesAuthor NotesAngela T Morgan is a speech pathologist with more than 25 years of experience in speech phenotyping in genetic conditions. She works closely with the coauthors of this review both in identifying genes that cause severe speech disorder and in characterizing speech and language in known genetic conditions. University of Melbourne profileMurdoch Children's Research Institute profile and publicationsProf Morgan (ua.ude.ircm@nagrom.alegna) is actively involved in clinical research regarding individuals with speech disorder. She would be happy to communicate with persons who have any questions regarding diagnosis of genetic conditions

related to severe speech presentations.Prof Morgan (ua.ude.ircm@nagrom.alegna) is also interested in hearing from clinicians treating families affected by severe speech disorder or speech apraxia in whom no causative variant has been identified through molecular genetic testing of the genes known to be involved in this group of disorders.Contact Dr Simon Fisher (In.ipm@rehsif.nomis) to inquire about review of FOXP2 variants of uncertain significance.AcknowledgmentsThank you sincerely to the families who have taken part in our research. Thank you to Dr Christiane Zweier and Prof Faraneh Vargha-Khadem for past collaborative work in phenotyping individuals with FOXP2-related speech and language disorder. AM, IS, MH, and SF received funding from the National Health and Medical Research Council Centre of Research Excellence (APP1116976).Revision History26 January 2023 (bp) Comprehensive update posted live2 February 2017 (am) Revision: based on Reuter et al [2017]23 June 2016 (bp) Review posted live4 August 2015 (am, msh) Original submission

Author NotesAngela T Morgan is a speech pathologist with more than 25 years of experience in speech phenotyping in genetic conditions. She works closely with the coauthors of this review both in identifying genes that cause severe speech disorder and in characterizing speech and language in known genetic conditions. University of Melbourne profileMurdoch Children's Research Institute profile and publicationsProf Morgan (ua.ude.ircm@nagrom.alegna) is actively involved in clinical research regarding individuals with speech disorder. She would be happy to communicate with persons who have any questions regarding diagnosis of genetic conditions related to severe speech presentations.Prof Morgan (ua.ude.ircm@nagrom.alegna) is also interested in hearing from clinicians treating families affected by severe speech disorder or speech apraxia in whom no causative variant has been identified through molecular genetic testing of the genes known to be involved in this group of disorders.Contact Dr Simon Fisher (In.ipm@rehsif.nomis) to inquire about review of FOXP2 variants of uncertain significance.

University of Melbourne profile

Murdoch Children's Research Institute profile and publications

AcknowledgmentsThank you sincerely to the families who have taken part in our research. Thank you to Dr Christiane Zweier and Prof Faraneh Vargha-Khadem for past collaborative work in phenotyping individuals with FOXP2-related speech and language disorder. AM, IS, MH, and SF received funding from the National Health and Medical Research Council Centre of Research Excellence (APP1116976).

Revision History26 January 2023 (bp) Comprehensive update posted live2 February 2017 (am) Revision: based on Reuter et al [2017]23 June 2016 (bp) Review posted live4 August 2015 (am, msh) Original submission

26 January 2023 (bp) Comprehensive update posted live

2 February 2017 (am) Revision: based on Reuter et al [2017]

23 June 2016 (bp) Review posted live

4 August 2015 (am, msh) Original submission

ReferencesLiterature CitedAlcock KJ, Passingham RE, Watkins KE, Vargha-Khadem F. Oral dyspraxia in inherited speech and language impairment and acquired dysphasia. Brain Lang. 2000;75:17–33. [PubMed: 11023636]American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. Childhood apraxia of speech [Position Statement]. Available online. 2007. Accessed 1-17-23.Brignell A, Gu C, Holm A, Carrigg B, Sheppard DA, Amor DJ, Morgan AT. Speech and language phenotype in Phelan-McDermid (22q13.3) syndrome. Eur J Hum Genet.

2021;29:564–74. [PMC free article: PMC8115660] [PubMed: 33293697]Christensen MB, Levy AM, Mohammadi NA, Niceta M, Kaiyrzhanov R, Dentici ML, Al Alam C, Alesi V, Benoit V, Bhatia KP, Bierhals T, Boßelmann CM, Buratti J, Callewaert B, Ceulemans B, Charles P, De Wachter M, Dehghani M, D'haenens E, Doco-Fenzy M, Geßner M, Gobert C, Guliveva U. Haack TB, Hammer TB, Heinrich T, Hempel M, Herget T, Hoffmann U, Horvath J, Houlden H, Keren B, Kresge C, Kumps C, Lederer D, Lermine A, Magrinelli F, Maroofian R, Vahidi Mehrjardi MY, Moudi M, Müller AJ, Oostra AJ, Pletcher BA, Ros-Pardo D, Samarasekera S, Tartaglia M, Van Schil K, Vogt J, Wassmer E, Winkelmann J, Zaki MS, Zech M, Lerche H, Radio FC, Gomez-Puertas P. M&#248:ller RS. T&#252:mer Z. Biallelic variants in ZNF142 lead to a syndromic neurodevelopmental disorder. Clin Genet. 2022;102:98–109. [PMC free article: PMC9546172] [PubMed: 35616059]den Hoed J, Devaraiu K, Fisher SE. Molecular networks of the FOXP2 transcription factor in the brain. EMBO Rep. 2021;22:e52803. [PMC free article: PMC8339667] [PubMed: 34260143]Deriziotis P, O'Roak BJ, Graham SA, Estruch SB, Dimitropoulou D, Bernier RA, Gerdts J, Shendure J, Eichler EE, Fisher SE. De novo TBR1 mutations in sporadic autism disrupt protein functions. Nat Commun. 2014;5:4954. [PMC free article: PMC4212638] [PubMed: 25232744]Dodd B, Holm A, Hua Z, Crosbie S. Phonological development: a normative study of British English-speaking children. Clin Linguist Phon. 2003;17:617–43. [PubMed: 14977026] Eising E, Carrion-Castillo A, Vino A, Strand EA, Jakielski KJ, Scerri TS, Hildebrand MS. Webster R, Ma A, Mazoyer B, Francks C, Bahlo M, Scheffer IE, Morgan AT, Shriberg LD, Fisher SE. A set of regulatory genes co-expressed in embryonic human brain is implicated in disrupted speech development. Mol Psychiatry. 2019;24:1065–78. [PMC free article: PMC6756287] [PubMed: 29463886] Estruch SB, Graham SA, Quevedo M, Vino A, Dekkers DHW, Deriziotis P, Sollis E, Demmers J. Poot RA, Fisher SE. Proteomic analysis of FOXP proteins reveals interactions between cortical transcription factors associated with neurodevelopmental disorders. Hum Mol Genet. 2018;27:1212–27. [PubMed: 29365100]Feuk L, Kalervo A, Lipsanen-Nyman M, Skaug J, Nakabayashi K, Finucane B, Hartung D, Innes M, Kerem B, Nowaczyk MJ, Rivlin J, Roberts W, Senman L, Summers A, Szatmari P, Wong V, Vincent JB, Zeesman S, Osborne LR, Cardy JO, Kere

J. Scherer SW, Hannula-Jouppi K. Absence of a paternally inherited FOXP2 gene in developmental verbal dyspraxia. Am J Hum Genet. 2006;79:965–72. [PMC free article: PMC1698557] [PubMed: 17033973] Fisher SE, Vargha-Khadem F, Watkins KE, Monaco AP, Pembrey ME. Localisation of a gene implicated in a severe speech and language disorder. Nat Genet. 1998;18:168–70. [PubMed: 9462748]Flipsen P. Measuring the intelligibility of conversational speech in children. Clin Linguist Phon. 2006;20:303–12. [PubMed: 16644588]Granadillo JL, Stegmann APA, Guo H, Xia K, Angle B, Bontempo K, Ranells JD, Newkirk P, Costin C, Viront J, Stumpel CT, Sinnema M, Panis B, Pfundt R, Krapels IPC, Klaassens M, Nicolai J, Li J, Jiang Y, Marco E, Canton A, Latronico AC, Montenegro L, Leheup B, Bonnet C. M Amudhavalli S, Lawson CE, McWalter K, Telegrafi A, Pearson R, Kvarnung M, Wang X, Bi W, Rosenfeld JA, Shinawi M. Pathogenic variants in TNRC6B cause a genetic disorder characterised by developmental delay/intellectual disability and a spectrum of neurobehavioural phenotypes including autism and ADHD. J Med Genet. 2020;57:717–24. [PubMed: 32152250]Hickey SL, Berto S, Konopka G. Chromatin decondensation by FOXP2 promotes human neuron maturation and expression of neurodevelopmental disease genes. Cell Rep. 2019;27:1699–711.e9. [PMC free article: PMC6794152] [PubMed: 31067457]Hildebrand MS, Jackson VE, Scerri TS, Van Reyk O, Coleman M, Braden RO, Turner S, Rigbye KA, Boys A, Barton S, Webster R, Fahey M, Saunders K, Parry-Fielder B, Paxton G, Hayman M, Coman D, Goel H, Baxter A, Ma A, Davis N, Reilly S. Delatycki M, Lié geois FJ, Connelly A, Gecz J, Fisher SE, Amor DJ, Scheffer IE, Bahlo M, Morgan AT. Severe childhood speech disorder: gene discovery highlights transcriptional dysregulation. Neurology. 2020;94:e2148–e2167. [PubMed: 32345733]Kamal N, Khamirani HJ, Mohammadi S, Dastgheib SA, Dianatpour M, Tabei SMB. ZNF142 mutation causes neurodevelopmental disorder with speech impairment and seizures: novel variants and literature review. Eur J Med Genet. 2022;65:104522. [PubMed: 35618198]Kaspi A, Hildebrand MS, Jackson VE, Braden R, van Reyk O, Howell T, Debono S, Lauretta M, Morison L, Coleman MJ, Webster R, Coman D, Goel H, Wallis M, Dabscheck G, Downie L, Baker EK, Parry-Fielder B, Ballard K, Harrold E, Ziegenfusz S, Bennett MF, Robertson E, Wang L, Boys A, Fisher SE, Amor DJ, Scheffer IE,

Bahlo M, Morgan AT. Genetic aetiologies for childhood speech disorder: novel pathways co-expressed during brain development. Mol Psychiatry. 2022. Epub ahead of print. [PMC free article: PMC10208970] [PubMed: 36117209]Khan K, Zech M, Morgan AT, Amor DJ, Skorvanek M, Khan TN, Hildebrand MS, Jackson VE, Scerri TS, Coleman M, Rigbye KA, Scheffer IE, Bahlo M, Wagner M, Lam DD, Berutti R, Havránková P, Fečíková A, Strom TM, Han V, Dosekova P, Gdovinova Z, Laccone F, Jameel M, Mooney MR, Baig SM, Jech R, Davis EE, Katsanis N, Winkelmann J. Recessive variants in ZNF142 cause a complex neurodevelopmental disorder with intellectual disability, speech impairment, seizures, and dystonia. Genet Med. 2019;21:2532–42. [PMC free article: PMC6821592] [PubMed: 31036918]Laffin JJ, Raca G, Jackson CA, Strand EA, Jakielski KJ, Shriberg LD. Novel candidate genes and regions for childhood apraxia of speech identified by array comparative genomic hybridization. Genet Med. 2012;14:928–36. [PMC free article: PMC3563158] [PubMed: 22766611]Lai CS, Fisher SE, Hurst JA, Levy ER, Hodgson S, Fox M, Jeremiah S, Povey S, Jamison DC, Green ED, Vargha-Khadem F, Monaco AP. The SPCH1 region on human 7g31: genomic characterization of the critical interval and localization of translocations associated with speech and language disorder. Am J Hum Genet. 2000;67:357–68. [PMC free article: PMC1287211] [PubMed: 10880297]Lennon PA, Cooper ML, Peiffer DA, Gunderson KL, Patel A, Peters S, Cheung SW, Bacino CA. Deletion of 7g31.1 supports involvement of FOXP2 in language impairment: clinical report and review. Am J Med Genet A. 2007;143A:791–8. [PubMed: 17330859]Liégeois FJ, Hildebrand MS, Bonthrone A, Turner SJ, Scheffer IE, Bahlo M, Connelly A, Morgan AT. Early neuroimaging markers of FOXP2 intragenic deletion. Sci Rep. 2016;6:35192. [PMC free article: PMC5062117] [PubMed: 27734906]MacDermot KD, Bonora E, Sykes N, Coupe AM, Lai CS, Vernes SC, Vargha-Khadem F, McKenzie F, Smith RL, Monaco AP, Fisher SE. Identification of FOXP2 truncation as a novel cause of developmental speech and language deficits. Am J Hum Genet. 2005;76:1074–80. [PMC free article: PMC1196445] [PubMed: 15877281]Morgan AT, Dodrill P, Ward EC. Interventions for oropharyngeal dysphagia in children with neurological impairment. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;10:CD009456.

[PubMed: 23076958]Morgan AT, Murray E, Liégeois FJ. 2018. Intervention for childhood apraxia of speech. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 30;5:CD006278. [PMC free article: PMC6494637] [PubMed: 29845607]Morison L, Meffert E, Stampfer M, Steiner-Wilke I, Vollmer B, Schulze K, Briggs T, Braden R, Vogel AP, Thompson-Lake D, Patel C, Blair E, Goel H, Turner S, Moog U, Riess A, Liegeois F, Koolen DA, Amor DJ, Kleefstra T, Fisher SE, Zweier C, Morgan AT. In-depth characterisation of a cohort of individuals with missense and loss-of-function variants disrupting FOXP2. J Med Gen. 2022. Epub ahead of print. [PMC free article: PMC10314088] [PubMed: 36328423]Murray E, McCabe P, Ballard KJ. A systematic review of treatment outcomes for children with childhood apraxia of speech. Am J Speech Lang Pathol. 2014;23:486–504. [PubMed: 24686844]Murray E, McCabe P, Ballard KJ. A randomized controlled trial for children with childhood apraxia of speech comparing Rapid Syllable Transition treatment and the Nuffield Dyspraxia Programme - Third edition. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2015;58:669-86. [PubMed: 25807891]Palka C, Alfonsi M, Mohn A, Cerbo R, Guanciali Franchi P, Fantasia D, Morizio E, Stuppia L, Calabrese G, Zori R, Chiarelli F, Palka G. Mosaic 7g31 deletion involving FOXP2 gene associated with language impairment. Pediatrics. 2012;129:e183–8. [PubMed: 22144704]Rice GM, Raca G, Jakielski KJ, Laffin JJ, Iyama-Kurtycz CM, Hartley SL, Sprague RE, Heintzelman AT, Shriberg LD. Phenotype of FOXP2 haploinsufficiency in a mother and son. Am J Med Genet A. 2012;158A:174–81. [PMC free article: PMC3319495] [PubMed: 22106036]Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, Grody WW, Hegde M, Lyon E, Spector E, Voelkerding K, Rehm HL, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med. 2015;17:405–24. [PMC free article: PMC4544753] [PubMed: 25741868]Reuter MS, Riess A, Moog U, Briggs TA, Chandler KE, Rauch A, Stampfer M, Steindl K, Glaser D, Joset P, Study DDD, Krumbiegel M, Rabe H, Schulte-Mattler U, Bauer P, Beck-Wödl S, Kohlhase J, Reis A, Zweier C. FOXP2 variants in 14 individuals with developmental speech and language disorders broaden the mutational and clinical spectrum. J Med Genet. 2017;54:64–72. [PubMed: 27572252]Royal College of

Speech and Language Therapists. Policy statement on developmental verbal dyspraxia. Available online. 2011. Accessed 1-17-23. Shriberg LD, Aram DM, Kwiatkowski J. Developmental apraxia of speech: I. Descriptive and theoretical perspectives. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 1997;40:273–85. [PubMed: 9130199]Shriberg LD, Potter NL, Strand EA. Prevalence and phenotype of childhood apraxia of speech in youth with galactosemia. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2011;54:487–519. [PMC free article: PMC3070858] [PubMed: 20966389]Snijders Blok L, Rousseau J, Twist J, Ehresmann S, Takaku M, Venselaar H, Rodan LH, Nowak CB, Douglas J, Swoboda KJ, Steeves MA, Sahai I, Stumpel CTRM, Stegmann APA, Wheeler P, Willing M, Fiala E, Kochhar A, Gibson WT, Cohen ASA, Agbahovbe R, Innes AM, Au PYB, Rankin J, Anderson IJ, Skinner SA, Louie RJ, Warren HE, Afenjar A, Keren B, Nava C, Buratti J, Isapof A, Rodriguez D, Lewandowski R, Propst J, van Essen T, Choi M, Lee S, Chae JH, Price S, Schnur RE, Douglas G, Wentzensen IM, Zweier C, Reis A, Bialer MG, Moore C, Koopmans M, Brilstra EH, Monroe GR, van Gassen KLI, van Binsbergen E, Newbury-Ecob R, Bownass L, Bader I, Mayr JA, Wortmann SB, Jakielski KJ, Strand EA, Kloth K, Bierhals T, Roberts JD, Petrovich RM, Machida S, Kurumizaka H, Lelieveld S, Pfundt R, Jansen S, Deriziotis P, Faivre L, Thevenon J, Assoum M, Shriberg L, Kleefstra T, Brunner HG, Wade PA, Fisher SE, Campeau PM, et al. CHD3 helicase domain mutations cause a neurodevelopmental syndrome with macrocephaly and impaired speech and language. Nat Commun. 2018;9:4619. [PMC free article: PMC6218476] [PubMed: 30397230]Stenson PD, Mort M, Ball EV, Chapman M, Evans K, Azevedo L, Hayden M, Heywood S, Millar DS, Phillips AD, Cooper DN. The Human Gene Mutation Database (HGMD®): optimizing its use in a clinical diagnostic or research setting. Hum Genet. 2020;139:1197–207. [PMC free article: PMC7497289] [PubMed: 32596782]St John M, Amor DJ, Morgan AT. Speech and language development and genotype-phenotype correlation in 49 individuals with KAT6A syndrome. Am J Med Genet A. 2022a;188:3389–3400. [PubMed: 35892268]St John M, van Reyk O, Koolen DA, de Vries BBA, Amor DJ, Morgan AT. Expanding the speech and language phenotype in Koolen-de Vries syndrome: late onset and periodic stuttering a novel feature. Eur J Hum Genet. 2022b. Epub ahead of print. [PMC free article: PMC10172335] [PubMed: 36529818]Turner SJ,

Hildebrand MS, Block S, Damiano J, Fahey M, Reilly S, Bahlo M, Scheffer IE, Morgan AT. Small intragenic deletion in FOXP2 associated with childhood apraxia of speech and dysarthria. Am J Med Genet A. 2013;161A:2321–6. [PubMed: 23918746]Vargha-Khadem F, Gadian DG, Copp A, Mishkin M. FOXP2 and the neuroanatomy of speech and language. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2005;6:131–8. [PubMed: 15685218] Vargha-Khadem F, Watkins K, Alcock K, Fletcher P, Passingham R. Praxic and nonverbal cognitive deficits in a large family with a genetically transmitted speech and language disorder. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995;92:930–3. [PMC free article: PMC42734] [PubMed: 7846081] Vargha-Khadem F, Watkins KE, Price CJ, Ashburner J, Alcock KJ, Connelly A, Frackowiak RS, Friston KJ, Pembrey ME, Mishkin M, Gadian DG. Passingham RE. Neural basis of an inherited speech and language disorder. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998;95:12695–700. [PMC free article: PMC22893] [PubMed: 9770548]Vernes SC, Nicod J, Elahi FM, Coventry JA, Kenny N, Coupe AM, Bird LE, Davies KE, Fisher SE. Functional genetic analysis of mutations implicated in a human speech and language disorder. Hum Mol Genet. 2006;15:3154–67. [PubMed: 16984964]Watkins KE, Dronkers NF, Vargha-Khadem F. Behavioural analysis of an inherited speech and language disorder: comparison with acquired aphasia. Brain. 2002;125:452–64. [PubMed: 11872604]Zeesman S, Nowaczyk MJ, Teshima I, Roberts W, Cardy JO, Brian J, Senman L, Feuk L, Osborne LR, Scherer SW. Speech and language impairment and oromotor dyspraxia due to deletion of 7g31 that involves FOXP2. Am J Med Genet A. 2006;140:509–14. [PubMed: 16470794]Zilina O, Reimand T, Zjablovskaja P, Männik K, Männamaa M, Traat A, Puusepp-Benazzouz H, Kurg A, Ounap K. Maternally and paternally inherited deletion of 7g31 involving the FOXP2 gene in two families. Am J Med Genet A. 2012;158A:254–6. [PubMed: 22105961]

Literature CitedAlcock KJ, Passingham RE, Watkins KE, Vargha-Khadem F. Oral dyspraxia in inherited speech and language impairment and acquired dysphasia. Brain Lang. 2000;75:17–33. [PubMed: 11023636]American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. Childhood apraxia of speech [Position Statement]. Available online. 2007. Accessed

1-17-23. Brignell A, Gu C, Holm A, Carrigg B, Sheppard DA, Amor DJ, Morgan AT. Speech and language phenotype in Phelan-McDermid (22q13.3) syndrome. Eur J Hum Genet. 2021;29:564–74. [PMC free article: PMC8115660] [PubMed: 33293697]Christensen MB, Levy AM, Mohammadi NA, Niceta M, Kaiyrzhanov R, Dentici ML, Al Alam C, Alesi V, Benoit V, Bhatia KP, Bierhals T, Boßelmann CM, Buratti J, Callewaert B, Ceulemans B, Charles P, De Wachter M, Dehghani M, D'haenens E, Doco-Fenzy M, Geßner M, Gobert C, Guliyeva U, Haack TB, Hammer TB, Heinrich T, Hempel M, Herget T, Hoffmann U, Horvath J, Houlden H, Keren B, Kresge C, Kumps C, Lederer D, Lermine A, Magrinelli F, Maroofian R, Vahidi Mehrjardi MY, Moudi M, Müller AJ, Oostra AJ, Pletcher BA, Ros-Pardo D, Samarasekera S, Tartaglia M, Van Schil K, Vogt J, Wassmer E, Winkelmann J, Zaki MS, Zech M, Lerche H, Radio FC, Gomez-Puertas P. M&#248:ller RS, T&#252:mer Z. Biallelic variants in ZNF142 lead to a syndromic neurodevelopmental disorder. Clin Genet. 2022;102:98–109. [PMC free article: PMC9546172] [PubMed: 35616059]den Hoed J, Devaraiu K, Fisher SE. Molecular networks of the FOXP2 transcription factor in the brain. EMBO Rep. 2021;22:e52803. [PMC free article: PMC8339667] [PubMed: 34260143]Deriziotis P, O'Roak BJ, Graham SA, Estruch SB, Dimitropoulou D, Bernier RA, Gerdts J, Shendure J, Eichler EE, Fisher SE. De novo TBR1 mutations in sporadic autism disrupt protein functions. Nat Commun. 2014;5:4954. [PMC free article: PMC4212638] [PubMed: 25232744]Dodd B, Holm A, Hua Z, Crosbie S. Phonological development: a normative study of British English-speaking children. Clin Linguist Phon. 2003;17:617–43. [PubMed: 14977026]Eising E, Carrion-Castillo A, Vino A, Strand EA, Jakielski KJ, Scerri TS, Hildebrand MS, Webster R, Ma A, Mazoyer B, Francks C, Bahlo M, Scheffer IE, Morgan AT, Shriberg LD, Fisher SE. A set of regulatory genes co-expressed in embryonic human brain is implicated in disrupted speech development. Mol Psychiatry. 2019;24:1065–78. [PMC free article: PMC6756287] [PubMed: 29463886] Estruch SB, Graham SA, Quevedo M, Vino A, Dekkers DHW, Deriziotis P, Sollis E, Demmers J, Poot RA, Fisher SE. Proteomic analysis of FOXP proteins reveals interactions between cortical transcription factors associated with neurodevelopmental disorders. Hum Mol Genet. 2018;27:1212–27. [PubMed: 29365100]Feuk L, Kalervo A, Lipsanen-Nyman M, Skaug J,

Nakabayashi K, Finucane B, Hartung D, Innes M, Kerem B, Nowaczyk MJ, Rivlin J, Roberts W, Senman L, Summers A, Szatmari P, Wong V, Vincent JB, Zeesman S, Osborne LR, Cardy JO, Kere J, Scherer SW, Hannula-Jouppi K. Absence of a paternally inherited FOXP2 gene in developmental verbal dyspraxia. Am J Hum Genet. 2006;79:965&#8211:72. [PMC free article: PMC1698557] [PubMed: 17033973] Fisher SE, Vargha-Khadem F, Watkins KE, Monaco AP, Pembrey ME. Localisation of a gene implicated in a severe speech and language disorder. Nat Genet. 1998;18:168–70. [PubMed: 9462748]Flipsen P. Measuring the intelligibility of conversational speech in children. Clin Linguist Phon. 2006;20:303–12. [PubMed: 16644588]Granadillo JL, Stegmann APA, Guo H, Xia K, Angle B, Bontempo K, Ranells JD, Newkirk P, Costin C, Viront J. Stumpel CT, Sinnema M, Panis B, Pfundt R, Krapels IPC, Klaassens M, Nicolai J, Li J, Jiang Y, Marco E, Canton A, Latronico AC, Montenegro L, Leheup B, Bonnet C. M Amudhavalli S, Lawson CE, McWalter K, Telegrafi A, Pearson R, Kvarnung M, Wang X, Bi W, Rosenfeld JA, Shinawi M. Pathogenic variants in TNRC6B cause a genetic disorder characterised by developmental delay/intellectual disability and a spectrum of neurobehavioural phenotypes including autism and ADHD. J Med Genet. 2020;57:717–24. [PubMed: 32152250]Hickey SL, Berto S, Konopka G. Chromatin decondensation by FOXP2 promotes human neuron maturation and expression of neurodevelopmental disease genes. Cell Rep. 2019;27:1699–711.e9. [PMC free article: PMC6794152] [PubMed: 31067457]Hildebrand MS, Jackson VE, Scerri TS, Van Reyk O, Coleman M, Braden RO, Turner S, Rigbye KA, Boys A, Barton S, Webster R, Fahey M, Saunders K, Parry-Fielder B, Paxton G, Hayman M, Coman D, Goel H, Baxter A, Ma A, Davis N, Reilly S, Delatycki M, Lié geois FJ, Connelly A, Gecz J, Fisher SE, Amor DJ, Scheffer IE, Bahlo M, Morgan AT. Severe childhood speech disorder: gene discovery highlights transcriptional dysregulation. Neurology. 2020;94:e2148–e2167. [PubMed: 32345733]Kamal N, Khamirani HJ, Mohammadi S, Dastgheib SA, Dianatpour M, Tabei SMB. ZNF142 mutation causes neurodevelopmental disorder with speech impairment and seizures: novel variants and literature review. Eur J Med Genet. 2022;65:104522. [PubMed: 35618198]Kaspi A, Hildebrand MS, Jackson VE, Braden R, van Reyk O, Howell T, Debono S, Lauretta M, Morison L, Coleman MJ, Webster R,

Coman D, Goel H, Wallis M, Dabscheck G, Downie L, Baker EK, Parry-Fielder B, Ballard K, Harrold E, Ziegenfusz S, Bennett MF, Robertson E, Wang L, Boys A, Fisher SE, Amor DJ, Scheffer IE, Bahlo M, Morgan AT. Genetic aetiologies for childhood speech disorder: novel pathways co-expressed during brain development. Mol Psychiatry. 2022. Epub ahead of print. [PMC free article: PMC10208970] [PubMed: 36117209]Khan K, Zech M, Morgan AT, Amor DJ, Skorvanek M, Khan TN, Hildebrand MS, Jackson VE, Scerri TS, Coleman M, Rigbye KA, Scheffer IE, Bahlo M, Wagner M, Lam DD, Berutti R, Havránková P, Fečíková A, Strom TM, Han V, Dosekova P, Gdovinova Z, Laccone F, Jameel M, Mooney MR, Baig SM, Jech R, Davis EE, Katsanis N, Winkelmann J. Recessive variants in ZNF142 cause a complex neurodevelopmental disorder with intellectual disability, speech impairment, seizures, and dystonia. Genet Med. 2019;21:2532–42. [PMC free article: PMC6821592] [PubMed: 31036918]Laffin JJ, Raca G, Jackson CA, Strand EA, Jakielski KJ, Shriberg LD. Novel candidate genes and regions for childhood apraxia of speech identified by array comparative genomic hybridization. Genet Med. 2012;14:928–36. [PMC free article: PMC3563158] [PubMed: 22766611]Lai CS, Fisher SE, Hurst JA, Levy ER, Hodgson S, Fox M, Jeremiah S, Povey S, Jamison DC, Green ED, Vargha-Khadem F, Monaco AP. The SPCH1 region on human 7q31: genomic characterization of the critical interval and localization of translocations associated with speech and language disorder. Am J Hum Genet. 2000;67:357–68. [PMC free article: PMC1287211] [PubMed: 10880297]Lennon PA, Cooper ML, Peiffer DA, Gunderson KL, Patel A, Peters S, Cheung SW, Bacino CA. Deletion of 7g31.1 supports involvement of FOXP2 in language impairment: clinical report and review. Am J Med Genet A. 2007:143A:791–8. [PubMed: 17330859]Liégeois FJ, Hildebrand MS, Bonthrone A, Turner SJ, Scheffer IE, Bahlo M, Connelly A, Morgan AT. Early neuroimaging markers of FOXP2 intragenic deletion. Sci Rep. 2016;6:35192. [PMC free article: PMC5062117] [PubMed: 27734906]MacDermot KD, Bonora E, Sykes N, Coupe AM, Lai CS, Vernes SC, Vargha-Khadem F, McKenzie F, Smith RL, Monaco AP, Fisher SE. Identification of FOXP2 truncation as a novel cause of developmental speech and language deficits. Am J Hum Genet. 2005;76:1074–80. [PMC free article: PMC1196445]

[PubMed: 15877281]Morgan AT, Dodrill P, Ward EC. Interventions for oropharyngeal dysphagia in children with neurological impairment. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;10:CD009456. [PubMed: 23076958]Morgan AT, Murray E, Liégeois FJ. 2018. Intervention for childhood apraxia of speech. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 30;5:CD006278. [PMC free article: PMC6494637] [PubMed: 29845607]Morison L, Meffert E, Stampfer M, Steiner-Wilke I, Vollmer B, Schulze K, Briggs T, Braden R, Vogel AP, Thompson-Lake D, Patel C, Blair E, Goel H, Turner S, Moog U, Riess A, Liegeois F, Koolen DA, Amor DJ, Kleefstra T, Fisher SE, Zweier C, Morgan AT. In-depth characterisation of a cohort of individuals with missense and loss-of-function variants disrupting FOXP2. J Med Gen. 2022. Epub ahead of print. [PMC free article: PMC10314088] [PubMed: 36328423]Murray E, McCabe P, Ballard KJ. A systematic review of treatment outcomes for children with childhood apraxia of speech. Am J Speech Lang Pathol. 2014;23:486–504. [PubMed: 24686844]Murray E, McCabe P, Ballard KJ. A randomized controlled trial for children with childhood apraxia of speech comparing Rapid Syllable Transition treatment and the Nuffield Dyspraxia Programme - Third edition. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2015;58:669-86. [PubMed: 25807891]Palka C, Alfonsi M, Mohn A, Cerbo R, Guanciali Franchi P, Fantasia D, Morizio E, Stuppia L, Calabrese G, Zori R, Chiarelli F, Palka G. Mosaic 7q31 deletion involving FOXP2 gene associated with language impairment. Pediatrics. 2012;129:e183–8. [PubMed: 22144704]Rice GM, Raca G, Jakielski KJ, Laffin JJ, Iyama-Kurtycz CM, Hartley SL, Sprague RE, Heintzelman AT, Shriberg LD. Phenotype of FOXP2 haploinsufficiency in a mother and son. Am J Med Genet A. 2012;158A:174–81. [PMC free article: PMC3319495] [PubMed: 22106036]Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, Grody WW, Hegde M, Lyon E, Spector E, Voelkerding K, Rehm HL, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med. 2015;17:405–24. [PMC free article: PMC4544753] [PubMed: 25741868]Reuter MS, Riess A, Moog U, Briggs TA, Chandler KE, Rauch A, Stampfer M, Steindl K, Glaser D, Joset P, Study DDD, Krumbiegel M, Rabe H, Schulte-Mattler U, Bauer P, Beck-Wödl S, Kohlhase J, Reis A, Zweier C. FOXP2 variants in

14 individuals with developmental speech and language disorders broaden the mutational and clinical spectrum. J Med Genet. 2017;54:64–72. [PubMed: 27572252]Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists. Policy statement on developmental verbal dyspraxia. Available online. 2011. Accessed 1-17-23. Shriberg LD, Aram DM, Kwiatkowski J. Developmental apraxia of speech: I. Descriptive and theoretical perspectives. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 1997;40:273–85. [PubMed: 9130199]Shriberg LD, Potter NL, Strand EA. Prevalence and phenotype of childhood apraxia of speech in youth with galactosemia. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2011;54:487–519. [PMC free article: PMC3070858] [PubMed: 20966389]Snijders Blok L, Rousseau J, Twist J, Ehresmann S, Takaku M, Venselaar H, Rodan LH, Nowak CB, Douglas J, Swoboda KJ, Steeves MA, Sahai I, Stumpel CTRM, Stegmann APA, Wheeler P, Willing M, Fiala E, Kochhar A, Gibson WT, Cohen ASA, Agbahovbe R, Innes AM, Au PYB, Rankin J, Anderson IJ, Skinner SA, Louie RJ, Warren HE, Afenjar A, Keren B, Nava C, Buratti J, Isapof A, Rodriguez D, Lewandowski R, Propst J, van Essen T, Choi M, Lee S, Chae JH, Price S, Schnur RE, Douglas G, Wentzensen IM, Zweier C, Reis A, Bialer MG, Moore C, Koopmans M, Brilstra EH, Monroe GR, van Gassen KLI, van Binsbergen E, Newbury-Ecob R, Bownass L, Bader I, Mayr JA, Wortmann SB, Jakielski KJ, Strand EA, Kloth K, Bierhals T, Roberts JD, Petrovich RM, Machida S, Kurumizaka H, Lelieveld S, Pfundt R, Jansen S, Deriziotis P, Faivre L, Thevenon J, Assoum M, Shriberg L, Kleefstra T, Brunner HG, Wade PA, Fisher SE, Campeau PM, et al. CHD3 helicase domain mutations cause a neurodevelopmental syndrome with macrocephaly and impaired speech and language. Nat Commun. 2018;9:4619. [PMC free article: PMC6218476] [PubMed: 30397230]Stenson PD, Mort M, Ball EV, Chapman M, Evans K, Azevedo L, Hayden M, Heywood S, Millar DS, Phillips AD, Cooper DN. The Human Gene Mutation Database (HGMD®): optimizing its use in a clinical diagnostic or research setting. Hum Genet. 2020;139:1197–207. [PMC free article: PMC7497289] [PubMed: 32596782]St John M, Amor DJ, Morgan AT. Speech and language development and genotype-phenotype correlation in 49 individuals with KAT6A syndrome. Am J Med Genet A. 2022a;188:3389–3400. [PubMed: 35892268]St John M, van Reyk O, Koolen DA, de Vries BBA, Amor DJ, Morgan AT. Expanding the speech and language phenotype in

Koolen-de Vries syndrome: late onset and periodic stuttering a novel feature. Eur J Hum Genet. 2022b. Epub ahead of print. [PMC free article: PMC10172335] [PubMed: 36529818]Turner SJ, Hildebrand MS, Block S, Damiano J, Fahey M, Reilly S, Bahlo M, Scheffer IE, Morgan AT. Small intragenic deletion in FOXP2 associated with childhood apraxia of speech and dysarthria. Am J Med Genet A. 2013;161A:2321–6. [PubMed: 23918746]Vargha-Khadem F, Gadian DG, Copp A, Mishkin M. FOXP2 and the neuroanatomy of speech and language. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2005;6:131–8. [PubMed: 15685218] Vargha-Khadem F, Watkins K, Alcock K, Fletcher P, Passingham R. Praxic and nonverbal cognitive deficits in a large family with a genetically transmitted speech and language disorder. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995;92:930–3, IPMC free article: PMC42734] [PubMed: 7846081] Vargha-Khadem F, Watkins KE, Price CJ, Ashburner J, Alcock KJ, Connelly A, Frackowiak RS, Friston KJ, Pembrey ME, Mishkin M, Gadian DG, Passingham RE. Neural basis of an inherited speech and language disorder. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998;95:12695–700. [PMC free article: PMC22893] [PubMed: 9770548]Vernes SC. Nicod J, Elahi FM, Coventry JA, Kenny N, Coupe AM, Bird LE, Davies KE, Fisher SE. Functional genetic analysis of mutations implicated in a human speech and language disorder. Hum Mol Genet. 2006;15:3154–67. [PubMed: 16984964]Watkins KE, Dronkers NF, Vargha-Khadem F. Behavioural analysis of an inherited speech and language disorder: comparison with acquired aphasia. Brain. 2002;125:452–64. [PubMed: 11872604]Zeesman S, Nowaczyk MJ, Teshima I, Roberts W, Cardy JO, Brian J, Senman L, Feuk L, Osborne LR, Scherer SW. Speech and language impairment and oromotor dyspraxia due to deletion of 7g31 that involves FOXP2. Am J Med Genet A. 2006;140:509–14. [PubMed: 16470794]Zilina O, Reimand T, Ziablovskaja P. Männik K, Männamaa M, Traat A, Puusepp-Benazzouz H, Kurg A, Ounap K. Maternally and paternally inherited deletion of 7q31 involving the FOXP2 gene in two families. Am J Med Genet A. 2012;158A:254–6. [PubMed: 22105961]

Alcock KJ, Passingham RE, Watkins KE, Vargha-Khadem F. Oral dyspraxia in inherited speech and language impairment and acquired dysphasia. Brain Lang. 2000;75:17–33. [PubMed:

American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. Childhood apraxia of speech [Position Statement]. Available online. 2007. Accessed 1-17-23.

Brignell A, Gu C, Holm A, Carrigg B, Sheppard DA, Amor DJ, Morgan AT. Speech and language phenotype in Phelan-McDermid (22q13.3) syndrome. Eur J Hum Genet. 2021;29:564–74. [PMC free article: PMC8115660] [PubMed: 33293697]

Christensen MB, Levy AM, Mohammadi NA, Niceta M, Kaiyrzhanov R, Dentici ML, Al Alam C, Alesi V, Benoit V, Bhatia KP, Bierhals T, Boßelmann CM, Buratti J, Callewaert B, Ceulemans B, Charles P, De Wachter M, Dehghani M, D'haenens E, Doco-Fenzy M, Geßner M, Gobert C, Guliyeva U, Haack TB, Hammer TB, Heinrich T, Hempel M, Herget T, Hoffmann U, Horvath J, Houlden H, Keren B, Kresge C, Kumps C, Lederer D, Lermine A, Magrinelli F, Maroofian R, Vahidi Mehrjardi MY, Moudi M, Müller AJ, Oostra AJ, Pletcher BA, Ros-Pardo D, Samarasekera S, Tartaglia M, Van Schil K, Vogt J, Wassmer E, Winkelmann J, Zaki MS, Zech M, Lerche H, Radio FC, Gomez-Puertas P, Møller RS, Tümer Z. Biallelic variants in ZNF142 lead to a syndromic neurodevelopmental disorder. Clin Genet. 2022;102:98–109. [PMC free article: PMC9546172] [PubMed: 35616059]

den Hoed J, Devaraiu K, Fisher SE. Molecular networks of the FOXP2 transcription factor in the brain. EMBO Rep. 2021;22:e52803. [PMC free article: PMC8339667] [PubMed: 34260143]

Deriziotis P, O'Roak BJ, Graham SA, Estruch SB, Dimitropoulou D, Bernier RA, Gerdts J, Shendure J, Eichler EE, Fisher SE. De novo TBR1 mutations in sporadic autism disrupt protein functions. Nat Commun. 2014;5:4954. [PMC free article: PMC4212638] [PubMed: 25232744]

Dodd B, Holm A, Hua Z, Crosbie S. Phonological development: a normative study of British English-speaking children. Clin Linguist Phon. 2003;17:617–43. [PubMed: 14977026]

Eising E, Carrion-Castillo A, Vino A, Strand EA, Jakielski KJ, Scerri TS, Hildebrand MS, Webster R, Ma A, Mazoyer B, Francks C, Bahlo M, Scheffer IE, Morgan AT, Shriberg LD, Fisher SE. A set of regulatory genes co-expressed in embryonic human brain is implicated in disrupted speech development. Mol Psychiatry. 2019;24:1065–78. [PMC free article: PMC6756287] [PubMed: 29463886]

Estruch SB, Graham SA, Quevedo M, Vino A, Dekkers DHW, Deriziotis P, Sollis E, Demmers J, Poot RA, Fisher SE. Proteomic analysis of FOXP proteins reveals interactions between cortical transcription factors associated with neurodevelopmental disorders. Hum Mol Genet.

2018;27:1212–27. [PubMed: 29365100]

Feuk L, Kalervo A, Lipsanen-Nyman M, Skaug J, Nakabayashi K, Finucane B, Hartung D, Innes M, Kerem B, Nowaczyk MJ, Rivlin J, Roberts W, Senman L, Summers A, Szatmari P, Wong V, Vincent JB, Zeesman S, Osborne LR, Cardy JO, Kere J, Scherer SW, Hannula-Jouppi K. Absence of a paternally inherited FOXP2 gene in developmental verbal dyspraxia. Am J Hum Genet. 2006;79:965–72. [PMC free article: PMC1698557] [PubMed: 17033973]

Fisher SE, Vargha-Khadem F, Watkins KE, Monaco AP, Pembrey ME. Localisation of a gene implicated in a severe speech and language disorder. Nat Genet. 1998;18:168–70. [PubMed: 9462748]

Flipsen P. Measuring the intelligibility of conversational speech in children. Clin Linguist Phon. 2006;20:303–12. [PubMed: 16644588]

Granadillo JL, Stegmann APA, Guo H, Xia K, Angle B, Bontempo K, Ranells JD, Newkirk P, Costin C, Viront J, Stumpel CT, Sinnema M, Panis B, Pfundt R, Krapels IPC, Klaassens M, Nicolai J, Li J, Jiang Y, Marco E, Canton A, Latronico AC, Montenegro L, Leheup B, Bonnet C. M Amudhavalli S, Lawson CE, McWalter K, Telegrafi A, Pearson R, Kvarnung M, Wang X, Bi W, Rosenfeld JA, Shinawi M. Pathogenic variants in TNRC6B cause a genetic disorder characterised by developmental delay/intellectual disability and a spectrum of neurobehavioural phenotypes including autism and ADHD. J Med Genet. 2020;57:717–24. [PubMed: 32152250]

Hickey SL, Berto S, Konopka G. Chromatin decondensation by FOXP2 promotes human neuron maturation and expression of neurodevelopmental disease genes. Cell Rep. 2019;27:1699–711.e9. [PMC free article: PMC6794152] [PubMed: 31067457]

Hildebrand MS, Jackson VE, Scerri TS, Van Reyk O, Coleman M, Braden RO, Turner S, Rigbye KA, Boys A, Barton S, Webster R, Fahey M, Saunders K, Parry-Fielder B, Paxton G, Hayman M, Coman D, Goel H, Baxter A, Ma A, Davis N, Reilly S, Delatycki M, Liégeois FJ, Connelly A, Gecz J, Fisher SE, Amor DJ, Scheffer IE, Bahlo M, Morgan AT. Severe childhood speech disorder: gene discovery highlights transcriptional dysregulation. Neurology. 2020;94:e2148–e2167. [PubMed: 32345733]

Kamal N, Khamirani HJ, Mohammadi S, Dastgheib SA, Dianatpour M, Tabei SMB. ZNF142 mutation causes neurodevelopmental disorder with speech impairment and seizures: novel variants and literature review. Eur J Med Genet. 2022;65:104522. [PubMed: 35618198]

Kaspi A, Hildebrand MS, Jackson VE, Braden R, van Reyk O, Howell T, Debono S, Lauretta M, Morison L, Coleman MJ, Webster R, Coman D, Goel H, Wallis M, Dabscheck G, Downie L, Baker EK, Parry-Fielder B, Ballard K, Harrold E, Ziegenfusz S, Bennett MF, Robertson E, Wang L, Boys A, Fisher SE, Amor DJ, Scheffer IE, Bahlo M, Morgan AT. Genetic aetiologies for childhood speech

disorder: novel pathways co-expressed during brain development. Mol Psychiatry. 2022. Epub ahead of print. [PMC free article: PMC10208970] [PubMed: 36117209]

Khan K, Zech M, Morgan AT, Amor DJ, Skorvanek M, Khan TN, Hildebrand MS, Jackson VE, Scerri TS, Coleman M, Rigbye KA, Scheffer IE, Bahlo M, Wagner M, Lam DD, Berutti R, Havránková P, Fečíková A, Strom TM, Han V, Dosekova P, Gdovinova Z, Laccone F, Jameel M, Mooney MR, Baig SM, Jech R, Davis EE, Katsanis N, Winkelmann J. Recessive variants in ZNF142 cause a complex neurodevelopmental disorder with intellectual disability, speech impairment, seizures, and dystonia. Genet Med. 2019;21:2532–42. [PMC free article: PMC6821592] [PubMed: 31036918]

Laffin JJ, Raca G, Jackson CA, Strand EA, Jakielski KJ, Shriberg LD. Novel candidate genes and regions for childhood apraxia of speech identified by array comparative genomic hybridization.

Genet Med. 2012;14:928–36. [PMC free article: PMC3563158] [PubMed: 22766611]

Lai CS, Fisher SE, Hurst JA, Levy ER, Hodgson S, Fox M, Jeremiah S, Povey S, Jamison DC, Green ED, Vargha-Khadem F, Monaco AP. The SPCH1 region on human 7q31: genomic characterization of the critical interval and localization of translocations associated with speech and language disorder. Am J Hum Genet. 2000;67:357–68. [PMC free article: PMC1287211] [PubMed: 10880297]

Lennon PA, Cooper ML, Peiffer DA, Gunderson KL, Patel A, Peters S, Cheung SW, Bacino CA. Deletion of 7q31.1 supports involvement of FOXP2 in language impairment: clinical report and review. Am J Med Genet A. 2007;143A:791–8. [PubMed: 17330859]

Liégeois FJ, Hildebrand MS, Bonthrone A, Turner SJ, Scheffer IE, Bahlo M, Connelly A, Morgan AT. Early neuroimaging markers of FOXP2 intragenic deletion. Sci Rep. 2016;6:35192.

[PMC free article: PMC5062117] [PubMed: 27734906]

MacDermot KD, Bonora E, Sykes N, Coupe AM, Lai CS, Vernes SC, Vargha-Khadem F, McKenzie F, Smith RL, Monaco AP, Fisher SE. Identification of FOXP2 truncation as a novel cause of developmental speech and language deficits. Am J Hum Genet. 2005;76:1074–80. [PMC free article: PMC1196445] [PubMed: 15877281]

Morgan AT, Dodrill P, Ward EC. Interventions for oropharyngeal dysphagia in children with neurological impairment. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;10:CD009456. [PubMed: 23076958]

Morgan AT, Murray E, Liégeois FJ. 2018. Intervention for childhood apraxia of speech.

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 30;5:CD006278. [PMC free article: PMC6494637]

[PubMed: 29845607]

Morison L, Meffert E, Stampfer M, Steiner-Wilke I, Vollmer B, Schulze K, Briggs T, Braden R, Vogel AP, Thompson-Lake D, Patel C, Blair E, Goel H, Turner S, Moog U, Riess A, Liegeois F, Koolen DA, Amor DJ, Kleefstra T, Fisher SE, Zweier C, Morgan AT. In-depth characterisation of a cohort of individuals with missense and loss-of-function variants disrupting FOXP2. J Med Gen. 2022. Epub ahead of print. [PMC free article: PMC10314088] [PubMed: 36328423]

Murray E, McCabe P, Ballard KJ. A systematic review of treatment outcomes for children with childhood apraxia of speech. Am J Speech Lang Pathol. 2014;23:486–504. [PubMed: 24686844]

Murray E, McCabe P, Ballard KJ. A randomized controlled trial for children with childhood apraxia of speech comparing Rapid Syllable Transition treatment and the Nuffield Dyspraxia Programme - Third edition. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2015;58:669-86. [PubMed: 25807891]

Palka C, Alfonsi M, Mohn A, Cerbo R, Guanciali Franchi P, Fantasia D, Morizio E, Stuppia L, Calabrese G, Zori R, Chiarelli F, Palka G. Mosaic 7q31 deletion involving FOXP2 gene associated with language impairment. Pediatrics. 2012;129:e183–8. [PubMed: 22144704]

Rice GM, Raca G, Jakielski KJ, Laffin JJ, Iyama-Kurtycz CM, Hartley SL, Sprague RE, Heintzelman AT, Shriberg LD. Phenotype of FOXP2 haploinsufficiency in a mother and son. Am J Med Genet A. 2012;158A:174–81. [PMC free article: PMC3319495] [PubMed: 22106036]

Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, Grody WW, Hegde M, Lyon E, Spector E, Voelkerding K, Rehm HL, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med. 2015;17:405–24. [PMC free article: PMC4544753] [PubMed: 25741868]

Reuter MS, Riess A, Moog U, Briggs TA, Chandler KE, Rauch A, Stampfer M, Steindl K, Glaser D, Joset P, Study DDD, Krumbiegel M, Rabe H, Schulte-Mattler U, Bauer P, Beck-Wödl S, Kohlhase J, Reis A, Zweier C. FOXP2 variants in 14 individuals with developmental speech and language disorders broaden the mutational and clinical spectrum. J Med Genet. 2017;54:64–72. [PubMed: 27572252]

Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists. Policy statement on developmental verbal dyspraxia. Available online. 2011. Accessed 1-17-23.

Shriberg LD, Aram DM, Kwiatkowski J. Developmental apraxia of speech: I. Descriptive and theoretical perspectives. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 1997;40:273–85. [PubMed: 9130199]

Shriberg LD, Potter NL, Strand EA. Prevalence and phenotype of childhood apraxia of speech in youth with galactosemia. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2011;54:487–519. [PMC free article: PMC3070858] [PubMed: 20966389]

Snijders Blok L, Rousseau J, Twist J, Ehresmann S, Takaku M, Venselaar H, Rodan LH, Nowak CB, Douglas J, Swoboda KJ, Steeves MA, Sahai I, Stumpel CTRM, Stegmann APA, Wheeler P, Willing M, Fiala E, Kochhar A, Gibson WT, Cohen ASA, Agbahovbe R, Innes AM, Au PYB, Rankin J, Anderson IJ, Skinner SA, Louie RJ, Warren HE, Afenjar A, Keren B, Nava C, Buratti J, Isapof A, Rodriguez D, Lewandowski R, Propst J, van Essen T, Choi M, Lee S, Chae JH, Price S, Schnur RE, Douglas G, Wentzensen IM, Zweier C, Reis A, Bialer MG, Moore C, Koopmans M, Brilstra EH, Monroe GR, van Gassen KLI, van Binsbergen E, Newbury-Ecob R, Bownass L, Bader I, Mayr JA, Wortmann SB, Jakielski KJ, Strand EA, Kloth K, Bierhals T, Roberts JD, Petrovich RM, Machida S, Kurumizaka H, Lelieveld S, Pfundt R, Jansen S, Deriziotis P, Faivre L, Thevenon J, Assoum M, Shriberg L, Kleefstra T, Brunner HG, Wade PA, Fisher SE, Campeau PM, et al. CHD3 helicase domain mutations cause a neurodevelopmental syndrome with macrocephaly and impaired speech and language. Nat Commun. 2018;9:4619. [PMC free article: PMC6218476] [PubMed: 30397230]

Stenson PD, Mort M, Ball EV, Chapman M, Evans K, Azevedo L, Hayden M, Heywood S, Millar DS, Phillips AD, Cooper DN. The Human Gene Mutation Database (HGMD®): optimizing its use in a clinical diagnostic or research setting. Hum Genet. 2020;139:1197–207. [PMC free article: PMC7497289] [PubMed: 32596782]

St John M, Amor DJ, Morgan AT. Speech and language development and genotype-phenotype correlation in 49 individuals with KAT6A syndrome. Am J Med Genet A. 2022a;188:3389–3400. [PubMed: 35892268]

St John M, van Reyk O, Koolen DA, de Vries BBA, Amor DJ, Morgan AT. Expanding the speech

and language phenotype in Koolen-de Vries syndrome: late onset and periodic stuttering a novel feature. Eur J Hum Genet. 2022b. Epub ahead of print. [PMC free article: PMC10172335] [PubMed: 36529818]

Turner SJ, Hildebrand MS, Block S, Damiano J, Fahey M, Reilly S, Bahlo M, Scheffer IE, Morgan AT. Small intragenic deletion in FOXP2 associated with childhood apraxia of speech and dysarthria. Am J Med Genet A. 2013;161A:2321–6. [PubMed: 23918746]

Vargha-Khadem F, Gadian DG, Copp A, Mishkin M. FOXP2 and the neuroanatomy of speech and language. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2005;6:131–8. [PubMed: 15685218]

Vargha-Khadem F, Watkins K, Alcock K, Fletcher P, Passingham R. Praxic and nonverbal cognitive deficits in a large family with a genetically transmitted speech and language disorder. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995;92:930–3. [PMC free article: PMC42734] [PubMed: 7846081]

Vargha-Khadem F, Watkins KE, Price CJ, Ashburner J, Alcock KJ, Connelly A, Frackowiak RS, Friston KJ, Pembrey ME, Mishkin M, Gadian DG, Passingham RE. Neural basis of an inherited speech and language disorder. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998;95:12695–700. [PMC free article: PMC22893] [PubMed: 9770548]

Vernes SC, Nicod J, Elahi FM, Coventry JA, Kenny N, Coupe AM, Bird LE, Davies KE, Fisher SE. Functional genetic analysis of mutations implicated in a human speech and language disorder. Hum Mol Genet. 2006;15:3154–67. [PubMed: 16984964]

Watkins KE, Dronkers NF, Vargha-Khadem F. Behavioural analysis of an inherited speech and language disorder: comparison with acquired aphasia. Brain. 2002;125:452–64. [PubMed: 11872604]

Zeesman S, Nowaczyk MJ, Teshima I, Roberts W, Cardy JO, Brian J, Senman L, Feuk L, Osborne LR, Scherer SW. Speech and language impairment and oromotor dyspraxia due to deletion of 7q31 that involves FOXP2. Am J Med Genet A. 2006;140:509–14. [PubMed: 16470794]

Zilina O, Reimand T, Zjablovskaja P, Männik K, Männamaa M, Traat A, Puusepp-Benazzouz H, Kurg A, Ounap K. Maternally and paternally inherited deletion of 7q31 involving the FOXP2 gene in two families. Am J Med Genet A. 2012;158A:254–6. [PubMed: 22105961]