1. Mean Absolute Error (MAE)

- **Definition**: MAE is the average of the absolute differences between the predicted values and the actual values.
- Formula:

$$ext{MAE} = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i - \hat{y}_i|$$

where:

- y_i = actual value
- \hat{y}_i = predicted value
- n = number of data points
- Explanation:

MAE gives an equal weight to all errors, irrespective of whether they are small or large. It measures how far predictions are, on average, from the actual values.

Example:

Suppose you have 3 actual values y = [10, 15, 20] and predicted values $\hat{y} = [12, 14, 18]$.

$$ext{Errors} = [|10-12|, |15-14|, |20-18|] = [2,1,2] \ ext{MAE} = rac{(2+1+2)}{3} = rac{5}{3} pprox 1.67$$

So the average error is 1.67 units.

- Pros: Simple to interpret.
- Cons: It does not emphasize large errors, as it treats all errors equally.

2. Mean Squared Error (MSE)

- **Definition**: MSE is the average of the squared differences between the predicted values and the actual values.
- Formula:

$$ext{MSE} = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

• Explanation:

MSE penalizes larger errors more because the errors are squared. This makes it sensitive to outliers.

• Example:

Using the same actual values y = [10, 15, 20] and predicted values $\hat{y} = [12, 14, 18]$:

Squared Errors
$$=[(10-12)^2,(15-14)^2,(20-18)^2]=[4,1,4]$$

$$MSE=\frac{(4+1+4)}{3}=\frac{9}{3}=3$$

The MSE is 3.

- Pros: Large errors have a higher impact, making MSE useful when large deviations need to be heavily penalized.
- Cons: Because of squaring, the units of MSE are not the same as the original data.

3. Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE)

- Definition: RMSE is the square root of the MSE. It measures the standard deviation of
 errors.
- Formula:

$$ext{RMSE} = \sqrt{rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}$$

• Explanation:

RMSE brings the error back to the same unit as the target variable, making it easier to interpret. Like MSE, it is also sensitive to large errors.

• Example:

From the previous MSE calculation:

$$\mathrm{RMSE} = \sqrt{3} \approx 1.73$$

So the RMSE is 1.73.

- Pros: The same unit as the target variable makes interpretation straightforward.
- Cons: Sensitive to large errors because of squaring.

4. Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE)

- Definition: MAPE is the average of the absolute percentage differences between the predicted values and the actual values.
- Formula:

$$ext{MAPE} = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| rac{y_i - \hat{y}_i}{y_i}
ight| imes 100$$

Explanation:

MAPE expresses the error as a percentage of the actual value. This makes it easier to interpret the scale of errors.

Example:

Using the same values y=[10,15,20] and $\hat{y}=[12,14,18]$:

$$egin{aligned} ext{Errors (\%)} &= \left[\left| rac{10-12}{10}
ight| imes 100, \, \left| rac{15-14}{15}
ight| imes 100, \, \left| rac{20-18}{20}
ight| imes 100
ight] \ &= [20\%, 6.67\%, 10\%] \end{aligned}$$

$$ext{MAPE} = rac{(20+6.67+10)}{3} = rac{36.67}{3} pprox 12.22\%$$

The average percentage error is 12.22%.

- Pros: Results are expressed as percentages, which are easy to interpret.
- Cons: It can produce issues when actual values are close to zero, as it causes very large
 percentage errors.

Key Insights

- 1. MAE is more robust to outliers than MSE/RMSE because it does not square the errors.
- 2. MSE and RMSE emphasize larger errors, so they are more sensitive to outliers.
- 3. **RMSE** is more interpretable than MSE because it uses the same units as the target variable.
- 4. MAPE is particularly useful for understanding relative errors as percentages.

The choice of metric depends on the specific needs of the problem. For example:

- Use RMSE/MSE when large errors need to be penalized.
- Use MAE when you want to treat all errors equally.
- Use MAPE for interpretability as percentages (especially in business contexts).

3. Example

Suppose you train two models and compare the errors:

Metric	Model A	Model B
MAE	2.1	1.2
MSE	5.3	2.8
RMSE	2.3	1.7
MAPE (%)	12.5	6.8

Here:

- Model B has smaller values for all metrics.
- This indicates that Model B performs better and has more accurate predictions compared to Model A.

4. Important Notes

- 1. Smaller Metrics Are Better: Always aim for smaller error values when comparing models.
- 2. **Context Matters**: Compare metrics against a **baseline** (e.g., a naive model or a threshold) to judge how good "small" is.
- 3. **MAPE Limitations**: Be cautious with **MAPE** if actual values are close to zero because it can produce very high percentages.